

Absurd Accusations that the Special Theory of Relativity is, Generally, Logically Inconsistent

Sylwester Kornowski

Abstract: It is obvious that the Special Theory of Relativity (SR) applies only to systems containing physical inertial reference systems, each equipped with a physical clock initially synchronized with other clocks. This leads to the conclusion that it is easy to prove that SR is logically inconsistent when we try to describe, via SR, a system in which listed above two conditions, i.e. inertia and initial synchronization, are simultaneously not satisfied. Here we described the initial conditions which must be satisfied to obtain a system composed of physical inertial reference systems with initially synchronized physical clocks. In previous papers we showed why SR sometimes leads to formulae which do not concern Nature - it applies to the SR contraction in length and phenomena in which, apart from radial velocities automatically appear, due to flows in the Einstein spacetime, transverse velocities also.

1. Introduction

The Einstein Special Theory of Relativity (SR) does not concern all phenomena – this theory can be applied to selected phenomena that do not violate the SR initial conditions. The SR initial conditions say that we can apply this theory only to systems containing physical inertial reference systems, each equipped with a physical clock initially synchronized with other clocks. This leads to the conclusion that it is easy to prove that SR is logically inconsistent when we try to describe a system in which listed above two conditions, i.e. inertia and synchronization, are simultaneously not satisfied.

Here we described the initial conditions which must be satisfied to obtain a system composed of physical inertial reference systems with initially synchronized physical clocks.

In previous papers we showed why SR sometimes leads to formulae which do not concern Nature – it concerns the SR contraction in length [1] and phenomena in which, apart from radial velocities automatically appear, due to flows in the Einstein spacetime, transverse velocities also [2], [3].

The Scale-Symmetric Theory (SST) [4], [5], shows that SR acts correctly only when the initially synchronized reference systems know the state of the underlying dark energy or Einstein spacetime (ES) [2]. Moreover, SST shows that SR can act correctly only when the ES is gravitating and grainy whereas the spins of stable particles are invariant [1]. It means that SR is physically an incomplete theory. Without the SST, the SR is not well understood so there are accusations that SR is logically inconsistent. But the truth is much simpler – just SR is an incomplete theory.

2. Synchronization of physical clocks

According to SST, Nature can be synchronized due to the superluminal quantum entanglement [4] so there can be in existence synchronized very-big/cosmic regions – even it can concern the whole Universe because the characteristic speed for quantum entanglement, $v_{entanglement}$, is about $2.4 \cdot 10^{59}$ times higher than the speed of light in “vacuum” c i.e. $v_{entanglement} = 0.72 \cdot 10^{68}$ m/s [4]. If D denotes size of the clocks then the lower limit for time to change a state of a clock, Δt_{clock} , is $\Delta t_{clock} = D / v_{entanglement}$. Due to the superluminal quantum entanglement, we cannot control states of the physical clocks (we will call them clocks). An observer can synchronize clocks with the upper limit for speed equal to c as it is in SR. The lower limit for time of synchronization of clocks, $\Delta t_{synchronization}$, is $\Delta t_{synchronization} = L / c$, where L denotes distance between clocks, and this time must be shorter than Δt_{clock} i.e. $L < D c / v_{entanglement} \rightarrow 0$. It leads to conclusion that initially all clocks, which we want to synchronize, must be in the same physical inertial reference system (we will call it reference system). Using the mathematical language, we can say that initially the zero-points of all geometrical frames of reference (we will call them frames of reference) must overlap. Initial separation of the zero-points of frames of reference leads to the incorrect conclusion that SR is logically inconsistent.

3. How to create a system containing separated inertial reference systems, each equipped with a clock initially synchronized with other clocks?

We can solve this problem assuming that the initial reference system, i.e. the frame of reference of observer (the “stationary system” K [6]), has mass much higher than the reference systems which embark on a journey i.e. the “moving systems” k [6] – then, the “moving systems” k practically can not change velocity of the “stationary system” K . It as well solves the reciprocation of the SR time dilation (each observer in K and k claims that the moving clocks are time dilated) or the reciprocation of the real relativistic mass [1].

Notice as well that, in reality, the “rest masses” of particles in the “stationary system” K depend on speed of K in relation to the underlying dark energy or ES [2].

4. Why the SR contraction in length does not concern Nature?

We can not define within SR a non-zero length because to do this we need two reference systems with initially separated the zero-points of the associated frames of reference.

5. Why transverse velocities violate SR?

Within SST we showed that, for example, in a free-fall on a “black hole”, near to its Schwarzschild surface and inside it, due to the inspiralling Einstein spacetime, there appear transverse velocities also [1], which do not appear in SR. It leads to conclusion that SR is an incomplete theory.

6. Summary

The Special Theory of Relativity applies to systems containing physical inertial reference systems, each equipped with a physical clock initially synchronized with other clocks.

We showed that it is easy to prove that SR is logically inconsistent when we try to describe, via SR, a system in which listed above two conditions, i.e. inertia and initial synchronization, are simultaneously not satisfied.

SR is an incomplete theory because it says nothing about internal structure of particles, of dark matter, dark energy, spacetime, and interactions of them.

SST shows that the SR energy-momentum relation and the SR formula for relativistic mass are correct – we derived them on the basis of the law of conservation of spin of particles, not on the basis of the Lorentz Transformation [1].

SST shows also that SR leads indirectly to the gravitating grainy Einstein spacetime [1].

References

- [1] Sylwester Kornowski (14 March 2017). “Einstein’s Special Theory of Relativity and Gravitational Time Dilation from the Law of Conservation of Spin”
<http://vixra.org/abs/1703.0066>
- [2] Sylwester Kornowski (14 March 2017). “Gravitational Time Dilation for a Free-Fall on Neutron Black Hole”
<http://vixra.org/abs/1703.0139>
- [3] Sylwester Kornowski (25 January 2016). “The Revised Theory of Black Holes and Accretion Discs”
<http://vixra.org/abs/1508.0215>
- [4] Sylwester Kornowski (6 June 2016). “Foundations of the Scale-Symmetric Physics (Main Article No 1: Particle Physics)”
<http://vixra.org/abs/1511.0188>
- [5] Sylwester Kornowski (29 June 2016). “Foundations of the Scale-Symmetric Physics (Main Article No 2: Cosmology)”
<http://vixra.org/abs/1511.0223>
- [6] Einstein, A. (1905). “On the electrodynamics of moving bodies”
Annalen der Physics, 17, 1905