Abstract

The quantization of second order nonlinear dynamical systems is well known to be a complicated Sturm-Liouville problem. This work is devoted to the numerical and exact quantization of a quadratic Liénard type oscillator equation which admits a trigonometric function solution. The bound state solutions of the resulting Schrödinger equation expressed in terms of elementary functions and the possibility to recover the energy spectrum of the quantum harmonic oscillator are exactly and numerically discussed following the specific values of system parameters, using the Nikiforov-Uvarov method and nonlocal transformations.
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1. Introduction

Many problems in physics and engineering applications were found to be adequately solved by considering the harmonic oscillator with position-dependent mass [1], so that the study of classical and quantum harmonic oscillator with a spatially varying mass has fast become an attrative research field of the mathematical physics. Numerous applications in various areas of engineering have been developed on the basis of harmonic oscillator with position-dependent mass [2]. However, exact analysis is often hard to be carried out, and most research contributions are limited to the approximate and numerical investigations of differential equations governing the classical as well as quantum features of systems with position-dependent mass. The quadratic Liénard type differential equations constitute an important class of position-dependent mass oscillators, since it allows a more satisfactory description of nonlinear dissipative dynamical systems [3-6]. In this context, it appears reasonable to investigate the problem of finding exact quantum mechanics of quadratic Liénard type oscillator equations with bound states energy spectrum. More again, a high interest may be accorded to exact quantum mechanics of classical quadratic Liénard type oscillator equations having exact trigonometric solutions, since such nonlinear oscillator studies are known to be rare in the mathematical physics literature. Analytical quantum mechanics of quadratic Liénard type equations leads to solve in general a complicated
Schrödinger equation due to the quadratic term in velocity. In [7] the exact eigenfunctions are expressed in terms of associated Legendre functions and Gegenbauer polynomials. The position-dependent mass Schrödinger equation in [8-10] is analytically solved in terms of prolate spheroidal wave functions. In [11] the eigensolutions of the Schrödinger wave equation with position-dependent mass are exactly formulated as the prolate spheroidal wave functions. However, as before mentioned, it is not difficult to notice that few works about exact quantization of quadratic Liénard type equations are available in the literature. Recently in [12], it is shown for the first time the existence of a family of quadratic Liénard type nonlinear equations

\[ \ddot{x} - \gamma \dot{x} \dot{\phi}(x) \dot{x}^2 + \omega^2 x \exp(2\gamma \phi(x)) = 0 \]  

which admits an exact trigonometric function solution but with amplitude dependent frequency, where the dot over a symbol stands for differentiation with respect to time and the prime denotes differentiation with respect to \( x \). The choice \( \phi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + \mu x) \), yields the nonlinear differential equation

\[ \ddot{x} - \frac{\gamma \mu}{2(1 + \mu x)} \dot{x}^2 + \omega^2 x(1 + \mu x)^{\gamma} = 0 \]  

This equation may be regarded as a nonlinear oscillator equation with a quadratic velocity term. The exact trigonometric solution may be written [12]

\[ x(t) = A_0 \sin \phi(t) \]  

where

\[ \phi(t) = \omega t + \theta_0, \]  

\[ \frac{d\tau}{dt} = [1 + \mu A_0 \sin \phi(t)]^{\gamma/2} \]  

so that

\[ \omega(t - t_0) = \int_{\theta_0}^{\phi(t)} [1 + \mu A_0 \sin \phi(t)]^{\gamma/2} d\phi \]  

where \( t_0 \) is a constant of integration.

For \( \gamma = 0 \), or \( \mu = 0 \), (2) reduces to the linear harmonic oscillator equation with well known trigonometric solution, so that the parameter \( \omega = \omega_0 \), becomes the natural frequency in this situation. In such a context a problem to investigate may be the exact quantization of (2) for a fixed \( \gamma \) and arbitrary \( \mu \), or conversely, for a fixed \( \mu \) and arbitrary \( \gamma \). However no one is able to say whether quantization of (2) may be performed in terms of elementary functions. This consists then of a gap in the understanding of analytical properties of such an equation since nobody can answer the question: Does equation (2) admit exact quantization in terms of elementary functions with discrete energy spectrum? The present work postulates that the classical equation (2) may be exactly quantized in terms of discrete bound states with
elementary functions in order to study easily the nonlinearity effects. This prediction is of a great interest since the energy spectrum of the quantum harmonic oscillator may be recovered as a limiting case of that of equation (2). The present formulation in terms of elementary functions of the eigensolutions of the Schrödinger wave equation is theoretically and practically interesting since these functions are deeply and intensively studied from mathematical as well as physical and numerical standpoint. Exact solutions in terms of elementary functions are physically important since they will enable to better understand and capture analytically interesting features of the quantum system under question and are also well convenient for engineering calculations. To demonstrate the preceding prediction, it is suitable to first establish the appropriate Schrödinger equation with position-dependent mass associated to the equation (2) (section 2), and secondly compute the discrete spectrum using the Nikiforov-Uvarov method [13] and the wave functions via nonlocal transformations (section 3). Finally the predicted results are numerically verified (section 4) and discussed (section 5), and a conclusion is given for the developed work.

2. Schrödinger equation

The one dimensional Schrödinger differential equation requires the knowledge of the Hamiltonian associated to (2). Usually the Hamiltonian operator is derived from the classical Hamiltonian. As regards the equation (2) the mass distribution function may be written

\[ M(x) = e^{-\mu \int \frac{1}{1+\mu x} dx} \]  

(6a)

or

\[ M(x) = M_0 (1 + \mu x)^{-\gamma} \]  

(6b)

where \( M_0 \) is the integration constant, so that the potential energy

\[ V(x) = \omega^2 \int xM(x)(1 + \mu x)^\gamma dx \]  

(7a)

becomes

\[ V(x) = \frac{1}{2} M_0 \omega^2 x^2 \]  

(7b)

In this perspective the classical Hamiltonian

\[ H = \frac{p^2}{2M(x)} + V(x) \]  

(8a)

with \( p = M(x) \dot{x} \), reads

\[ H = \frac{1}{2} p^2 (1 + \mu x)^\gamma + \frac{1}{2} M_0 \omega^2 x^2 \]  

(8b)
which is such that the associated Hamiltonian operator is not Hermitian for \( \gamma \neq 0 \). In such a case the momentum and position operators do no longer commute. To overcome this difficulty, one may use the von Roos quantum Hamiltonian formulation [17] to write the Schrödinger eigenvalue problem.

2.1. Schrödinger equation with mass \( M(x) \)

In the literature various forms of Hamiltonian related to the von Roos formulation [18]

\[
H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{4} \left[ M(x)^a \partial_x M(x)^b \partial_x M(x)^c + M(x)^b \partial_x M(x)^c \partial_x M(x)^a \right] + V(x)
\]

(9)

where the ambiguity parameters \( a, b \) and \( c \), must satisfy \( a + b + c = -1 \), in order to render \( H \) Hermitian are used. Indeed, there is no law to fix the value of these parameters for a specific system of interest. So, a judicious choice of these parameters consists of a prerequisite for an adequate Schrödinger equation satisfying the expected performance objective. The requirement that it is desired to express the Schrödinger equation solution in terms of hypergeometric type polynomials involves to adequately solving the ambiguity parameters problem. To successfully perform this task, the set of parameters is chosen such that the Schrödinger equation becomes [11]

\[
\psi''(x) - \frac{M'(x)}{M(x)} \psi'(x) + \frac{2M(x)}{\hbar^2} \left[ E - V(x) \right] \psi(x) = 0
\]

(10a)

where \( E \) denotes the energy eigenvalue, \( \psi(x) \) the wave function, and the prime means derivative with respect to \( x \). Let us now precise the Schrödinger equation of interest.

2.2. Schrödinger equation under study

As the mass function \( M(x) = \frac{M_0}{(1 + \mu x)^\gamma} \), and the potential energy \( V(x) = \frac{1}{2} M_0 \omega^2 x^2 \), for the equation (2), the preceding Schrödinger equation (equation (10a)) reduces, for \( M_0 = \hbar = 1 \), to

\[
\psi''(x) + \frac{\mu \gamma}{1 + \mu x} \psi'(x) + \left[ 2E - \omega^2 x^2 \right] \left( 1 + \mu x \right)^\gamma \psi(x) = 0
\]

(10b)

The equation (10b) constitutes the Schrödinger wave equation with variable coefficients related to the classical quadratic Liénard type oscillator equation (2). This equation consists of a Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem for which the Nikiforov-Uvarov (NU) method may be used to compute the discrete energy spectrum. The solution of (10b) clearly depends on the value of parameter \( \gamma \). In this contribution the solution of equation (10b) will be investigated under \( \gamma = 2 \), in order to attain the fixed objective. In this way one may rewrite for \( \gamma = 2 \), equation (10b) as

\[
\frac{d^2 \psi(x)}{dx^2} + \frac{2 \mu}{1 + \mu x} \frac{d \psi(x)}{dx} + \left[ 2E - \omega^2 x^2 \right] \frac{1}{(1 + \mu x)^2} \psi(x) = 0
\]
which may take the Sturm-Liouville form

\[
\frac{d}{dx} \left[ (1 + \mu x)^2 \frac{d\psi(x)}{dx} \right] + \left( 2E - \omega^2 x^2 \right) \psi(x) = 0
\]  

(11)

Therefore, the mathematical problem to solve should be clearly stated. Let us consider the equation (11) on the semi-infinite interval \([-\frac{1}{\mu}, +\infty]\) with \(\omega \geq 0\). The problem of interest can then be formulated as follows. Find energy eigenvalues \(E_n\) and associated bound state solutions \(\psi_n(x)\) for the Schrödinger wave equation (11), such that \(\psi_n(x)\) should satisfy the conditions \(\psi_n(x) \to 0\) for \(x \to -\frac{1}{\mu}\) and \(x \to +\infty\), and \(\int \psi_n^2(x) \tilde{\rho}(x) dx = 1\), where the weight function \(\tilde{\rho}(x) = 1 + \mu x\), such that one may recover the interval \([-\infty, +\infty]\) for \(\mu \to 0\), corresponding to the unconfined harmonic oscillator model.

3. Exact bound state solutions to Schrödinger equation

The exact solution to Schrödinger equation (11) with \(\gamma = 2\), is exhibited in this section using as before mentioned the Nikiforov-Uvarov approach and nonlocal transformations of variables.

3.1. Discrete energy spectrum

The exact spectrum to (11) under the boundary conditions previously mentioned may suitably computed using the Nikiforov-Uvarov method. By application of the Nikiforov-Uvarov approach [13] the requirement is that the Schrödinger wave equation (11) must be written as

\[
\psi''(x) + \frac{\tilde{\tau}(x)}{\sigma(x)} \psi'(x) + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}(x)}{\sigma(x)^2} \psi(x) = 0
\]  

(12)

with

\[
\psi(x) = \phi(x) y_n(x)
\]  

(13)

where \(y_n(x)\) becomes the solution of the hypergeometric type differential equation

\[
\sigma(x) y_n'''(x) + \tau(x) y_n''(x) + \lambda y_n'(x) = 0
\]  

(14a)

and

\[
\frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)} = \frac{\pi(x)}{\sigma(x)}
\]  

(14b)

so that \(\sigma(x)\) and \(\tilde{\sigma}(x)\) are polynomials at most of second order degree, \(\tau(x)\) and \(\tilde{\tau}(x)\) are polynomials at most of first degree, \(\lambda\) is a constant, and
\[ \pi(x) = \left( \frac{\sigma'(x) - \tilde{\tau}(x)}{2} \right) \pm \sqrt{\left( \frac{\sigma'(x) - \tilde{\tau}(x)}{2} \right)^2 - \tilde{\sigma}(x) + k \sigma(x)} \]  

(15)

The function \( \pi(x) \) is a polynomial of degree at most one such that

\[ \tau(x) = \tilde{\tau}(x) + 2\pi(x) \]  

(16)

\[ k = \lambda - \pi'(x) \]  

(17)

and

\[ \lambda = \lambda_n = -n \pi'(x) - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \sigma''(x) \quad , \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \]  

(18)

The hypergeometric-type function \( y_n(x) \) defined as a polynomial of degree \( n \) is given by the Rodrigues formula

\[ y_n(x) = \frac{A_n}{\rho(x)} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left[ \sigma(x)^n \rho(x) \right] \]  

(19)

such that the weight function \( \rho(x) \) obeys

\[ \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \sigma(x)^n \rho(x) \right] = \tau(x) \rho(x) \]  

(20)

and \( A_n \) is normalization constant. With the following definitions

\[ \tilde{\tau}(x) = 2\mu, \quad \tilde{\sigma}(x) = 2E - \omega^2 x^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(x) = 1 + \mu x > 0 \]

the function \( \pi(x) \) which satisfies the requirement that the derivative of \( \tau(x) \) must be negative may be written as

\[ \pi(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \mu - \omega x - \frac{\omega}{\mu} + \frac{\sqrt{4\omega^2 + \mu^2 (\mu^2 - 8E)}}{2\mu} \]  

(21)

with

\[ k = \frac{2\omega^2 \pm \omega \sqrt{4\omega^2 + \mu^2 (\mu^2 - 8E)}}{\mu^2} \]  

(22)

and

\[ \tau(x) = \mu - 2\omega x - \frac{2\omega}{\mu} + \frac{\sqrt{4\omega^2 + \mu^2 (\mu^2 - 8E)}}{\mu} \]  

(23)

Comparing the equations (17) and (18) one may deduce

\[ k = \omega(2n + 1) \]  

(24)

so that the desired discrete energy eigenvalues become
\[ E_n = \omega(n + \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{1}{2} n(n+1) \mu^2, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots \]  

(25)

3.2. Discrete wave functions

As underlined in [14], point change of variables or in general, nonlocal transformations may be used, once the Nikiforov-Uvarov method has been applied to find the energy spectrum, to compute the wave functions. Such an approach may lead to obtain the exact eigenfunctions in terms of elementary functions [14]. Therefore consider the Liouville transformation

\[ \psi(x) = \frac{z(X)}{X} \]  

(26)

where

\[ X = 1 + \mu x \]  

(27)

In this regard the following theorem may be formulated

**Theorem.** Consider the transformations (26) and (27). Then equation (11) may be reduced to

\[ \frac{d^2 z(X)}{dX^2} + \left[ \frac{2E}{\mu^2} - \frac{\omega^2}{\mu^4} \right] \frac{1}{X^2} + \frac{2\omega^2}{\mu^4} \frac{1}{X^2} - \frac{\omega^2}{\mu^4} \right] z(X) = 0 \]  

(28)

**Proof.** The first derivative of (26) with respect to \( x \) may be written as

\[ \frac{d\psi(x)}{dx} = \mu \left[ \frac{1}{X} \frac{dz(X)}{dX} - \frac{1}{X^2} z(X) \right] \]

which leads to

\[ \frac{d^2 \psi(x)}{dx^2} = \mu^2 \left[ \frac{1}{X} \frac{d^2 z(X)}{dX^2} - \frac{2}{X^2} \frac{dz(X)}{dX} + \frac{2}{X^3} z(X) \right] \]  

(29)

Substituting (26), (27) and (29) into (11) yields (28). Equation (28) is of the same type as that of the hydrogen atom [15]. In order to obtain the eigenfunctions in terms of elementary functions, one may therefore consider the generalized equation

\[ \frac{d^2 u(X)}{dX^2} + \left[ -l^2 q^2 - \frac{l\alpha(l\alpha+1)}{X^2} - \frac{2l\alpha q}{X} \right] u(X) = 0 \]  

(30)

with the general solution

\[ u(X) = a^{-l/2} \int A X^{2\alpha} e^{2iqX} dX + B X^{-\alpha} e^{-iqX} \]  

(31)

introduced recently in [16], where \( a, l, q, \alpha, A \) and \( B \) are arbitrary constants. Accordingly the following theorem may be proved.

**Theorem.** Let \( lq = 2\omega(n + \frac{1}{2}) - n(n+1), \) and \( l\alpha = n(n+1) - \frac{2\omega}{\mu^2} \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right). \) If \( z(X) = u(X), \) then the general solution to (28) may be expressed as
\[ z(X) = \left[ C \int X^{2n(n+1) - \frac{4\omega}{\mu} (\frac{n+1}{2})^2} X^{\frac{4\omega(\frac{n+1}{2})^2 - 2n(n+1)}{\mu} + D} \right]^{\frac{2\omega(\frac{n+1}{2})^2 - n(n+1)}{\mu^2}} e^{\frac{2n(n+1)}{\mu^2}} \left[ \frac{n(n+1)}{\mu^2} \right]^{(1+x)} \]  

(32)

where \( C = a' A \) and \( D = a^{-1} B \).

**Proof.** The comparison of (28) with (30), under \( z(X) = u(X) \), yields

\[ l^2 q^2 = \frac{\omega^2}{\mu^2} \]  

(33)

\[ l^2 \alpha q = -\frac{\omega^2}{\mu^4} \]  

(34)

\[ -l\alpha(l\alpha + 1) = \frac{2E}{\mu^2} - \frac{\omega^2}{\mu^4} \]  

(35)

The comparison of (33) with (34) leads to \( q = -\alpha \). In this way from (35) one may obtain

\[ \frac{2E}{\mu^2} = lq. \]  

Taking into consideration (25), \( lq \) and \( l\alpha \) take definitively the values

\[ lq = \frac{2\omega}{\mu^2} (n + \frac{1}{2}) - n(n+1) \]  

(36)

\[ l\alpha = n(n+1) - \frac{2\omega}{\mu^2} (n + \frac{1}{2}) \]  

(37)

The use of \( z(X) = u(X) \), knowing (31) leads to obtain immediately (32). Now to obtain

\[ \psi(x) = \frac{z(X)}{X} \], where \( X = 1 + \mu x \), in terms of elementary functions, one may always choose \( C = 0 \), in (32) to write

\[ \psi_n(x) = D_n \left( 1 + \mu x \right)^{\frac{2\omega(\frac{n+1}{2})^2 - n(n+1)}{\mu}} e^{\frac{n(n+1)}{\mu^2} \left[ \frac{2\omega(\frac{n+1}{2})^2 - n(n+1)}{\mu} \right]^{(1+x)}} \]  

(38)

with the requirement that \( n(n+1) - \frac{2\omega}{\mu^2} \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) < 0 \), and \( \frac{\omega}{\mu^2} > 1 \). One may easily check that

\[ \psi_n(-\frac{1}{\mu}) = \psi_n(+\infty) = 0, \]  

so that the normalization constant \( D_n \) is given by

\[ \frac{D_n^2}{\mu} \int_0^{+\infty} X\psi_n(X)^2 dX = 1 \]  

(39)

that is
4. Numerical results using Matrix Diagonal Method

In this section, the matrix diagonalisation method is presented to cross check the previous analytical calculation [19]. Let us consider the Hamiltonian

$$ H = \frac{1}{2} \left[ p(1 + \mu x)^2 + p + x^2 \right] $$

(41)

in place of equation (8b) with \( \gamma = 2 \), \( M_0 = 1 \), and \( \omega = 1 \). The very purpose of writing the Hamiltonian in this form is that it must be invariant with reference to exchange of position and momentum part [19, 20]. Here the eigenvalue relation is solved as

$$ H \psi = E \psi $$

(42)

where

$$ \psi = \sum A_m |m\rangle $$

(43)

and \( |m\rangle \) is the mth state harmonic oscillator eigenfunction satisfying the relation

$$ \left[ p^2 + x^2 \right] |m\rangle = (2m + 1) |m\rangle $$

(44)

Now, the following recursion relation is solved [20, 21].

$$ P_m A_{m-4} + Q_m A_{m-2} + R_m A_{m-1} + S_m A_m + T_m A_{m+1} + U_m A_{m+2} + V_m A_{m+4} = 0 $$

(45)

Here

$$ P_m = \langle m | H | m - 4 \rangle $$

(46)

$$ Q_m = \langle m | H | m - 2 \rangle $$

(47)

$$ R_m = \langle m | H | m - 1 \rangle $$

(48)

$$ T_m = \langle m | H | m + 1 \rangle $$

(49)

$$ U_m = \langle m | H | m + 2 \rangle $$

(50)

$$ V_m = \langle m | H | m + 4 \rangle $$

(51)

and

$$ S_m = m + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\mu^2}{8} \left( 2m^2 + 2m + 3 \right) - E $$

(52)
It should be remembered that \( m - k \geq 0 \) for \( k = 2, 4 \). Further one has to carefully go step by step to achieve desired convergency in eigenvalues. The eigenvalues for different \( \mu = 0.25; 0.5 \), are tabulated in table-1.

Table-1: First four eigenvalues of \( H = \frac{1}{2} \left[ p(1 + \mu x^2) p + x^2 \right] \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \mu )</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>Numerical Results using MDM</th>
<th>Analytical Results using Eq.(25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4375</td>
<td>1.4375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.3125</td>
<td>2.3125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.125</td>
<td>3.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.020942</td>
<td>2.020942</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further in order to make study complete, phase trajectory and \( |\psi| \) is plotted in Figure 1 and 2 for \( \mu = 0.25 \).

5. Discussion

The Schrödinger equation with position-dependent mass has shown a more adequate ability to describe the quantum features of a rich variety of physical systems. In this work the exact quantum mechanics of a quadratic Liénard type oscillator equation that exhibits exact trigonometric solutions is performed. More precisely, the exact quantization of harmonic potential with position-dependent mass has been carried out. By application of the Nikiforov-Uvarov approach and nonlocal transformation, the discrete eigensolutions and the corresponding energy eigenvalues are obtained. The eigenfunctions are expressed in terms of elementary functions for the first time for a quadratic Liénard type dynamical system. As \( \mu \to 0, \ \omega = \omega_0 \), as previously mentioned, and the classical quadratic Liénard type equation (2) reduces to the classical linear harmonic oscillator so that one may notice that the energy eigenvalues \( E_n \) reduce as expected to those of the quantum harmonic oscillator, viz \( E_n = (n + \frac{1}{2})\omega_0 \). For \( n = 0 \), the equation (25) shows that the ground state energy is that of the quantum harmonic oscillator. It is worth to mention that numerical results match very well analytical predictions, and clearly show the effect of nonlinearity parameter \( \mu \) on the behavior of eigenvalues.

6. Conclusion

The exact quantization of a quadratic Liénard type oscillator equation having exact trigonometric solution but with amplitude dependent frequency is developed in this work. For the first time for an equation which belongs to this class of quadratic Liénard type equations, the discrete bound state eigensolutions to the resulting Schrödinger equation are expressed in terms of elementary functions. The associated discrete energy eigenvalues are found to be ensured by the magnitude of the nonlinearity parameter using the Nikiforov-Uvarov theory.
The work shows that the discrete energy spectrum of the quantum harmonic oscillator may be recovered for the zero value of the nonlinearity parameter. The numerical results are found to be in consistent agreement with analytical predictions.
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Figure 1: Phase trajectories of $H = \frac{1}{2} [p(l + \mu x)^2 + p x^2]$ with $\mu = 0.25$, for various values of $E = H$.

Figure 2: $|\psi_n|^2$ of $H = \frac{1}{2} [p(l + \mu x)^2 + p x^2]$ with $\mu = 0.25$, for (a) $n=0$, (b) $n=1$, (c) $n=2$ and (d) $n=3$. 