

Note on Uniqueness Solutions of Navier-Stokes Equations

Valdir Monteiro dos Santos Godoi

valdir.msgodoi@gmail.com

Remembering the need of impose the boundary condition $u(x, t) = 0$ at infinity to ensure uniqueness solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations.

Recently I wrote a paper named "A Naive Solution for Navier-Stokes Equations"^[1] where I concluded that it is possible does not exist the uniqueness of solutions in these equations for $n = 3$, even with all terms and for any $t > 0$.

This conclusion inhibited me to publish officially my other article "Three Examples of Unbounded Energy for $t > 0$ "^[2], also a very important paper.

This distressful and no way out situation disappears when we impose the boundary condition $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t) = 0$, which guarantees the desired uniqueness of solutions at least in a finite and not null time interval $[0, T]$. Possibly others boundary conditions also arrive at the uniqueness, but null velocity at infinite may imply a minimum volume of $|u|^2$ and the respective total kinetic energy.

Thus is necessary do some changes in the expressions of external forces, pressures and velocities used in [2] to establish again the breakdown solution in [3], due to occurrence of unbounded energy $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 dx \rightarrow \infty$ in $t > 0$. In special, a general example, for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and $\nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot u^0 = \nabla \cdot v = 0$, is

$$u_i(x, t) = u_i^0(x)e^{-t} + v_i(x)e^{-t}(1 - e^{-t}), \quad u, u^0, v, x \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

$$u_i^0(x) \in S(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad v_i(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad v \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} v(x) = 0,$$

$$p \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \infty)),$$

$$f_i = \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^3 u_j \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} - \nu \nabla^2 u_i \right) \in S(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \infty)).$$

The conditions (4) for initial velocity and (5) for external force, conforming description given in [3],

$$(4) \quad |\partial_x^\alpha u^0(x)| \leq C_{\alpha K} (1 + |x|)^{-K}, \quad \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \forall \alpha, K$$

$$(5) \quad |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_t^m f(x, t)| \leq C_{\alpha m K} (1 + |x| + t)^{-K}, \quad \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \infty), \quad \forall \alpha, m, K$$

is a kind of *straitjacket*, and for me do not seem good conditions to make possible physically reasonable solutions, rather only restricts the solutions to a very limited and very artificial set of possibilities. If it were possible to the external force be in the set

$C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \infty))$, such as the velocity and pressure in $t > 0$, even being only limited functions and equals zero as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, instead Schwartz Space, the possible solutions will be much more interesting and realistic.

July-03-2016

References

- [1] Godoi, Valdir M.S., *A Naive Solution for Navier-Stokes Equations*, in <http://vixra.org/abs/1604.0107> (2016).
- [2] Godoi, Valdir M.S., *Three Examples of Unbounded Energy for $t > 0$* , in <http://vixra.org/abs/1602.0246> (2016).
- [3] Fefferman, Charles L., *Existence and Smoothness of the Navier-Stokes Equation*, in <http://www.claymath.org/sites/default/files/navierstokes.pdf> (2000).