



Misam Abidi <misam.abidi110@gmail.com>

Why we can not go faster than light.

1 message

misam.abidi110@gmail.com <misam.abidi110@gmail.com>

Thu, Jun 2, 2016 at 1:59 AM

To: misam.abidi110@gmail.com

Why we can not go faster than light.

Lets ask the question another way, CAN YOU GO Slower than ZERO?? There is a lack of fundamental understanding here and this results in the curiosity to go faster than light.

YOU MAY NOT GO FASTER THAN THE SPEED OF LIGHT BECAUSE BEYOND THE SPEED OF LIGHT YOU REACH ZERO SPEED(strange right but very true speaking in terms of relativity). Speed is a matter of relativity as speed is understood as how long it takes for a body to cover a particular distance, but to find this you need a few things one you need lets say a mass travelling, secondly you need a point A and point B in space and how fast this or how long this mass takes to cover from A to B gives us a sense of its speed. Now Only space exists at the speed of absolute zero (absolute rest) relative to all else, because space is infinite and the mathematical understanding is vacuum is not moving atleast not in reference to any thing else so speaking in terms of relativity vacuum is at complete rest or rather is at absolute rest or again rather at absolute zero relative speed.

When Space/vacuum creates the first FINITE particles (cassini effect, Quantum foam) they take existence at the first highest speed possible which is BELOW ZERO and that speed is C. The Higgs fields and other items slow these particles down and increase their masses and we have all sorts of elements over eons of time/entropy. But the rule of thumb is the universe counts down not up or rather moves from highest to lowest in its creative process. Simple entropy. To understand why the math keeps showing an issue with crossing C we need to understand another fundamental that is very misunderstood

Einstien showed us that mass and energy are the same things interconvertible just in different states but what he was unable to do was complete the equalence puzzle and this has thrown scientists off for a long while.

This is what Einstien and many scientists missed to understand, Mass is interconvertible into not only energy but also to vacuum!(quantum foam) It is all one thing, just in different states. I say again mass, energy and vacuum are all one and the same! They exist in their respective state based on the velocity of their existence and if the velocity moves up or down they interconvert! It is a misconception that vacuum implies "nothing" no vacuum is the not nothing, nothing would be the absence of space(roominess) itself also! Just like water is one thing and can be a solid or liquid or vapour depending on temperature, the universe exhibits the same format but based on velocity.

So if there were proper understanding of the process would someone ask, under normal circumstances what happens to water if it crosses a 100 degrees? Well it turns to vapour it has a state change. No need to ask we know because we understand.

In the case For the vacuum/space, the energy and the mass the change determinant factor is velocity. A Mass that reaches C simply completely converts back to being part of the infinite vacuum.

Not knowing this fact causes all the questions of crossing light speed and the knowing about what happens to water after a hundred degrees does not cause questions like what will happen to water after a hundred degrees

celsius.

This not knowing is causing many other retarded statements like mass becomes infinite or you need infinite energy to cross C or Time stops at C. Or my favorite one mass increases as we approach C.

Not true and wrong interpretation of math. The math is trying to express that the mass converted to vacuum at C and vacuum being infinite, the math can say mass has become infinite, not infinite mass (that is beyond logic, that is like saying finite infinity)

Same with time, at C it stops because the mass is no more as mass, it is back to being a vacuum now, so the math can't say anything but T is zero because the distance from point A to point A is zero. So long as A and B existed time had a function but at C the function ends. Get it? I hope so. Just math. Misunderstood.

Cheers.

Please ask me any confusions I will elaborate

Sent from my iPhone