How to determine a jump in energy prior to a causal barrier, with an attendant current, for an effective initial magnetic field. In the Pre Planckian to Planckian space-time

Andrew Walcott Beckwith

Physics Department, Chongqing University, College of Physics, Chongqing University Huxi Campus, No. 44 Daxuechen Nanlu, Shapinba District, Chongqing 401331, People's Republic of China

Rwill9955b@gmail.com; abeckwith@uh.edu

Abstract

We start where we use an inflaton value due to use of a scale factor $a \sim a_{\min} t^{\gamma}$ .Also we use $\delta g_{tt} \sim a_{\min}^2 \cdot \phi_{initial}$ as the variation of the time component of the metric tensor g_{tt} in Pre-Planckian Space-time. Our objective is to find an effective magnetic field, to obtain the minimum scale factor in line with Non Linear Electrodynamics as given by Camara, et.al, 2004. Our suggestion is based upon a new procedure for an effective current based upon an inflaton time exp (i times frequency times time) factor as a new rescaled inflaton which is then placed right into a Noether Current scalar field expression as given by Peskins, 1995. This is before the Causal surface with which is , right next to a quantum bounce, determined by $H_{causal-structure-quantum-bounce} = 0$, with the next shift in the Hubble parameter as set up to be then $H_{initial} \sim 1/\Delta t \sim 1.66\sqrt{g_*} \cdot T^2 / M_{mass-scale}$. And g_* is an initial degrees of freedom value of about 110. Upon calculation of the current, and a resulting magnetic field, for the space time bubble, we then next obtain a shift in energy, leading to a transition from $H_{causal-structure-quantum-bounce} = 0$ to. We argue then that the delineation of the $\delta g_{tt} \sim a_{\min}^2 \cdot \phi_{initial}$ term is a precursor to filling in information as to the Weyl Tensor for near a singularity measurements of starting space-time. Furthermore, as evidenced in Eq. (26) and Eq. (27) of this document, we focus upon a "first order" check into if a cosmological "constant" would be invariant in time, or would be along the trajectory of the time varying Quinessence models.

Key words Inflaton physics, Causal structure, Penrose Weyl tensor conjecture, Quinessence.

1. Outlining an inflaton model, which is pertinent, to the physics just in the vicinity of a Quantum bounce

We wish to state that our paper is an extension of the initial manuscript, as given by the author, in [1] and is to answer a question which has vexed the author repeatedly. If magnetic fields exist at the start of the universe, then what creates them?

Our solution is to base a current, for the magnetic field, as created by a Noether current [2] , as a starting point, with the Noether current created as partly derived from an inflaton field, times exponential of the imaginary number, frequency, and time interval. In doing so, our derived Noether current is real valued, which is astonishing, and is part of the reason we call this effective current as the actual current of an initial relic gravitational field.

We will now commence introducing the scalar field we will use over and over again, as far as the physics, our document.

We will begin using the physics outlined in [3] as to

$$\phi \equiv \sqrt{\left\langle \phi^2 \right\rangle} = \frac{H^{3/2}}{2\pi} \cdot \sqrt{\Delta t} \tag{1}$$

Our starting point in this Linde result [3], is to utilize the Beckwith- Moskaliuk, result that [4]

$$\delta t \Delta E \geq \frac{\hbar}{\delta g_{tt}} \neq \frac{\hbar}{2} \tag{2}$$

$$Unless \quad \delta g_{tt} \sim O(1)$$

Utilizing here that, [4,5]

$$\left| \delta g^{00} \right| \sim a^2 \left| \phi(\text{inf}) \right| << 1 \tag{3}$$

If so then we have, approximately a use of, by results of Sarkar, as in [6]

$$H_{Early-Universe} \sim 1.66 \cdot \sqrt{g^*} \cdot \frac{T_{Early-Universe}}{M_{mass-scale}} \tag{4}$$

in terms of early universe Hubble expansion behavior which we incorporate into our uncertainty principle, to obtain

$$\Delta E \sim \frac{\left(1.66 \cdot \sqrt{g^*} \cdot \frac{T_{Early-Universe}}{M_{mass-scale}} \right)^3 \cdot \hbar}{\left(2\pi a_{\min} \right)^2 \phi^3} \tag{5}$$

And by Padmanabhan [7] for the interior of the bubble of space-time we will have, here that

$$a \approx a_{min} t^{\gamma}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \phi \approx \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{4\pi G}} \cdot \ln\left\{ \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G V_0}{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}} \cdot t \right\}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow V \approx V_0 \cdot \exp\left\{ -\sqrt{\frac{16\pi G}{\gamma}} \cdot \phi(t) \right\} \tag{6}$$

From here, we will explain the behavior of a change in energy about the structure of a Causal boundary of the bounce bubble in space-time defined by Beckwith, in [1] so that

$$g_{tt} \sim \delta g_{tt} \approx a_{min}^2 \phi_{initial} \ll 1$$

$$\xrightarrow[\text{Pre-Planck} \to \text{Planck}]{} \delta g_{tt} \approx a_{min}^2 \phi_{Planck} \sim 1 \tag{7}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{R_c|_{initial} \sim c \cdot \Delta t}{l_{Planck}} \right) \sim \vartheta(1) \bigg|_{Planck}$$

Here, in doing so, to fill in the details of Eq. (4) we will be examining the Camara et al result of [8]

$$a_{min} \sim \alpha_0 \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha_0}{2\tilde{\lambda}} \cdot \left(\sqrt{\alpha_0^2 + 32\pi\mu_0\omega \cdot B_0^2} - \alpha_0 \right) \right)^{1/4}$$

$$\alpha_0 = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi G}{3\mu_0 c^2}} B_0 \tag{8}$$

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{\Lambda_{Einstein} c^2}{3}$$

Specifically, we will be filling in the details of Eq. (1) to Eq. (8) with the adage that we will be using of all things, a modified version of the Noether Current, [2] according to a simplified version of the treatment given in [8] with a scalar field we will define as

$$\tilde{\phi} = [\exp(i \cdot \omega \cdot t)] \times \phi \tag{9}$$

Which will allow, after calculation, that the Noether current will be, if linked to its time component, real valued. Which is a stunning result. Our next trick will be then to put this effective quantum bubble "current' as the magnetic field, B_0, using the results of both Gifffiths, [9] and Landau and Liftschitz, [10] for a magnetic field, for Eq. (7) .This, then will be the plan of what we will be working with in this article, in subsequent details

2. Making a statement about a constituent early universe magnetic field

We start off with Ohm's law [9,10, 11] assuming a constant velocity within the space-time bubble, of

$$j = \sigma E \tag{10}$$

Where the velocity of some 'particle' ,. Or energy packet, or what we might call it, does not change. Then use the Griffith's relationship [9] of

$$B_0(magnetic - field) = B_{net}$$

$$= \sqrt{\varepsilon\mu_0} \cdot \left[1 + \left(\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon\mu_0}\right)^2\right]^{1/4} E_0 \qquad (11)$$

$$= \sqrt{\varepsilon\mu_0} \cdot \left[1 + \left(\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon\mu_0}\right)^2\right]^{1/4} \cdot \frac{j}{\sigma}$$

We will comment upon the σ later, but first say something about what j as current is proportional to

The modus operandi chosen here is to employ the following. Use a scalar field defined by Eq. (9) and a Noether conserved current [3] proportional to:

$$j^\mu = i \cdot \left[\left(\partial_\mu \tilde{\phi}^*\right) \cdot \tilde{\phi} - \tilde{\phi}^* \cdot \left(\partial_\mu \tilde{\phi}^*\right)\right] \qquad (12)$$

Here we take the time component of this Noether current, and use Eq. (9) for $\tilde{\phi}$, and Eq. (6) for ϕ . Therefore

$$I = j^0 \sim \frac{\gamma}{2\pi G} \cdot \frac{\omega}{\Delta t} \cdot \left[1 - \frac{1}{\Delta t} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}{8\pi G \cdot V_0}}\right] \qquad (13)$$

Then our net magnetic field, is to first approximation given by

$$B_0(magnetic - field) = B_{net}$$

$$\sim \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu_0}}{\sigma} \cdot \left[1 + \left(\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon\mu_0}\right)^2\right]^{1/4} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{2\pi G} \cdot \frac{\omega}{\Delta t} \cdot \left[1 - \frac{1}{\Delta t} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}{8\pi G \cdot V_0}}\right] \qquad (14)$$

This is to be put into our value of Eq. (8) above. So, next we will be looking at the frequency, ω .

3. Rule of thumb estimates for frequency, ω

We will go on the meme of an admissible low to high value for the imput frequency. First of all the high frequency limit. This comes from an argument from Ford [12] i.e. for a black hole of mass M to evaporate, we have

$$\omega_{max} \approx \frac{\exp\left(M^2\right)}{M} \xrightarrow[M \to Solar(Value)]{} 10^{10^{75}} g(grams) \qquad (15)$$

If we make the assumption, that a white hole, is an evaporating black hole, i.e. and then up the mass, M, from a solar sized black hole, to a white hole, as the starting point for cosmological evolution, according to [13] as given by Mueller, and Lousto, we have that for a small radii (less than one Plank length diameter starring point for a black hole, with the approximation given dimensionally, that

$$|E| = |\hbar\omega| \xrightarrow[\hbar = c = 1]{} |\omega| \equiv |mass| \qquad (16)$$

4

Then, this means, that the upper limit of frequency, in this case could be effectively infinite,

Now that we have an argument in place for an upper limit, what about the lower limit? To do this, assume the following

i.e. assume a Planck radii for the bubble of space-time. I.e. up to a point this would signify a frequency range of say $10^{35}\, Hertz$, initially, and then for today, consider that if there are 65 e folds of inflation, that Frequency range is, then for the lower bound given by

$$10^{35}\, Hertz(initial) \xrightarrow[65-efolds=1.69\times10^{28}]{} 10^{7}\, Hertz(today) \qquad (17)$$

i.e. this means that the ***initial frequency*** is initially nearly infinite, to at lowest $10^{35}\, Hertz(initial)$

With that, we can also take a look at an estimate as to conductivity, which is given by Ahonen and Enqvist [14] to be about σ≃0.76T while at T≃Mw [14] will obtain σ≃6.7T, and we can tie that as similar to the strength of the magnetic fields given in [15] as well

Note that the electrical conductivity is used here, with the conversion between an E field to a B field, in magnitude given by Eq. (11)

In all, with all the assumptions so used, we have that [8]

$$a_{min} \sim \alpha_0 \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha_0}{2\tilde{\lambda}} \cdot \left(\sqrt{\alpha_0^{\,2} + 32\pi\mu_0\omega \cdot B_0^2} - \alpha_0 \right) \right)^{1/4} \sim 10^{-55} \qquad (18)$$

4. Parameterizing the Role of Eq. (4) in our model, and its importance.

What we have done, is to set up the way which we can obtain inputs into

$$\Delta E \sim \frac{\left(1.66 \cdot \sqrt{g^*} \cdot \frac{T_{Early-Universe}}{M_{mass-scale}} \right)^3 \cdot \hbar}{\left(2\pi a_{min} \right)^2 \phi^3}$$

$$\sim \frac{\left(1.66 \cdot \sqrt{g^* \sim 110} \cdot \frac{T_{Early-Universe}}{\left(M_{mass-scale} \approx 10^{43} \cdot M_{Planck} \right)} \right)^3 \cdot \hbar}{\left(2\pi \cdot 10^{-55} \right)^2 \cdot \left(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{4\pi G}} \cdot \left[\sqrt{\frac{8\pi G \cdot V_0}{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma-1)}} \cdot \Delta t - 1 \right] \middle/ \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G \cdot V_0}{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma-1)}} \cdot \Delta t \right)^3} \qquad (19)$$

$$\propto M_{mass-scale} \approx 10^{43} \cdot M_{Planck}$$

Doing it this way, i.e. having the change in energy, crossing the causal boundary of specified Eq.(8) puts a very strong set of constraints upon the allowed values of V_0, σ. γ, and Δt on top of $a_{min} \sim 10^{-55}$ and $T_{Early-Universe}$

What is being said, is that the above Eq. (19) puts in a range of admissible values on $V_0, \sigma. \gamma$, and Δt on top of $a_{\min} \sim 10^{-55}$ and $T_{Early-Universe}$ in addition to the frequency, which is referenced in section 3 of this manuscript. In doing so the idea is to come up with experimental constraints which will validate a range of experimental gravitational inputs into evaluation of presumed early universe data sets.

This should be compared to an earlier relationship given by Beckwith at [1] which has, if $a_{\min} \sim 10^{-55} \sim a_{bounce}$

$$a_{bounce} \sim \Delta t \cdot \sqrt{\frac{12\pi G \cdot k(curvature)}{\gamma}} \cdot \sqrt{1 + 2V_0 \cdot \gamma^2 \cdot \frac{(3\gamma - 1)}{32\pi}} \tag{20}$$

We claim that all three of these Eq.(18) to Eq. (20) are inter related. And are part of potential data analysis in our problem.

It also depends, upon, critically, that $k(curvature)$, for initial curvature be finite and nonzero.

5. Revisiting what can be said about the Weyl Tensor

We initiate this section by stating the n=4 (three spatial dimensions and one time dimension) Weyl Tensor, in the case of a Friedman-Lemaitre-Roberson-Walker metric given by [1, 16]   which we rewrite as

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{abcd} &= \frac{3}{a^2} \cdot \left(a \cdot \ddot{a} + \dot{a}^2 + k(Curvature)\right) \cdot \left(g_{ac}g_{bd} - g_{ad}g_{bc}\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{6} \cdot \left(g_{ac}g_{db} - g_{ad}g_{cb}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(g_{ac}R_{db} + g_{bd}R_{ca} - g_{ad}R_{cb} - g_{bc}R_{da}\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{21}
$$

The entries into the above, assuming c=1 (speed of light) in the Friedman-Lemaitre-Roberson-Metric would be right after the Causal boundary given as [1, 17] , namely if we go by [18]

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{00} &= -1 \\
g^{11} &= \frac{a^2}{1 - k(Curvature) \cdot r^2} \\
g^{22} &= a^2 \cdot r^2 \\
g^{33} &= a^2 \cdot r^2 \sin^2 \theta \\
R_{00} &= -3\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \\
R_{ij} &= \frac{3}{a^2} \cdot \left(a \cdot \ddot{a} + 2\dot{a}^2 + k(Curvature)\right) \cdot g_{ij}
\end{aligned}
\tag{22}
$$

In our rendering of what to expect, we will be setting $k(curvature)$, initially as not equal to zero, and that the minimum value of the scale factor, be defined by $a_{\min} \sim 10^{-55} \sim a_{bounce}$. If so then

$$k(curvature) \sim \frac{R_{ij} \cdot \left(a^2/3\right) - a\ddot{a} - 2\dot{a}^2}{g_{ij}} \tag{23}$$

6

If so, then approximate having

$$\dot{a} \sim a_{initial} \cdot \gamma \sim a_{initial}\gamma \cdot \left(\frac{t}{t_{Planck}}\right)^{\gamma-1} \sim a_{initial}\gamma$$

$$\ddot{a} \sim a_{initial} \cdot \gamma \cdot (\gamma-1) \cdot \left(\frac{t}{t_{Planck}}\right)^{\gamma-2} \sim a_{initial} \cdot \gamma \cdot (\gamma-1)$$

(24)

And, up to first order, replace one item by

$$g_{00} = -1 + \delta g_{00} = -1 + a_{initial}^{2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{4\pi G}} \cdot \left(\left[\sqrt{\frac{8\pi G \cdot V_0}{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma-1)}} \cdot \Delta t - 1\right] \Bigg/ \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G \cdot V_0}{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma-1)}} \cdot \Delta t\right)$$

(25)

With the rest of the items in Eq. (22) for the metric tensor held the same. i.e. then we would have , if r in Eq. (22) were of the order of Planck length, that the Weyl tensor, would not necessarily vanish, no matter how close one got to the purported singularity.

We refer the readers to **Appendix A**, which highlights the inter relationship of the Weyl Tensor to some of the other tensors of General relativity

The details of this are being reviewed, with a Phase transition model for the transition to Pre Planckian to Planckian physics still in the works.

We submit that one of the goals of our paper would be to construct, a template which would justify the existenc3e of massive Gravitons, and we allude to this in **Appendix B**, which incidently mentions the inter connections of the Weyl Tensor, and (E, B) fields with (j= current, ρ =density) explicitly]

4. Conclusion. Much to do. I.e. the details are daunting and depend upon confirmation of the idea of the current in Pre Planckian to Planckian space time proportional to a Noether current, being confirmed and verified.

The main crust of our approach is to come up with a thought experiment as to the creation of a Noether style based current, as a would be enabler of a magnetic field, at the start of Planckian space-time dynamics.

Note that in **Appendix C**, we review what can be said about the semi classical nature, versus quantum generation of, and if or not our results are linked to new properties, of Gravitational waves. In fact, we do believe this is the case, and before we get to that, we will review some stated issues as to initial curvature. i.e. much of what we are doing is linked to an early Universe version of a small, but non vanishing curvature value. Which depends in part on some of the issues brought up in Appendix C.

In addition, Appendix D, says something about what may be expected, in terms of new features to be considered as far as GW and LIGO style instruments

Our informed guess is that we will in the end write the initial curvature along the lines of it having the form

$$k(curvature) \sim \frac{R_{ij} \cdot \left(a^2/3\right) - a\ddot{a} - 2\dot{a}^2}{g_{ij}}$$

$$\xrightarrow[i,j \to 0,0]{} \frac{R_{0,0} \cdot \left(a^2/3\right) - a\ddot{a} - 2\dot{a}^2}{g_{0,0}} \tag{26}$$

$$\sim \frac{\left(a_{initial}^2/3\right) + a_{initial}^2 \cdot \gamma \cdot (\gamma - 1) + 2a_{initial}^2 \gamma^2}{1 - a_{initial}^2 \cdot \sqrt{\dfrac{\gamma}{4\pi G}} \cdot \left(\left[\sqrt{\dfrac{8\pi G \cdot V_0}{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}} \cdot \Delta t - 1\right] \Big/ \sqrt{\dfrac{8\pi G \cdot V_0}{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}} \cdot \Delta t\right)}$$

I.e. this would be very small, but not zero. The fact it was small, but not zero, even in the Pre Planckian regime of space-time would be of supreme importance, and would affect the evolution of subsequent space-time.

Linking this result, above, to confirmation of the above Eq. (20) would tend to, aside from root finder methods outlined by the author, lend itself to a bounding value of a discrete time step we will write as

$$\left(\Delta t\right)^2 \sim \cdot \left(\frac{a_{initial} \cdot \gamma}{12\pi G \cdot \left(1 + 2V_0 \cdot \gamma^2 \cdot \dfrac{(3\gamma - 1)}{32\pi}\right)}\right) A_1 \tag{27}$$

$$A_1 = \frac{\left(1 - a_{initial}^2 \cdot \sqrt{\dfrac{\gamma}{4\pi G}} \cdot \left(\left[\sqrt{\dfrac{8\pi G \cdot V_0}{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}} \cdot \Delta t - 1\right] \Big/ \sqrt{\dfrac{8\pi G \cdot V_0}{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}} \cdot \Delta t\right)\right)}{\left(a_{initial}^2/3\right) + a_{initial}^2 \cdot \gamma \cdot (\gamma - 1) + 2a_{initial}^2 \gamma^2}$$

i.e. to solve for Δt would involve a transcendental non linear root finder scheme, but this could be matched against an earlier result which was represented in [1] as

$$\Delta t \cdot \left| \left(\sqrt{\frac{8\pi G V_0}{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}} \cdot \Delta t - 1\right) - \frac{\left(\sqrt{\dfrac{8\pi G V_0}{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}} \cdot \Delta t - 1\right)^2}{2} + \frac{\left(\sqrt{\dfrac{8\pi G V_0}{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}} \cdot \Delta t - 1\right)^3}{3} - \ldots \right| \tag{28}$$

$$\approx \left(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\pi G}}\right)^{-1} \frac{48\pi \hbar}{a_{min}^2 \cdot \Lambda}$$

Doing so, and making equivalence, if we use Eq. (27) to solve for Δt and use Eq. (28) to parameterize the Cosmological "constant" in our early universe cosmology, would be among other things a way to address the issue of Quinessence, I.e. would the cosmological constant evolve in time, or would the results of Eq. (28) after using Eq. (27) for confirming a value of Δt give credence to the idea of the invariance of the cosmological constant?

This we view as a worthy investigative topic, and one within our reach.

Aside from that, the idea of using a Noether current based upon the idea of a scalar field which is based upon inflaton time exp (i times frequency times time) factor would give a foundational treatment of Non linear electrodynamics magnetic fields as has been brought up by several authors, the writer of this manuscript counts as peers and worthy researchers.

Prior treatments of the scalar fields used in Noether's theorem talk of having a tie in with early universe magnetic fields.

What is being done in this manuscript, is to purport, that the idea should be to make the derived Noether's current the core of a magnetic field, and from there to also do it along the ideas brought up in the manuscript, in a reversal of the usual order of tying in the scalar field, directly with early universe magnetic fields.

The exponential factor of $\exp(i \cdot \omega \cdot t)$, which is multiplied into an inflaton field, makes the Noether current we derive real valued. This will allow us more background in investigating what Corda brought up in [19]

Moreover, in doing so, we are giving a foundational derivation of a magnetic field which is used by, Camara [8] , and other researchers in Non Linear electrodynamics, as to cosmology, which is a necessary appendage as to the inflaton based creation of a magnetic field, at the start of cosmological evolution

In doing all of this, Corda's suggestions as to how early universe conditions can be used to investigate the origins of gravity [19] take on a new significance.

We also, by tying in our work so closely to the origins of a new magnetic field, which we also state will be important to relic graviton production, give new urgency to necessary reviews of Abbot, and the LIGO team as to the evolving experimental science of gravitational astronomy. [20,21].

To see what we are referring, to go to **APPENDIX C**, and note what we are referencing are necessary conditions on if, or not early universe GW have semi classical, or mainly quantum mechanical initial conditions.

Finally, our suggestions as to a start to the Weyl Tensor problem need to be confirmed and held to be in congruence, with the positions given above.

Note this is in connection to the interior boundary of space-time. And that our supposition will be matched to a causal boundary barrier between the initial boundary of a quantum bubble, and Huang's super fluid universe , post causal boundary barrier, which we write as [1, 18]

$$H^2 = \frac{-k(curvature)}{a^2} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \rho_c$$

&

$$\rho_c = \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} + V(\phi)$$

&

$$H^2(Quantum - bounce) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a^2 = \frac{3k(curvature)}{2\rho_c}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow a_{bounce} = \sqrt{\frac{3k(curvature)}{\dot{\phi}^2 + 2V(\phi)}}$$

(29)

It finally would be a way to investigate some issues raised in [22], as well as the idea, generically of a Gyraton, [1,23, 24] which may be a candidate for a Pre – inflaton graviton.

Our future projects, will be along the lines of what is mentioned in [25], as far as higher dimensional versions of the Weyl tensor. The idea will be if we do not have initial singularities mandated at the start of cosmological evolution to revisit some of the ideas held up as the gold standard in [26] , as well as to also investigate the role of five dimensional cosmologies brought up by Wesson in [27]. In doing so, we recommend that the readers look at exact solutions of the Einstein equations brought up in [28] before commencing their own projects due to how hard the ideas of this inquiry really are.

Note in **Appendix D**, we also will bring up one of the hoariest predictions as far as Signal to noise ratios, in gravitational wave astronomy. I.e. we will briefly bring up the LIGO results, as far as Signal to noise, and to then postulate a different set of rules as far as what to expect in Signal to noise, as far as relic Gravitational wave production due to Graviton production in the early universe.

If we review the results of **Appendix D**, and find they tend to a production for gravitons at the surface of a causal bubble, it will be then time to go to **Appendix E**, whereas we discuss the frankly startling phenomenological considerations as to the phase factor of $\exp(i \cdot \omega \cdot t)$ times a derived Noethers current with the scalar field, as used, for the Noethers current being the inflaton itself.

As asked in questions to the author by a referee,

Quote

" The exponential factor of exp (i w t) , which is multiplied into an inflation field, makes the Noether current we derive real valued. This will allow us more background in investigating what Corda brought up in [19]" So , the most important question is : what is the reason to use exp(i w t) element to compare with your new results and I think it needs to be described in details to cover the results in [19,20,21] ?

End of quote

In partial answer, this is linked to earlier work which the author presented in a generalization of cyclic conformal cosmology, to a multiverse setting, for reasons which will be gone into, in the Appendix E

We will tend toward the result that in the center of a cosmological bubble of space-time, that we set $\exp(i \cdot \omega \cdot t)$ will tend to be 1, whereas, at the boundary of the bubble, that we , again have that the

10

$\exp(i \cdot \omega \cdot t)$ will tend to be 1, with the result that, among other things, we will have the following relationship between frequency, and an initial time step, i.e. $\omega \cdot t \sim 2\pi$ at the boundary of space time, with the frequency, so configured, having a minimum value of $\omega \sim 2\pi / t$

If we configure this as linkable to input into the relic early universe gravity waves/gravitons, and compare it against what we are predicting as far as signal to noise ratios, this says something potentially quite profound as far as relic Gravitational waves, based upon a graviton model. Something which may be definable, and a falsifiable experimental datum in Gravitational wave astronomy.

We leave **Appendix F** for a subsequent round up and summarizing of the main significant points of our document, as well as further answers to the issues brought up by the Referee, which may have significant phenomenological import, in terms of turning Gravitational wave astronomy into a rigorous falsifiable scientific discipline enabling us to explore cosmology on an empirical basis.

In lieu of the nonstandard situation of this paper, Appendix F has the referees comments, and my detailed replies.

5. Acknowledgements

Appendix A: What can be said about the Weyl Tensor in connection to the other tensors of General Relativity.

The formulas are based on [29] whereas an additional commentary is included from [30]

We start off with a description of the inter relationship of the different Tensors of General relativity, noting that [29] gives us that for n (dimensions) greater than or equal to 3, that the Curvature Tensor is written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\lambda\mu\nu\kappa} = &\frac{1}{(\breve{n}-2)} \cdot \left(g_{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\kappa} - g_{\lambda\kappa} R_{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} R_{\lambda\kappa} + g_{\mu\kappa} R_{\lambda\nu} \right) \\
&+ \frac{R}{(\breve{n}-1)(\breve{n}-2)} \cdot \left(g_{\lambda\nu} g_{\mu\kappa} - g_{\lambda\kappa} g_{\mu\nu} \right) + C_{\lambda\mu\nu\kappa}
\end{aligned}
\tag{A1}
$$

Here, $C_{\lambda\mu\nu\kappa}$ is the Weyl tensor, $R_{\lambda\mu\nu\kappa}$ is the curvature tensor, and R is the curvature scalar defined by[29]

$$
R = \frac{R_{1212}}{g} = \frac{R_{1212}}{\det(g_{\mu\nu})}
\tag{A2}
$$

$g_{\mu\nu}$ represents components of the Metric Tensor, and $\breve{n}$ is the dimension of space-time assumed. Here,

$$R_{\mu\kappa} = g^{\lambda\nu} R_{\lambda\mu\nu\kappa} = \frac{g^{\lambda\nu}}{2} \cdot \left[\frac{\partial^2 g_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial x^\kappa \partial x^\mu} - \frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\kappa \partial x^\lambda} - \frac{\partial^2 g_{\lambda\kappa}}{\partial x^\nu \partial x^\mu} + \frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu\kappa}}{\partial x^\nu \partial x^\lambda} \right]$$
$$+ g^{\lambda\nu} g_{\eta\sigma} \cdot \left(\Gamma^\eta_{\nu\lambda} \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\kappa} - \Gamma^\eta_{\kappa\lambda} \Gamma^\sigma_{\nu\eta} \right) \tag{A3}$$

While the Affine connection $\Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\kappa}$ [31], with g^{im} the inverse matrix of g_{im}, with a defining quantity of

$$\Gamma^i_{kl} = \frac{g^{im}}{2} \cdot \left[\frac{\partial g_{m,k}}{\partial x^l} - \frac{\partial g_{ml}}{\partial x^\kappa} + \frac{\partial g_{kl}}{\partial x^m} \right] \tag{A4}$$

Note that Penrose in [30] defines the Weyl Tensor, as the Gravitational field analogy to the Maxwell Tensor, in terms of Electromagnetic E and B fields. As given on page 211 of his reference [30]. We say ,more about this in Appendix B, next, and reference it as to massive gravitons.

Appendix B: Massive Gravitons, and the Weyl Tensor, and Electromagnetics

In reference [30], Penrose writes the identification of the three tensors in pages $210 - 211$ of [30]

RIEMANN (Curvature Tensor) = Weyl Tensor + Ricci Tensor (B1)

We have already made an identification of this in Eq. (A1) of Appendix A. What Penrose has done next, is to make the following identification, namely on page 210 of [30]

Ricci Tensor = Energy (B2)

In doing so, what we will assert, is the following equivalence, near an almost singular configuration of space-time

Energy = RIEMANN (Curvature Tensor) - Weyl Tensor (B3)

Our supposition is that the Weyl Tensor does not vanish, but instead is a nonzero, but small component Note, that we have a massive graviton, as given by [32] , where we could easily have the massive Graviton as equivalent to roughly about 10^-62 grams, i.e. and this by [33]

The relevant energy which we will be examining, will be through an adaptation of [30] and [33] and Eq. (B3)

$$Energy \sim \frac{1}{(\breve{n}-2)} \cdot \left(g_{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\kappa} - g_{\lambda\kappa} R_{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} R_{\lambda\kappa} + g_{\mu\kappa} R_{\lambda\nu} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{R}{(\breve{n}-1)(\breve{n}-2)} \cdot \left(g_{\lambda\nu} g_{\mu\kappa} - g_{\lambda\kappa} g_{\mu\nu} \right) \tag{B4}$$

Note that in writing this up, we are assuming that the energy, in doing this has several equivalences which we write here, namely

In lieu of our derivation of the magnetic field, that one of the treatments of the available energy, in this case is by [34]

$$Energy \sim Volume \cdot \frac{B^2}{8\pi} \tag{B5}$$

However, we can only have a nonzero INITIAL volume, if the Weyl Tensor, as we define it is NOT equal to zero!

Hence, taking the square of the magnetic field, we will have

$$Energy \sim Volume \cdot \frac{1}{8\pi} \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu_0}}{\sigma} \cdot \left(1 + \left(\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon\mu_0} \right)^2 \right)^{1/4} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{2\pi G} \cdot \frac{\omega}{\Delta t} \cdot \left[1 - \frac{1}{\Delta t} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}{8\pi G \cdot V_0}} \right] \right)^2 \tag{B6}$$

$$\sim N(graviton-number) \cdot m_g (graviton-mass)$$

I.e. we will be assuming here that $N(graviton-number)$ is a count of initial entropy, and that in lieu of a Weyl Tensor not vanishing at a non existent singularity, we have, say

$$Volume \sim (l_{Planck})^{\breve{n}} \tag{B7}$$

This will set up the following equivalence, namely

$$Energy \sim (l_{Planck})^{\breve{n}} \cdot \frac{1}{8\pi} \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu_0}}{\sigma} \cdot \left(1 + \left(\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon\mu_0} \right)^2 \right)^{1/4} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{2\pi G} \cdot \frac{\omega}{\Delta t} \cdot \left[1 - \frac{1}{\Delta t} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}{8\pi G \cdot V_0}} \right] \right)^2$$

$$\sim N(graviton-number) \cdot m_g (graviton-mass) \tag{B8}$$

$$\sim \frac{1}{(\breve{n}-2)} \cdot \left(g_{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\kappa} - g_{\lambda\kappa} R_{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} R_{\lambda\kappa} + g_{\mu\kappa} R_{\lambda\nu} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{R}{(\breve{n}-1)(\breve{n}-2)} \cdot \left(g_{\lambda\nu} g_{\mu\kappa} - g_{\lambda\kappa} g_{\mu\nu} \right)$$

APPENDIX C, are relic initially generated gravity waves, semi classical, or quantum in origin? i.e. a review of the NG infinite quantum statistics idea

Entropy generation via Ng's infinite quantum statistics (short review)

We wish to understand the linkage as. how relic gravitational waves relate to relic gravitons"?, To consider just that, we look at the "size" of the nucleation space, V for dark matter, DM. V for nucleation is HUGE. Graviton space V for nucleation is tiny , well inside inflation. Therefore, the log factor drops OUT of entropy S if V chosen properly for both Eq. C1 and Eq C2. Ng's result [35, 36] begins with a modification of the entropy/ partition function Ng used the following approximation of temperature and its variation with respect to a spatial parameter, starting with temperature $T \approx R_H^{-1}$ (R_H can be thought of as a representation of the region of space where we take statistics of the particles in question). Furthermore, assume that the volume of space to be analyzed is of the form $V \approx R_H^3$ and look at a preliminary numerical factor we shall call $N \sim (R_H / l_P)^2$, where the denominator is Planck's length (on the order of 10^{-35} centimeters). We also specify a "wavelength" parameter $\lambda \approx T^{-1}$. So the value of $\lambda \approx T^{-1}$ and of R_H are approximately the same order of magnitude. Now this is how Jack Ng changes conventional statistics: he outlines how to get $S \approx N$, which with additional arguments we refine to be $S \approx < n >$ (where <n> is graviton density). Begin with a partition function

$$Z_N \sim \left(\frac{1}{N!}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{V}{\lambda^3}\right)^N$$

(C1)

This, according to Ng, leads to entropy of the limiting value of, if $S = \left(\log[Z_N]\right)$

$$S \approx N \cdot \left(\log[V/N\lambda^3] + 5/2\right) \xrightarrow[Ng-\inf inite-Quantum-Statistics]{} N \cdot \left(\log[V/\lambda^3] + 5/2\right) \approx N$$

(C2)

But $V \approx R_H^3 \approx \lambda^3$, so unless N in Eq. (C2) above is about 1, S (entropy) would be < 0, which is a contradiction. Now this is where Jack Ng introduces removing the N! term in Eq. (C1) above , i.e., inside the Log expression we remove the expression of N in Eqn. (0.2) above. The modification of Ng's entropy expression is in the region of space time for which the general temperature dependent entropy Kolb and Turner expression breaks down. In particular, the evaluation of entropy we do via the modified Ng argument above is in regions of space time where *g* before re heat is an unknown, unmeasurable number of degrees of freedom The Kolb and Turner entropy expression [37] (1991) has a temperature T related entropy density which leads to that we are able to state total entropy as the entropy density time's space time volume V_4 with $g_{re-heat} \approx 1000$, , while dropping to $g_{electro-weak} \approx 100$ [37] in the electro weak era. This value of the space time degrees of freedom, has reached a low of $g_{today} \approx 2-3$ today. We assert that Eq. (C2) above occurs in a region of space time before $g_{re-heat} \approx 1000$, so after re heating Eq/ (2) no longer holds, and we instead can look at [37]

$$S_{total} \equiv s_{Density} \cdot V_4 = \frac{2\pi^2}{45} \cdot g_{\bullet} \cdot T^3 \cdot V_4$$

(C3)

Where $T < 10^{32} K$

Note that the result, as to Gravity waves, if given by the entropy creation expression in [35, 36] is a derivation which also has , if due to a quantum bounce, [38] as brought up by Freeze, quantum

mechanical behavior, whereas the Kolb and Turner result, as cited in [37] which may be due to thermal behavior, as given by $T < 10^{32} K$

This is the construct which we will be investigating in a space-time with a NLED style nonsingular beginning. And it puts severe constraints upon T, and the other entries, of our system.

As stated by [39] by Raymond, on page 23, the Spin 2 field for Gravitons, would be a Tensor field, with the following possible entries,

$$h_{uv}h^{uv}, \partial_p h_{uv}\partial^p h^{uv}, \partial_p h_{uv}\partial^u h^{pv}, etc... \tag{C4}$$

This is part of what has been explored by Christian Corda, with regards to [19], as to Scalar-Tensor theories, and we submit that the likelihood of this being followed, is most in tune with Gravitons being generated by the entropy generation given in (C3)

Note , again, this would be true for $T < 10^{32} K$, and would be in line with a semi classical derivation of Gravitational waves.

When in fact, we could exhibit, earlier regimes of Graviton production which has been brought up by Beckwith in this document, in line with Gravitons possibly being created at the boundary of a "big bounce' which also in in [38]

I.e. we argue that Graviton produced GW as given by the Ng infinite statistics program, at the surface of an initial quantum bounce would be quantum mechanical, and closely tied in with infinite quantum statistics, whereas the largely Tensor dominated version of Gravity waves, as given by Remond [39] and arguably linked to Corda' work in [39] would tend to be strongly influenced due to their later time derivation, by Semi classical processes.

We will then, next say as to what this may pertain to, in Gravitational waves, as given by LIGO in the next **Appendix entry D**

APPENDIX D, i.e. revisiting the idea of Signal to noise ratios, in LIGO style GW data sets. i.e. first results from [33] with our suggestion as to what to look for, in the early Universe

The nub of the Calculation is that for a binary, as stated by [33] that there is a gain in terms of S/N ratio due to appropriately chosen filters, of a certain amount, for binary source GW sources, i.e. which is further confirmed by [40], that for binary sources, we have, that the simple result, as given by page 58 of [40]

Quote:

In particular, for broadband signals for which   f   fchar, Eq. 58 (2.11) simplifies to the standard result [40]

(which is Eq. (2.12)

End of quote

i.e. go to Eq. (2.12) of [40] which is then replaced by Eq. (2.13) due to filters. NOTE that optimally choses FILTERS with respect to binary sets, will go a long way toward enhancing the Signal to Noise ratio with respect to inspiraling binaries

In our case, with regards to an early universe generation of Gravitational waves when we are NOT aware of a handy set of early universe filters, we would have to find an optimal way to enhance Eq.(2.12) of [40] which would put a premium upon a suitably chosen Optimal Frequency, i.e. if we use a LIGO style interferometer, we will, if we do not have Semi classical generation of GW, but instead quantum mechanically generated GW and Gravitons, will have to spend an inordinate amount of time, as to finding an optimal frequency, and will not be able to use binary style filtering.

Appendix E : discuss the phenomenological considerations as to the phase factor of $\exp(i\cdot\omega\cdot t)$ times a derived Noethers current with the scalar field, as used, for the Noethers current being the inflaton itself

As stated before we have this phase factor = 1, in the center of the bubble of space-time, and also =1 at the boundary of the space-time bubble, i.e. this means picking

$$\omega\cdot t \sim 2\pi \tag{E1}$$

at the boundary of Pre Planckian space time, so the phase factor vanishes with the frequency, so configured, having a minimum value of

$$\omega \sim 2\pi / t \tag{E2}$$

This means, then that if we have say a time, of say 10^-44 seconds, that we will have, say roughly a minimum frequency, in terms of Hertz of about 10^44 Hertz, or about 10^36 GHz

Now, figure a 62 e fold expansion of exp (62) , so then we will have 8.43835667times 10^26 reduction of the frequency, i.e. almost 10^-27 times lower, so we have then the following minimum frequency, at the surface of the Planck radii causal bubble, and its counterpart today

i.e. the lowest causal boundary induced Gravitational wave frequency would be approximately 10^8 Hertz, at the Earth's surface, and roughly 10^44 Hertz, at the surface of the causal bubble.

Such absurdly high initial frequencies, even if stepped down, would lead to quantum effects, in the initial onset of gravity, and also enormous energies, i.e. especially if we were talking of Energy ~ Planck's constant time frequency.

The effect, if we did it, would almost certainly make implementation of Scalar – Tensor models extremely fraught with difficulties, and present challenges as far as implementation of [19]. It does not mean that these could not be implemented, but the results would be extraordinarily challenging.

Moreover to the point, having the phase value set equal to 1, and then thinking of a way to implement transferal of this enormous initial energy value, would involve, likely a generalization of energy along the lines of the generalization of the Penrose cyclic conformal cosmology, the author brought up in [41], in the **conclusion section** of this reference, pages 6-7, formulas 32 to 41.

The immediate consequence would be a biasing of our models for quantum models of graviton production, which has been stated before. Moreover, as stated in Appendix D, with such high initial frequencies, it would be unlikely that we would even be able to construct filters as has been done in the case of Binary black holes collapsing into each other. This again would be , as stated in [40] and Appendix D, a situation which would be leading to a signal to noise selection, in [40] along the lines of Formula 2.12 of Page 58 of [40] i.e. placing a premium upon a carefully selected frequency.

We wish to, in our modeling of early universe gravity production to control the signal to noise ratio, and what we are seeing as a result of the considerations given in Eq. (E1) and Eq. (E2) is how difficult and tricky this would be.

Moreover we also have that our choices of the frequencies, as given in Eq. (E1) and Eq. (E2) significantly aid in the picking of a real values Noether's current, which is important in terms of making sense of Eq. (13), Eq. (14) and Eq. (17) in the main text. As well as Eq. (B8) in Appendix B.

APPENDIX F, THE REFEREES QUESTIONS AND MY ANSWERS

From the referee:

Comments on the paper entitled: "How to determine a jump in energy prior to a causal barrier, with an attendant current, for an effective initial magnetic field. In the Pre Planckian to Planckian space- time

The style of writing paper is professional. Just I propose some key questions to extend this work.

I hope it can help you to make a powerful paper and is my pleasure to review this brilliant paper.

1-The Section entitled:"5. Revisiting what can be said about the Weyl Tensor" can be compared with other tensors to justify in defined space. The aim of this comparison is to see the different insight of this paper and Einstein ideas about his cosmetic equation and many other ideas after him.

2-Hubble constant mentioned in the introduction can be explained in some different states, when H is zero or non-zero.

3-About the mass of Gravitons there is not mentioned in the paper and the aim is to find a relation between cosmetic constants of initial formulations.

4-Equations 22-25 needs to be related with other tensors, or at least please cite to some references about their relation.

5-In the result section, You have mentioned to LIGO and cited to [20, 21]. So, my question is: How can you predict new properties of gravitational waves? In fact, your point of view is interesting to be expanded.

6-In page 8, you have written: " The exponential factor of exp (i w t) , which is multiplied into an inflation field, makes the Noether current we derive real valued. This will allow us more background in investigating what Corda brought up in [19]" So , the most important question is : what is the reason to use exp(i w t) element to compare with your new results and I think it needs to be described in details to cover the results in [19,20,21] ?

7-In section 2, you are trying to use Maxwell equations to make a relation between cosmological constants and their equations too. In addition, this procedure is iterated in section 3. As I feel, there will be a kind of finding coherences between electromangnesim and gravitational waves based on initial predefined constants of universe that work properly. So, the most important question is: How do you infer about your style to justify unification?

Because, this paper has been entered to a phase to find these relations very well. Therefore, I advise to complete mathematical base of this paper.

8- How is the relation between inflation model, Inflation theory, the role of Gravitons and the position or mathematical space of Higg bosons and how to relate them all these ideas to the concept written in your paper? . At least, You can cite to some references to show the relations of these fundamental parameters in the universe and based on your suggested model for the universe.

9- Comparing your results, with Dirac, Schrodinger and other equations that are using statistic distributions will be very good. Because, you need to check your results from higher level based on predefined particles Higgs bosons and Gravitons as fundamental particles of universe?.

10-How can we justify this insight about universe for expressing gravitational waves and time-curvature space associated with the role of gravitons to make it?

11-Is it possible to change your metric and see your results and justify it in another way? Overall, I really would like to help in order to proceed publishing this paper. Therefore, I will accept the paper after doing modifications and answering questions. Therefore, I will be waiting for your response for further review of answers.

NOW FOR MY ANSWERS:

Answer to Question 1:

See Appendix A and Appendix B of this document.

Appendix A, is essentially reciting the mathematics of GR, and it sets up, in a general sense, the interlocution of the different tensors used in GR. It is done in a general dimensional setting for spatial volume greater than or equal to 3.

Appendix B, partly due to the influence of Penrose, i.e. the Emperor New Mind, [30] what is usually NOT brought up in General Relativity textbooks. In doing so, a linkage to energy, from the GR perspective, and Gravitons, i.e. massive gravitons is alluded to directly.

Answer to Question 2:

How can the Hubble parameter be justified as zero, and then not zero at all , but a large number, affected directly by Temperature, T? The setting of the Hubble parameter initially as zero is a way to signify a point of causal boundary, i.e. a space-time bubble is delineated, i.e. probably about 1 Planck Length in diameter, or there about, and then its subsequent enormous value, i.e. due to temperature, T, say at 10^{19} GeV, is signifying a burst of activity, rapid expansion.

It also delineates something else. I.e. that within the causal bubble, that we do not have temperature as we normally think of it.

As posited in **Appendix E**, we speculate using an extension of Penrose Cyclic Conformal Cosmology, a multiverse version of, that there is a huge amount of energy made as an imput into the Causal bubble, from Pre Planckian Space-time. So, there is more to this suggestion of H=0 at a causal boundary than what meets the eye. Think of a Causal surface boundary which is delineating a regime of multiverse pre Planckian Cyclic conformal cosmology, Appendix E version of, filling in the Causal Bubble of space time, before the "Causal barrier". That is an imperfect verbal rendition but it helps at least visualize what is going on.

Answer to Question 3:

The mass of gravitons, in terms of initial configurations, is addresses in **Appendix B**

Answer to Question 4:

DONE IN **APPENDIX A** AND **APPENDIX B**. I.e. these are the structures which can use Eq. (22) to Eq. (25)

Do not want to overstate it, but this is one ENORMOUS multiyear problem and what I did, is to set up the start of what will take YEARS to finish.

Answer to Question 5:

See **Appendix D** and **Appendix E**. In particular, the signal to noise ratio has to have a huge rethink in terms of filters, and their role, in the early universe. I.e. this in particular necessitates a re think of assumptions brought up in [40]. i.e. the filter ideas used by LIGO in terms of filters is discussed in detail in Appendix D, and the author thinks that in particular that the entire post Newtonian program, used so successfully in binary black holes, cannot be blindly applied. It may still be useful, but it will require massive alterations.

Answer to Question 6:

See **Appendix E**

Answer to Question 7:

Unification is implicit in the statement given in co joining **Appendix B** and **Appendix C**. I.e. **Appendix C,** infinite quantum statistics, in particular, in Ng's papers is stated as connected to String theory, and that is an extension of quantum mechanics. The linkage of **Appendix C** with the construction of **Appendix B** does the unification you have asked for.

Answer to Question 8:

I do not know how to directly answer this question. i.e. the Higgs boson, is implicit in the inter connection specified in Eq.(11) to Eq. (14). This actually was the motivation of the time component of the Noether current being an actual working current, for the formation of a magnetic field.

What I will do is to cite using [42] a quadratic Lagrangian which has, in it, has

$$B_\mu = A_\mu - \frac{1}{e\varphi_0}\partial_\mu\theta \qquad\qquad (F1)$$

What I have done is to use the inter connections between a magnetic field energy, as a way of specifying the formation of gravitons. And to have the Current, co existant with the time component of the derived Noether current.

Part of the problem with answering your question is that the Higgs field can be thought of in terms of the following Quadratic Lagrangian [42]

$$\varsigma^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{e^2\varphi_0^2}{2}B_\mu B_\mu + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\chi)^2 - \mu^2\chi^2 \qquad (F1)$$

In doing this,

$$B_\mu = A_\mu - \frac{1}{e\varphi_0}\partial_\mu\theta \qquad (F2)$$

Here, θ was/is a massless Nambu-Goldstone field, and χ is massive, whereas we also have

$$B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu \qquad (F3)$$

Note, in all this, it is NORMALLY assumed that there are NO E and B fields.

My radical suggestion is to identify A_μ in part with the magnetic field, as given by Eq. (14), i.e. to use then the identity

$$B(magnetic-field) \sim \nabla \times A \qquad (F4)$$

I.e. use the magnetic field, as partly identified with Eq. (14) and then from there, identify A. This A will then have in part, constituent parts which can be linked to A_μ

In doing so, and this is a future works project, the so called identified B_μ field will be linked to a massive scalar field, χ with mass

$$m_\chi = \sqrt{2}\mu \qquad (F5)$$

In terms of the Higgs , as stated by [42] ,

Quote: " In addition the vector field, B_μ the spectrum of the excitations includes the scalar field χ. We shall see that this always occurs in models where vector Bosons acquire mass via the Higgs mechanism; i.e. this scalar is called the Higgs Field and the corresponding particle is the Higgs boson

End of quote

Our suggestion is to do a very similar program, except to modify the A_μ vai use of (F4) and also linking a magnetic field in terms of the Eq. (14) to the infalton formed magnetic field.

In saying this, we have identified a program of action, with the details inevitably linked to a new paper.

Answer to Question 9:

In terms of linkage of this to statistical treatment, we will go back to the idea of the number of Gravitons, per unit volume with frequencies between $\omega, \omega + d\omega$ is given by [29] by

$$n(\omega)d\omega = \frac{\omega^2 d\omega}{\pi^2} \cdot \left[\exp\left(\frac{h\omega}{kT}\right) - 1 \right]^{-1}$$ (F5)

$$k = 1.38 \times 10^{-16} erg / {}^0K$$

If there is no effective temperature in the interior of the Causal bubble structure, then this is a statement of what would be materialized at the surface of the causal bubble, to a small distance right past it, with enormously elevated temperatures. And ultra high frequencies.

 Note that any such graviton production would be due to a cavity of space-time at the surface of our presumed causal surface, i.e. a small shell of space-time,. And this driven by incredible high frequencies, and pressure.

Using other forms of statistics beyond this black body formulation awaits derivational work which will appear in future papers.

Answer to Question 10:

The closest answer I have to this is to go to **Appendix E.** Note that there are multiple interpretations of **Appendix E**, and what you have raised is actually why I wrote Appendix E in the first place.

Answer to Question 11:

Another way to do this, is to view what has been raised in my paper as consequences of the generalized Cyclic conformal cosmology of Penrose, to a multiverse as written up by the author in [41]. i.e. I viewed, even in 2014, a non singular fill in the energy void, as the motivation of what was put into by Hindawi.

Doing this, in part, would justify the idea of a causal structure, as outlined. But the additional details of what is in this paper, now, is way beyond anything which is in [41]

I intend to follow up this idea raised by you in the next publication.

References

[1] Beckwith, A.W., "How to Determine Initial Starting Time Step with an Initial Hubble Parameter H = 0 After Formation of Causal Structure Leading to Investigation of the Penrose Weyl Tensor Conjecture", JHEPGC accepted article, and also in Vixra.org at http://vixra.org/abs/1706.0110

[2] Peskins, M. E. and Schroeder, D. "An introduction to Quantum Field Theory, Perseus books, Menlo Park, California, 1995, USA

[3] Linde, A.D, "The New Inflationary Universe Scenario: Problems and Perspectives", pp. 190 – 216 of : " Shelter Island II, Proceedings of the 1983 Shelter Island Conference on Quantum Field Theory and the Fundamental Problems of Physics, Edited by Jackiew, Khuri, N. Weinberg, S,.and Witten, E. , Dover Publications of Mineola, New York, 2015, USA, based on MIT press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1985, USA

[4] Beckwith, A.W., and Moskaliuk, S., "GENERALIZED HEISENBERG UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE in QUANTUM GEOMETRODYNAMICS", Ukrainian Journal of Physics, Kiev, Ukraine, 2017

[5] "Beckwith, A. (2016) Gedanken Experiment for Refining the Unruh Metric Tensor Uncertainty Principle via Schwarzschild Geometry and Planckian Space-Time with Initial Nonzero Entropy and Applying the Riemannian-Penrose Inequality and Initial Kinetic Energy for a Lower Bound to Graviton Mass (Massive Gravity). *Journal of High Energy Physics, Gravitation and Cosmology*, **2**, 106-124. doi: 10.4236/jhepgc.2016.21012.

[6] Sarkar, U., "Particle and Astro particle Physics", Series in High Energy Physics and Gravitation, Taylor & Francis, New York USA, 2008

[7] Padmanabhan, T. "An Invitation to Astrophysics" World Scientific Series in Astronomy and Astrophysics: Volume 8, 2006, Singapore, Republic of Singapore,

[8] Camara, C.S., de Garcia Maia, M.R., Carvalho, J.C. and Lima, J.A.S. (2004) Nonsingular FRW Cosmology and Non Linear Dynamics. Arxiv astro-ph/0402311 Version 1, Feb 12, 2004

[9] Griffithis, D. " Fourth edition – Introduction to Electrodyamics" Pearson Education , Limited, New Deli, Republic of India, 2015

[10] Landau, D., and Liftschitz, "Electrodynamics of Continuous Media" , Pergamon Press, 1960, New York City, New York, USA

[11] Fleishch, D. "A students Guide to Maxwell's Equations, Cambridge University Press, New York City, New York, 2008

[12] Ford, L. H., "Spacetime in Semi Classical Gravity", pp 293- 310, of

[13] Muller, R. and Lousto, C. " Enganglement Entropy in Curved Space-time with Event Horizon" UAB-FT-362, gr-qc/9504049, https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/b437/b20bac6fc45d187a3b7248bce421b7b151e8.pdf

[14] Ahonen J, Enqvist, K, "Electrical conductivity in the early universe", Phys.Lett. B382 (1996) 40-44, https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9602357

[15] Ahonen J, Enqvist K., "Magnetic field generation in first order phase transition bubble collisions", **Phys.Rev.D57:664-673,1998, https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9704334**

[16] Lightman, A. P.; Press, W. H.; Price, R. H.; Teukolsky, S. A. (1975). "Problem 12.16". *Problem book in Relativity and Gravitation*. Princeton University Press. ISBN 0-691-08162-X.

[17] *Misner, Charles W.; Thorne, Kip S.; Wheeler, John A. (1973), Gravitation, W. H. Freeman, ISBN 0-7167-0344-0, New York, New York, USA,*

[18] Huang, K. "A Superfluid Universe", World Scientific, Singapore, Republic of Singapore, 2017

[19] Corda, C. (2009) Interferometric Detection of Gravitational Waves: The Definitive Test for General Relativity. International Journal of Modern Physics D, 18, 2275-2282. ArXiv: 0905.2502 [gr-qc]

[20] B. P. Abbott et al., "Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger", PRL 116, 061102 (2016); https://physics.aps.org/featured-article-pdf/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102
 [21] B. P. Abbot et.al. "GW151226: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a 22-Solar-Mass Binary Black Hole Coalescence" Published in **Phys**. **Rev**. **Lett**. **116**, 241103 (**2016**)

[22] Halliwell, J. , " Quantum Cosmology" pp 515 -599, in " The ICTP Series in Theoretical Physics, Volume 7, 1990 Summer School in High energy Physics and Cosmology, Trieste, Italy 18 June to 28 July, 1990, Editors Pati, J. Randjbar-Daemi, Sezgin, E. Shafi, Q, Word Scientific, Singapore, Republic of Singapore, 1991

[23] Kadlecová, H, and Krtouš **P, "**The gyraton solutions on generalized Melvin universe with cosmological constant", **Workshops on black holes and neutron stars 2008/2009/2010/2011 Silesian University in Opava, 2014, pp. 61-74, https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.08225**

[24] Kadlecova, H., Zelnikov, A., Krtous, P., Podolsky J.," Gyratons on direct-product spacetimes", **10.1103/PhysRevD.80.024004, https://arxiv.org/abs/0905.2476**

 [25] Marcello Ortaggio, Alena Pravdová, "Asymptotic behavior of the Weyl tensor in higher dimensions", Phys. Rev. D. 90, 104011 (2014); https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.7559

[26] Hawking, S.W, and Ellis, G.F.R., "The Large Scale Structure of Space-time", Cambridge Monographs On Mathematical physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 1973
[27] Wesson, P.S., " Five dimensional Physics, Classical and Quantum Consequences of Kaluza-Klein Theory, World Press Scientific, Singapore, The Republic of Singapore, 2006

[28] D. KRAMER, H. STEPHANI, E. HERLT M. MacCALLUM Edited by E. SCHMUTZER; " Exact Solutions of Einstein Field Equations" CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS CAMBRIDGE , UK, Published by arrangement with VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, German Democratic Republic, 1979

[29] Weinberg, S. " Gravitation and Csomology, Principles, and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity" , John Wiley, and Sons, 1972, New York, New York, USA

[30] Penrose, Roger, " The Emperor's New Mind, Concerning Computers, Minds, and the Laws of Physics" Oxford University Press, 1989, New York City, New York, USA

[31] *Bishop, R.L.; Goldberg, S.I. (1968), Tensor Analysis on Manifolds (First Dover 1980 ed.), The Macmillan Company, ISBN 0-486-64039-6*

[32] Bergshoeff, Eric A.; Hohm, Olaf; Townsend, Paul K. (2009). "Massive Gravity in Three Dimensions". *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **102**: 201301. Bibcode:2009PhRvL.102t1301B. arXiv:0901.1766 ⊙. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.201301.

[33] Maggiore, M. (2008) Gravitational Waves: Volume 1. Theory and Practice. Oxford University Press, Oxford UK.

[34] Schwartz, M. " Principles of Electrodynamics", McGraw Hill Book Company, New York, New York, 1972, USA

[35] Ng, Y.J. (2007) Holographic Foam, Dark Energy and Infinite Statistics. Physics Letters B, 657, 10-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2007.09.052

 [36] Ng, Y.J. (2008) Spacetime Foam: From Entropy and Holography to Infinite Statistics and Nonlocality. Entropy, 10, 441-461. https://doi.org/10.3390/e10040441

[37], **Kolb, E. and Turner, M.,"The Early Universe", Westview Frontiers in physics series, 1991, volume 69,**

[38] Freese, K., Brown, M. and Kinny, W. " The Phantom Bounce: A New Proposal for an Oscillating Cosmology", pp 149-156, of "The Arrows of Time, A Debate in Cosmology", with Mersini-Houghton, L, and Vaas, R, as editors, in Springer Verlag, Fundamental Theories in Physics, volume 172, Heidelberg, Federal Republic of Germany, 2012

[39] Ramond, P,." 2nd Edition, Field Theory: A Modern Primer, revised edition," Addison-Wesley Publishing company, Menlo Park, California, 1990, USA

[40] Hughes, Scott; "Gravitational-wave Astronomy Aspects of the Theory of Binary Sources and Interferometric Detectors", PhD dissertation, 1998, California Institute of Technology, http://web.mit.edu/sahughes/www/thesis.pdf

[41] Beckwith, A.W."   Analyzing Black Hole Super-Radiance Emission of Particles/Energy from a Black Hole as a Gedankenexperiment to Get Bounds on the Mass of a Graviton" ,Hindawi Publishing Corporation Advances in High Energy Physics Volume 2014, Article ID 230713, 7 pages http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/230713

[42] Rubakov, V. "Classical Theory of Gauge Fields", (as translated by Stephen S. Wilson), Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford, 2002, Princeton, New Jersey, USA