

# Uranus is a Star

Jeffrey J. Wolynski

[Jeffrey.wolynski@yahoo.com](mailto:Jeffrey.wolynski@yahoo.com)

June 14, 2017


Cocoa, FL 32922

*Abstract: According to establishment dogma, Uranus is an "ice giant", which is based on poor theory. Uranus is not "ice" nor is it the actual giant size it was in its past. It is a highly evolved star probably even older than Neptune according to the General Theory of Stellar Metamorphosis. Reasoning is provided to replace the outdated dogma.*

According to the General Theory, Uranus is more than likely older than Neptune for various reasons.

1. Uranus is less massive than Neptune. As a rule of thumb in the General Theory objects that are less massive are older, but there are exceptions to the rule, such as having been in orbit around a hotter host and having lost more of its mass at a faster rate. This means the potential size of the end result Earth would be considerably smaller, and would also explain the puffiness of Uranus as compared to Neptune.
2. Uranus has a lower heat flux per its size and composition. In the General Theory an object with a lower heat flux as compared to an object with a similar size and composition is older. Heat flux meaning heat transfer from inside the object, to out of the object.
3. Uranus has a comparable magnetic field size to Neptune, which means it is at least comparable in age to Neptune, given the possibility that it could be younger. Most importantly it is much older than Jupiter and Saturn, which both have much stronger, larger magnetic fields.
4. Uranus has more methane by volumetric composition (2.3%) than Neptune (1.5 +/- .5%) which means it has had more time to build up methane in the atmosphere. This is comparable to Jupiter and Saturn with ~.3% volumetric composition.

It is reasonably predicted that Uranus is therefore a tad bit older than Neptune, as well much older than Jupiter and Saturn, which fits Uranus right after Neptune on the graph, and well on its way to becoming an ocean world.



Upon closer inspection of the graph the reader will see that Neptunes are about 2 billion years old. A Uranus would sit probably about 2.1 billion years old. This fact causes enormous complications to the accepted dogma. It means there is probably at least 100 million years of time separating Neptune from Uranus, so they could not have formed in the same vicinity of each other. As well, they are both about 1.5 billion years older than Jupiter which means they also did not form anywhere near Jupiter, as they were evolving well before Jupiter was even formed. This all means we have yet more evidence that we have a system of adopted objects, none of them are related to each other by formation. The only thing that relates them together is the fact that they are currently orbiting the Sun. That is it. Unfortunately the dogma has created an entire astrophysical study based off making one assumption into dogma. The objects in our solar system are NOT related simply because they are all currently orbiting the Sun. It is NOT a nuclear family, it is an adopted family.

If astronomers and astrophysicists continue to assume they are all related just because they orbit the Sun, then they will continue to be confused in explaining the very simple questions, such as why is Uranus's orbit off its axis of rotation by so much? Why is it more puffed out compared to Neptune (it probably was ejected from a system where it was orbiting really close to a hotter host). Why does it orbit so far away like Neptune? It was probably adopted by the Sun and Saturn, which then flung it out to the edges of the Solar System. Who knows? The facts though are that unless we actually LOOK at the object and stop assuming things to be true (like all the objects in the solar system are all the Sun's leftovers), then astrophysicists have no hope of explaining the very basics of astrophysics. If I could have a time machine and really teach Herschel what is now understood about Uranus, it probably would have been extremely obvious to him too. It is a damn shame we have only sent ONE probe to check them out. They are actual, physical, billion year old stars, not the theoretical nonsense of establishment dogma.