

# Third Eye Retina Scan–Thought Force Technology

by

**Dr. Tamas Lajtner**

Contact via web site: [www.lajtnermachine.com](http://www.lajtnermachine.com)

**Abstract** Experiments prove thought force is an existing force that can leave our heads. Thought force is a new fundamental interaction which is not in our physics books. In order to understand this new fundamental interaction, we need a new theory that describes it. This is the space-matter model. In this model, thought is a force that appears as a change of the wavelengths of space waves. Thought as a space wave is more than just a standalone, lonely act. According to our brains, forces that appear in a given spectrum of wavelengths of space waves are thoughts, regardless of who or what created these forces: we ourselves, other humans or inanimate (non-living) things. We send and receive thoughts as forces. Thought is a kind of communication. The force of thought and thought force communication can be used in the industry. They make it possible to increase safety and to find new solutions that nobody has dreamed of until now.

**Keywords:** thought, space-matter, thought force, third eye retina scan, thinking, thought force communication,

---

This paper is written in a lightweight style, because its topic is very new and unique.  
If you need more details, please read my papers on <http://vixra.org>

---

## 1. SECRET SENSE?

Thought has force<sup>1</sup>. Thought force is able to move real objects. Using thought-run objects, whole systems can be controlled. These statements may sound very strange to you. Give yourself a little time to think about it...

It is a fact that the mind can perceive some sort of secret information. After all, without this secret information, you could not recognize your husband or your wife. For face recognition, eyesight is not enough. You also need the secret information. There are two diseases that affect face recognition (namely, Prosopagnosia<sup>2</sup> and Capgras Syndrome<sup>3</sup>), and doctors who study them claim that there are at least two channels of information - visual and the other. What is the other? We don't know, but we use more different expressions to describe this "secret channel": sixth sense, second sight, third eye, Eye of Horus, prana, extra-sensory perception, power of the mind, the mind's eye, and much more. Buddhism considers this sense thought itself.

Is thought just a passive perception? It must be more, since we ourselves create thoughts. What are thoughts? Thinking is our fundamental activity and even so there is no generally accepted definition as to what thought is. What about these?

- Thought is always a product of living beings.
- Thought is always human.
- Thought is always the production of mind or brain.
- Just thinking results in thoughts.

- Thought is independent from space and time. It is not a physical phenomenon.

They are common statements, but unfortunately all are false. You will see why. So, what is thought?

## 2. MEASURING OF THOUGHT

### 2.1. Electroencephalograph (EEG)

We don't know what thought is, but we know our brains more or less. We know that brains create electric/electromagnetic signals that are measurable. The device that measures these signals is the EEG (electroencephalograph<sup>4</sup>). Nowadays there are special helmets that you can buy via the internet. You can hook them to your computer and you can run a game just with thoughts without using your hands. These helmets contain a kind of EEG, too.

Using the EEG, a common faith is born. According to current, widespread understanding, measurable thoughts (or their effects) are the brain's electric/electromagnetic signals. The brain's electric/electromagnetic signals can be demonstrated in several ways.

Since the brain is in the head, thoughts are also in the head; however, this is only partly true. Thought can, indeed, leave a person's brain. The EEG itself provides evidence of this, since it takes measurements outside the head along the scalp.

### 2.2. Mind Power Experiments of Princeton University

At Princeton University (USA), there used to be a research program named Princeton Engineering Anomalies Research (PEAR)<sup>5</sup> that studied the "power of mind". PEAR employed electronic random event generators to explore the ability of the mind. PEAR's experiments were able to show the "influence of the mind" on physical systems.

The PEAR has finished, but the device Random Event Generator (REG) still exists at Psyleron which sells the REGs via the internet. The effect of thought occurs accidentally; thought's influence is unpredictable and incalculable.

The effect works "mysteriously", that is, the electric/electromagnetic signals of the brain are not able to explain the results, and there is no theory to explain the phenomenon. Psyleron admits (and I think Princeton University, too) that they do not understand the working method of "mind power"<sup>6, 7</sup> (thought force), and until now there has been no theory at all that has been able to describe it.

The measurable thought has remained the electric/electromagnetic signals of the brain.

### 2.3. Our Paper Wheel Experiment

To avoid unpredictable influence, in our experiments we used a paper wheel to show the force of thought. We used physics terms, and therefore we dropped out the non-physics terms. No mystic, no smoky and meaningless definitions. We wanted to measure the force and the energy of thought. And we did. The rotating wheel shows that thought appears as real force. This force, just like in the experiment at Stanford University, cannot be measured as electric/electromagnetic signals. The force of thought was able to rotate the paper wheel. The paper wheel's motion was visible to the naked eye. The process was video-recorded, and motions were computer-analyzed. But it wasn't electromagnetic force. But what then?

### 3. THOUGHT MEASURED

#### 3.1. We Measured the Thought Itself

What did we measure using a rotating paper wheel? We measured the force and the energy of thought. OK, but did we measure the effect of thought, or thought itself? We have measured the thought itself. This is hard to believe, because it's so simple!

A paper wheel is nothing other than a simple object that can be rotated by force. Without force, it cannot rotate at all. It rotates, if the force acts upon it. The paper wheel reveals thought in its true form. Humans need only think "Move", so the thought is "Move", and the paper wheel "moves". If humans think "Stop", the thought is "Stop", the paper wheel "stops" – hence, the thoughts "Move" and "Stop" are visible. These forces are not the effects of the thought, these forces themselves are thoughts. We measured the thought itself. Thought is measurable force, energy (See Ref.1).

In the given experiment, the average thought energy of a seemingly ordinary person can be expressed as  $E_{rot} = 1.62 \times 10^{-11}$  Joules. Note, this is the first and only measurement of thought energy published.

#### 3.2. No Electromagnetic Wave of Brain

$E_{rot}$  is a very small amount, but not small enough. Measurable thought is considered to be the brain's electromagnetic signals. The brain radiates electric waves in a spectrum<sup>8</sup> of

0.02-600 (Hz = 1/sec). Our brain<sup>9</sup> has about  $n = 10^{11}$  neurons. The energy that turns the paper wheel is  $E_{rot} = 1.62 \times 10^{-11}$  Joules. This energy must be created by neurons. If every neuron of the brain worked exclusively on rotating the paper wheel (which is, of course,

impossible) and  $E_{rot} = \sum_{i=1}^n E_{i \text{ neuron}}$ , then every neuron should produce an average energy value of  $E_{neuron} = 1.62 \times 10^{-22}$  Joules and transmit this energy to the wheel. Thus, the average frequency of the electromagnetic waves is  $f_{neuron} = 2.45 \times 10^{11}$  Hz. There ain't no such thing as microwave radiation of the brain. This is an impossible result. That is, the paper wheel cannot be rotated by the electric/electromagnetic signals of the brain. Does that mean that the paper wheel cannot be rotated by thought? No, because that is precisely what occurred. So what can we conclude? We have to admit that thought must have an unknown character.

We know four fundamental forces. Thought force can be neither the weak, nor the strong nuclear force, nor the electromagnetic force. Is thought a kind of gravity?

### 4. THOUGHT AS GRAVITY?

Thought is a kind of gravity. It seems to be a good idea. Good, because we know gravity well, and, therefore we can effortlessly put thought energy into our leading physics theories. So this is a good idea! Thought is gravity. Unfortunately, it isn't. Why? Let's see! The space-time continuum by Einstein is a four-dimensional space, where space has three spatial dimensions, and time has one dimension as time. FIG.1 below is the common way physicists

make space-time understandable. The topology of space-time depends on that which is in space-time. Mass (energy) changes the topology of space-time. How? Mass occurs gravity. Curved space-time occurs on account of gravity according to Einstein's space-time model. Masses always make this "concave" depression in the space-time continuum.




FIG.1. Mass makes a "concave" deformation in space-time. (Model, not proportional.)

Thoughts are capable of producing the space-time "concave" character. I was not right, thought *is* a kind of gravity! This statement remains true until the next experiment. Let us now try a new experiment with thought force. We can take, for example, a ball that can be moved with thought. Yet, now we discover a surprising result. Thoughts are able to *pull* and to *push* the ball. This is no longer a gravity-like phenomenon. This pushing force is a "convex" deformation in space-time. Gravity is always attraction which is "concave". Thought-created space-time modifications can be "concave" (first) or "convex" (second). See FIG.2.




FIG.2. Thought is able to alter space-time in two ways. (Model, not proportional.)

How can we explain this "convex" character of space-time? In the case of thought, we cannot. The space-time model misleads us. We need a new model.

## 5. SPACE-MATTER

The model sketched below marks a fundamental departure from space-time models. I call this new paradigm the "space-matter" model. In the space-matter model, time comes into existence when mass and space meet. Also, whenever mass and space meet, the result is time. Time is the action-reaction phenomena (or mutual effect) of matter and space, and it appears as space waves<sup>10</sup>. Based on the Casimir Effect<sup>11</sup> and other physical phenomena like gravity waves<sup>12</sup>, we can state that space exists in waves and vibrations. The model is supported by facts.

Today's physicists claim that time is what we measure as time. Measuring time means that we recognize action-reaction phenomena in terms of mass and energy. Let's exchange the terms "mass" and "energy" with "things". Time is a measurable action-reaction phenomenon between two things, where one thing is matter and the other thing is space. We can measure the change in matter caused by space insofar as particles are vibrating. The vibration of particles is periodic, which demonstrates the periodic action of space.

What does this imply? If we have matter and space, we have time. Time is not the fourth dimension. It is a phenomenon. It is a spatial wave, a series of signals with properties. This new definition of time is in harmony with our "normal" understanding of time; we can give one second using space waves. Our old time units also work in the space-matter, but the new model gives us new possibilities.

## 6. GRAVITY AND SLAPS

Gravity in space-matter arises, because the portions of space with higher pressure shift the masses. This concept of gravity is absolutely new but acceptable, since LIGO<sup>13</sup> has measured the gravitational waves.




FIG.3. Gravity is caused by space waves. (Model, not proportional.)

See FIG.3. The big mass makes the frequency of space waves smaller. On the other side of the small mass, the frequency of space waves is greater. One hit from the left, four hits from the right. The blue planet moves left. Or – even more clearly, though less scientifically – take the expression: "You'll get such a slap, you'll go flying!" If the small planet gets four slaps from the right and one from the left, it will move left.

## 7. THOUGHT FORCE IS A NEW FUNDAMENTAL FORCE

Space waves exist. So thought and gravity can be described as the modifications of frequencies of space waves. But there is a big difference between thought force and gravity. Gravity is always attractive, thought can pull and push. These two forces are not the same (but they have the same roots). Thought force appears as a new fundamental force that is not in our physics books. How does it work? Let's look at the difference between the popular space-time model and the new model called space-matter.

The attractive or "concave" thoughts in the space-time model make the wavelengths of space waves longer in the space-matter model. On the other hand, the pushing or "convex" thoughts make them shorter as shown in FIG.4.




FIG.4. Thought force in space-time and in space-matter. (Model, not proportional.)

Thoughts are able to increase and decrease the wavelengths of space waves. The black arrows show the direction of the change in wavelengths. The thought (force) is embedded in space waves.

## 8. THOUGHTS WITHOUT BRAINS

Thoughts are phenomena that are embedded in the modified space waves. Thought has a given frequency spectrum within space waves. Brains sense these forces as thoughts. From the viewpoint of physics, these modifications are forces that can be made by brains or non-brains, by living or inanimate (non-living). Saying this, there are thoughts that no brain and even no living thing created.

This new definition allows us to speak about thought force communication<sup>14</sup>. Thought as a space wave is more than just a standalone, lonely act. According to our brains, forces that appear in this given spectrum of wavelengths of space waves are thoughts, regardless of who or what created these forces: we ourselves, other humans or inanimate (non-living) things. We send and receive thoughts as forces. Thought force is a kind of communication. Thought force communication? Yes, but we can create a better expression from the viewpoint of marketing: third eye communication.

So, if we want to use the third eye communication industrially, we have to be able to scan the third eye. Are we able? Yes, we are.

## 9. OPTIONS OF THOUGHT FORCE

### 9.1. Made by Thought

Let's make a short summary! Thought has force that appears in the real world. It is able to move real objects. On the other hand, our brains sense forces (appearing as thoughts) that no brains created.

Is it strange for you? Yes, because you didn't learn it in school. On the other hand, you have an inborn knowledge about all the above-mentioned since you use your brain, you think. You use your thought force unconsciously without pause, twenty-four/seven.

I suggest using your thought force consciously. Why?

### 9.2. Personal Option

Thought force can move real objects. Water is real. Water has a state that can be created by thought. I refer to this state of water as adhesive water. Adhesive water can be run by thoughts. 60-65 % of our body, and 90-95 % of our brain, is water. Is it obvious why thought force is important for you? Using the adhesive state of water, you can cure yourself and others; healing by thought is generally available for everyone.

### 9.3. Industrial Viewpoint of Thought

What can be run by thoughts? Real objects. What can these real objects be? Practically everything within a given range of mass or energy, of course, if these objects are designed right. We are speaking about small energy, so we have to design objects which move easily. You may know the proverb: *faith moves mountains*. The proverb may be true, but mountains have to wait.

To understand how to use thought force for industrial goals, you have to understand how thought works. This is not about the measurement of force or energy of thought. This is about how we should imagine our thought. If you don't understand the way your thought remains connected with you after it's left your head, you cannot understand the possibility of thought force communication.

I often hold presentations, and I know from experience that the simplest figures can be better understood than the more complex ones. So, the following four figures are very simple. I want to stress here that thoughts are phenomena that we imagine somehow but in most cases these imaginations are not correct.

First let's see the old model. Thoughts are in our heads, see FIG.5.



FIG.5. Thoughts in head, illustration.

FIG.5. is the "common pictures" many people believe about thoughts. This picture is no more true, knowing that our thoughts can leave our heads (cp. EEG). We may illustrate the head-leaving thoughts with FIG.6. It shows one single thought that leaves the head.




FIG.6. One single thought leaves your head. Thought is able to reach other objects. Your thought floats away from your head and you lose all connections with your thought. (Illustration.)

FIG.6. shows the "stone thrown concept of thought". The thought has left our heads, and we have no more connection with it. Thought does what it does, and we have no control over it. FIG.7. corrects this idea.




FIG.7. Your thought leaves your head, but it doesn't lose the connection with you. (Illustration.)

In Model 1 and in Model 2 thought will express force on the yellow object. Model 1 shows the phenomenon without feedback: no thought force communication. The thought force communication works in the case of Model 2, where thought force has changed.

Model 2 of FIG.7. shows a good picture that can be the simplest model of thought force communication. The paper wheel experiment proves that Model 2 is correct. Now, let's see the situation showed by Model 2 with our third eyes. This is a new viewpoint presented by FIG.8.



FIG.8. Third eye's viewpoint. Your thought leaves your head, but it doesn't lose the connection with you. The changes of thought appear as feedbacks for you that your third eye perceives. (Illustration.)

Your third eye can see that the green thought has been changed. It tries to restore the green thought to create the original form of it (cp. FIG.7.)

Saying this, thinking is a continual interaction, seeking the balance between thought force and other forces. In physics terms, our thought force (green) is a force like any other force. If it meets another force (red), they create the sum of forces (blue). See FIG.9.



FIG.9. The common picture of a force in physics is an arrow (a vector). The first part of FIG.9. (with white background) shows that two forces meet. The green one is the thought force, the red one is an external force. The sum of these forces is the blue arrow. (Illustration.)

The second part shows your third eye activity working. Your third eye can see the blue sum and tries to grow the (green) force of thought (green dotted) as long as the new sum of forces (blue dotted) can replace the original green thought force. Now the blue dotted force will give you the result you wanted (for example to rotate the paper wheel).

You get the result you want, only if you change the force of your thought depending on environmental forces. The method is generally known, this is a system with feedback<sup>15</sup>.

Of course there is a limit to increasing thought force. The force of thought has a limit. The limit of your thought force can be greater or smaller than my limit, but we both have a very small range of thought force. But within this range, you are able to grow or decrease the force you want to send via thought. That is, you can change the length and the direction of your thought force arrow. This is your inborn ability. You use it, making unconscious thought force communication possible twenty-four/seven.

The conscious thought force communication will be new for you. The method is not new; you know and practice it. The experience will be new for you. The serendipity of your thought force, that you can move real objects with your thought is a life changing experience.

I do know, (almost) every scientist refuses to accept the existence of thought forces. They will change their mind, since the devices are here and the third eye retina scan is ready for work.

## 10. ADVANTAGES IN USING THOUGHT FORCE

### 10.1. With and Without Feedback

There are two possibilities for the industrial use of thought force.

- There are cases when you don't want to communicate by thought, just to set something with your thought force, for example, to turn the light on. You can see the result, you don't need the "third eye feedback". This is the passive third eye retina scan.
- There are cases when you need the feedback, you need to sense the blue sum. The option of the feedback depends on the force (energy) of your thought and the device you run with thoughts. This is the active third eye retina scan. According to our experiments, many devices can be involved in active thought force communication. The paper wheel is one of them.

### 10.2. Not Replaceable

The force of thought is a new fundamental interaction. It means that there are cases, when it cannot be replaced with our well-known forces. So, using thought force is a new way of security.

### 10.3. Independent from the Internet and Other Nets

A thought force switch can be a standalone switch like one you can switch with your hand on the wall. It can be independent from the computer networks, so it cannot be hacked via the internet.

### 10.4. Third Eye Retina Scan is Ready to Use

There are many new possibilities we have found out, but there must be tons we don't know. One thing is sure: The third eye retina scan can start. And it will open up a very interesting world for us.

## 11. A BETTER AND SAFER WORLD

No doubt, the third eye retina scan will change the role of humans in the technological world. In the case of the third eye retina scan, the human is not just a user anymore. Humans are part of the system, in other words, there will be a new kind of cooperation between machines and humans—in wider meaning, between humans and nature. Since thought force communication uses the oldest communication channel of our world—space waves—the third eye iris scanner is a very big step in the direction of nature-friendly technologies. If we can understand the working method of this force as much as the electromagnetic force we know

today, we may be able to construct machines copying the deepest mechanisms of nature. I do think that thought technology leads us to a better and safer world.

The third eye retina scan is useful for the psychology and philosophy, too, since it highlights the hidden character of our thinking. Our thoughts depend on two kinds of forces: on an external force (= we ourselves) and an internal force (= the World around us). Until now, the external forces are absolutely unknown and are hidden from our conscious being. The external forces are also very complex, since our thoughts are partly external and internal force from the viewpoint of creation of a new thought. You can find more about this topic in Ref. 10.

In this paper I haven't mentioned many interesting aspects of the many thought-run devices we built; I haven't mentioned the surprising connections between space-matter and life, space-matter and consciousness, space-matter and love etc. Long story short, using the space-matter theory and its working results like the third eye retina scan, thought-run objects etc., we will be able to find a newer secret door to nature. Opening it, we'll reach a more complete level of understanding ourselves and humans' place in the world.

## LIST OF FIGURES

- FIG.1. Mass makes a "concave" deformation in space-time. (Model, not proportional.)  
 FIG.2. Thought is able to alter space-time in two ways. (Model, not proportional.)  
 FIG.3. Gravity is caused by space waves. (Model, not proportional.)  
 FIG.4. Thought force in space-time and in space-matter. (Model, not proportional.)  
 FIG.5. Thoughts in head, illustration.  
 FIG.6. One single thought leaves your head. Thought is able to reach other objects. Your thought floats away from your head and you lose all connections with your thought. (Illustration.)  
 FIG.7. Your thought leaves your head, but it doesn't lose the connection with you. The thought force communication works in the case of Model 2. Model 1 is able to express force on the yellow object. (Illustration.)  
 FIG.8. Thought leaves your head, but it doesn't lose the connection with you. (Illustration.)  
 FIG.9. The common picture of a force in physics is an arrow (a vector). The first part of FIG.9. (with white background) shows that two forces meet. The green one is the thought force, the red one is an external force. The sum of these forces is the blue arrow. (Illustration.)

## 12. LIST OF REFERENCES

---

<sup>1</sup> Lajtner T., (2016) Thought force is a new fundamental interaction [Physics Essays 29, 239-247.](#)

<sup>2</sup> Bate S., (2010) Information about Propagnosia <http://prosopagnosiaresearch.org/index/information> (2010)

<sup>3</sup> Joseps K. A., (2007) Capgras syndrome and its relationship to neurodegenerative disease. [Arch Neurol.](#) 64(12):1762-6.

- 
- <sup>4</sup> Millet D., (2002) International Society for the History of the Neurosciences, Annual Meeting, Session VI -- APMT- [The Origins of EEG](#).
- <sup>5</sup> Team PEAR (2010) <http://www.princeton.edu/~pear/>.
- <sup>6</sup> Princeton Engineering Anomalies Research Laboratory (2009) <http://www.psylon.com/overview.aspx>.
- <sup>7</sup> Princeton Engineering Anomalies Research Laboratory (2009) <http://www.psylon.com/researchSummary.aspx>.
- <sup>8</sup> Buzsaki Gy., (2006) [Rhythms of the Brain](#), Oxford University Press.
- <sup>9</sup> Williams R. W., Herrup K., (1988) [Annual Review of Neuroscience](#) 11. 423.
- <sup>10</sup> Lajtner T., (2015) Ez minden? <http://mek.oszk.hu/13600/13685/>
- <sup>11</sup> Casimir H. B. G. and Polder D., (1948) The Influence of Retardation on the London-van der Waals Forces. [Phys. Rev. 73, 360](#).
- <sup>12</sup> <https://www.ligo.caltech.edu/detection> (2016).
- <sup>13</sup> [https://www.ligo.caltech.edu/system/media\\_files/binaries/302/original/detection-press-release.pdf](https://www.ligo.caltech.edu/system/media_files/binaries/302/original/detection-press-release.pdf) (2016).
- <sup>14</sup> Lajtner T., (2016) Thought Force Communication, Space-Matter, Gravity <http://vixra.org/abs/1606.0297>
- <sup>15</sup> Åström K. J. and Murray R. M., (2010). [Feedback Systems: An Introduction for Scientists and Engineers](#), Princeton University Press)