Kochen-Specker theorem in the two-dimensional white noise state

Koji Nagata¹ and Tadao Nakamura²

¹Department of Physics, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Daejeon 305-701, Korea

E-mail: ko_mi_na@yahoo.co.jp

²Department of Information and Computer Science, Keio University,

3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 223-8522, Japan

E-mail: nakamura@pipelining.jp

(Dated: June 4, 2016)

We present the Kochen-Specker (KS) theorem in the two-dimensional white noise state. We consider whether we can simulate the double-slit experiment in the state by a realistic theory of the KS type. We assume an implementation of the double-slit experiment. There is a detector just after each slit. Thus interference figure does not appear, and we do not consider such a pattern. We assume that a source of spin-carrying particles emits them in a state, which can be described as the two-dimentional white noise state. We consider a single expected value of a Pauli observable σ_x in the double-slit experiment. A wave function analysis says that the quantum expected value of it is zero. However, the realistic theory of the KS type cannot coexist with the value of the expected value of $\langle \sigma_x \rangle = 0$. Hence, we cannot simulate the double-slit experiment in the state by the realistic theory of the KS type.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud (Quantum non locality), 03.65.Ta (Quantum measurement theory), 03.65.Ca (Formalism)

I. INTRODUCTION

The quantum theory (cf. [1–5]) gives accurate and at times remarkably accurate numerical predictions. Much experimental data has fit to the quantum predictions for long time.

Kochen and Specker present the no-hidden-variables theorem (the KS theorem) [6]. The original KS theorem says the non-existence of a real-valued function which is multiplicative and linear on commuting operators. The proof of the original KS theorem relies on intricate geometric argument. Greenberger, Horne, and Zeilinger discover [7, 8] the so-called GHZ theorem for four-partite GHZ state. And, the KS theorem becomes very simple form (see also Refs. [9–13]).

It is begun to research the validity of the KS theorem by using inequalities (see Refs. [14–17]). To find such inequalities to test the validity of the KS theorem is particularly useful for experimental investigation [18]. One of authors derives an inequality [17] as tests for the validity of the KS theorem. The quantum predictions violate the inequality when the system is in an uncorrelated state. An uncorrelated state is defined in Ref. [19]. The quantum predictions by *n*-partite uncorrelated state violate the inequality by an amount that grows exponentially with *n*.

The double-slit experiment is an illustration of waveparticle duality. In it, a beam of particles (such as photons) travels through a barrier with two slits removed. If one puts a detector screen on the other side, the pattern of detected particles shows interference fringes characteristic of waves; however, the detector screen responds to particles. The system exhibits behaviour of both waves (interference patterns) and particles (dots on the screen).

If we modify this experiment so that one slit is closed,

no interference pattern is observed. Thus, the state of both slits affects the final results. We can also arrange to have a minimally invasive detector at one of the slits to detect which slit the particle went through. When we do that, the interference pattern disappears [20]. An analysis of a two-atom double-slit experiment based on environment-induced measurements is reported [21].

We assume an implementation of double-slit experiment. There is a detector just after each slit. Thus interference figure does not appear, and we do not consider such a pattern. The possible values of the result of measurements are ± 1 (in $\hbar/2$ unit). If a particle passes one side slit, then the value of the result of measurement is +1. If a particle passes another slit, then the value of the result of measurement is -1. This model is easy detector model for Pauli observable.

Here we consider whether we can simulate the white noise state by a realistic theory of the KS type. So, we investigate the relation between easy detector model to a Pauli observable and the KS theorem.

In this paper, we consider whether we can simulate the double-slit experiment in the white noise state. We assume an implementation of double-slit experiment. We assume that a source of spin-carrying particles emits them in the white noise state. We consider a single expected value of Pauli observable σ_x in the double-slit experiment. A wave function analysis says that the quantum expected value of it is zero. However, the realistic theory of the KS type cannot coexist with the value of the expected value of $\langle \sigma_x \rangle = 0$. Hence, we cannot simulate the white noise state by the realistic theory of the KS type.

II. THE DOUBLE-SLIT EXPERIMENT AND THE KS THEOREM

In this section, by using the double-slit experiment, we present the KS theorem in the two-dimensional white noise state. Especially, we systematically describe our assertion based on more mathematical analysis using raw data in a thoughtful experiment.

We assume an implementation of the double-slit experiment. There is a detector just after each slit. Thus interference figure does not appear, and we do not consider such a pattern. The possible values of the result of measurements are either 1 or -1 (in $\hbar/2$ unit). If a particle passes one side slit, then the value of the result of measurement is +1. If a particle passes another slit, then the value of the result of measurement is -1. This is an easy detector model of a single Pauli observable.

A. A wave function analysis

Let σ_x be a single Pauli observable. Here,

$$\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

We assume that a source of a spin-carrying particle emits them in a state V_{noise} . Here,

$$V_{\text{noise}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2)

We consider a quantum expected value $\text{Tr}[V_{\text{noise}}\sigma_x]$. If we consider only a wave function analysis, the possible values of the square of the quantum expected value are

$$(\mathrm{Tr}[V_{\mathrm{noise}}\sigma_x])^2 = 0. \tag{3}$$

We define $||E_{\rm QM}||^2$ as

$$||E_{\text{QM}}||^2 = (\text{Tr}[V_{\text{noise}}\sigma_x])^2.$$
(4)

 $||E_{\text{QM}}||_{\text{max}}^2$ and $||E_{\text{QM}}||_{\text{min}}^2$ are the maximal and minimal possible values of the product, respectively. We have

$$\|E_{\rm QM}\|^2 \le 0 \tag{5}$$

Thus,

$$||E_{\rm QM}||_{\rm max}^2 = 0 \tag{6}$$

We have

$$\|E_{\rm QM}\|^2 \ge 0 \tag{7}$$

Thus,

$$\|E_{\rm QM}\|_{\rm min}^2 = 0 \tag{8}$$

Hence we have

$$|E_{\rm QM}||_{\rm min}^2 = 0 \text{ and } ||E_{\rm QM}||_{\rm max}^2 = 0.$$
 (9)

B. The realistic theory of the KS type

A mean value E satisfies the realistic theory of the KS type if it can be written as

$$E = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{m} r_l(\sigma_x)}{m},\tag{10}$$

where l denotes a notation and r is the result of the measurement of the Pauli observable σ_x . We assume the values of r are either 1 or -1 (in $\hbar/2$ unit). Assume the quantum mean values with the system in a state admits the realistic theory of the KS type. One has the following proposition concerning the realistic theory of the KS type

$$\operatorname{Tr}[\rho\sigma_x](m) = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^m r_l(\sigma_x)}{m}.$$
 (11)

We can assume the following by Strong Law of Large Numbers [22],

$$\operatorname{Tr}[\rho\sigma_x](+\infty) = \operatorname{Tr}[\rho\sigma_x]. \tag{12}$$

We define $||E_{\text{QM}}||^2(m)$ as

$$||E_{\text{QM}}||^2(m) = (\text{Tr}[\rho\sigma_x](m))^2.$$
 (13)

We can assume the following by Strong Law of Large Numbers,

$$||E_{\rm QM}||^2(+\infty) = ||E_{\rm QM}||^2 = ({\rm Tr}[\rho\sigma_x])^2.$$
(14)

In what follows, we show that we cannot accept the relation (11) concerning the realistic theory of the KS type. Assume the proposition (11) is true. By changing the notation l into l', we have same quantum mean value as follows

$$\operatorname{Tr}[\rho\sigma_x](m) = \frac{\sum_{l'=1}^m r_{l'}(\sigma_x)}{m}.$$
 (15)

We introduce an assumption that Sum rule and Product rule commute with each other [23]. We do not pursue the details of the assumption. To pursue the details is an interesting point. It is suitable to the next step of researches. We have the following

$$\begin{split} \|E_{\text{QM}}\|^{2}(m) \\ &= \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{m} r_{l}(\sigma_{x})}{m} \times \frac{\sum_{l'=1}^{m} r_{l'}(\sigma_{x})}{m} \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{m}}{m} \cdot \frac{\sum_{l'=1}^{m}}{m} |r_{l}(\sigma_{x})r_{l'}(\sigma_{x})| \\ &= \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{m}}{m} \times \frac{\sum_{l'=1}^{m}}{m} = 1. \end{split}$$
(16)

Clearly, the above inequality can have the upper limit since the following case is possible:

$$\|\{l|l \in \mathbf{N} \land r_l(\sigma_x) = 1\}\| = \|\{l'|l' \in \mathbf{N} \land r_{l'}(\sigma_x) = 1\}\|,$$
(17)

 and

$$\|\{l|l \in \mathbf{N} \land r_l(\sigma_x) = -1\}\| = \|\{l'|l' \in \mathbf{N} \land r_{l'}(\sigma_x) = -1\}\|.$$
(18)

And we have the following

$$||E_{\rm QM}||^{2}(m) = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{m} r_{l}(\sigma_{x})}{m} \times \frac{\sum_{l'=1}^{m} r_{l'}(\sigma_{x})}{m} \\ \ge \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{m} \cdot \sum_{l'=1}^{m} (-1)}{m} (-1) \\ = (-1) \left(\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{m} \times \frac{\sum_{l'=1}^{m}}{m}}{m} \right) = -1.$$
(19)

Clearly, the above inequality can have the lower limit since the following case is possible:

$$\|\{l|l \in \mathbf{N} \land r_l(\sigma_x) = 1\}\| = \|\{l'|l' \in \mathbf{N} \land r_{l'}(\sigma_x) = -1\}\|,$$
(20)

and

$$\|\{l|l \in \mathbf{N} \land r_l(\sigma_x) = -1\}\| = \|\{l'|l' \in \mathbf{N} \land r_{l'}(\sigma_x) = 1\}\|.$$
(21)

Thus we derive a proposition concerning the quantum mean value under the assumption that the realistic theory of the KS type is true (in a spin-1/2 system), that is

$$-1 \le \|E_{\rm QM}\|^2(m) \le 1.$$
(22)

From Strong Law of Large Numbers, we have

$$-1 \le \|E_{\rm QM}\|^2 \le 1. \tag{23}$$

- J. J. Sakurai, Modern Quantum Mechanics (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1995), Revised ed.
- [2] A. Peres, *Quantum Theory: Concepts and Methods* (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1993).
- [3] M. Redhead, Incompleteness, Nonlocality, and Realism (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1989), 2nd ed.
- [4] J. von Neumann, Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics (Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1955).
- [5] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, 2000).
- [6] S. Kochen and E. P. Specker, J. Math. Mech. 17, 59 (1967).
- [7] D. M. Greenberger, M. A. Horne, and A. Zeilinger, in Bell's Theorem, Quantum Theory and Conceptions of the Universe, edited by M. Kafatos (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1989), pp. 69-72.
- [8] D. M. Greenberger, M. A. Horne, A. Shimony, and A. Zeilinger, Am. J. Phys. 58, 1131 (1990).
- [9] C. Pagonis, M. L. G. Redhead, and R. K. Clifton, Phys. Lett. A 155, 441 (1991).
- [10] N. D. Mermin, Phys. Today 43(6), 9 (1990).

Hence we derive the following proposition concerning the realistic theory of the KS type

$$||E_{\text{QM}}||_{\min}^2 = -1 \text{ and } ||E_{\text{QM}}||_{\max}^2 = 1.$$
 (24)

We cannot accept the two relations (9) (concerning a wave function analysis) and (24) (concerning the realistic theory of the KS type), simultaneously. Hence we are in the KS contradiction. The realistic theory of the KS type does not meet the wave function analysis and cannot measure Pauli observable σ_x correctly. Similar to the argumentations, the realistic theory of the KS type cannot measure Pauli observable σ_z correctly. In short, the realistic theory of the KS type cannot meet observability of σ_z and σ_x .

III. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have considered whether we can simulate the white noise state by a realistic theory of the KS type. We have assumed an implementation of doubleslit experiment. There has been a detector just after each slit. Thus interference figure has not appeared, and we do not have considered such a pattern. We have assumed that a source of spin-carrying particles emits them in the white noise state. We have considered a single expected value of a Pauli observable σ_x in the double-slit experiment. A wave function analysis has said that the quantum expected value of it is zero. However, the realistic theory of the KS type cannot have coexisted with the value of the expected value of $\langle \sigma_x \rangle = 0$. Hence, we cannot have simulated the double-slit experiment by the realistic theory of the KS type.

- [11] N. D. Mermin, Am. J. Phys. 58, 731 (1990).
- [12] A. Peres, Phys. Lett. A **151**, 107 (1990).
- [13] N. D. Mermin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 3373 (1990).
- [14] C. Simon, Č. Brukner, and A. Zeilinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4427 (2001).
- [15] J.-Å. Larsson, Europhys. Lett. 58, 799 (2002).
- [16] A. Cabello, Phys. Rev. A 65, 052101 (2002).
- [17] K. Nagata, J. Math. Phys. 46, 102101 (2005).
- [18] Y. -F Huang, C. -F. Li, Y. -S. Zhang, J. -W. Pan, and G. -C. Guo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 250401 (2003).
- [19] R. F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A 40, 4277 (1989).
- [20] De Broglie-Bohm theory Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia.
- [21] C. Schon and A. Beige, Phys. Rev. A 64, 023806 (2001).
- [22] In probability theory, the law of large numbers is a theorem that describes the result of performing the same experiment a large number of times. According to the law, the average of the results obtained from a large number of trials should be close to the expected value, and will tend to become closer as more trials are performed. The strong law of large numbers states that the sample average converges almost surely to the expected value.

[23] K. Nagata and T. Nakamura, Physics Journal, Volume 1, Issue 3, 183 (2015).