

The internal structure of natural numbers and one method for the definition of large prime numbers

Emmanuel Manousos

APM Institute for the Advancement of Physics and Mathematics, 13 Pouliou str., 11 523

Athens, Greece

Abstract

It holds that every product of natural numbers can also be written as a sum. The inverse does not hold when 1 is excluded from the product. For this reason, the investigation of natural numbers should be done through their sum and not through their product. Such an investigation is presented in the present article. We prove that primes play the same role for odd numbers as the powers of 2 for even numbers, and vice versa. The following theorem is proven: "Every natural number, except for 0 and 1, can be uniquely written as a linear combination of consecutive powers of 2 with the coefficients of the linear combination being -1 or +1." This theorem reveals a set of symmetries in the internal order of natural numbers which cannot be derived when studying natural numbers on the basis of the product. From such a symmetry a method for identifying large prime numbers is derived.

MSC classifications: 11A41, 11N05

Keywords: Prime numbers, squarefree numbers

1. Introduction

It holds that every product of natural numbers can also be written as a sum. The inverse (i.e. each sum of natural numbers can be written as a product) does not hold when 1 is excluded from the product. This is due to prime numbers p which can be written as a product only in the form of $p = 1 \cdot p$. For this reason, the investigation of natural numbers should be done through their sum and not through their product. Such an investigation is presented in the present article.

We prove that each natural number can be written as a sum of three or more consecutive natural numbers except of the powers of 2 and the prime numbers. Each power of 2 and each prime number cannot be written as a sum of three or more consecutive natural numbers. Primes play the same role for odd numbers as the powers of 2 for even numbers, and vice versa.

We prove a theorem which is analogous to the fundamental theorem of arithmetic, when we study the positive integers with respect to addition: "Every natural number, with the exception of 0 and 1, can be written in a unique way as a linear combination of consecutive powers of 2, with the coefficients of the linear combination being -1 or +1." This theorem reveals a set of symmetries in the internal order of natural numbers which cannot be derived when studying natural numbers on the basis of the product. From such a symmetry a method for identifying large prime numbers is derived.

2. THE SEQUENCE $\mu(k, n)$

We consider the sequence of natural numbers

$$\mu(k, n) = k + (k+1) + (k+2) + \dots + (k+n) = \frac{(n+1)(2k+n)}{2}$$
$$k \in \mathbb{N}^* = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$
$$n \in A = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$$
(2.1)

For the sequence $\mu(k, n)$ the following theorem holds:

Theorem 2.1.

“ For the sequence $\mu(k, n)$ the following hold:

1. $\mu(k, n) \in \mathbb{N}^*$.
2. No element of the sequence is a prime number.
3. No element of the sequence is a power of 2.
4. The range of the sequence is all natural numbers that are not primes and are not powers of 2.

Proof.

1. $\mu(k, n) \in \mathbb{N}^*$ as a sum of natural numbers.
2. $n \in A = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ and therefore it holds that

$$n \geq 2$$

$$n+1 \geq 3$$

Also we have that

$$2k+n \geq 4$$

$$\frac{2k+n}{2} \geq \frac{3}{2} > 1$$

since $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $n \in A = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$. Thus, the product

$$\frac{(n+1)(2k+n)}{2} = \mu(k, n)$$

is always a product of two natural numbers different than 1, thus the natural number $\mu(k, n)$ cannot be prime.

3. Let that the natural number $\mu(k, n) = \frac{(n+1)(2k+n)}{2}$ is a power of 2. Then, it exists

$\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ such as

$$\frac{(n+1)(2k+n)}{2} = 2^\lambda$$

$$(n+1)(2k+n) = 2^{\lambda+1} . \quad (2.2)$$

Equation (2.2) can hold if and only if there exist $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such as

$$n+1 = 2^{\lambda_1} \wedge 2k+n = 2^{\lambda_2}$$

and equivalently

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} n = 2^{\lambda_1} - 1 \\ n = 2^{\lambda_2} - 2k \end{array} \right\} . \quad (2.3)$$

We eliminate n from equations (2.3) and we obtain

$$2^{\lambda_1} - 1 = 2^{\lambda_2} - 2k$$

and equivalently

$$2k - 1 = 2^{\lambda_2} - 2^{\lambda_1}$$

which is impossible since the first part of the equation is an odd number and the second part is an even number. Thus, the range of the sequence $\mu(k, n)$ does not include the powers of 2.

4. We now prove that the range of the sequence $\mu(k, n)$ includes all natural numbers that are not primes and are not powers of 2. Let a random natural number N which is not a prime nor a power of 2. Then, N can be written in the form

$$N = \chi\psi$$

where at least one of the χ, ψ is an odd number ≥ 3 . Let χ be an odd number ≥ 3 . We will prove that there are always exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in A = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ such as

$$N = \chi \cdot \psi = \mu(k, n) .$$

We consider the following two pairs of k and n :

$$\chi \leq 2\psi - 1, \chi, \psi \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$k = k_1 = \frac{2\psi + 1 - \chi}{2} \quad (2.4)$$

$$n = n_1 = \chi - 1$$

$$\chi \geq 2\psi + 1, \chi, \psi \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$k = k_2 = \frac{\chi + 1 - 2\psi}{2} . \quad (2.5)$$

$$n = n_2 = 2\psi - 1$$

For every $\chi, \psi \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds either the inequality $\chi \leq 2\psi - 1$ or the inequality $\chi \geq 2\psi + 1$. Thus, for each pair of naturals (χ, ψ) , where χ is odd, at least one of the pairs (k_1, n_1) , (k_2, n_2) of equations (2.4), (2.5) is defined. We now prove that “when the natural number k_1 of equation (2.4) is $k_1 = 0$ then the natural number k_2 of equation (2.5) is $k_2 = 1$ and additionally it holds that $n_2 > 2$.” For $k_1 = 0$ from equations (2.4) we take

$$\chi = 2\psi + 1$$

and from equations (2.5) we have that

$$k_2 = \frac{(2\psi + 1) + 1 - 2\psi}{2} = 1$$

$$n_2 = 2\psi - 1$$

and because $\psi \geq 2$ we obtain

$$k_2 = 1$$

$$n_2 = 2\psi - 1 \geq 3 > 2$$

We now prove that when $k_2 = 0$ in equations (2.5), then in equations (2.4) it is $k_1 = 1$ and $n_1 > 2$. For $k_2 = 0$, from equations (2.5) we obtain

$$\chi = 2\psi - 1$$

and from equations (2.4) we get

$$k_1 = \frac{2\psi + 1 - (2\psi - 1)}{2} = 1$$

$$n_1 = \chi - 1 = 2\psi - 2 \geq 2$$

We now prove that at least one of the k_1 and k_2 is positive. Let

$$k_1 < 0 \wedge k_2 < 0.$$

Then from equations (2.4) and (2.5) we have that

$$2\psi + 1 - \chi < 0 \wedge \chi + 1 - 2\psi < 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Taking into account that $\chi > 1$ is odd, that is $\chi = 2\rho + 1, \rho \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain from inequalities (2.6)

$$2\psi + 1 - (2\rho + 1) < 0 \wedge (2\rho + 1) + 1 - 2\psi < 0$$

$$2\psi - 2\rho < 0 \wedge 2\rho - 2\psi + 2 > 0$$

$$\psi < \rho \wedge \psi > \rho + 1$$

which is absurd. Thus, at least one of k_1 and k_2 is positive.

For equations (2.4) we take

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(k_1, n_1) &= \frac{(n_1+1)(2k_1+n_1)}{2} \\ &= \frac{(\chi-1+1)\left(2\frac{2\psi+1-\chi}{2} + \chi-1\right)}{2} = \frac{\chi(2)\psi}{2} = \chi\psi = N\end{aligned}$$

For equations (2.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(k_2, n_2) &= \frac{(n_2+1)(2k_2+n_2)}{2} \\ &= \frac{(2\psi-1+1)\left(2\frac{\chi+1-2\psi}{2} + 2\psi-1\right)}{2} = \frac{2\psi\chi}{2} = \chi\psi = N\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there are always exist $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $n \in A = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ such as

$N = \chi\psi = \mu(k, n)$ for every N which is not a prime number and is not a power of 2. \square

Example 2.1. For the natural number $N = 40$ we have

$$N = 40 = 5 \cdot 8$$

$$\chi = 5$$

$$\psi = 8$$

and from equations (2.4) we get

$$k = k_1 = \frac{16+1-5}{2} = 6$$

$$n = n_1 = 5-1 = 4$$

thus, we obtain

$$40 = \mu(6, 4).$$

Example 2.2. For the natural number $N = 51$,

$$N = 51 = 3 \cdot 17 = 17 \cdot 3$$

there are two cases. First case:

$$N = 51 = 3 \cdot 17$$

$$\chi = 3$$

$$\psi = 17$$

and from equations (2.4) we obtain

$$k = k_1 = \frac{34+1-3}{2} = 16$$

$$n = n_1 = 3-1 = 2$$

thus,

$$51 = \mu(16, 2).$$

Second case:

$$N = 51 = 17 \cdot 3$$

$$\chi = 17$$

$$\psi = 3$$

and from equations (2.5) we obtain

$$k = k_2 = \frac{17+1-6}{2} = 6$$

$$n = n_2 = 6 - 1 = 5$$

thus,

$$51 = \mu(6,5).$$

The second example expresses a general property of the sequence $\mu(k, n)$. The more composite an odd number that is not prime (or an even number that is not a power of 2) is, the more are the $\mu(k, n)$ combinations that generate it.

Example 2.3.

$$135 = 15 \cdot 9 = 27 \cdot 5 = 9 \cdot 15 = 45 \cdot 3 = 5 \cdot 27 = 3 \cdot 45$$

$$135 = \mu(2,14) = \mu(9,9) = \mu(11,8) = \mu(20,5) = \mu(25,4) = \mu(44,2)$$

a. $135 = 9 \cdot 15 = \mu(2,14) = \mu(11,8)$

$$135 = 2+3+4+\dots+15+16 = 11+12+13+\dots+18+19.$$

b. $135 = 5 \cdot 27 = \mu(9,9) = \mu(25,4)$

$$135 = 9+10+11+\dots+17+18 = 25+26+27+28+29.$$

c. $135 = 3 \cdot 45 = \mu(20,5) = \mu(44,2)$

$$135 = 20+21+22+23+24+25 = 44+45+46.$$

In the transitive property of multiplication, when writing a composite odd number or an even number that is not a power of 2 as a product of two natural numbers, we use the same natural numbers $\chi, \psi \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\Phi = \chi \cdot \psi = \psi \cdot \chi.$$

On the contrary, the natural number Φ can be written in the form $\Phi = \mu(k, n)$ using different natural numbers $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $n \in A = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$, through equations (2.4), (2.5). This difference between the product and the sum can also become evident in example 2.3:

$$135 = 3 \cdot 45 = 45 \cdot 3$$

$$135 = 44 + 45 + 46 = 20 + 21 + 22 + 23 + 24 + 25$$

From Theorem 2.1 the following corollary is derived:

Corollary 2.1. “1. Every natural number which is not a power of 2 and is not a prime can be written as the sum of three or more *consecutive* natural numbers.

2. Every power of 2 and every prime number cannot be written as the sum of three or more *consecutive* natural numbers.”

Proof. Corollary 2.1 is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.1. □

3. THE CONCEPT OF REARRANGEMENT

In this paragraph, we present the concept of rearrangement of the composite odd numbers and even numbers that are not power of 2. Moreover, we prove some of the consequences of the rearrangement in the Diophantine analysis. The concept of rearrangement is given from the following definition:

Definition. “We say that the sequence $\mu(k, n), k \in \mathbb{N}^*, n \in A = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ is rearranged if there exist natural numbers $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*, n_1 \in A, (k_1, n_1) \neq (k, n)$ such as

$$\mu(k, n) = \mu(k_1, n_1).” \quad (3.1)$$

From equation (2.1) written in the form of

$$\mu(k, n) = k + (k + 1) + (k + 2) + \dots + (k + n)$$

two different types of rearrangement are derived: The “compression”, during which n decreases with a simultaneous increase of k . The «decompression», during which n increases with a simultaneous decrease of k . The following theorem provides the criterion for the rearrangement of the sequence $\mu(k, n)$.

Theorem 3.1. “1. The sequence $\mu(k_1, n_1), (k_1, n_1) \in \mathbb{N}^* \times A$ can be compressed

$$\mu(k_1, n_1) = \mu(k_1 + \varphi, n_1 - \omega) \quad (3.2)$$

if and only if there exist $\varphi, \omega \in \mathbb{N}^*, \omega \leq n_1 - 2$ which satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^2 - (2k_1 + 2n_1 + 1 + 2\varphi)\omega + 2(n_1 + 1)\varphi &= 0 \\ \varphi, \omega &\in \mathbb{N}^* \\ \omega &\leq n_1 - 2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

2. The sequence $\mu(k_2, n_2), (k_2, n_2) \in \mathbb{N}^* \times A$ can be decompressed

$$\mu(k_2, n_2) = \mu(k_2 - \varphi, n_2 + \omega) \quad (3.4)$$

if and only if there exist $\varphi, \omega \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\varphi \leq k_2 - 1$ which satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^2 + (2k_2 + 2n_2 + 1 - 2\varphi)\omega - 2(n_2 + 1)\varphi &= 0 \\ \varphi, \omega &\in \mathbb{N}^* \\ \varphi &\leq k_2 - 1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

3. The odd number $\Pi \neq 1$ is prime if and only if the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(k, n) &= \Pi \cdot 2^l \\ l, k &\in \mathbb{N}^*, n \in A \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

cannot be rearranged.

4. The odd Π is prime if and only if the sequence

$$\mu\left(\frac{\Pi+1}{2}, \Pi-1\right) = \Pi^2 \quad (3.7)$$

cannot be rearranged."

Proof. 1,2. We prove part 1 of the corollary and similarly number 2 can also be proven. From equation (4.1) we conclude that the sequence $\mu(k_1, n_1)$ can be compressed if and only if there exist $\varphi, \omega \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such as

$$\mu(k_1, n_1) = \mu(k_1 + \varphi, n_1 - \omega).$$

In this equation the natural number $n_1 - \omega$ belongs to the set $A = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ and thus

$n_1 - \omega \geq 2 \Leftrightarrow \omega \leq n_1 - 2$. Next, from equations (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(k_1, n_1) &= \mu(k_1 + \varphi, n_1 - \omega) \\ \frac{(n_1 + 1)(2k_1 + n_1)}{2} &= \frac{(n_1 - \omega + 1)[2(k_1 + \varphi) + n_1 - \omega]}{2} \end{aligned}$$

and after the calculations we get equation (3.3).

3. The sequence (3.6) is derived from equations (2.4) or (2.5) for $\chi = \Pi$ and $\psi = 2^l$. Thus, in the product $\chi\psi$ the only odd number is Π . If the sequence $\mu(k, n)$ in equation (3.6) cannot be rearranged then the odd number Π has no divisors. Thus, Π is prime. Obviously, the inverse also holds.

4. First, we prove equations (3.7). From equation (2.1) we obtain:

$$\mu\left(\frac{\Pi+1}{2}, \Pi-1\right) = \frac{(\Pi-1+1)\left(2\frac{\Pi+1}{2} + \Pi-1\right)}{2} = \Pi^2.$$

In case that the odd number Π is prime in equations (2.4), (2.5) the natural numbers χ, ψ are unique

$\chi = \Pi \wedge \psi = \Pi$, and from equation (2.5) we get $k = \frac{\Pi+1}{2} \wedge n = \Pi-1$. Thus, the sequence

$\mu(k, n) = \mu\left(\frac{\Pi+1}{2}, \Pi-1\right)$ cannot be rearranged. Conversely, if the sequence

$\mu\left(\frac{\Pi+1}{2}, \Pi-1\right) = \Pi^2 = \Pi \cdot \Pi$ cannot be rearranged the odd number Π cannot be composite and

thus Π is prime. \square

We now prove the following corollary:

Corollary 3.1. "1. The odd number Φ ,

$$\Phi = \Pi^2 = \mu\left(\frac{\Pi+1}{2}, \Pi-1\right)$$

$$\Pi = \text{odd}$$

(3.8)

$$\Pi \neq 1$$

is decompressed and compressed if and only if the odd number Π is composite.

2. The even number α_1 ,

$$\alpha_1 = 2^l \Pi = \mu\left(2^l - \frac{\Pi-1}{2}, \Pi-1\right)$$

$$\Pi = \text{odd}$$

(3.9)

$$3 \leq \Pi \leq 2^l - 1$$

$$l \in \mathbb{N}, l \geq 2$$

cannot be decompressed, while it compresses if and only if the odd number Π is composite.

3. The even number α_2 ,

$$\alpha_2 = 2^l \Pi = \mu\left(\frac{\Pi+1}{2} - 2^l, 2^{l+1} - 1\right)$$

$$\Pi = \text{odd}$$

(3.10)

$$\Pi \geq 2^{l+1} + 1$$

$$l \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

cannot be compressed, while it decompresses if and only if the odd number Π is composite.

4. Every even number that is not a power of can be written either in the form of equation (3.9) or in the form of equation (3.10).”

Proof.

1. It is derived directly through number (4) of Theorem 3.1. A second proof can be derived through equations (2.4), (2,5) since every composite odd Π can be written in the form of $\Pi = \chi\psi$, $\chi, \psi \in \mathbb{N}$, χ, ψ odds.

2,3.

Let the even number α ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 2^l \Pi \\ \Pi &= \text{odd} . \\ l &\in \mathbb{N}^* \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

From equation (2.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k &= \frac{2 \cdot 2^l + 1 - \Pi}{2} = 2^l - \frac{\Pi - 1}{2} \\ n &= \Pi - 1 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

and since $k, n \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 1 \wedge n \geq 2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2 \cdot 2^l + 1 - \Pi}{2} &\geq 1 \\ \Pi - 1 &\geq 2 \end{aligned}$$

and equivalently

$$3 \leq \Pi \leq 2^{l+1} - 1.$$

In the second of equations (3.12) the natural number n obtains the maximum possible value of $n = \Pi - 1$, and thus the natural number k takes the minimum possible value in the first of equations (3.12). Thus, the even number

$$\alpha_1 = \mu \left(2^l - \frac{\Pi - 1}{2}, \Pi - 1 \right)$$

cannot decompress. If the odd number Π is composite then it can be written in the form of $\Pi = \chi\psi$, $\chi, \psi \in \mathbb{N}^*$, χ, ψ odds, $\chi, \psi < \Pi$, $\alpha_1 = 2^l \chi\psi$. Therefore, the natural number $\alpha_1 = 2^l \chi\psi$ decompresses since from equations (3.11) it can be written in the form of $\alpha_1 = \mu(k, n)$ with $n = \chi - 1 < \Pi - 1$. Similarly, the proof of 3 is derived from equations (2.5).

4. From the above proof process it follows that every even number that is not a power of 2 can be written either in the form of equation (3.9) or in the form of equation (3.10). \square

By substituting $\Pi = P = \text{prime}$ in equations of Theorem 3.1 and of corollary 3.1 four sets of equations are derived, each including infinite *impossible* diophantine equations.

Example 3.1. The odd number $P = 999961$ is prime. Thus, combining (1) of Theorem 3.1 with (1) of corollary 3.1 we conclude that there is no pair $(\omega, \varphi) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with $\omega \leq 999958$ which satisfies the diophantine equation

$$\omega^2 - (2999883 + 2\varphi)\omega + 1999922\varphi = 0.$$

We now prove the following corollary:

Corollary 3.2 "The square of every prime number can be uniquely written as the sum of consecutive natural numbers."

Proof. For $\Pi = P = \text{prime}$ in equation (3.5) we obtain

$$P^2 = \mu\left(\frac{P+1}{2}, P-1\right). \quad (3.13)$$

According with 4 of Theorem 3.1 the odd P^2 cannot be rearranged. Thus, the odd can be uniquely written as the sum of consecutive natural numbers, as given from equation (3.13). \square

Example 3.2. The odd $P = 17$ is prime. From equation (3.13) for $P = 17$ we obtain

$$289 = \mu(9, 16)$$

and from equation (2.1) we get

$$289 = 9 + 10 + 11 + 12 + 13 + 14 + 15 + 16 + 17 + 18 + 19 + 20 + 21 + 22 + 23 + 24 + 25$$

which is the only way in which the odd number 289 can be written as a sum of consecutive natural numbers.

4. NATURAL NUMBERS AS LINEAR COMBINATION OF CONSECUTIVE POWERS OF 2

According to the fundamental theorem of arithmetic, every natural number can be uniquely written as a product of powers of prime numbers. The previously presented study reveals a correspondence between odd prime numbers and the powers of 2. Thus, the question arises whether there exists a theorem for the powers of 2 corresponding to the fundamental theorem of arithmetic. The answer is given by the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. "Every natural number, with the exception of 0 and 1, can be uniquely written as a linear combination of consecutive powers of 2, with the coefficients of the linear combination being -1 or +1."

Proof. Let the odd number Π as given from equation

$$\Pi = \Pi(\nu, \beta_i) = 2^{\nu+1} + 2^\nu \pm 2^{\nu-1} \pm 2^{\nu-2} \pm \dots \pm 2^1 \pm 2^0 = 2^{\nu+1} + 2^\nu + \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} \beta_i 2^i$$

$$\beta_i = \pm 1, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \nu-1$$

$$\nu \in \mathbb{N}$$
(4.1)

From equation (4.1) for $\nu = 0$ we obtain

$$\Pi = 2^1 + 2^0 = 2 + 1 = 3.$$

We now examine the case where $\nu \in \mathbb{N}^*$. The lowest value that the odd number Π of equation (4.1) can obtain is

$$\Pi_{\min} = \Pi(\nu) = 2^{\nu+1} + 2^\nu - 2^{\nu-1} - 2^{\nu-2} - \dots - 2^1 - 1$$

$$\Pi_{\min} = \Pi(\nu) = 2^{\nu+1} + 1.$$
(4.2)

The largest value that the odd number Π of equation (4.1) can obtain is

$$\Pi_{\max} = \Pi(\nu) = 2^{\nu+1} + 2^\nu + 2^{\nu-1} + \dots + 2^1 + 1$$

$$\Pi_{\max} = \Pi(\nu) = 2^{\nu+2} - 1.$$
(4.3)

Thus, for the odd numbers $\Pi = \Pi(\nu, \beta_i)$ of equation (4.1) the following inequality holds

$$\Pi_{\min} = 2^{\nu+1} + 1 \leq \Pi(\nu, \beta_i) \leq 2^{\nu+2} - 1 = \Pi_{\max}.$$
(4.4)

The number $N(\Pi(\nu, \beta_i))$ of odd numbers in the closed interval $[2^{\nu+1} + 1, 2^{\nu+2} - 1]$ is

$$N(\Pi(\nu, \beta_i)) = \frac{\Pi_{\max} - \Pi_{\min}}{2} + 1 = \frac{(2^{\nu+2} - 1) - (2^{\nu+1} + 1)}{2} + 1$$

$$N(\Pi(\nu, \beta_i)) = 2^\nu.$$
(4.5)

The integers $\beta_i, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \nu-1$ in equation (4.1) can take only two values, $\beta_i = -1 \vee \beta_i = +1$, thus equation (4.1) gives exactly $2^\nu = N(\Pi(\nu, \beta_i))$ odd numbers. Therefore, for every $\nu \in \mathbb{N}^*$ equation (4.1) gives all odd numbers in the interval $[2^{\nu+1} + 1, 2^{\nu+2} - 1]$.

We now prove the theorem for the even numbers. Every even number α which is a power of 2 can be uniquely written in the form of $\alpha = 2^\nu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We now consider the case where the even number α is not a power of 2. In that case, according to corollary 3.1 the even number α is written in the form of

$$\alpha = 2^l \Pi, \Pi = \text{odd}, \Pi \neq 1, l \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$
(4.6)

We now prove that the even number α can be uniquely written in the form of equation (4.6). If we assume that the even number α can be written in the form of

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha &= 2^l \Pi = 2^{l'} \Pi' \\
 l &\neq l' (l > l') \\
 \Pi &\neq \Pi' \\
 l, l' &\in \mathbb{N}^* \\
 \Pi, \Pi' &= \text{odd}
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

the we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 2^l \Pi &= 2^{l'} \Pi' \\
 2^{l-l'} \Pi &= \Pi'
 \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible, since the first part of this equation is even and the second odd. Thus, it is $l = l'$ and we take that $\Pi = \Pi'$ from equation (4.7). Therefore, every even number α that is not a power of 2 can be uniquely written in the form of equation (4.6). The odd number Π of equation (4.6) can be uniquely written in the form of equation (4.1), thus from equation (4.6) it is derived that every even number α that is not a power of 2 can be uniquely written in the form of equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha &= \alpha(l, \nu, \beta_i) = 2^l \left(2^{\nu+1} + 2^\nu + \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} \beta_i 2^i \right) \\
 l &\in \mathbb{N}^*, \nu \in \mathbb{N} \\
 \beta_i &= \pm 1, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \nu-1
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

and equivalently

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha &= \alpha(l, \nu, \beta_i) = 2^{l+\nu+1} + 2^{l+\nu} + \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} \beta_i 2^{l+i} \\
 l &\in \mathbb{N}^*, \nu \in \mathbb{N} \\
 \beta_i &= \pm 1, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \nu-1
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

For 1 we take

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &= 2^0 \\
 1 &= 2^1 - 2^0
 \end{aligned}$$

thus, it can be written in two ways in the form of equation (4.1). Both the odds of equation (4.1) and the evens of the equation (4.8) are positive. Thus, 0 cannot be written either in the form of equation (4.1) or in the form of equation (4.8). \square

In order to write an odd number $\Pi \neq 1, 3$ in the form of equation (4.1) we initially define the $\nu \in \mathbb{N}^*$ from inequality (4.4). Then, we calculate the sum

$$2^{\nu+1} + 2^{\nu} .$$

If it holds that $2^{\nu+1} + 2^{\nu} < \Pi$ we add the $2^{\nu-1}$, whereas if it holds that $2^{\nu+1} + 2^{\nu} > \Pi$ then we subtract it. By repeating the process exactly ν times we write the odd number Π in the form of equation (4.1). The number of ν steps needed in order to write the odd number Π in the form of equation (4.1) is extremely low compared to the magnitude of the odd number Π , as derived from inequality (4.4).

Example 4.1. For the odd number $\Pi = 23$ we obtain from inequality (4.4)

$$2^{\nu+1} + 1 < 23 < 2^{\nu+2} - 1$$

$$2^{\nu+1} + 2 < 24 < 2^{\nu+2}$$

$$2^{\nu} < 12 < 2^{\nu+1}$$

thus $\nu = 3$. Then, we have

$$2^{\nu+1} + 2^{\nu} = 2^4 + 2^3 = 24 > 23 \text{ (thus } 2^2 \text{ is subtracted)}$$

$$2^4 + 2^3 - 2^2 = 20 < 23 \text{ (thus } 2^1 \text{ is added)}$$

$$2^4 + 2^3 - 2^2 + 2^1 = 22 < 23 \text{ (thus } 2^0 = 1 \text{ is added)}$$

$$2^4 + 2^3 - 2^2 + 2^1 + 1 = 23 .$$

Fermat numbers F_s can be written directly in the form of equation (4.1), since they are of the form Π_{\min} ,

$$F_s = 2^{2^s} + 1 = \Pi_{\min} (2^s - 1) = 2^{2^s} + 2^{2^s-1} - 2^{2^s-2} - 2^{2^s-3} - \dots - 2^1 - 1 . \quad (4.10)$$

$$s \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

Mersenne numbers M_p can be written directly in the form of equation (4.1), since they are of the form Π_{\max} ,

$$\Pi_{\max} ,$$

$$M_p = 2^p - 1 = \Pi_{\max} (p - 2) = 2^{p-1} + 2^{p-2} + 2^{p-3} + \dots + 2^1 + 1 . \quad (4.11)$$

$$p = \text{prime}$$

In order to write an even number α that is not a power of 2 in the form of equation (4.1), initially it is consecutively divided by 2 and it takes of the form of equation (4.6). Then, we write the odd number Π in the form of equation (4.1).

Example 4.2. By consecutively dividing the even number $\alpha = 368$ by 2 we obtain $\alpha = 368 = 2^4 \cdot 23$.

Then, we write the odd number $\Pi = 23$ in the form of equation (4.1), $23 = 2^4 + 2^3 - 2^2 + 2^1 + 1$, and we get

$$368 = 2^4 (2^4 + 2^3 - 2^2 + 2^1 + 1)$$

$$368 = 2^8 + 2^7 - 2^6 + 2^5 + 2^4$$

This equation gives the unique way in which the even number $\alpha = 368$ can be written in the form of equation (4.9).

We now give the following definition:

Definition 4.1. (The Π^* symmetry) We define as the conjugate of the odd

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= \Pi(\nu, \beta_i) = 2^{\nu+1} + 2^\nu + \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} \beta_i 2^i \\ \beta_i &= \pm 1, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \nu-1 \\ \nu &\in \mathbb{N}^* \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

the odd Π^* ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^* &= \Pi^*(\nu, \gamma_j) = 2^{\nu+1} + 2^\nu + \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} \gamma_j 2^j \\ \gamma_j &= \pm 1, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \nu-1 \\ \nu &\in \mathbb{N}^* \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

for which it holds

$$\gamma_k = -\beta_k \forall k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \nu-1. \quad (4.14)$$

For conjugate odds, the following corollary holds:

Corollary 4.1. " For the conjugate odds $\Pi = \Pi(\nu, \beta_i)$ and $\Pi^* = \Pi^*(\nu, \gamma_i)$ the following hold:

$$1. (\Pi^*)^* = \Pi. \quad (4.15)$$

$$2. \Pi + \Pi^* = 3 \cdot 2^{\nu+1}. \quad (4.16)$$

2. Π is divisible by 3 if and only if Π^* is divisible by 3."

Proof. 1. The 1 of the corollary is an immediate consequence of definition 4.1.

2. From equations (4.12), (4.13) and (4.14) we get

$$\Pi + \Pi^* = (2^{\nu+1} + 2^\nu) + (2^{\nu+1} + 2^\nu)$$

and, equivalently

$$\Pi + \Pi^* = 3 \cdot 2^{\nu+1}.$$

3. If the odd Π is divisible by 3 then it is written in the form $\Pi = 3x, x = \text{odd}$ and from equation (4.16) we get $3x + \Pi^* = 3 \cdot 2^{\nu+1}$ and equivalently $\Pi^* = 3(2^{\nu+1} - x)$. Similarly we can prove the inverse. \square

From inequality (4.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{\nu+1} + 1 &\leq \Pi \leq 2^{\nu+2} - 1 \\
2^{\nu+1} < 2^{\nu+1} + 1 &\leq \Pi \leq 2^{\nu+2} - 1 < 2^{\nu+2} \\
2^{\nu+1} < \Pi &< 2^{\nu+2} \\
(\nu + 1)\log 2 &< \log \Pi < (\nu + 2)\log 2
\end{aligned}$$

from which we get

$$\frac{\log \Pi}{\log 2} - 1 < \nu + 1 < \frac{\log \Pi}{\log 2}$$

and finally

$$\nu + 1 = \left[\frac{\log \Pi}{\log 2} \right] \quad (4.17)$$

'where $\left[\frac{\log \Pi}{\log 2} \right]$ the integer part of $\frac{\log \Pi}{\log 2} \in \mathbb{R}$.

5. A METHOD FOR DEFINING LARGE PRIME NUMBERS

A method for the determination of large prime numbers emerges from the study we presented in the previous chapters. This method is completely different from previous methods [1-11]. When we consider the prime factorization of the odd integers Π and Π^* we have the following statement:

The more factors there are in Π , the less factors there are in Π^* . Inequality (4.4) and equation (4.16) implies that Π and Π^* are of the same order of magnitude. Hence if Π is a large highly composite odd integer the prime factors of Π^* are very large. There are many variations of this method depending on the exact properties of the highly composite integer Π . Below we present 6 examples:

1. For

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi &= 3^{100} \cdot 5^{70} = \\
&1555636306926180152481484491728443628468521401497789416023593143233875581388803287
\end{aligned}$$

from equation (4.17) we get

$$\nu + 1 = 269$$

and from equation (4.16) we get

$$\Pi^* = 3 \times 2^{263} - \Pi = 3 \times 19 \times 4\,710\,677 \times 165\,596\,796\,857\,509\,161\,625\,557\,006\,831\,301\,611\,046\,995\,871\,141\,489\,216\,817\,271\,615\,196\,448\,491.$$

2. For

$$\Pi = 3^{400} \times 5^{50}$$

$$\nu + 1 = 750$$

$\Pi^* = 3 \times 2^{750} - \Pi = 3 \times 13 \times 733 \times 402315 \ 407388 \ 452996 \ 862907 \ 059788 \ 728172 \ 589344 \ 028001$
 040700 966478 141239 917213 543866 189790 087903 602553 258247 462448 556095 919619 757252
 840678 238856 461276 424589 490859 467849 425297 429521 472663 918710 144684 795638 922807
 814648 574848 656181.

3. For

$$\Pi = 3^{500} \times 5^{60}$$

$$\nu + 1 = 931$$

$\Pi^* = 3 \times 2^{931} - \Pi = 3 \times 19 \times 23 \times 29 \times 50333 \times 603791 \times 339 \ 847389 \ 816851 \ 315593 \times 58366 \ 699645$
 917803 227254 001934 971422 448863 303840 264304 131941 884712 453137 393379 417081 346620
 052138 341596 398039 418927 661651 586752 980632 743189 850867 819810 981202 973229 492201
 488263 308375 048037 604396 078813 759438 547657 416942 429413 831199 763936 523289 305919.

4. For

$$\Pi = 43^{100} \times 47^{50}$$

$$\nu + 1 = 820$$

$\Pi^* = 3 \times 2^{820} - \Pi = 277 \times 1 \ 215407 \times 5 \ 254763 \ 521391 \times 6798 \ 620197 \ 686251 \ 986542 \ 083914 \ 739922$
 475412 831634 586884 288476 902888 285730 482788 978567 481143 150299 914395 167719 507086
 346975 295754 564204 155810 559830 321969 413253 131167 858701 969171 039528 950517 584767
 651763 093043 861876 706833 829381 261971.

5. For

$$\Pi = 2^{10} \times 23^{20} \times 1003^7 \times 22727^{20}$$

$$\nu + 1 = 465$$

$\Pi^* = 3 \times 2^{465} - \Pi = 3 \times 97 \times 4993 \times 516 \ 708419 \times 9 \ 178923 \ 735437 \times 46 \ 549021 \ 957343 \times 3 \ 119296$
 837847 275933 $\times 49 \ 749360 \ 926560 \ 709147 \ 721346 \ 700777 \times 2 \ 931689 \ 412786 \ 416903 \ 960581 \ 828614$
 166938 709041 965219.

6. For

$$\Pi = 61^5 \times 22727^{10} \times 39233^5 \times 1000003^{17}$$

$$\nu + 1 = 589$$

$\Pi^* = 3 \times 2^{589} - \Pi = 5 \times 571 \times 17564 \ 123685 \ 225978 \ 174997 \times 63 \ 655370 \ 186207 \ 726513 \ 920265$
 924568 454317 175142 002184 092689 956643 129187 503300 485857 799619 133162 525342 864872
 868107 330755 061003 624181 775594 994584 734262 036627.

Theorem 4.1 highlights additional symmetries of the internal structure of the natural numbers. We will not expand upon these symmetries in the current article.

References

1. Apostol, Tom M. *Introduction to analytic number theory*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
2. Manin, Yu I., and Alexei A. Panchishkin. *Number theory I: fundamental problems, ideas and theories*. Vol. 49. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
3. Diamond, Harold G. "Elementary methods in the study of the distribution of prime numbers." *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* 7.3 (1982): 553-589.
4. Newman, David J. "Simple analytic proof of the prime number theorem." *The American Mathematical Monthly* 87.9 (1980): 693-696.
5. Titchmarsh, Edward Charles, and David Rodney Heath-Brown. *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*. Oxford University Press, 1986
6. Poussin, Charles Jean de La Vallee. *Sur la fonction [zeta](s) de Riemann et le nombre des nombres premiers inferieurs à une limite donnée*. Vol. 59. Hayez, 1899.
7. Pereira, N. Costa. "A Short Proof of Chebyshev's Theorem." *The American Mathematical Monthly* 92.7 (1985): 494-495.
8. Bateman, P. T., J. L. Selfridge, and S. Samuel Wagstaff. "The Editor's Corner: The New Mersenne Conjecture." *The American Mathematical Monthly* 96.2 (1989): 125-128.
9. Deléglise, Marc, and Joël Rivat. "Computing the summation of the Möbius function." *Experimental Mathematics* 5.4 (1996): 291-295.
10. Cashwell, Edmond, and C. J. Everett. "The ring of number-theoretic functions." *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 9.4 (1959): 975-985.
11. Abramowitz, Milton, Irene A. Stegun, and Robert H. Romer. "Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables." (1988): 958-958.