

Response to Wesley Nolan Mattingly's, "The Ecology of Being"

[Author: Miguel A. Sanchez-Rey]

Mr. Mattingly's eco-phenomenology of the sense; that in which, the history of ontology is grounded on the untold history of experience, is nevertheless a nonsensical historical account of the lived experience in which the sense is misconstrued as a lived-ontology of historical naturalism. Though Mr. Mattingly presents an eloquent treatment of the phenomenological dynamics of the experiential mechanism it does not suffice that sense, the medium of perceptual acuity, can be use to unearth the wild being of the lived experience. Wild being, conjectured by the early phenomenologist, is an ineffective answer to the catastrophe of ecology. In which wild being is a denotation of the undisclosable otherness.

The untold history of experience is an unintelligible phenomenological reduction. For the untold is yet to be said and the untold is yet to be understood. And that in which experience becomes the unsaid the lived experience cannot account for experiential consciousness that has historical manifestation to *logos*. For logo-centrism is a necessary component in which genuine experiential knowledge is ascertain.

Genuine experientialism cannot be said to be genuine without a foundationalist account of the sciences of perceptual experience. For which

without the science of perceptual experience, that in which the sciences seek to ground experientialism, the lived experience cannot be acknowledge as a corporeal being. Without the sciences the corporeal being is lost in a wilderness of nowhere in which the transcendental will not be able to direct the corporeal beings' predicament of historical dichotomy.

The eco-phenomenology of the sense becomes unexplainable to the historical consciousness. In which there is no historical justification for the ontological of the untold. And that in which there can be no cross-fertilization of being-in-the world and being-of-the-earth. For which being-of-the-earth is a being that is ontologically limited and in which being-of-the-earth is trivial to cosmological dogmatism. In which catastrophe ensues. And that in which the history of experience becomes the unsaid and the ecology of being becomes the untold.

