

# The Happy Mothers Theorem

F. Portela<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract:** We revisit a 25 years old approach of the twin primes conjecture, and after a simple adjustment, push it forward by means of ordinary sieves to a possibly important conclusion.

**Keywords:** twin primes, sieves

The twin prime conjecture is one of the most famous unsolved problems in mathematics. Recent developments<sup>[3][4]</sup> have incrementally taken the bar down to a bounded gap of only 246. It is still unclear though, whether the same techniques will allow the mathematical community to go all the way down to just 2.

Over the centuries, other (and generally less advanced) methods and techniques have been used to attempt to prove the conjecture. In some cases<sup>[2]</sup>, authors came close to what we describe below. The inspiration for the attempt presented here comes from Gold & Tucker (1991)<sup>[1]</sup>. We believe that the roadblock they hit was caused by the usage of their  $G(n)$  function. And thus, we will start where they left off, with their Theorem 2, simply coming back to a much more natural reformulation of it.

**Theorem 1:** *Any twin prime pair greater than  $(3; 5)$  is of the form  $[6z - 1; 6z + 1]$  where  $z \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and satisfies all inequalities in (1), for all  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ ; and conversely, for any such integer  $z$ , the pair  $[6z - 1; 6z + 1]$  is a twin prime pair.*

(1)

$$6xy + 5x + 5y + 4 \neq z$$

$$6xy + 7x + 5y + 6 \neq z$$

$$6xy + 7x + 7y + 8 \neq z$$

Since there is a one-to-one correspondence between the twin prime pairs and their  $z$  “seeds” or “happy mothers” (as we heard them called online), we will aim to count and measure the density over the integers of such numbers  $z$ .

---

<sup>1</sup> nando8888@gmail.com

A tabulated view will help visualize the effect of the above 3 inequations, seen as sieves:

Table 1:  $6xy + 5x + 5y + 4$

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	4	9	14	19	24	29	34	39	44	49	54
1	9	20	31	42	53	64	75	86	97	108	119
2	14	31	48	65	82	99	116	133	150	167	184
3	19	42	65	88	111	134	157	180	203	226	249
4	24	53	82	111	140	169	198	227	256	285	314
5	29	64	99	134	169	204	239	274	309	344	379
6	34	75	116	157	198	239	280	321	362	403	444
7	39	86	133	180	227	274	321	368	415	462	509
8	44	97	150	203	256	309	362	415	468	521	574

Table 2:  $6xy + 7x + 5y + 6$

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	6	11	16	21	26	31	36	41	46	51	56
1	13	24	35	46	57	68	79	90	101	112	123
2	20	37	54	71	88	105	122	139	156	173	190
3	27	50	73	96	119	142	165	188	211	234	257
4	34	63	92	121	150	179	208	237	266	295	324
5	41	76	111	146	181	216	251	286	321	356	391
6	48	89	130	171	212	253	294	335	376	417	458
7	55	102	149	196	243	290	337	384	431	478	525
8	62	115	168	221	274	327	380	433	486	539	592

Table 3:  $6xy + 7x + 7y + 8$

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	8	15	22	29	36	43	50	57	64	71	78
1	15	28	41	54	67	80	93	106	119	132	145
2	22	41	60	79	98	117	136	155	174	193	212
3	29	54	79	104	129	154	179	204	229	254	279
4	36	67	98	129	160	191	222	253	284	315	346
5	43	80	117	154	191	228	265	302	339	376	413
6	50	93	136	179	222	265	308	351	394	437	480
7	57	106	155	204	253	302	351	400	449	498	547
8	64	119	174	229	284	339	394	449	504	559	614

Sidenote: one can verify that the first missing elements from these 3 tables,  $\{1,2,3,5,7,10,12,17,18,\dots\}$  match exactly the <http://oeis.org/A002822> list.

We first define the infinite sets  $A_k \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,  $B_k \subset \mathbb{N}$  and  $C_k \subset \mathbb{N}$  of the form

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_k &= \{ m \equiv 5k - 1 \pmod{6k - 1} \} \\
 &\quad \cup \{ \{ m \equiv k \pmod{6k - 1} \} \setminus \{k\} \} \\
 B_k &= \{ m \equiv 5k + 1 \pmod{6k + 1} \} \\
 &\quad \cup \{ \{ m \equiv k \pmod{6k + 1} \} \setminus \{k\} \} \\
 C_k &= A_k \cup B_k
 \end{aligned}$$

with  $(m, k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^*$

For instance, with  $k = 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_1 &= \{ m \equiv 4 \pmod{5} \} \cup \{ \{ m \equiv 1 \pmod{5} \} \setminus \{1\} \} \\
 B_1 &= \{ m \equiv 6 \pmod{7} \} \cup \{ \{ m \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \} \setminus \{1\} \} \\
 C_1 &= A_1 \cup B_1
 \end{aligned}$$

And with  $k = 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_2 &= \{ m \equiv 9 \pmod{11} \} \cup \{ \{ m \equiv 2 \pmod{11} \} \setminus \{2\} \} \\
 B_2 &= \{ m \equiv 11 \pmod{13} \} \cup \{ \{ m \equiv 2 \pmod{13} \} \setminus \{2\} \} \\
 C_2 &= A_2 \cup B_2
 \end{aligned}$$

etc.

How these sets act as sieves is presented below in Table 4. The rightmost column presents the result (in orange, the sifted-out elements, in white, the remaining ones) and each  $A_k$  and  $B_k$  sets are shown by their congruences.

$C_1$		$C_2$		$C_3$		$C_4$		$C_5$		$C_6$		$C_7$			
$A_1$ (5)	$B_1$ (7)	$A_2$ (11)	$B_2$ (13)	$A_3$ (17)	$B_3$ (19)	$A_4$ (23)	$B_4$ (25)	$A_5$ (29)	$B_5$ (31)	$A_6$ (35)	$B_6$ (37)	$A_7$ (41)	$B_7$ (43)	...	
															<b>1</b>
2	2														<b>2</b>
3	3	3	3												<b>3</b>
4	4	4	4	4	4										<b>4</b>
0	5	5	5	5	5	5	5								<b>5</b>
1	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6						<b>6</b>
2	0	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7				<b>7</b>
3	1	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8		<b>8</b>
4	2	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9		<b>9</b>
0	3	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		<b>10</b>
1	4	0	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11		<b>11</b>
2	5	1	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12		<b>12</b>
3	6	2	0	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13		<b>13</b>
4	0	3	1	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14		<b>14</b>
0	1	4	2	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15		<b>15</b>
1	2	5	3	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16		<b>16</b>
2	3	6	4	0	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17		<b>17</b>
3	4	7	5	1	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18		<b>18</b>
4	5	8	6	2	0	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19		<b>19</b>
0	6	9	7	3	1	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20		<b>20</b>
1	0	10	8	4	2	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21		<b>21</b>
2	1	0	9	5	3	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22		<b>22</b>
3	2	1	10	6	4	0	23	23	23	23	23	23	23		<b>23</b>
4	3	2	11	7	5	1	24	24	24	24	24	24	24		<b>24</b>
0	4	3	12	8	6	2	0	25	25	25	25	25	25		<b>25</b>
1	5	4	0	9	7	3	1	26	26	26	26	26	26		<b>26</b>
2	6	5	1	10	8	4	2	27	27	27	27	27	27		<b>27</b>
3	0	6	2	11	9	5	3	28	28	28	28	28	28		<b>28</b>
4	1	7	3	12	10	6	4	0	29	29	29	29	29		<b>29</b>
0	2	8	4	13	11	7	5	1	30	30	30	30	30		<b>30</b>
1	3	9	5	14	12	8	6	2	0	31	31	31	31		<b>31</b>
2	4	10	6	15	13	9	7	3	1	32	32	32	32		<b>32</b>
3	5	0	7	16	14	10	8	4	2	33	33	33	33		<b>33</b>
4	6	1	8	0	15	11	9	5	3	34	34	34	34		<b>34</b>
0	0	2	9	1	16	12	10	6	4	0	35	35	35		<b>35</b>
1	1	3	10	2	17	13	11	7	5	1	36	36	36		<b>36</b>
2	2	4	11	3	18	14	12	8	6	2	0	37	37		<b>37</b>
3	3	5	12	4	0	15	13	9	7	3	1	38	38		<b>38</b>
4	4	6	0	5	1	16	14	10	8	4	2	39	39		<b>39</b>
0	5	7	1	6	2	17	15	11	9	5	3	40	40		<b>40</b>
1	6	8	2	7	3	18	16	12	10	6	4	0	41		<b>41</b>
2	0	9	3	8	4	19	17	13	11	7	5	1	42		<b>42</b>

The question is: how dense is  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k$ ? And does it leave infinitely many "holes"?

Let's start with  $A_1$ . Its arithmetic density is (obviously) exactly:

$$\delta(A_1) = \frac{2}{5}$$

We know that  $\delta(C_1)$  will satisfy

$$\delta(C_1) = \delta(A_1 \cup B_1) = \delta(A_1) + \delta(B_1) - \delta(A_1 \cap B_1)$$

Since  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  are composed of arithmetic progressions with differences (moduli 5 and 7) that are coprimes, we deduce that

$$\delta(A_1 \cap B_1) = \delta(A_1) \cdot \delta(B_1)$$

and we get

$$\delta(C_1) = \frac{2}{5} + \frac{2}{7} - \frac{2}{5} \frac{2}{7} = \frac{14 + 10 - 4}{35} = \frac{20}{35} = \frac{2}{5} + \frac{2}{5} \frac{3}{7}$$

Similarly, for  $\delta(C_1 \cup A_2)$  we obtain

$$\delta(C_1 \cup A_2) = \frac{20}{35} + \frac{2}{11} - \frac{20}{35} \frac{2}{11} = \frac{220 + 70 - 40}{385} = \frac{250}{385} = \frac{2}{5} + \frac{2}{5} \frac{3}{7} + \frac{2}{5} \frac{3}{7} \frac{5}{11}$$

And for  $\delta(C_1 \cup C_2)$  we obtain

$$\delta(C_1 \cup C_2) = \delta(C_1 \cup A_2 \cup B_2) = \frac{2}{5} + \frac{2}{5} \frac{3}{7} + \frac{2}{5} \frac{3}{7} \frac{5}{11} + \frac{2}{5} \frac{3}{7} \frac{5}{11} \frac{9}{13}$$

One can observe<sup>[5]</sup> that

$$\delta(C_1) = \frac{2}{5} \left(1 + \frac{3}{7}\right) = \frac{2}{5} \frac{10}{7} = \frac{4}{7}$$

and

$$\delta(C_2) = \frac{2}{5} \frac{3}{7} \frac{5}{11} \left(1 + \frac{9}{13}\right) = \frac{2}{5} \frac{3}{7} \frac{5}{11} \frac{22}{13} = \frac{4}{7} \frac{3}{13}$$

Similarly,  $\delta(C_3)$  simplifies to

$$\delta(C_3) = \frac{4}{7} \frac{3}{13} \frac{9}{19}$$

A potential issue seems to appear for  $A_k$  and  $B_k$  sets with composite moduli ( $B_4, A_6$ , etc). It is shown in Annex A that such sets always fully “collide” with previous sets. For instance, if  $6k - 1$  is composite, then  $\exists j < k$  such that either  $A_k \subset A_j$  or  $A_k \subset B_j$  and therefore

$$\delta \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} C_j \cup A_k \right) = \delta \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} C_j \right)$$

the density of the global sieve stays unaffected by these sets.

The pattern identified above and the favorable cases of the composite moduli allow us to define the  $\Delta(n)$  function as an estimate and (admittedly mediocre) upper-bound for the arithmetic density of all  $C_k$  sieves up to  $n$ :

$$\delta \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^n C_k \right) \leq \Delta(n) = \frac{4}{7} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\left(\frac{3}{6}\right)_k}{\left(\frac{13}{6}\right)_k}$$

Since  $5n + 4$  is the smallest integer possibly affected by the  $\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} C_k$  sieves, we can define

$$U(n) = (5n + 3) \cdot (1 - \Delta(n))$$

as a mediocre lower-bound estimate for the total number of unsifted elements lower than  $5n + 3$ . Or alternatively,

$$V(n) = n \cdot (1 - \Delta(\text{floor}(\frac{n-3}{5})))$$

as a lower-bound estimate for the total number of unsifted elements up to  $n$ .

**Result:**

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (5n + 3) \cdot (1 - \Delta(n)) = \infty$$

We therefore conclude that there are infinitely many “happy mothers”, and consequently, infinitely many twin prime pairs.

*dedicated to Bernard Capelle*

**Acknowledgments:** Many thanks to A. Hui (of Math.SE) for his brilliant insight.

**References:**

- [1] J. F. Gold and D. H. Tucker, "A Characterization of Twin Prime Pairs," presented at the NCUR V., 1991, vol. I, pp. 362–366. [\(PDF\)](#)
- [2] E. Benedetto, "Arithmetical approach to the twin primes conjecture," ANNALI DELL'UNIVERSITA' DI FERRARA, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 191–198, 2009.
- [3] Y. Zhang, "Bounded gaps between primes," Annals of Mathematics, vol. 179, no. 3, pp. 1121–1174, May 2014.
- [4] D. Polymath, "Variants of the Selberg sieve, and bounded intervals containing many primes," Research in the Mathematical Sciences, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–83, 2014.
- [5] <http://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1739603/what-is-the-infinite-sum>

## Annex A

The set of congruence classes  $\{\bar{1}_6, \bar{5}_6\}$  is closed under multiplication. Therefore, all non-prime integers of the form  $6k + 1$  can be written as either

$$6k + 1 = (6i - 1)(6j - 1)$$

or

$$6k + 1 = (6i + 1)(6j + 1)$$

And similarly, all non-prime integers of the form  $6k - 1$  can be written as

$$6k - 1 = (6i - 1)(6j + 1)$$

Case by case study:

- $6k + 1 = (6i - 1)(6j - 1) \Rightarrow k = 6ij - i - j$

If  $X \equiv k \pmod{6k + 1}$ , then  $\exists n$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} X &= (6k + 1)n + k \\ \Leftrightarrow X &= (6i - 1)(6j - 1)n + 6ij - i - j \\ \Leftrightarrow X &= (6i - 1)[(6j - 1)n + j] - i \\ \Leftrightarrow X &= (6i - 1)[(6j - 1)n + j] - 6i + 5i - 1 + 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow X &= (6i - 1)[(6j - 1)n + j] - (6i - 1) + 5i - 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow X &= (6i - 1)[(6j - 1)n + j - 1] + (5i - 1) \\ \Rightarrow X &\equiv 5i - 1 \pmod{6i - 1} \end{aligned}$$

If  $Y \equiv 5k + 1 \pmod{6k + 1}$ , then  $\exists n$  such that

...

- $6k + 1 = (6i + 1)(6j + 1) \Rightarrow k = 6ij + i + j$

...

... (tbd)