

The Perils of New Atheism:
Ideological War-Crime and Propaganda
By
Miguel A. Sanchez-Rey

There is never the belligerence of New Atheism's advocacy of radical activism. Radical activism which promotes a militant approach to advocating atheist thought. But radical activism is an ideological motivation. An ideological motivation that furthers a violent confrontation towards religious beliefs and superstitious ideals.

New Atheism started with three key players: Richard Dawkins, Daniel Dennett, and the late Christopher Hitchens, as a counter-reaction to the growing animosity toward secular policy and rationalist beliefs. Valid in its core themes of liberal progressivism they nevertheless shared ideological similarities with much of the conservative militant establishment. Favoring policies of domination and neo-colonial imperialism which stresses an aggressive approach that fights back at religious beliefs and democratic socialism. An affinity to state-capitalist propaganda and the war-machine. An attachment to the religious state and an adherence to state policies that favor free-markets. Others like Rebecca Newberger Goldstein, Steven Pinker, Sam Harris, and Massimo Pigliucci, also subscribe to these core political and social views, though without qualifications or unanimity.

A cult following ensued that brought world-wide fame to the characters of New Atheism. A following generated by the economic crisis of summer of 2011. Richard Dawkins and Christopher Hitchens assertion that the rise of radical Islamic fundamentalism should be seen as a serious threat to world-peace, and as the root cause of the geo-political instability in the Middle East, is ideology. Their base, ever more incited by their promotion of violent activism, soon became a toxic mixture of radicalist and extremist with elements of neo-Fascism

that within four years, up to the Brexit of 2016, stirred the white supremacist in Western Europe that opposed the internationalist model of the European Block and the plight of refugees that immigrated from the Middle East to Western Europe. And only then encouraged the American political establishment, including the American propaganda machine, i.e. NY Times, Wall Street Journal, Foreign Affairs, etc., to side with New Atheism's false social policies. In which by false social policies is meant false inclinations that are true but not motivationally true.

Perils which are consequential and in which there seems to be no end to the immediate ineffectiveness of the militant approach. Their promotion of ideological war-crime, that in which they hold no respectability to the internationalist consensus of the diplomatic approach and in which there is no regard to international law dictating the need for human rights, has backfired on the Militant Atheists as fundamentalism, in the form of political and religious fundamentalism, has adapted to New Atheism's social and war-like policies of aggression and violent opposition. In doing so the key players have only cause more serious harm than good and has unleash global economic and political instability that has encouraged the solidification of authoritarianism. Posing a severe existential threat to social democracy in the form of a benign propaganda machine that further exacerbates hate groups that are disinclined to the internationalist model.