SOME EQUIVALENT CONDITIONS OF SMARANDACHE - SOFT NEUTROSOPHIC-NEAR RING

DR. N. KANNAPPA ¹ AND B.FAIROSEKANI ²

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduced Samarandache-2-algebraic structure of Soft Neutrosophic-Near ring namely Smarandache-Soft Neutrosophic-Near ring. A Samarandache-2-algebraic structure on a set N means a weak algebraic structure S_1 on N such that there exist a proper subset M of N, Which is embedded with a stronger algebraic structure S_2 , stronger algebraic structure means satisfying more axioms, that is $S_1 \ll S_2$, by proper subset one can understand a subset different from the empty set, from the unit element if any, from the Whole set. We define Smarandache - Soft Neutrosophic - Near ring and obtain the some of it characterization through sub algebraic structures of near - ring. For basic concept of near ring we refer to G.Pilz [3] and for soft neutrosophic algebraic structures we refer to Muhammed Shabir, MumtazAli, Munazza Naz, and Florentin Smarandache[4,5].

1. INTRODUCTION

In order that, New notions are introduced in algebra to better study the congruence in number theory by Florentin smarandache[2]. By <proper subset> of a set A we consider a set P included in A, and different from A, different from empty set, and from the unit element in A - if any they rank the algebraic structures using an order relationship:

They say that the algebraic structures $S_1 \ll S_2$ if: both are defined on the same set; all S_1 laws are also S_2 laws; all axioms of an S_1 law are accomplished by the corresponding S_2 law; S_2 law accomplish strictly more axioms that S_1 laws, or S_2 has more laws than S_1 .

For example: Semi group \ll Monoid \ll group \ll ring \ll field, or Semi group \ll to commutative semi group, ring \ll unitary ring etc. They define a general special structure to be a structure SM on a set A, different from a structure SN, such that a proper subset of A is an structure, where SM \ll SN.

In addition to that our paper Kannappa.N.,Fairosekani.B.,"On some characterization of smarandache- soft neutrosophic - near ring" is accepted for publication in Proceedings of "International conference on mathematical methods and computation" , Jamal Academic Research Journal, An Interdisciplinary, Jamal Mohamed College, Trichirapalli, India, Jan 22& 23 2015.

Key words and phrases. Soft Neutrosophic Near-ring, soft Neutrosophic Near-field, Smarandachesoft Neutrosophic near-ring.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let $\langle N \cup I \rangle$ be a neutrosophic near - ring and (F, A) be a soft set over $\langle N \cup I \rangle$. Then (F, A) is called soft neutrosophic near - ring if and only if F(a) is a neutrosophic sub near - ring of $\langle N \cup I \rangle$ for all $a \in A$.

Definition 2.2. Let $K(I) = \langle K \cup I \rangle$ be a neutrosophic near - field and let (F, A) be a soft set over K(I). Then (F, A) is said to be soft neutrosophic near - field if and only if F(a) is a neutrosophic sub near - field of K(I) for all $a \in A$.

Definition 2.3. Let (F, A) be a soft neutrosophic near - ring over $\langle N \cup I \rangle$. Then (F, A) is called soft neutrosophic right near - ring. Then it satisfies for all F(a), F(b), F(c) in (F, A) such that F(a).(F(b) + F(c)) = F(a).F(b) + F(a).F(c)

Definition 2.4. Let (F, A) be a soft neutrosophic near - ring over $\langle N \cup I \rangle$. Then (F, A) is called soft neutrosophic left near - ring. Then it satisfies for all F(a), F(b), F(c) in (F, A) such that (F(a) + F(b)).F(c) = F(a).F(c) + F(b).F(c)

Remark. Throughout this paper we consider soft neutrosophic right near - ring only.

Definition 2.5. Let (F, A) be a soft Neutrosophic near - ring over $\langle N \cup I \rangle$ with more than one element. Then the non - zero elements of (F, A) form a group under multiplication if and only if for every $F(a) \neq 0$ in (F, A), there exist a unique F(b) in (F, A) such that F(a)F(b)F(a) = F(a).

Definition 2.6. Let (F, A) be a soft neurosophic zerosymmetric near - ring over $\langle N \cup I \rangle$, which contains a distributive element $F(a_1) \neq 0$. Then (F, A) is a near - field if and only if for each $F(a) \neq 0$ in (F, A), (F, A)F(a) = (F, A).

Definition 2.7. Let (F, A) be a finite soft neutrosophic zero symmetric near - ring that contains a distributive element $F(w) \neq 0$ and for each $F(x) \neq 0$ in (F, A) there exist F(y) in (F, A) such that $F(y)F(x) \neq 0$ then (F, A) is a soft neutrosophic near - field if and only if (F, A) has no proper left ideal.

Definition 2.8. Let (F, A) be a soft neurosophic near - ring over $\langle N \cup I \rangle$, Then (F, A) is called soft neutrosophic zerosymmetric near - ring over $\langle N \cup I \rangle$. If F(n)0 = 0 for all F(n) in (F, A).

Definition 2.9. Let (F, A) be a soft neurosophic near - ring over $\langle N \cup I \rangle$. An element F(e) in a soft neurosophic near - ring (F, A) over $\langle N \cup I \rangle$ is called idempotent. If $F(e^2) = F(e)$.

Definition 2.10. Let (F, A) be a soft neurosophic near - ring over $\langle N \cup I \rangle$. An element F(b) in (F, A) is called distributive if $F(b)(F(a_1) + F(a_2)) = F(b)F(a_1) + F(b)F(a_2)$ for all $F(a_1), F(a_2)$ in (F, A).

Definition 2.11. Let (F, A) be a soft neurosophic near - ring over $\langle N \cup I \rangle$. A soft neurosophic subgroup (H, A) of (F, A) is called (F, A) - subgroup if $(F, A)(H, A) \subset (H, A)$.

Definition 2.12. Let (F, A) be a soft neurosophic near - ring over $\langle N \cup I \rangle$ is called regular if for each F(a) in (F, A). There exist F(x) in (F, A) such that F(a)F(x)F(a) = F(a).

Now we have introduced our basic concept, called SMARANDACHE - SOFT NEUTROSOPHIC - NEAR RING.

Definition 2.13. A soft neutrosophic - near ring is said to be smarandache - soft neutrosophic - near ring, if a proper subset of it is a soft neutrosophic - near field with respect to the same induced operations.

3. Equivalent Conditions

Theorem 3.1. Let (F, A) soft neurosophic near - ring over $\langle N \cup I \rangle$. Then (F, A) smarandache- soft neutrosophic - near ring if and only if there exist a proper non - empty subset (H, A) of (F, A) satisfies the following conditions:

(i) For all H(a), H(b) in (H, A) such that H(a) - H(b) in (H, A).

(ii) For all H(a), H(b) in (H, A) such that $H(a)[H(b)^{-1}]$ in (H, A)

(iii) For all H(a), H(b), H(c) in (H, A)

such that H(a).(H(b) + H(c)) = H(a).H(b) + H(a).H(c).

Proof. PART-I : (i) we assume that (H, A) be a non-empty proper subset of (F, A) such that H(a), H(b) in $(H, A) \Rightarrow H(a) - H(b)$ in (H, A).

To prove that (H, A) is a abelian group under '+'. Since $(H, A) \neq \phi$, there exist an element H(a) in (H, A). Hence H(a) - H(a) in (H, A).

Thus H(e) in (H, A). Also since H(e), H(a) in (H, A), H(e) - H(a) in (H, A). Hence -H(a) in (H, A). Now, let H(a), H(b) in (H, A). Then H(a), -H(b) in (H, A). Hence H(a) - (-H(b)) = H(a)H(b) in (H, A).

Thus (H, A) is closed under '+'.

Therefore (H, A) is an abelian soft neutrosophic group.

(ii) we assume that (H, A) be a non-empty proper subset of (H, A) such that H(a), H(b) in $(H, A) \Rightarrow H(a)H(b)^{-1}$ in (H, A). To prove that (H, A) is a abelian group under '.'. Since $(H, A) \neq \phi$, there exist an element H(a) in (H, A). Hence $H(a)H(a)^{-1}$ in (H, A). Thus H(e) in (H, A). Also since H(e), H(a) in $(H, A), H(e)H(a)^{-1}$ in (H, A). Hence $H(a)^{-1}$ in (H, A). Now, let H(a), H(b) in (H, A). Then $H(a), H(b)^{-1}$ in (H, A). Hence $H(a)(H(b)^{-1})^{-1} = H(a)H(b)$ in (H, A). Thus (H, A) is closed under '.'. Therefore (H, A) is an abelian soft neutrosophic group. Evidently by(i) and(ii), (iii) is satisfied. Therefore $((H, A), \cdot, +)$ is a soft neutrosophic field. Since every soft neutrosophic field is a soft neutrosophic near-field. Therefore (H, A) is soft neutrosophic near-field. Then by definition, (F, A) is smarandache - soft neutrosophic - near ring.

PART-II : Conversely, we assume that (F, A) is smarandache- soft neutrosophic - near ring.

By definition, there exist a proper subset (H, A) is soft neutrosophic near-field. Therefore in (H, A), the conditions are trivially hold.

Theorem 3.2. Let (F,A) be a soft neurosophic near-ring over $\langle N \cup I \rangle$. Where N is a near-ring. Then (F,A) is a smarandache- soft neurosophic near - ring.if and only if for every $H(a) \neq 0$ in (H,A), there exist a unique H(b) in (H,A) such that H(a)H(b)H(a) = H(a), where (H,A) is a soft neurosophic near-ring, which is a proper subset of (F,A).

Proof. Part-I: we assume that for every $H(a) \neq 0$ in (H, A). There exist a unique H(b) in (H, A) such that H(a)H(b)H(a) = H(a).

Now to claim that (H, A) is a Soft neutrosophic near-field. Let $H(a) \neq 0$ and $H(b) \neq 0$ in (H, A) then $H(a)H(b) \neq 0$.

For, if not there exist H(x) in (H, A), such that H(b)H(x)H(b) = H(b)Now H(b)H(x-a)H(b) = H(b)H(x)H(b) = H(b)By uniqueness H(x) - H(a) = H(x). Hence H(a) = 0, contradiction.

Hence (H, A) is without zero divisors. (H, A) is zerosymmetric,

Since for any H(n) in (H, A), (H(n)0)(0)(H(n)0) = H(n)0 and (H(n)0)(H(n)0)(H(n)0) = H(n)0. Hence by uniqueness H(n)0 = 0. Given $H(a) \neq 0$ in (H, A), there exist a unique H(b) in (H, A)such that H(a)H(b)H(a) = H(a). So H(a)(H(b)H(a)H(b))H(a) = (H(a)H(b)H(a))H(b)H(a) = H(a)H(b)H(a) = H(a). By uniqueness H(b)H(a)H(b) = H(b). Note H(a)H(b), H(b)H(a) are non-zero idempotents. Let H(e), H(f) be any two non-zero idempotents. Then $H(e)H(f) \neq 0$ and there exist H(x) in (H, A) such that (H(e)H(f))H(x)(H(e)H(f)) = H(e)H(f) and H(x)(H(e)H(f))H(x) = H(x). Let H(y) = H(x)H(e), then (H(e)H(f))(H(y))(H(e)H(f)) = H(e)H(f). Hence by uniqueness H(x) = H(y) = H(x)H(e).

Similarly if H(y) = H(f)H(x). We have H(x) = H(y) = H(f)H(x)So $H(x^2) = (H(x)H(e))((H(f)H(x)) = H(x)((H(e)H(f))H(x) = H(x))$. Hence $H(x^3) = H(x)$ and H(x)((H(e)H(f))H(x) = H(x). By uniqueness H(x) = H(e)H(f), which is an idempotent. So (H(e)H(f))H(e)(H(e)H(f)) = H(e)H(f) and (H(e)H(f))H(f)(H(e)H(f)) = SOME EQUIVALENT CONDITIONS OF SMARANDACHE - SOFT NEUTROSOPHIC-NEAR RING5

H(e)H(f). Hence H(e) = H(f). So (H, A) contains only one non-zero idempotent say H(e). We have shown that $(H, A)^*$ is closed. For any H(a) in $(H, A)^*$, there exist H(b) in (H, A) such that H(a)H(b)H(a) = H(a). Since H(b)H(a) is a non-zero idempotent H(a)H(e) = H(a). Hence H(e) is right identity for $(H, A)^*$. Since H(a)H(b) is a non-zero idempotent H(a)H(b) = H(e). Hence H(b) is the right inverse of H(a). Hence $((H, A)^*, \cdot)$ is a group. So (H, A) is a soft neutrosophic near-field. By definition, (F, A) is a smarandache-soft neutrosophic-near ring.

PART-II: we assume that (F, A) is a smarandache- soft neutrosophic- near ring. Then by definition, there exist a proper subset (H, A) of (F, A) is a soft neutrosophic near-field. Now to prove that H(a)H(b)H(a) = H(a).

We have (H, A) be a soft Neutrosophic near-ring over $\langle N \cup I \rangle$ with more than one element. Then the non-zero elements of (H, A) form a group under multiplication if and only if for every $H(a) \neq 0$ in (H, A), there exist a unique H(b) in (H, A) such that H(a)H(b)H(a) = H(a).

Theorem 3.3. Let (F, A) be a soft neurosophic near-ring over $\langle N \cup I \rangle$. Then (F, A) is a smarandache - soft neurosophic - near ring.if and only if for each $H(a) \neq 0$ in (H, A), (H, A)H(a) = (H, A) and $(H, A)0 \neq (H, A)$, where (H, A) is a soft neurosophic near-ring, which is a proper subset of (F, A), in which idempotents commute.

Proof. PART-I:we assume that for each $H(a) \neq 0$ in (H, A), (H, A)H(a) = (H, A) and $(H, A)0 \neq (H, A)$.

To prove that (H, A) is a soft neutrosophic near-field. Let $H(a) \neq 0$ and $H(b) \neq 0$ be in (H, A). Then $H(a)H(b) \neq 0$, If not then (H, A)H(a) = (H, A), so (H, A)H(a)H(b) = (H, A)H(b). Hence (H, A)0 = (H, A)H(b) = (H, A), a contradiction. Hence (H, A) is a without zero divisors. Given $H(a) \neq 0$ in (H, A), there exist H(y)in (H, A) such that H(y)H(a) = H(a). So (H(a) - H(a)H(y))H(a) = 0. Hence H(a) = H(a)H(y) = H(y)H(a). There exist H(x) in (H, A) such that H(x)H(a) = H(y). Now H(a)H(x)H(a) = H(a)H(y) = H(a). Hence (H, A) is regular. Let H(b) = H(x)H(a)H(x). Then H(a)H(b)H(a)= H(a)(H(x)H(a)H(x))H(a)= (H(a)H(x)H(a))H(x)H(a)= H(a)H(x)H(a)= H(a). and H(b)H(a)H(b)

= (H(x)H(a)H(x))H(a)(H(x)H(a)H(x))= H(x)(H(a)H(x))H(a))H(x)H(a)H(x)= H(x)(H(a)H(x)H(a))H(x)= H(x)H(a)H(x)= H(b).Now let us show that there exists only one H(b) in (H, A) satisfying H(a)H(b)H(a) = H(a). If possible let us assume H(x) in (H, A) satisfying H(a)H(x)H(a) = H(a). As H(b)H(a) and H(x)H(a) are idempotents. We have, (H(x)H(a)H(b) - H(b))H(a)H(b)= (H(x)H(a)H(b))(H(a)H(b)) - H(b)H(a)H(b)= (H(x)H(a))(H(b)H(a))H(b) - H(b)H(a)H(b)= (H(b)H(a))(H(x)H(a))H(b) - H(b)H(a)H(b)= H(b)(H(a)H(x)H(a))H(b) - H(b)H(a)H(b)= H(b)H(a)H(b) - H(b)H(a)H(b) = 0Since $H(a)H(b) \neq 0$, we have H(x)H(a)H(b) = H(b). So (H(b) - H(x))H(a)H(b)= H(b)H(a)H(b) - H(x)H(a)H(b)= H(b) - H(b) = 0.So H(b) = H(x). Hence by theorem 2, (H, A) is a near - field. So (H, A) is a soft neutrosophic nearfield. By definition, (F, A) is a smarandache - soft neutrosophic - near ring. PART-II: We assume that (H, A) is a smarandache - soft neurosophic - near ring. Then by, definition, there exist a proper subset (H, A) of (F, A) is a soft neutrosophic near-field. Now to prove that, for each $H(a) \neq 0$ in (H, A). (H, A)H(a) = (H, A) and $(H, A)0 \neq (H, A)$. As given $H(a) \neq 0$ in (H, A) and for any H(n) in (H, A). H(n) = H(n)1 $= H(n)H(a^{-1})H(a)$ $= (H(n)H(a^{-1}))H(a).$ Hence H(n) in (H, A)H(a). So $(H, A) \subset (H, A)H(a)$. Hence (H, A) = (H, A)H(a) and clearly $(H, A)0 \neq (H, A)$.

Definition 3.1. A soft neutrosophic subgroup (H, A) of (F, A) over $\langle N \cup I \rangle$ is called a (F, A) - subgroup if $(F, A)(H, A) \subseteq (H, A)$ and an invariant (F, A) - subgroup if in addition $(H, A)(F, A) \subseteq (H, A)$.

Theorem 3.4. Let (F, A) be a soft neurosophic near-ring over $\langle N \cup I \rangle$. Where N is a near-ring. Then (F, A) is a Smarandache - soft neutrosophic near - ring. if and only if (H, A) has no proper (H, A) - subgroup, where (H, A) is a soft neutrosophic nearring which is a proper subset of (F, A) in which idempotents commute and suppose that for each $H(x) \neq 0$ in (H, A), there exist H(y) in (H, A) possibly depending on H(x). Such that $H(y)H(x) \neq 0$. SOME EQUIVALENT CONDITIONS OF SMARANDACHE - SOFT NEUTROSOPHIC-NEAR RING7

Proof. PART-I: we assume that (H, A) has no proper (H, A) - subgroup. To prove that (H, A) is a near-field. Given for each $H(x) \neq 0$ in (H, A), there exist H(y) in (H, A) possibly depending on H(x) such that $H(y)H(x) \neq 0$. For each $H(x) \neq 0$ in (H, A), (H, A)H(x) is a (H, A) - subgroup of (H, A). Since there exist H(y) in (H, A). Such that $H(y)H(x) \neq 0$, $(H, A)H(x) \neq 0$. Hence (H, A)H(x) = (H, A) and clearly $(H, A)0 \neq (H, A)$. Hence by theorem 3, (H, A) is a near-field. By definition, (H, A) is a soft neutrosophic near-field. Therefore (F, A) is a smarandach - soft neutrosophic - near ring.

PART-II: We assume that (F, A) is a smarandache - soft neutrosophic - near ring. Then by definition, there exist a proper subset (H, A) of (F, A) is a soft neutrosophic near-field.

Now to prove that (H, A) has no proper (H, A) - subgroup. Since let $(G, A) \neq 0$ be a (F, A) - subgroup and let $G(a) \neq 0$ in (G, A). Then $G(a^{-1})G(a) = 1$ in (G, A). So for any G(n) in (G, A), G(n) = G(n)1 in (G, A). So (H, A) = (G, A).

4. Conclusion

In this paper four equivalent conditions are obtained for a soft neutrosophic nearring to be a Smarandache- soft neutrosophic - near ring.

5. Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge their thanks to Professor Florentin Smarandache for valuable suggestions.

6. References

- Deena.P., "On Near-Fields", Indian.J.pure appl. Maths., 17(3):322-326, March 1986.
- [2] Florentin Smarandache, Special algebraic structures, University of Maxico, Craiova, 1973.
- [3] Pilz.G., Near rings, North Holland, American Research Press, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [4] Muhammed shabir, Mumtaz Ali, Munazza Naz and FlorentinSmarandache, Neutrosophic Sets and System, Vol.1.2013.
- [5] Mumtaz Ali, Florentin Smarandache, Muhammed shabir and Munazza Naz, Neutrosophic Sets and System, Vol.3.2014.

- [6] Mumtaz Ali, Florentin Smarandache, Muhammed shabir and Luige vladareanu, Neutrosophic Sets and System, Vol.5.2014.
- [7] Kannappa.N., Fairosekani.B., "On Some Characterization of Smarandache -Soft Neutrosophic - Near ring " Jamal Academic Research Journal, An interdisciplinary, Tiruchirapalli, India, 2015.
- [8] Ramaraj.T., Kannappa.N. "On bi-ideals of Smarandache-near-rings" Acta ciencia Indica, Vol.XXXIM, No.3, 731-733. Meerut, India, 2005.
- [9] Ramaraj.T., Kannappa.N., "On finite Smarandache near rings", Scientia magna, Department of Mathematics, North West University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, P.R.China. Vol.I, No.2, ISSN 1556-6706, page 49-51, 2005.
- [10] Ramaraj.T., Kannappa.N., "On six equivalent conditions of Smarandache near - rings", Pure and Applied Mathamathika Science, ISSN 0379-3168, Saharanpur, India. Vol. LXVI, No. 1-2, Pages 87-91, September, 2007.

 1 Mathematics Department, TBML College, Porayar, Tamil Nadu, India $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ sivaguru91@yahoo.com$

² MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, TBML COLLEGE, PORAYAR, TAMIL NADU, INDIA *E-mail address*: fairosemaths@gmail.com