## TOWARDS A GENERAL THEORY OF PHYSICS II

- 1. In electromagnetic radiation one can see a kind of *horizon* of the whole range of phenomena studied in Physics. This should help us to think about the *three ideas* that gave rise to the theories that history has bequeathed us. These theories may be classified in accordance with the following: *path, wave* and *heat* are directing images of all reflection, conditioning, from the outset, its course and difficulty. I therefore propose to explore the following analogy: the *paths* studied in Mechanics are for *Geometrical Optics* as *material waves* are for *Undulatory optics* and *heat* is for what I term *Thermal Optics*.
- 2. Thus, I postulate an equivalence between three different types of *clock*: *rulers*, pulses and *thermometers* should be able to be standardised in an integrated manner. *Time* is understood here as a function of the so-called "velocity" of the process under study (the *distance* travelled, the *number of pulsations* occurred, or the *temperature/volume* difference), whereby the three types of the theory mentioned above should be characterised as follows:

Path:	Mass	Velocity ( $V_1 = d/t$ )	Energy
Wave:	Amplitude	Velocity ( $V_2 = \lambda \cdot v$ )	Energy
Heat:	Pressure	Velocity ( $V_3 = T/vol.$ )	Energy

- 3. Mass, pressure and amplitude should be understood as the expression of an equivalence between the three theoretical models. They reflect the way in which velocity and energy are related in each case, by means of a system of constants. Just as, in the case of paths where energy is understood as the product of the mass of the body in motion and the square of its velocity we obtained, for the limit of the velocity (V<sub>1</sub> = c), something that may be understood as a *limit of mass/curvature*, we should also explore the meaning of the limits of "velocity" proposed for the other two models, with respect to their amplitude and pressure.
- 4. Thus, if  $E \rightarrow mc^2$  and  $E/d \rightarrow L_1 = c^4/G$ , where c = d/t for the distance travelled by light in a vacuum, we have:

For the wave:  $E = f_2$  (amplitude)  $\rightarrow L_2 = f_2^*$  (c, h), where  $c = \lambda \cdot n \sec^{-1}$  for the number of pulsations of electromagnetic radiation

For heat:  $E = f_3$  (pressure)  $\rightarrow L_3 = f_3^*$  (c<sub>0</sub>, k), where c<sub>0</sub> = T/vol. for the *photonic thermometer*.

I propose, therefore, that the limits of "velocity" suggested here should be understood as leading to *two* other constants (amplitude and pressure) which should, by analogy with paths, have the dimensions of a surface or volumetric energy density ( $E/d^2$ ,  $E/d^3$ ), and should appear as functions of the "limit of velocity" of the process in question (c, c<sub>0</sub>) and another fundamental constant (h, k).

5. This being so, perhaps one can understand *mass*, *action* and *entropy* as three expressions – mechanical, undulatory, and thermal – of the same fundamental resistance to change, the same *inertia*. That is: the *mass/curvature* relationship should have an equivalence in the other two models – *action/amplitude* and *entropy/pressure*. This equivalence may be shown by studying the consequences of the *limits of velocity* corresponding to the respective processes, as proposed above. Just as  $E = mc^2$  where  $v \rightarrow c$ , we have  $E = h \cdot v_L$  where  $v \rightarrow v_L$  and  $E = k \cdot T_L$  where  $T \rightarrow T_L$ .