

Decision-Making in the Scientific Age

[Author: Miguel A. Sanchez-Rey]

With the chaos brought on by the LIGOS experiment, that drove the scientific process over the edge with the search for dark matter, one has reach the Scientific Age in the form of an uncontrollable scientific machine. In that manner, with the Brexit in the European Union and the 2016 American Presidential Elections, the planet went into a global meltdown consistent with a schizophrenic breakdown that led to collective psychosis.

The social justice movement, striving to protect the democratic ownership of the state and the neo-Fascist movement that strive to uphold the primacy of corporate capitalism, soon morph into a neo-Fascist alliance that overtook the political process.

With the collapse of social democracy, in which the religious state began to permanently decline after the 2016 Presidential Elections, as one of the worst crimes in world history, decision-making, both in political and economic policy, came to a halt at a world-wide scale that has ravish the House of Congress into a debating body and has resulted in deadlock in the general elections in the United Kingdom.

Inevitably leading to a world-wide epidemic of rising ultra-nationalist sentiment with all other alternatives, as well, unable to sustain the social contract that upheld the democratic ownership of the state. In which the mental-thought processes of planetary society become more graphic and intense.

Causing the magnification of the state that will ultimately lead to the founding of the planetary super-state. To preserve what's left of the religious state, it must resort to authoritarian practices through democratic decision-making. That is the religious states decline led to a global shock in which decision-making, both in politics and the international markets, become more centralized and in which political and economic decision-making, on common grounds, becomes, as well, a hindrance to state-capitalism and neo-Keynesian economics.

A result of the inevitable fact that popular policy is undesirable on both ends of the political spectrum. Where if popular policy were to be the norm a scientific dictatorship would arise but in the end the futility of the state, subjected to destabilization, will lead to more centralized political and economic decision-making but in which the self-management of industry pacifies the general population from revolting against what's left of the religious state. Desperation subsides and decision-making becomes a volatile reality in the Scientific Age.

