Corrected Newton's laws of motion

Lubomir Vlcek

Rokytov 132, 086 01, Slovak Republic

Email: lubomir.vlcek@gmail.com

Abstract. In the present paper I correct two Newton's laws of motion First law and Third law.

Keywords: inertia, generalized law of inertia, action, reaction, transmission medium, waves, particles,

PACS number: 01.55.+b

Introduction

The three laws of motion were first compiled by Isaac Newton in his *Philosophiae Naturalis Principia Mathematica* (*Mathematical Principles of Natural Philosophy*), first published in 1687. Behind 327 years we have a lot of new knowledge. Therefore, they should be corrected.

First law: When viewed in an inertial reference frame, an object either remains at rest or continues to move at a constant velocity, unless acted upon by an external force.

Third law: When one body exerts a force on a second body, the second body simultaneously exerts a force equal in magnitude and opposite in direction on the first body.

Theory

Let's have a real coordinates system firmly connected with a real laboratory on Earth, where all experiments testing the physical theories are performed. We know that this coordinates system moves around the Earth axis during an astronomical day i. e. it performs a quasi-circular motion. During the year it rotates around the Sun approximately in a quasi-circle together with the Earth. During 2.10⁸ years it circulates in the quasi-circle around the center of the Galaxy. It performs a quasi-uniform motion in a quasi-circle together with the Sun. The Galaxy performs a quasi-uniform and quasi-circle motion around the center within the framework of metagalaxies of star clusters and our laboratory coordinates system on Earth together with it, etc. From the experimental testing of the law of inertia it is known that the body moves along the "plane" stated by a waterlevel, i. e. in fact it is not a straight-line uniform motion, but it is the motion in the circle of the Earth radius of *R=6378* km. The space aeronautics show that space ships, Earth satellites and orbital laboratories move quasi-uniformly in almost a circle around the Earth.

The atomic theory shows that the electrons and the nucleus circulate around the center of gravity of atom in approximate circles. The body rotating around its own axis (a flywheel) persists in this status. Similarly, the planets, stars, galaxies, molecules, nuclei and elementary particles rotate around their own axes. Since the uniform straight-line inertial motion cannot be achieved in a microworld, its place here is exclusively in the inertial quasi-circle motion. It is analogous in the macroworld. Each real "straight-line" motion can be replaced by a circle of a huge radius. This discussion results in the following:

"Every mass (atom, molecule, particle, body, vacuum, *transmission medium*) persists in the status of the quasi-rest or quasi-uniform motion in a quasi-circle, or quasi- elipse (excentricity $e \rightarrow 0$) as far as it the external forces do not force it to change its status. (This notion is called the *generalized law of inertia*)."

The inertial rotation of body consists of a quasi-uniform motion (in the quasi circles or quasi- elipse with excentricity $e \rightarrow 0$) of body's atoms, particles. The inertial rotation of particle consists of a quasi-uniform motion (in the quasi-circles or quasi- elipse with excentricity $e \rightarrow 0$) of subparticles.

Finally, it is necessary to stress, that since the idea of inertial straight-line uniform motion has no place in physics, neither the idea of the inertial system (in our theory it is replaced by the notion of equivalent system) nor the notions of Einstein's principle of relativity, local time, co-variant equations, nor Lorent'z transformation equations physical definition of simultaneity, nor invariant interval has any place in physics.

No real motion can be straight-line one. Every motion drawing near the straight-line one is just a part of curvilinear circular motion with final radius. It will never reach the radius R --> oo.

We have already known that straight-line motion does not really exist, it is only possible a hypothetical approximation for large radii of curvature. On surface of Earth, the circle with radius

 R_{E} = 6378 km is a "straight line" (in light of the origin of this term at Newton - laboratory desk - a plane defined by the level).

These radii of curvature are even larger for galaxies - they have the size of several light years - and they are more similar to the straight line, which they will never reach. In reality we have not uniform motion in a circle, but a non-uniform in ellipse (quasi-uniform motion in a quasi-circle) or rosette respectively.

Centripetal acceleration forces in the author's theory are not constant - they change: alternatively it is growing and declining. In a "circle" can be uniform or a non-uniform motion.

The non-uniform motion has a sequel: a little deformed circle on ellipse or rosette respectively and creation of stationary cloud.

Centripetal acceleration v^2/r is not the acceleration in direction of the curve of the circle (tangential one), but in direction perpendicular to this curve, it has nothing in common with the acceleration in direction of curve. It determines the size of curvature of circle line only, and in the extreme case, the size of the straight line (for $r \rightarrow \infty$ v²/r --> 0). However, it is not possible to realize these extreme positions in reality. For any "straight-line" motion it is valid that $v^2/r = 0$. On the surface of the Earth, on an ideal plane determined by the water level, normal acceleration on the "straight line", that lies in this plane, equals $v^2/6378000m$.

The standard Newtonian physics is somewhat corrected by *Generalized Law of Inertia*. The main differences between Einstein's theory^[1] and the latest knowledge^[2]are:

1.Form of Intensity of the Moving Charge Electric Field is asymmetrical,

2. Form of the interference field is non-linear,

3. Kinetic energy of a charge moving at the velocity of *v* has two different values:

Kinetic energy of electron, (proton)

 $T_{kin id} = mc^2 [ln |1-v/c| + (v/c) / (1-v/c)]$ in direction of motion of electron, (proton)

where v is velocity of electron, (proton).

Kinetic energy of electron , (proton)

 $T_{kin ad} = mc^2 [ln | 1+v/c| - (v/c) / (1+v/c)]$ against direction of motion of electron, (proton)

where v is velocity of electron, (proton).

Form of the interference field is non-linear: **2.2.1. Fizeau's Experiment** , **2.2.2. Harres's Experiment** (from [2] pages 34 – 39).

	Vlcek ´s theory	Vlcek ´s theory	Vlcek 's theory	Einstein ´s
				theory
v/c	T _{kin ad} =	T _{kin id} =	$(T_{k ad} + T_{k id})/2$	
				T _{kin}
	mc²[ln 1+v/c - (v/c)/(1+v/c)]	mc²[ln 1-v/c + (v/c)/(1-v/c)]		
0.1	$0.00439 mc^2$	$0.0057 mc^2$	$0.0050 m c^2$	$0.0050 m c^2$
0.2	$0.0156 mc^2$	$0.0268 mc^2$	$0.0212 m c^2$	$0.0200 m c^2$
0.3	$0.0316 mc^2$	$0.0719 mc^2$	$0.0517 m c^2$	$0.0480 m c^2$
0.4	$0.0508 mc^2$	$0.1558 mc^2$	0.1033 $m c^2$	$0.0910 m c^2$
0.5	$0.0722 mc^2$	$0.3068 mc^2$	0.1895 $m c^2$	$0.1550 m c^2$
0.6	$0.0950 mc^2$	$0.5837 mc^2$	0.3393 $m c^2$	$0.2500 m c^2$
0.7	$0.1174 mc^2$	$1.1293 mc^2$	0.6233 $m c^2$	$0.4010 m c^2$
0.8	$0.1434 mc^2$	$2.3905 mc^2$	1.2669 $m c^2$	$0.6670 m c^2$
0.9	$0.1680 mc^2$	$6.6974 mc^2$	3.4327 $m c^2$	$1.2930 m c^2$
0.99	$0.1906 mc^2$	94.3948 mc ²	47.294 $m c^2$	$6.9200 m c^2$
1.0	$0.1931 mc^2$	infinite	infinite	infinite

Calculation of the kinetic energy $T_{kin}\;$ of a body moving at the velocity of v

Direct measurement of the speed in the experiments Kirchner^{[3], [4]}, Perry, Chaffee^[5]

For v/c = 0.08-0.27 can not yet prove the validity of Vlcek's theory^[2] or Einstein's theory^[1].

Through the work of Max Planck, Albert Einstein, Louis de Broglie, Arthur Compton, Niels Bohr, and many others, current scientific theory holds that all particles also have a wave nature (and vice versa).^[6] This phenomenon has been verified not only for elementary particles, but also for compound particles like atoms and even molecules. For macroscopic particles, because of their extremely short wavelengths, wave properties usually cannot be detected.^[7] Wave–particle duality is an ongoing conundrum in modern physics. Most physicists accept wave-particle duality as the best explanation for a broad range of observed phenomena; however, it is not without controversy.

Albert Einstein , who, in his search for a Unified Field Theory , did not accept wave-particle duality, wrote: ^[8]

This double nature of radiation (and of material corpuscles)...has been interpreted by quantum-mechanics in an ingenious and amazingly successful fashion. This interpretation...appears to me as only a temporary way out...

The pilot wave model, originally developed by Louis de Broglie and further developed by David Bohm into the hidden variable theory proposes that there is no duality, but rather a system exhibits both particle properties and wave properties simultaneously, and particles are guided, in a deterministic fashion, by the pilot wave (or its " quantum potential ") which will direct them to areas of constructive interference in preference to areas of destructive interference . This idea is held by a significant minority within the physics community.

When in this idea we will replace the "quantum potential" by "electromagnetic potential" (or by " interference of electromagnetic waves"), the idea will be acepted large majority of physicists.

In 1900 Max Planck hypothesized that the frequency of light emitted by the black body depended on the frequency of the oscillator that emitted it, and the energy of these oscillators increased linearly with frequency (according to his constant h, where E = hv).

Theoretical Planck's oscillator we can replace with circulating electron along ellipse around the nucleus of an atom between two Bohr's energy levels, while electron moving alternately with acceleration and deceleration. This electron really blinks. When an electron moves at the speed of a higher Bohr energy levels (from afnucleus) to lower (towards perinucleus) radiates spectral lines of certain thickness. (real blinks) For example, spectral line Halfa 656.281 + - 1.4 nm. From the thickness of the spectral lines we can easily identify the smallest (in afnucleus) and largest (in perinucleus) the speed of the electron around the nucleus of an atom, taking into account the kinetic energy of the electron in the direction of movement and against the movement if we know that according to the Doppler principle is the lowest wavelength (highest frequency) and against the direction of motion of the electron is a wavelength of the highest (lowest frequency).

Physics in the past formulated at least part of the truth about the physical phenomena.

Some ideas, even if they were doubtful and rejectable, are still valid today:

1. Electron radiates electromagnetic waves if and only if moves with acceleration from the higher Bohr's energy levels to a lower. In atom, as a source of electromagnetic waves , them it then , when it moves from afnucleum to perinucleum along the ellipse (excentricity $e \rightarrow 0$). If the electron moves with decelerated motion, when it absorbs energy, while moving from a lower to a higher energy level, in the direction from perinukleum to afnucleum along the ellipse with of very small eccentricity. Eccentricity of the ellipse is maximal, when electron radiates head of series. Minimal, almost zero, eccentricity corresponds to edge series.

Faulty arguments leveled against classical physics - the electron is moving with acceleration along of a spiral towards the nucleus - we will find in Beiser^[9] 5.7 The failure of classical physics , p.120 , Fig.5.12 : " Electron in an atom should be according to classical physics, rapidly converge to the nucleus , because as a result of its acceleration radiates energy."

Because the electron flashes **4,56793859936185,1361937147657453 e+14** times per second, i.e. emits energy **4,567938599361851361937147657453 e+14** times per second and absorbs energy **4,567938599361851361937147657453 e+14** times per second (for spectral line H α). Electron creates in the transmission medium, electromagnetic wave **4,567938599361851361937147657453 e+14** times per second and absorbs energy **4,567938599361851361937147657453 e+14** times per second (for spectral line H α) - Beiser's argument is unfounded.

Atom is no oscillator. Atóm resembles to the solar system with the same "planets" (electrons) and different distances from the nucleus. Electron in an atom not to skip, but moves continuously with great speed, which increases from the value **0,002717146 c** (in afnucleum) to **0,0027212042 c** (in perinucleum). Then decreases from the value **0,0027212042 c** (in perinucleum) to **0,002717146 c** (in afnucleum) etc.

Changing the speed of the electron is repeated **9,135877198723702723874295314906e+14** times per sec. (spectral lines $H\alpha$).

2. The quantum harmonic oscillator as the quantum-mechanical analog of the classical Planck's harmonic oscillator we can replace with circulating electron along ellipse around the nucleus of an atom between two Bohr's energy levels, while electron moving alternately with acceleration and deceleration. Linear harmonic oscillator is only the projection of the real motion of the electrons along the ellipse in the plane perpendicular to the plane of the elipse (excentricity $e \rightarrow 0$). Linear harmonic oscillator is only the projection of the real motion of the ellipse in the plane of the plane of the elipse (excentricity $e \rightarrow 0$).

Or more accurately, is only the projection - of rotating ellipses (Sommerlfeld's ellipses around perinucleus) - in a plane perpendicular to the plane of the ellipses (excentricity $e \rightarrow 0$).

In quantum mechanics are used so imprecise and imperfect expressions of motion of electrons around the nucleus.

Results

Corrected Newton's laws of motion

First law:

"Every mass (atom, molecule, particle, body, vacuum, *transmission medium*) persists in the status of the quasi-rest or quasi-uniform motion in a quasi-circle, or quasi- elipse (excentricity $e \rightarrow 0$) as far as it the external forces do not force it to change its status. (This notion is called the *generalized law of inertia*)."

Third law:

All movements in physics are based on principle of *action - reaction* and on velocity of stable particles (**e-**, **p+**,**n0**, **D**, **He-3**, α).

Action, as a motion of stable charged particles (**e-**, **p+**,**n0**, **D**, **He-3**, α), is characterized speeds up in source along ellipse or quasi- elipse (excentricity e -> 0).

Action creates unstable particles (leptons μ -, τ -, baryons, mesons), bosons W +, W-, Z (= particles = β electrons moving at nearly the speed of light)in direction of motion of stable particles (e-, p+,n0, D, He-3, alfa).

Reaction creates in the transmission medium, electromagnetic waves, as unstable "particles" - neutrínos ve, vµ, vτ, mesons $\pi 0$, $\pi +$, π -, η , K and gamma rays (=waves of extremely high frequency >10¹⁹ Hz) - against direction of motion of stable particles (**e-, p+, n0, D, He-3, alfa**).

Accompanying activity of *reaction* on movement of stable particles in the transmission medium are waves, or "unstable particles" respectively, i.e. neutrinos and mesons.

References

[1] A. Einstein : Sobranie naucnych trudov v cetyrech tomach pod redakciej I. E.TAMMA, Ja. A. SMORODINSKOGO, B. G. KUZNECOVA, Izdatelstvo "Nauka", Moskva 1966

[2] L. Vlcek, : New Trends in Physics, Slovak Academic Press, Bratislava 1996, ISBN 80-85665-64-6. Presentation on European Phys. Soc. 10th Gen. Conf. – Trends in Physics (EPS 10) Sevilla, E 9-13 September 1996 <u>http://www.trendsinphysics.info/</u>

[3] F. Kirchner : Über die Bestimmung der spezifischen Ladung des Elektrons aus Geschwindigkeitsmessungen, Ann. d. Physik [5] **8**, 975 (1931)

[4] F. Kirchner : Zur Bestimmung der spezifischen Ladung des Elektrons aus Geschwindigkeitsmessungen , Ann. d. Physik [5] **12**, 503 (1932)

[5] Ch. T. Perry, E.L. Chaffee : A DETERMINATION OF e/m FOR AN ELECTRON BY DIRECT MEASUREMENT OF THE VELOCITY OF CATHODE RAYS , Phys.Rev.**36**,904 (1930)

[6] Walter Greiner (2001). <u>Quantum Mechanics: An Introduction</u>. Springer. <u>ISBN 3-540-67458-6</u>.

[7] R. Eisberg and R. Resnick (1985). *Quantum Physics of Atoms, Molecules, Solids, Nuclei, and Particles* (2nd ed.). John Wiley & Sons. pp. 59–60. <u>ISBN 047187373X</u>.

[8] Paul Arthur Schilpp, ed, *Albert Einstein: Philosopher-Scientist*, Open Court (1949), ISBN 0-87548-131-7, p 51.

[9] BEISER, A.: Perspectives of Modern Physics (Czech translation) Academia, Praha 1975.

[10] Shortened great table of elementary particles. <u>http://www.trendsinphysics.info/</u>

[11] K Nakamura et al (Particle Data Group) 2010 J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 37 075021