Abstract: It is outlined in this paper the discoveries (or lack thereof due to improper theory development based on false assumptions) that lead to astrophysical theories and mistakes. Major expansions to this timeline are expected, but this does not prevent initial addressing of the mistakes.

In the 1920’s through the 1950’s it became accepted that stars were fusion powered and that they were the locations in the universe where fusion took place. In 1967, Jocelyn Bell discovered a pulsar, which are the actual locations for fantastic fusion reactions. This becomes a huge problem as we have no actual mechanism to make pulsars extremely energetic (they are too exotic), and we have stars as being fusion powered, even though they are actually young planets and are shining for much more dull reasons than fusion according to stellar metamorphosis theory. It would have been better to discover pulsars while the nuclear theories were coming of age so that stars could properly be placed in correct context. As well, it would have been more appropriate to find extremely large numbers of “exoplanets” which are evolved/evolving stars, before the nuclear age came to be so that stars would not be powered by fusion, as claimed by the “experts”. Basically we discovered stellar and galactic events in the wrong sequence, we learned how to drive a car before we could walk. We learned how to shoot a gun before we could negotiate terms of a treaty. Now we are stumbling around, trying to walk, while trying to save face in the eyes of the public because we are skilled drivers, and shooting people because we do not know how to handle our own humanity. One should wonder, maybe we discovered nuclear reactions a bit too early for our own maturity as a species.

We discovered nuclear energy on the very heels of discovering the fundamental laws of thermodynamics. It should be obvious that we did not have enough time to process our own abilities and dropping bombs on “bad guys” signifies this immaturity, which is coupled with our own inability to acknowledge how many other people are exploring the galaxy (due to not knowing how many evolved stars with life on them are in our galaxy). The sequence of history has mixed up our understanding of nature, and hopefully we can now correct ourselves once again. For the reader it should be understood in depth, that unless we look at the history of science and discoveries (and assumptions that have morphed into dogma), then there cannot be any real, in depth, mature corrections to our understanding made. Science is no panacea, especially when it is miss-applied to curing our greatest suffering and attaining lofty goals in unreasonable manners. This being said, it boils down to an arrogance of scientists, as they think it has all been done before, but never question if it has been done correctly, with a mature, seasoned, wise perspective. It is proposed as a challenge to the reader, how many other discoveries were made before their time, and how many were made too late to have been properly placed in their correct sequence? Just because we find numbers on the ground does not mean they will all be in the correct sequence. The same goes with discovery and science.