On Finding All Solutions to the Lemoine - Levy Problem

Matilda Walter

Abstract

Lemoine - Levy Conjecture, probably the least known of the 'Goldbach Conjectures', states that every positive odd integer > 5 is a sum of a prime and double of a prime. We present a simple sieve procedure for finding all existing solutions to the problem for any given odd number > 5.

The Lemoine - Levy Conjecture states that every odd number greater than 5 can be written as a sum of prime and double of a prime, i.e., for N > 2, we have 2N + 1 = p + 2q for some prime numbers p and q. What we refer to as the Lemoine - Levy problem, is a question of finding any, or all, solutions for any given odd number > 5. We write the Lemoine - Levy 'equation', as 2N+1 = 2p + q, because our sieve will apply to the doubles of p. In what follows, we give a complete solution to this problem.

In [4] we presented a sieve algorithm that finds all solutions to the Goldbach problem for any given 2N > 4. The solution to the Lemoine - Levy problem, follows a similar pattern, depending on the fact that given a congruence

$$A + B \equiv C \mod p$$
,

the only way that A can be congruent to $C \mod p$, is if B is congruent to $0 \mod p$. This, in case of Goldbach problem, is applied to a congruence arising from the identity

$$p + (2N - p) = 2N$$

The sieve does the rest, discarding p if $(2N - p) \equiv 0$ modulo some prime $\langle (2N)^{1/2}$ and keeping it otherwise. There is a minor difference in the treatment of the two problems that requires an extra step in Lemoine - Levy case and will be explained shortly.

All existing solutions to the Lemoine - Levy problem for 2N+1, with N>2, are found by sieving through the doubles of all primes < N, with the residue classes of a system of congruences satisfied by (2N+1), i.e., the system $(2N+1) \mod 3$, $(2N+1) \mod 5$,... $(2N+1) \mod p_k$, with the moduli given by all odd primes p_i , $2 < p_i \le p_k < (2N+1)^{1/2} < p_{k+1}$. When $(2N+1) - p_k \le 2p$, so that the difference (2N+1) - 2p, if prime, is one of the moduli and if $2p \equiv 2N+1 \mod p_i$, then we keep, or sieve 2p out, according to the difference (2N+1) - 2p being, respectively, equal, or not equal, to p_i . This is the extra step, alluded to earlier and it is necessary because

$$2p \equiv 2N+1 \mod p_i$$

is equivalent to

$$(2N+1) - 2p \equiv 0 \mod p_i$$

and the solutions of the latter, being multiples of p_i , include p_i itself.

The claim that the proposed sieve correctly picks out all of the solutions to the Lemoine - Levy problem for any odd number > 5, will now be proved.

Proof: Given 2N+1 > 5 let p be any prime < N and consider the following identity

$$2p + ((2N+1) - 2p) = 2N+1$$

From the identity we obtain a valid congruence, taken modulo each of the odd primes $p_i < (2N)^{1/2}$

$$2p + ((2N+1) - 2p) \equiv 2N+1 \mod p_i$$

From the congruence we deduce that 2p will be incongruent to 2N+1, unless

$$(2N+1) - 2p \equiv 0 \bmod p_i$$

for some $p_i < (2N)^{1/2}$.

Therefore, if (2N+1) - 2p is prime¹, it is either $> p_k$, or it will be one of the moduli. In the former case, being bigger than any of the moduli, none of its residues will equal zero. As a result, 2p will be incongruent to (2N+1) and will survive the sieve. In the latter case, when

$$2N+1-p_k\leq 2p,$$

the difference, (2N+1) - 2p, if prime, will be among the moduli. Then, if 2p is congruent to $2N+1 \mod p_i$, we keep 2p if $(2N+1) - 2p = p_i$, and discard it otherwise. Here, (2N+1) - 2p is prime, but being p_i , it causes the condition for sieving 2p out, namely, $2p \equiv 2N+1 \mod p_i$, to be satisfied. Hence, the extra step preventing 2p from being erroneously discarded.

If, on the other hand, (2N+1) - 2p is composite, then it has a prime divisor among the moduli. Its residue modulo the divisor will be zero, at which point, 2p modulo the same divisor, will be congruent to 2N+1 and will be sieved out.

For each double of a prime that survives this process, (2N+1)-2p will also be prime, while for the sieved out doubles of primes, (2N+1)-2p will be divisible by the modulus at which the residue of 2N+1 sieved out 2p.

Sieving through the doubles of all primes < N, applies the sieve to all potential candidates for solutions. The doubles that survive the procedure, each paired to the prime 2N+1-2p, constitute all solutions to the Lemoine - Levy problem for 2N+1. *QED*

For example, consider 2N+1=201. Square root of 201 lies between 14 and 15, so the moduli are all odd primes < 14, namely 3, 5, 7, 11 and 13. Doubles of primes < N = 100 are, respectively, 4, 6, 10, 14, 22, 26, 34, 38, 46, 58, 62, 74, 82, 86, 94, 106, 118, 122, 134, 142, 146, 158, 166, 178 and 194. The number, 201, satisfies the following congruences with respect to the given moduli:

 $201 \equiv 0 \mod 3$ $201 \equiv 1 \mod 5$ $201 \equiv 5 \mod 7$ $201 \equiv 3 \mod 11$ $201 \equiv 6 \mod 13$

¹ We do not need to know whether (2N + I - 2p) is prime, or composite (!) We need to assume both, in turn, to show that the behavior of 2p with respect to the proposed sieve, reflects which is the actual case...

The first congruence sieves out 6. The second sieves out 26, 46, 86, 106, 146 and 166 with 6, which is also congruent to 1 mod 5, already sieved out. The third sieves out 82 and 194 with 26 and 166, also congruent to 5 mod 7, already sieved out, but 194 is greater than $(2N+1) - p_k = 188$ and (2N + 1) - 2p = 201 - 194 = 7 and as this is p_i in question, we keep 194. Fourth congruence sieves out 14 and 58, with 146 already sieved out and the last congruence sieves out none since 58, which is congruent to 6 mod 13, has already been sieved out.

This leaves 4, 10, 22, 34, 38, 62, 74, 94, 118, 122, 134, 142, 158, 178 and 194 as the surviving doubles and to each there corresponds a prime (2N+1) - 2p; these are, respectively, 197, 191, 179, 167, 163, 139, 127, 107, 83, 79, 67, 59, 43, 23 and 7. For each double of a prime that was sieved out, (2N+1) - 2p is composite and is divisible by the modulus at which the residue of 2N+1 sieved out 2p.

As we did in the closing paragraph of [4] we would like to point out that the foregoing is not a solution to the Lemoine - Levy Conjecture, as it does not prove, or otherwise imply, existence of solutions for any odd numbers. The sieve presented here, partitions the set of doubles of primes in accordance with the imposed congruence conditions thereby picking out *existing* solutions from all of the candidates. Should a counter-example to the Conjecture exist, the sieve will show that there are no solutions by sieving out all of the doubles that present themselves. In other words, the sieve is neutral, in so far as it does *its* job, regardless of the outcome.

References

[1] H. Halberstam and H.-E. Richert - Sieve Methods, Academic Press, 1974

[2] C. Hooley - Applications of Sieve Methods to the Theory of Numbers, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics N° 70, Cambridge University Press, 1976

[3] H. Iwaniec and J. Friedlander - Opera de Cribro, AMS Colloquium Publications Vol. 57, 2010

[4] Matilda Walter - On Finding All Solutions to the Goldbach Problem for 2N, vixra 1607.0359, 2016