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Abstract

In this paper the Lorentz Transformation (LT) is shown to be merely a set of equations applicable under the conditions reflecting the theorized principle of the speed of light invariance implemented in the direction of relative motion. It is revealed the LT is limited to events with coordinates satisfying the light speed space-time relation (i.e., \( x = ct \)). Einstein’s prediction of time dilation and length contraction is based on applying the LT equations to restricted coordinates. It is shown that such LT applications lead to mathematical contradictions.
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Introduction

The Lorentz transformation (LT) equations constitute the basis of the Special Relativity (SR) theory in which their interpretations lead to the peculiar prediction of the space-time distortion characterized by the length contraction and time dilation. The SR predictions have led to numerous paradoxes, consistently generating critical publications on the SR validity.\(^1\)-\(^4\) The LT was derived by Einstein\(^5\)-\(^6\) on the basis of the relativity principle and the constancy of the speed of light postulate. The sought transformation, converting between the space and time coordinates of two inertial reference frames, say \( K(x, y, z, t) \) and \( K'(x', y', z', t') \), in relative motion at speed \( v \), was assumed to take the following general form

\[
x' = ax + bt \\
y' = y; z' = z \\
t' = kx + mt
\]

where \( a, b, k, \) and \( m \) are unknown real terms.

The constancy of the speed of light postulate was expressed by the assumption that a spherical light wave front, emitted from the coinciding inertial frame origins at an initial instant of time, would be observed as a light sphere centered at
the frame origin, with its radius being expanded at the speed of light \( c \), with respect to either frame:

\[
x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = c^2t^2
\]

\[
x'^2 + y'^2 + z'^2 = c^2t'^2
\]

leading to

\[
x^2 - x'^2 = c^2t^2 - c^2t'^2,
\]

with the assumption that the \( y \) and \( z \) coordinates are invariant.

In the customary derivation of the Lorentz transformation, the above proposed space and time transformation equations along with the latter speed of light constancy equation—applied with some given particular conditions and using the transformation symmetry assumption inferred from the relativity principle—would be tediously solved for the unknown terms, yielding the following LT equations:

\[
x' = \gamma(x - vt); \\
y' = y; z' = z; \\
t' = \gamma \left( t - \frac{vx}{c^2} \right); \\
\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}
\]

The above approach is rather devious; inconsistent operations performed in the derivation process can be easily bypassed. For instance, the above constancy of the speed of light equation was obtained in a published work\(^7\) on SR through constructing it from the basic conversion expressions \( x = ct; \ x' = ct' \), requiring \( x = 0 \) when \( t = 0 \); and \( x' = 0 \) when \( t' = 0 \), is lost in the above constructed speed of light equation.

Consequently, to avoid the encountered inconsistencies in the above conventional derivation approach, a straightforward method is used in this study to derive and reveal the innate contradictions in the Lorentz transformation.

**Straight forward LT derivation exposing its contradiction**

Consider two inertial reference frames, \( K(x, y, z, t) \) and \( K'(x', y', z', t') \), in relative uniform motion along the overlapped \( x \)- and \( x' \)-axes, at speed \( v \). The transformation equations relating the space and time coordinates of \( K \) to those of \( K' \) are to be determined under the constancy of the speed of light assumption. Let the spatial transformation have the following linear form:

\[
x' = \gamma x + \beta t, \quad (1)
\]

where \( \gamma \) and \( \beta \) are real terms to be determined—\( y \) and \( z \) remain invariant.

The origin of \( K' \) is traveling at speed \( v \) with respect to \( K \) origin. Therefore, the coordinate \( x = vt \) is transformed to \( x' = 0 \). Hence, plugging the particular conversion \( x = vt; \ x' = 0 \) in Eq. (1) yields \( 0 = \gamma vt + \beta t \), or \( \beta = -\gamma v \) (for \( t \neq 0 \)), leading to the spatial transformation equation
\[ x' = \gamma(x - vt), \quad t \neq 0 \quad (2) \]

Plugging the basic form of Einstein’s speed of light postulate
\[ x = ct; \quad x' = ct' \quad (3) \]
into Eq.(2), leads to the time transformation equation
\[ t' = \gamma \left( t - \frac{vt}{c} \right), \quad t \neq 0. \quad (4) \]

Equation (4) infers that for \( t' = 0 \) (while \( t \neq 0 \)), \( v = c \); i.e., any time duration in \( K \) is transformed to zero duration relative to \( K' \) when \( v = c \), which means the time in \( K \) stops with respect to \( K' \), when \( v = c \).

So far, Eq. (4) represents the time transformation between our two reference frames, without specific conditions other than \( t \neq 0 \). However, forcing Einstein’s assumption that \( t' \) must be a function of \( t \) and \( x \), we use \( x = ct \) in the term \( vt / c \) in Eq. (4), to get
\[ t' = \gamma \left[ t - \frac{vx}{c^2} \right], \quad t \neq 0. \quad (5) \]

Therefore, Eq. (5) is now limited to the condition \( x = ct \), with the above restriction \( t \neq 0 \) being maintained, leading to the additional restriction of \( x \neq 0 \).

The limitation of the LT time equation to events with coordinates satisfying the relation \( x = ct \) has been demonstrated \(^8\) using Einstein’s own derivation of the LT in his 1905 paper \(^5\).

Now, owing to the fact that the reference frame \( K \) is traveling at a speed of \( -v \) with respect to \( K' \), and to Einstein’s relativity principle (the laws of physics—hence its governing equations—are the same with respect to all inertial frames; particularly, the coordinate transformation equations), the inverse of the general transformation given by Eq. (2) can be written as
\[ x = \gamma(x' + vt'), \quad (6) \]
which must be as well restricted—by symmetry—to \( t' \neq 0 \).

Similarly, using the basic principle of the constancy of the speed of light, and forcing the dependency of \( t \) on \( t' \) and \( x' \), the general transformation Eq. (6) leads to the particular equation
\[ t = \gamma \left( t' + \frac{vx'}{c^2} \right), \quad t' \neq 0, \quad (7) \]
limited to the condition \( x' = ct' \), and equally maintaining the above restriction \( t' \neq 0 \), leading to \( x' \neq 0 \).

Substituting Eqs. (2) and (5) in Eq. (7), leads after simplification to
\[ \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}. \quad (8) \]

It follows that Eqs. (2), (5) - (8) constitute the Lorentz transformation—and its inverse—although Eqs. (5) and (7) are shown to be merely particular equations limited to the special conditions of \( x = ct \) and \( x' = ct' \). In addition, as demonstrated above, the LT Eqs.(2), (5) - (8) are restricted to values of \( x, t, x', \) and \( t' \) being different from zero.

**Einstein’s predictions of time dilation and length contraction are based on applying the LT equations to restricted coordinates**
Considering the LT equations

\[ x' = \gamma (x - vt) \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

\[ t' = \gamma \left( t - \frac{vx}{c^2} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Einstein’s predicted the length contraction by maintaining that the length of a stick fixed along the \( x' \)-axis in \( K' \), measured in \( K \) as \( l \), being the distance between two simultaneous \((t = 0)\) events occurring at its extremities, would be, according to Eq. (9), measured in \( K' \) as \( l' = \gamma l \).

Hence the length contraction of the stick from the perspective of \( K' \):

\[ l = \frac{l'}{\gamma} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

On the other hand, Einstein predicted the time dilation by applying the time transformation on the time \( t' \) between two co-local events \((x' = 0; x = vt)\) in \( K' \). The corresponding time \( t \) relative to \( K \) will be, according to Eq. (10), dilated by the factor \( \gamma' \):

\[ t = \gamma t' \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

The above length contraction and time dilation Eqs. (11) and (12) are based on applying the LT equations to restricted coordinates \( t = 0 \) and \( x' = 0 \), which will be shown to result in mathematical contradictions.

**Application of LT equations to the restricted coordinates leads to mathematical contradictions**

The invalid generalization of the particular Eqs.(5) and (7) would result in mathematical conflicts. Indeed, substituting Eq. (5) into Eq. (7), returns

\[ t = \gamma \left( \gamma \left( t - \frac{vx}{c^2} \right) + \frac{vx'}{c^2} \right) \]

which can be simplified to

\[ t \left( \gamma^2 - 1 \right) = \frac{vx}{c^2} \left( \gamma^2 - \frac{vy'}{x} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Since, as shown earlier, the time Eqs. (5) and (7) are limited to coordinates satisfying \( x = ct; x' = ct' \), then Eq. (13) can be written as

\[ t \left( \gamma^2 - 1 \right) = \frac{vx}{c^2} \left( \gamma^2 - \frac{\gamma l'}{t} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

If Eqs. (5), (7) and (14) were generalized (i.e. applied to conditions other than \( x = ct; x' = ct' \), or \( t = x/c; t' = x'/c \)), and particularly applied to an event with the restricted time \( t' = 0 \), then according to Eq. (5), the transformed \( t \)-coordinate with respect to \( K \) would be \( t = vx/c^2 \). Consequently, for \( t \neq 0 \), Eq. (14) would reduce to

\[ t \left( \gamma^2 - 1 \right) = t \gamma^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

yielding the contradiction,

\[ \gamma^2 - 1 = \gamma^2 \], or \( 0 = 1 \).

It follows that the conversion of the restricted time coordinate \( t' = 0 \) to \( t = vx/c^2 \), for \( x \neq 0 \), by LT Eq.(5), is proved to be invalid, since it leads to a contradiction when used in Eq. (14), resulting from the LT time equations for \( t \neq 0 \).

Furthermore, substituting Eq. (2) into Eq. (6), yields
\[ x = \gamma (\gamma (x - vt) + vt') \; ; \]
\[ x(\gamma^2 - 1) = \gamma v (\gamma t - t') \; ; \]
\[ x(\gamma^2 - 1) = \gamma vt \left( \gamma - \frac{t'}{t} \right) \cdot \quad (16) \]

Since Eqs. (2) and (6), along with Eqs. (5) and (7), are limited to coordinates satisfying the conditions \( x = ct; x' = ct' \), Eq. (16) can be written as
\[ x(\gamma^2 - 1) = \gamma vt \left( \gamma - \frac{x'}{x} \right) \cdot \quad (17) \]

If Eqs. (2), (6) and (17) were generalized (i.e. applied to conditions other than \( x = ct; x' = ct' \)), and particularly applied to an event with the restricted coordinate \( x' = 0 \), then according to Eq. (2), the transformed \( x \)-coordinate with respect to \( K \) would be \( x = vt \). Consequently, for \( x \neq 0 \), Eq. (17) would reduce to
\[ x(\gamma^2 - 1) = x\gamma^2 \; , \quad (18) \]
\[ \gamma^2 - 1 = \gamma^2 \; , \quad \text{or} \quad 0 = 1. \]

It follows that the conversion of the restricted space coordinate \( x' = 0 \) of \( K' \) origin to \( x = vt \), at time \( t > 0 \), with respect to \( K \) by LT Eq. (2), is invalid, since it leads to a contradiction when used in Eq. (17), resulting from LT space equations, for \( x \neq 0 \).

**Conclusions**

The LT is demonstrated to be limited to events having non-zero time coordinates and non-zero space coordinates along the reference frames axes parallel to the relative motion direction. With such imposed coordinate restrictions, the predictions of the time dilation and length contraction become unfeasible.

In addition, The Lorentz time transformation equations are demonstrated to limit the involved spatial coordinates (in the terms \( vx/c^2 \) and \( vx'/c^2 \)) to the specific values of \( x = ct \) and \( x' = ct' \), resulting in mathematical contradictions when applied to events having restricted time or space coordinates.
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