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Abstract. In this paper, with optimal normalized constants, the asymptotic expansions of the distribution and density of the normalized maxima from generalized Maxwell distribution are derived. For the distributional expansion, it shows that the convergence rate of the normalized maxima to the Gumbel extreme value distribution is proportional to $1/\log n$. For the density expansion, on the one hand, the main result is applied to establish the convergence rate of the density of extreme to its limit. On the other hand, the main result is applied to obtain the asymptotic expansion of the moment of maximum.
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1 Introduction

Let $(X_n, n \geq 1)$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed (iid) random variables with common cumulative distribution function (cdf) $F_k$ which obeying the generalized Maxwell distribution (denote by $F_k \sim GMD(k)$). Let $M_n = \max(X_k, 1 \leq k \leq n)$ denote the partial maximum of $(X_n, n \geq 1)$.

As the generalization of the classic Maxwell distribution, the generalized Maxwell distribution was introduced by Vodă (2009). The probability density function (pdf) of GMD(k) is given by

$$f_k(x) = \frac{k}{2k^2 \sigma^2 + 1/k \Gamma(1 + k/2)} x^{2k} \exp\left(-\frac{x^{2k}}{2\sigma^2}\right), \quad x > 0,$$

where $k, \sigma$ is positive and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ represents the Gamma function. For $k = 1$, GMD(1) reduces to the classic Maxwell distribution.

Recently, several properties associated with GMD(k) have been investigated in the literature. Huang and Chen (2016) established the Mills inequality, the Mills type ratio and distributional tail representation of GMD(k), and showed that $F_k$ belongs to the domain of attraction $\Lambda$ of the Gumbel extreme value distribution, i.e., there exist normalizing constants $a_n > 0$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left((M_n - b_n)/a_n \leq x\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} F^n_k\left(a_n x + b_n\right) = \Lambda(x),$$
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where \( \Lambda(x) = \exp\{-e^{-x}\} \). Liu and Liu (2013) established the uniform convergence rate of normalized maxima for GMD(1), i.e., the classic Maxwell distribution (MD for short). Kumar and Chandra (2011) developed Sequential probability ratio test for testing the hypothesis concerning the parameter of the GMD(k). Plucińska (1998) considered the properties of Hermite polynomials from sample having GMD(k), which have applications in various statistical problems connected with expansions in series.

Besides, the generalized Maxwell distribution has recently been a popular model in Chemical Engineering Science, Engineering Technology and Physics and so on fields, for example, as model for computing fluid flows using the lattice Boltzmann method and deriving a different class of multiple relaxation-time LB models (Asinari and Karlin, 2009), the diffusion of mixtures of hydrocarbons in zeolites (Kapteijn et al., 2000), polymorphic friction Simulation and compensation and quick simulation and control purposes, being both easy to implement and of high fidelity (Al-Bender et al., 2005), control purpose, based on a physically motivated friction model, i.e. a generic friction model which simulates the contact physics at asperity level (Lampaert et al., 2003) and so on.

The aim of this paper is to establish the asymptotic expansion for the distribution and density of normalized maxima of GMD(k) random variables. As byproduct, we derive the high-order expansion of the moment of extreme. The uniform convergence rates and asymptotic expansions of the distribution and density of normalized \( M_n \), the maximum of independent and identically distributed random variables for some given cdf \( F \), have been of considerable interest. Hall (1979) derived optimal rates of uniform convergence for the cdf of \( M_n \) as \( F \) follows the standard normal cdf. Nair (1981) obtained asymptotic expansions for the distribution and moments of \( M_n \) as \( F \) is the standard normal cdf. Omey (1988) given the rate of convergence for the density of normalized sample maxima to the appropriate limit density. Peng et al. (2010) established optimal uniform convergence rates for the cdf of \( M_n \) as \( F \) obeys the general error distribution. For other works, see Liao and Peng (2012) for the log-normal distribution, Liao et al. (2013, 2014), respectively, for logarithmic skew-normal distribution and for skew-normal distribution that extended Nair’s results, Jia and Li (2014), Jia et al. (2015), Li and Li (2015), Peng et al. (2016) and Du and Chen (2016a, 2016b).

In order to gain the asymptotic expansions of normalized maxima from GMD(k), we cite some results from Huang and Chen (2016). They gave the Mills type ratio of GMD(k) as follows: for \( k > 0 \),

\[
\frac{1 - F_k(x)}{f_k(x)} \sim \frac{\sigma^2}{k} x^{1-2k}, \text{ as } x \to \infty. \tag{1.1}
\]

It also follows from Huang and Chen (2016) that

\[
1 - F_k(x) = c(x) \exp\left(-\int_1^x \frac{g(t)}{f(t)} dt\right) \tag{1.2}
\]

for large \( x \), where

\[
c(x) \to \frac{\exp\left(-1/(2\sigma^2)\right)}{2^{k/2}\sigma^{1+k}\Gamma(1+k/2)}, \text{ as } x \to \infty,
\]

\[
f(x) = k^{-1}\sigma^2 x^{-1-2k},
\]

\[
g(x) = 1 - k^{-1}\sigma^2 x^{-2k}. \tag{1.3}
\]
Note that $f'(x) \to 0$ and $g(x) \to 1$, as $x \to \infty$ and we can choose the appropriate norming constants $a_n$ and $b_n$ in such way that $b_n$ satisfies the equation

$$1 - F_k(b_n) = n^{-1}$$

with

$$a_n = f(b_n) = k^{-1} \sigma^2 b_n^{1-2k}$$

such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F_k^n(a_n x + b_n) = \Lambda(x).$$

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives the main result on asymptotic expansions for the distribution, density and moment of partial maxima of the GMD(k). Some auxiliary lemmas needed to prove the main results and related proofs including the proofs of main results are given in Section 3.

## 2 Main results

Next, we derive an asymptotic expansion for the distribution of normalized maxima from the GMD(k). The distributional expansion could be used to show that the convergence rate of $M_n$ to the Gumbel extreme value distribution is of the order of $O((\log n)^{-1})$.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $F_k(x)$ represent the cdf of GMD(k). For normalizing constants $a_n$ and $b_n$ given, respectively, by (1.4) and (1.5), we have

$$b_n^{2k} \left[ b_n^{2k} (F_k^n(a_n x + b_n) - \Lambda(x)) - l_k(x) \Lambda(x) \right] \to \left( w_k(x) + \frac{l_k^2(x)}{2} \right) \Lambda(x)$$

as $n \to \infty$, where $l_k(x)$ and $w_k(x)$ are, respectively, given by

$$l_k(x) = k^{-1} \sigma^2 \left[ (2k - 1)x^2 - 2x \right] e^{-x}/2$$

and

$$w_k(x) = -k^{-2} \sigma^4 \left[ 3(2k - 1) x^4 - 4(2k + 1)(2k - 1) x^3 + 24 x^2 - 48 k x \right] e^{-x}/24.$$

**Remark 2.1.** By the definition of $b_n$, it is easy to check that $b_n^{-2k} = O(1/\log n)$. Hence, Theorem 2.1 shows that the convergence rate of $F_k^n(a_n x + b_n)$ tending to its extreme value limit is proportional to $1/\log n$. Further, the convergence rate of $b_n^{2k} (F_k^n(a_n x + b_n) - \Lambda(x))$ tending to its limit is also proportional to $1/\log n$.

**Remark 2.2.** As the mentioned in the Introduction, we get classic Maxwell pdf when $k = 1$, and then Theorem 2.1 shows also that the asymptotic expansion of the distribution of normalized maximum from classic Maxwell distribution is

$$b_n^2 \left[ b_n^2 (F_1^n(\bar{a}_n x + \bar{b}_n) - \Lambda(x)) - l_1(x) \Lambda(x) \right] \to \left( w_1(x) + \frac{l_1^2(x)}{2} \right) \Lambda(x)$$

on the remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives the main result on asymptotic expansions for the distribution, density and moment of partial maxima of the GMD(k). Some auxiliary lemmas needed to prove the main results and related proofs including the proofs of main results are given in Section 3.

## 2 Main results

Next, we derive an asymptotic expansion for the distribution of normalized maxima from the GMD(k). The distributional expansion could be used to show that the convergence rate of $M_n$ to the Gumbel extreme value distribution is of the order of $O((\log n)^{-1})$.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $F_k(x)$ represent the cdf of GMD(k). For normalizing constants $a_n$ and $b_n$ given, respectively, by (1.4) and (1.5), we have

$$b_n^{2k} \left[ b_n^{2k} (F_k^n(a_n x + b_n) - \Lambda(x)) - l_k(x) \Lambda(x) \right] \to \left( w_k(x) + \frac{l_k^2(x)}{2} \right) \Lambda(x)$$

as $n \to \infty$, where $l_k(x)$ and $w_k(x)$ are, respectively, given by

$$l_k(x) = k^{-1} \sigma^2 \left[ (2k - 1)x^2 - 2x \right] e^{-x}/2$$

and

$$w_k(x) = -k^{-2} \sigma^4 \left[ 3(2k - 1) x^4 - 4(2k + 1)(2k - 1) x^3 + 24 x^2 - 48 k x \right] e^{-x}/24.$$
as \( n \to \infty \), with norming constants \( \bar{a}_n \) and \( \bar{b}_n \) determined by
\[
1 - F_1(\bar{b}_n) = n^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{a}_n = \sigma^2 \bar{b}_n^{-1},
\]
where \( l_1(x) \) and \( w_1(x) \) are, respectively, given by
\[
l_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 (x^2 - 2x)e^{-x}
\]
and
\[
w_1(x) = -\frac{1}{8} \sigma^4 \left( x^4 - 4x^3 + 8x^2 - 16x \right) e^{-x}.
\]

In the sequel, provided
\[
\beta_n(x) = \frac{dF_k^n(a_n x + b_n)}{dx} = na_n F_k^{n-1}(a_n x + b_n) f_k(a_n x + b_n)
\]
stand for the distribution density of \((M_n - b_n)/a_n\), and
\[
\Theta_n(\beta_n, \Lambda'; x) = \beta_n(x) - \Lambda'(x).
\]

By using Proposition 2.5 in Resnick(1987), we have \( \Theta_n(\beta_n, \Lambda'; x) \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

In the following, we show the high-order expansion of density of maxima from the GMD(k) and its application to the asymptotic of the moments of maximum.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( F_k(x) \) denote the cdf of GMD(k), then with the normalizing constants \( a_n \) and \( b_n \) defined by (1.4) and (1.5), we have
\[
b_n^{2k} \left( b_n^2 F_k^n(a_n x + b_n) - \Lambda(x) \right)' - P(x) \Lambda'(x) \to Q(x) \Lambda'(x)
\]
as \( n \to \infty \), where \( P(x) \) and \( Q(x) \) are respectively determined by
\[
P(x) = k^{-1} \sigma^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} (2k - 1)x^2 - 2x \right) e^{-x} + \left( \frac{1}{2} (2k - 1)x^2 + (2k - 3)x - 1 \right)
\]
and
\[
Q(x) = \frac{1}{8} k^{-2} \sigma^4 \left( (2k - 1)x^2 - 2x \right)^2 e^{-2x} - \frac{1}{24} k^{-2} \sigma^4 \left( 9(2k - 1)^2 x^4 - 16(2k - 1)(2k + 1)x^3 + 6(12k + 1)x^2 - 24(k + 1)x \right) e^{-x} + k^{-2} \sigma^4 \left( \frac{1}{8} (2k - 1)^2 x^4 - \frac{1}{3} (2k - 1)(4k - 1)x^3 + \frac{1}{2} (4k^2 + 1)x^2 - 2kx + 2k \right).
\]

Noting that \( b_n^{2k} \sim 1/(2\sigma^2 \log n) \), it follows Theorem 2.2 that we easily get the rate of convergence of the density of maxima to its limit below.

**Corollary 2.1.** Let \( a_n \) and \( b_n \) be given by (1.4) and (1.5) and for \( x > 0 \), then
\[
(F_k^n(a_n x + b_n))' - \Lambda'(x) \sim \frac{e^{-x} \Lambda(x) P(x)}{2\sigma^2 \log n}
\]
for large \( n \).
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Remark 2.3. When the parameter \( k = 1 \), i.e. the classic Maxwell case, we obtain the associated expansion of density for normalized maxima.

Remark 2.4. Since \( b_n^{2k} \sim 1/(2\sigma^2 \log n) \), by Theorem 2.2, we could obtain the convergence speed of \( b_n^{2k}(F_k^n(a_n x + b_n) - \Lambda(x))' \) converging to its appropriate limit is proportional to \( 1/\log n \).

In the end of the section, we utilize the asymptotic expansion of density to obtain the high-order expansion of the moment of normalized maxima.

In the sequel, for \( r > 0 \) let
\[
m_r(n) = E\left( \frac{M_n - b_n}{a_n} \right)^r = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^r \beta_n(x) \, dx
\]
and
\[
m_r = EX^r = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^r \Lambda'(x) \, dx
\]
respectively represent the \( r \)th moments of \( (M_n - b_n)/a_n \) and \( X \sim \Lambda(x) = \exp(-\exp(-x)) \), and the norming constants \( a_n \) and \( b_n \) are defined by (1.4) and (1.5).

Theorem 2.3. For \( k > \frac{1}{2} \), we have
\[
b_n^{2k} \left( b_n^{2k}(m_r(n) - m_r) + 2^{-1}k^{-1}\sigma^2 r ((2k - 1)m_{r+1} - 2m_r) \right)
\to -rk^{-2}\sigma^4 \left\{ \left( -\frac{1}{8}(2k - 1)^2(r + 3) + \frac{1}{3}(k - 1)(2k - 1) \right) m_{r+2} + \frac{1}{2} ((2k - 1)(r + 2) - 1) m_{r+1}
+ \left( 2k - \frac{1}{2}(r + 1) \right) m_r \right\},
\]
as \( n \to \infty \), where the normalizing constants \( a_n \) and \( b_n \) are defined by (1.4) and (1.5).

Remark 2.5. For the case of \( k = 1 \), i.e., the classic Maxwell distribution case, the corresponding result is stated as follow:
\[
b_n^2 \left[ b_n^2(m_r(n) - m_r) + 2^{-1}r\sigma^2(m_{r+1} - 2m_r) \right]
\to r\sigma^4 \left[ 2^{-1}(r - 3)m_r - 2^{-1}(r + 1)m_{r+1} + 8^{-1}(r + 3)m_{r+2} \right]
\]
as \( n \to \infty \), where the normalizing constants \( \bar{a}_n \) and \( \bar{b}_n \) are determined by Remark 2.2.

3 Auxiliary results and related proofs

In order to obtain expansions for the distribution of the normalized extreme of GMD(k) random variables, we provide the following distributional tail decomposition of GMD(k).

Lemma 3.1. Let \( F_k(x) \) represent the cdf of GMD(k). For large \( x \), we have
\[
1 - F_k(x) = f_k(x) \frac{\sigma^2}{k} x^{1-2k} \left( 1 + k^{-1}\sigma^2 x^{-2k} + k^{-2}(1 - 2k)\sigma^4 x^{-4k} + O(x^{-6k}) \right)
\]
Thus, by (1.1), (1.2), (3.2) and (3.3), for large

\[ l \]

it is easy to show by utilizing L’Hospital’s rule that

\[ \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \]

It is easy to show by utilizing L’Hospital’s rule that

\[ \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\int_{x}^{\infty} t^{-6k} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2k}{2\sigma^2}\right) dt}{x^{-6k} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2k}{2\sigma^2}\right)} = 0. \]  

\[ (3.1) \]

\[ (3.2) \]

\[ (3.3) \]

Thus, by (1.1), (1.2), (3.2) and (3.3), for large \( x \), we can have

\[ 1 - F_k(x) = f_k(x) \frac{\sigma^2}{k} x^{1-2k} \left( 1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{k} x^{-2k} + \frac{(1-2k)\sigma^4}{k^2} x^{-4k} + \frac{(1-2k)(1-4k)\sigma^6}{k^3} x^{-6k} \right) + O(x^{-6k}) \]

\[ = \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\right)}{2^{\frac{3}{2}} \pi^{\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(1 + \frac{k}{2})} \left( 1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{k} x^{-2k} + \frac{(1-2k)\sigma^4}{k^2} x^{-4k} \right) + O(x^{-6k}) \exp \left( - \int_{1}^{x} \frac{g(t)}{f(t)} dt \right). \]

\[ (3.4) \]

The desired result follows. \( \square \)

In order to prove Theorem 2.1, the following auxiliary result is needed.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( v_k(b_n; x) = n \log F_k(a_n x + b_n) + e^{-x} \). For normalizing constants \( a_n \) and \( b_n \) given, respectively, by (1.4) and (1.5), we have

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} b_n^{2k} \left[ b_n^{2k} v_k(b_n; x) - l_k(x) \right] = w_k(x), \]

\[ (3.4) \]

where \( l_k(x) \) and \( w_k(x) \) are given by Theorem 2.1.

**Proof.** Obviously, \( b_n \to \infty \) if and only if \( n \to \infty \) since \( 1 - F_k(b_n) = n^{-1} \). The following facts can be gained by (1.1):

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1 - F_k(a_n x + b_n)}{n^{-1}} = e^{-x} \]

\[ (3.5) \]

and

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1 - F_k(a_n x + b_n)}{b_n^{-2j}} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2. \]

\[ (3.6) \]
Combining with (3.5), (3.6), (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9), we have

\[ \begin{align*}
B_k(n, x) &= \frac{1 + \sigma^2 b_n^{-2k} + \frac{(1-2k)}{k^2} b_n^{-4k} + O(b_n^{-6k})}{1 + \sigma^2 (a_n x + b_n)^{-2k} + \frac{(1-2k)}{k^2} (a_n x + b_n)^{-4k} + O((a_n x + b_n)^{-6k})}.
\end{align*} \]

It is easy to check that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} B_k(n, x) = 1 \) and

\[ B_k(n, x) - 1 = \left( \frac{2\sigma^4}{k} b_n^{-4k} x - \frac{\sigma^6}{k^2} (2k + 1)x^2 - 4(1 - 2k)x b_n^{-6k} x + O(b_n^{-6k}) \right) (1 + o(1)) \]

Then

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{B_k(n, x) - 1}{b_n^{-2k}} = 0 \]

and

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{B_k(n, x) - 1}{b_n^{-4k}} = \frac{2\sigma^4}{k} x. \]

By (3.1), we have

\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{1 - F_k(b_n)}{1 - F_k(a_n x + b_n)} e^{-x} &= B_k(n, x) \exp \left( \int_0^x \left( \frac{k}{\sigma^2} a_n (a_n s + b_n)^{2k-1} - \frac{a_n}{a_n s + b_n} - 1 \right) ds \right)
\end{align*} \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&= B_k(n, x) \left\{ 1 + \int_0^x \left( \frac{k}{\sigma^2} a_n (a_n s + b_n)^{2k-1} - \frac{a_n}{a_n s + b_n} - 1 \right) ds \right. + \left. \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^x \left( \frac{k}{\sigma^2} a_n (a_n s + b_n)^{2k-1} - \frac{a_n}{a_n s + b_n} - 1 \right) ds \right)^2 (1 + o(1)) \right\}.
\end{align*} \]

Combining with (3.5), (3.6), (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9), we have

\[ \begin{align*}
\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n^{2k} v_k(b_n; x) &= \log F_k(a_n x + b_n) + (1 - F_k(b_n)) e^{-x} \\
&= \lim_{n \to \infty} \log F_k(a_n x + b_n) - \frac{1}{2} (1 - F_k(a_n x + b_n))^2 (1 + o(1)) + \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1 - F_k(b_n)}{b_n^{-2k}} e^{-x} - 1 \\
&= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1 - F_k(a_n x + b_n)}{b_n^{2k}} \left[ \frac{1 - F_k(b_n)}{1 - F_k(a_n x + b_n)} e^{-x} - 1 \right] \\
&= e^{-x} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ B_k(n, x) b_n^{2k} \left( \int_0^x \left( \frac{k}{\sigma^2} a_n (a_n s + b_n)^{2k-1} - \frac{a_n}{a_n s + b_n} - 1 \right) ds \right) (1 + o(1)) + \frac{B_k(n, x) - 1}{b_n^{-2k}} \right\} \\
&= e^{-x} \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^x b_n^{2k} \left( \frac{k}{\sigma^2} a_n (a_n s + b_n)^{2k-1} - \frac{a_n}{a_n s + b_n} - 1 \right) ds \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{2k} \left( (2k - 1)x^2 - 2x \right) e^{-x} \\
&=: l_k(x),
\end{align*} \]

where the last step follows by the dominated convergence theorem and

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} b_n^{2k} \left( \frac{k}{\sigma^2} a_n (a_n s + b_n)^{2k-1} - 1 \right) = \frac{2k - 1}{k} \sigma^2 s. \]
Lemma 3.3. By arguments similar to (3.10), we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_n b_n^{2k}}{a_n s + b_n} = \frac{\sigma^2}{k}
\]

By arguments similar to (3.10), we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \ell_n^{2k} \left[ b_n^{2k} v_k(b_n; x) - l_k(x) \right] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \log F_k(a_n x + b_n) + n^{-1} e^{-x} - n^{-1} b_n^{-2k l_k(x)}
\]

\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \log F_k(a_n x + b_n) + (1 - F_k(b_n)) e^{-x} (1 - l_k(x) e^{x} b_n^{-2k})
\]

\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} - (1 - F_k(a_n x + b_n)) + (1 - F_k(b_n)) e^{-x} (1 - l_k(x) e^{x} b_n^{-2k})
\]

\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1 - F_k(a_n x + b_n)}{n^{-1} b_n^{-4k}} \left[ \frac{1 - F_k(b_n)}{b_n^{-4k}} e^{-x} (1 - l_k(x) e^{x} b_n^{-2k}) - 1 \right]
\]

\[
= e^{-x} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ B_k(n, x) b_n^{4k} \int_0^x \left( \frac{k}{\sigma^2} a_n (a_n s + b_n)^{2k-1} - \frac{a_n}{a_n s + b_n} - 1 \right) ds - l_k(x) e^{x} b_n^{-2k} \right\}
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} B_k(n, x) b_n^{4k} \left[ \int_0^x \left( \frac{k}{\sigma^2} a_n (a_n s + b_n)^{2k-1} - \frac{a_n}{a_n s + b_n} - 1 \right) ds \right]^2
\]

\[
- B_k(n, x) b_n^{4k} \int_0^x \left( \frac{k}{\sigma^2} a_n (a_n s + b_n)^{2k-1} - \frac{a_n}{a_n s + b_n} - 1 \right) ds + \frac{B_k(n, x) - 1}{b_n^{-4k}} \}
\]

\[
= - \frac{\sigma^4}{24k^2} \left[ 3(2k - 1)^2 x^4 - 4(2k + 1)(2k - 1)x^3 + 24x^2 - 48kx \right] e^{-x}
\]

\[
= w_k(x).
\]

The proof is complete.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $F_k(x)$ stand for the cdf of GMD($k$), then with norming constants $a_n$ and $b_n$ determined by (1.4) and (1.5), for large $n$ we have

\[
F_k^{n-1}(a_n x + b_n) = C_n(x) \Lambda(x)
\]

(3.11)

where

\[
C_n(x) = 1 + b_n^{-2k} l_k(x) + b_n^{-4k} \left( w_k(x) + \frac{1}{2} t_k^2(x) \right) (1 + o(1)),
\]

$l_k(x)$ and $w_k(x)$ are given by Theorem 2.1.

**Proof.** By using Theorem 2.1, we have

\[
F_k^n(a_n x + b_n) = \Lambda(x) + b_n^{-2k} l_k(x) \Lambda(x) + b_n^{-4k} \left( w_k(x) + \frac{1}{2} t_k^2(x) \right) \Lambda(x) (1 + o(1))
\]

\[
= \left[ 1 + b_n^{-2k} l_k(x) + b_n^{-4k} \left( w_k(x) + \frac{1}{2} t_k^2(x) \right) \right] \Lambda(x) (1 + o(1))
\]

(3.12)

Observing that

\[
F_k^n(a_n x + b_n) \to \exp(-e^{-x}),
\]
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as $n \to \infty$, then we have
\[ n(1 - F_k(a_n x + b_n)) \to e^{-x}, \]
hence,
\[ 1 - F_k(a_n x + b_n) = O(n^{-1}), \]
which implies
\[
\frac{1}{F_k(a_n x + b_n)} = \frac{1}{1 - (1 - F_k(a_n x + b_n))} = 1 + O(n^{-1}). \tag{3.13}
\]
Combining (3.12) and (3.13) together, we derive the desired result.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let $f_k(x)$ denote the pdf of $GMD(k)$, then
\[
f_k(x) = (1 - F_k(x))D_n(x) \tag{3.14}
\]
for large $x$, and with norming constants $a_n$ and $b_n$ determined by (1.4) and (1.5), we have
\[
a_n f_k(a_n x + b_n)
1 - F_k(a_n x + b_n) = 1 + A_1(x)b_n^{-2k} + A_2(x)b_n^{-4k} + O(b_n^{-6k}) \tag{3.15}
\]
for large $n$, where
\[
D_n(x) = k\sigma^2 x^{2k-1} \left( 1 - k^{-1}\sigma^2 x^{-2k} + 2k^{-1}\sigma^4 x^{-4k} + O(x^{-6k}) \right);
\]
\[
A_1(x) = k^{-1}\sigma^2((2k-1)x-1)
\]
and
\[
A_2(x) = k^{-2}\sigma^4((2k-1)(k-1)x^2 + x + 2k).
\]

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.1, we have
\[
f_k(x) = (1 - F_k(x))k\sigma^2 x^{2k-1} \left( 1 + k^{-1}\sigma^2 x^{-2k} + k^{-2}(1 - 2k)\sigma^4 x^{-4k} + O(x^{-6k}) \right)^{-1}
\]
\[
\begin{split}
&= (1 - F_k(x))k\sigma^2 x^{2k-1} \left( 1 - k^{-1}\sigma^2 x^{-2k} + 2k^{-1}\sigma^4 x^{-4k} + O(x^{-6k}) \right) \\
&= (1 - F_k(x))D_n(x),
\end{split}
\]
for large $x$. Therefore, by (1.5), for large $n$ we have
\[
\begin{split}
a_n f_k(a_n x + b_n)
1 - F_k(a_n x + b_n)
&= a_n D_n(a_n x + b_n) \\
&= a_n k\sigma^2 (a_n x + b_n)^{-2k} \left( 1 - k^{-1}\sigma^2 (a_n x + b_n)^{-2k} + 2k^{-1}\sigma^4 (a_n x + b_n)^{-4k} + O((a_n x + b_n)^{-6k}) \right) \\
&= (1 + k^{-1}\sigma^2 b_n^{-2k})^{-2k-1} - k^{-1}\sigma^2 b_n^{-2k}(1 + k^{-1}\sigma^2 b_n^{-2k} x)^{-1} \\
&\quad + 2k^{-1}\sigma^4 b_n^{-4k}(1 + k^{-1}\sigma^2 b_n^{-2k} x)^{-2k-1} + O(b_n^{-6k}) \\
&= 1 + k^{-1}\sigma^2((2k-1)x-1)b_n^{-2k} + k^{-2}\sigma^4((2k-1)(k-1)x^2 + x + 2k)b_n^{-4k} + O(b_n^{-6k}) \\
&= 1 + A_1(x)b_n^{-2k} + A_2(x)b_n^{-4k} + O(b_n^{-6k}).
\end{split}
\]
The wanted result is deduced. \qed
Lemma 3.5. Let $C_n(x)$ and $D_n(x)$ respectively be defined by (3.11) and (3.14). Then, for $k > \frac{1}{2}$, $-c \log b_n < x < db_n^{\frac{k}{k}}$ and large $n$, we have

$$\left| a_nC_n(x)D_n(a_nx + b_n) \right| < 2,$$

$$\left| b_n^{2k}(a_nC_n(x)D_n(a_nx + b_n) - 1) \right| \leq 1 + k^{-1}\sigma^2 \left( (2k - 1)|x| + \frac{1}{2}((2k - 1)x^2 + 2|x|e^{-x}) \right),$$

and

$$\left| b_n^{2k} \left( b_n^{2k}(a_nC_n(x)D_n(a_nx + b_n) - 1) - l_{k1}(x) \right) \right| \leq 1 + k^{-2}\sigma^4 \left( (2k - 1)|k - 1|x^2 + |x| + 2k + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{4}(2k - 1)^2x^4 + \frac{4}{3}(2k - 1)|k - 1||x|^3 \right.ight.$$

$$\left. + 6k - 5|x|^2 + 2|1 - 2k||x|| \right)e^{-x} + \frac{1}{8}((2k - 1)x^2 + 2|x|^2e^{-2x}),$$

with $0 < c, d < 1$, here the norming constants $a_n$ and $b_n$ given by (1.4) and (1.5),

$$l_{k1} = k^{-1}\sigma^2 \left( \frac{1}{2}((2k - 1)x^2 - 2x) e^{-x} + (2k - 1)x - 1 \right).$$

Proof. These results are directly from Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4. The details are omitted.

In order to derive later lemmas, we need the following result.

It follows from Huang and Chen (2016), we have the Mills type inequality of the GMD(k) as follows:

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{k}x^{1-2k} < \frac{1 - F_k(x)}{f_k(x)} < \frac{\sigma^2}{k}x^{1-2k} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{k}x^{2k} - 1 \right)^{-1} \right),$$

(3.16)

for all $x > 0$ and $k > \frac{1}{2}$, where $\sigma$ is positive.

Lemma 3.6. For any constants $0 < c, d < 1$ and arbitrary nonnegative integers $i, j$, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n^i \int_{\frac{-c \log b_n}{d b_n^{\frac{k}{k}}}}^{\infty} |x|^j \exp(-i_0x)\Lambda(x)dx = 0, \quad i_0 = 1, 2, 3, \cdots,$$

(3.17)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n^i \int_{\frac{-c \log b_n}{d b_n^{\frac{k}{k}}}}^{\infty} |x|^j \beta_n(x)dx = 0,$$

(3.18)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n^i \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{-c \log b_n}{d b_n^{\frac{k}{k}}}} |x|^j \exp(-i_0x)\Lambda(x)dx = 0, \quad i_0 = 1, 2, 3, \cdots,$$

(3.19)

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n^i \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{-c \log b_n}{d b_n^{\frac{k}{k}}}} |x|^j \beta_n(x)dx = 0,$$

(3.20)

with the norming constant $b_n$ determined by (1.4).

Proof. Firstly, we consider the eq.(3.17). Noting that the inequalities $1 - x < e^{-x} < 1$ for $x > 0$, we have

$$b_n^i \int_{\frac{-c \log b_n}{d b_n^{\frac{k}{k}}}}^{\infty} |x|^i \exp(-i_0x)\Lambda(x)dx$$
\[
\begin{align*}
&\left\langle b_n^i \int_{\frac{1}{2}k}^{\infty} |x|^j \exp(-i0x)dx \rightangle \\
&\left\langle b_n^i \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}i0db_n^{2k}\right) \int_{\frac{1}{2}k}^{\infty} |x|^j \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}i0x\right) dx \right\rangle
\end{align*}
\]

\[\rightarrow 0,\]

as \( n \to \infty. \) The eq. (3.17) is complete.

Secondly, we consider the eq.(3.18). By (3.16) and Lemma 3.5, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
&b_n^i \int_{\frac{1}{2}k}^{\infty} |x|^j \beta_n(x)dx \\
&=b_n^i \int_{\frac{1}{2}k}^{\infty} |x|^j a_n(1 - F_k(b_n))^{-1}F_k^{-1}(a_nx + b_n)f_k(a_nx + b_n)dx \\
&=b_n^i \int_{\frac{1}{2}k}^{\infty} |x|^j a_n C_n(x) D_n(a_nx + b_n) \frac{(1 - F_k(a_nx + b_n))}{1 - F_k(b_n)} \Lambda(x)dx \\
&< 2b_n^i \int_{\frac{1}{2}k}^{\infty} |x|^j \left(\frac{1 - F_k(a_nx + b_n)}{1 - F_k(b_n)}\right) \Lambda(x)dx \\
&< 4b_n^i \int_{\frac{1}{2}k}^{\infty} |x|^j \exp(-x)\Lambda(x)dx
\end{align*}
\]

\[\rightarrow 0,\]

as \( n \to \infty. \) The eq. (3.18) is finished.

Next, we consider eq.(3.19).

\[
\begin{align*}
&b_n^i \int_{\frac{1}{2}k}^{\infty} |x|^j \exp(-i0x)\Lambda(x)dx \\
&\rightarrow 0,
\end{align*}
\]

as \( n \to \infty \) by methods similar to the eq. (3.18). The eq. (3.19) is proved.

Last, we consider eq. (3.20). Observing that the fact \( 1 - \alpha x < (1 - x)^{\alpha} < 1 - \alpha x + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2}x^2, \) as \( 0 < x < \frac{1}{2}, \alpha > 2, \) then for \( -\infty < x < -c\log b_n, \) by (3.16) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
&n a_n f_k(a_nx + b_n) \\
&= a_n f_k(a_nx + b_n) \\
&\frac{1 - F_k(b_n)}{1 - F_k(b_n)} \\
&< \exp\left(-\frac{b_n^{2k}}{2\sigma^2} \left(1 + k^{-1\sigma^2}b_n^{-2k}x^2\right)2k - 1\right) \\
&< e^{-x}
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\frac{1 - F_k(a_nx + b_n)}{1 - F_k(b_n)}
\]
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for large $n$. Thus,
\begin{align*}
& b_1^n \int_{-\infty}^{-\varepsilon \log b_n} |x|^j \beta_n(x) dx \\
= & b_1^n \int_{-\infty}^{-\varepsilon \log b_n} |x|^j a_n(1 - F_k(b_n))^{-1} F_k^{-1}(a_n x + b_n) f_k(a_n x + b_n) dx \\
< & b_1^n \int_{-\infty}^{-\varepsilon \log b_n} |x|^j a_n(1 - F_k(b_n))^{-1} f_k(a_n x + b_n) \exp \left[-(n-1)(1 - F_k(a_n x + b_n))\right] dx \\
< & b_1^n \int_{-\infty}^{-\varepsilon \log b_n} |x|^j \exp(-x) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \exp(-x)\right) dx \\
& < 0,
\end{align*}

as $n \to \infty$. The eq. (3.20) is derived.

Combining those results above, we complete the proof. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 3.7.** Set $H_k(b_n;x) = \frac{1-F_k(a_n x + b_n)}{1-F_k(b_n)} e^x - 1$. For large $n$ and $-c \log b_n < x < db_n^{\frac{1}{2k}}$, some integrable functions independent of $n$ dominate $x^r b_n^{2k} \Theta_n(\beta_n, \Lambda'; x)$ and $x^r b_n^{2k} (b_n^{2k} \Theta_n(\beta_n, \Lambda'; x) - P(x) \Lambda'(x))$ with $r > 0$ and $0 < c, d < 1$, here $a_n$ and $b_n$ are defined by (1.4) and (1.5), and $P(x)$ is determined by (2.2).

**Proof.**

Recrypt
\[ b_n^{2k} \Theta_n(\beta_n, \Lambda'; x) = b_n^{2k} (a_n C_n(x) D_n(a_n x + b_n) - 1) \Lambda'(x) + b_n^{2k} a_n C_n(x) D_n(a_n x + b_n) H_k(b_n;x) \Lambda'(x). \]

Easily check that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^i \exp(-s y) \exp(-\exp(-y)) dy = (-1)^i \Gamma(i)(s) < \infty$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and nonnegative integers $i$. Lemma 3.5 proves that $b_n^{2k} (a_n C_n(x) D_n(a_n x + b_n) - 1) \Lambda'(x)$ is bounded by some integrable function independent of $n$. Next we show that $b_n^{2k} H_k(b_n;x)$ is bounded by $p(x)$, here $p(x)$ is a polynomial on $x$.

Recrupt
\begin{align*}
& b_n^{2k} H_k(b_n;x) \\
= & b_n^{2k} (E_k(n,x) - 1) - b_n^{2k} E_k(n,x) \int_0^x \delta_n(s) ds (1 + o(1)) \\
=: & A_n(x) - B_n(x), \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}

here
\[ \delta_n(x) = \frac{k}{\sigma^2} a_n (a_n x + b_n)^{2k-1} - \frac{a_n}{a_n x + b_n} - 1. \]

For $-c \log b_n < x < db_n^{\frac{1}{2k}}$, by Lemma 3.2 and (1.5) we have
\[ |A_n(x)| < 1 \tag{3.22} \]
\[ |\mathcal{B}_n(x)| < 1 + \frac{1}{2} (2 - k^{-1}) \sigma^2 x^2 + \frac{2}{3} (2 - k^{-1}) |1 - k^{-1}| \sigma^4 |x|^3 + \frac{1}{k \sigma^2 - c} |x| \quad (3.23) \]

for large \( n \).

By (3.22) and (3.23), \( b_n^{2k} H_k(b_n; x) \) is bounded by one integrable function independent of \( n \).

Rescript
\[
b_n^{2k} \left( b_n^{2k} \Theta_n(\beta_n, \Lambda'; x) - P(x) \Lambda'(x) \right)
= b_n^{2k} \left( b_n^{2k} \left( a_n (1 - F_k(b_n))^{-1} F_k^{n-1} (a_n x + b_n) f_k(a_n x + b_n) - \Lambda'(x) \right) - P(x) \Lambda'(x) \right)
= b_n^{2k} \left( b_n^{2k} \left( \left( \frac{1 - F_k(a_n x + b_n)}{1 - F_k(b_n)} \right) e^x - 1 + 1 \right) a_n C_n(x) D_n(a_n x + b_n) - 1 \right) - (l_{k1}(x) + l_{k2}(x)) \Lambda'(x)
= b_n^{2k} \left( b_n^{2k} a_n C_n(x) D_n(a_n x + b_n) \left( H_k(b_n; x) - b_n^{-2k} l_{k2}(x) \right) \right)
+ b_n^{2k} \left( a_n C_n(x) D_n(a_n x + b_n) - 1 - b_n^{-2k} l_{k1}(x) \right) + (a_n C_n(x) D_n(a_n x + b_n) - 1) l_{k2}(x) \Lambda'(x),
\]

here
\[ l_{k2}(x) = -\frac{1}{2} k^{-1} \sigma^2 ((2k - 1)x^2 + 2x). \]

It follows from Lemma 3.5 that only need to calculate the bound of
\[
b_n^{2k} \left( b_n^{2k} a_n C_n(x) D_n(a_n x + b_n) \left( H_k(b_n; x) - b_n^{-2k} l_{k2}(x) \right) \right).
\]

Rescript
\[
b_n^{2k} \left( b_n^{2k} a_n C_n(x) D_n(a_n x + b_n) \left( H_k(b_n; x) - b_n^{-2k} l_{k2}(x) \right) \right)
= b_n^{2k} \left( E_k(n, x) - 1 \right) - b_n^{2k} \left( E_k(n, x) b_n^{2k} \int_0^x \delta(s) ds \right) - b_n^{-2k} l_{k2}(x)
\]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} E_k(n, x) b_n^{2k} \left( \int_0^x \delta(s) ds \right)^2 (1 + o(1))
= : I_n(x) - J_n(x) + K_n(x).
\]

As to the case of \( 0 < x < db_n^{\frac{2}{k}} \), we have
\[ |I_n(x)| < 4 k^{-2} \sigma^4 (k + 4(2k - 1)\sigma^2) x \quad (3.24) \]

for large \( n \), by Lemma 3.2 and \( 1 - \alpha x < (1 + x)^{-\alpha} < 1 \) for \( \alpha > 0 \) and \( x > 0 \).

As to the case of \( -c \log b_n < x < 0 \), we have
\[ |I_n(x)| < 4 k^{-2} \sigma^4 (k + 4(2k - 1)\sigma^2) |x| \quad (3.25) \]

for large \( n \), by Lemma 3.2 and \( 1 + \alpha x < (1 + x)^{\alpha} < 1 \) for \( \alpha > 1 \) and \( -1 < x < 0 \).

Similarly, as for the bound of \( J_n(x) \) and \( K_n(x) \), we have
\[ |J_n(x)| < \frac{2}{3} (2 - k^{-1}) |1 - k^{-1}| \sigma^4 |x|^3 + \frac{1}{2} k^{-1} \sigma^2 |k \sigma^2 - c|^{-1} x^2 \quad (3.26) \]
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and
\[ |\mathcal{K}_n(x)| < 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} (2 - k^{-1}) \sigma^2 x^2 + \frac{2}{3} (2 - k^{-1}) |1 - k^{-1}| \sigma^4 |x|^3 + |k \sigma^2 - c|^{-1} |x| \right)^2 \]  \quad (3.27)

for large \( n \), as \( -c \log b_n < x < db_n^{2k} \).

Combining (3.24)-(3.27) together, the desired result is gained. The proof is finished. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** By (3.10), we have \( v_k(b_n; x) \to 0 \) and
\[
\left| \sum_{i=3}^\infty \frac{v_i^{k-3}(b_n; x)}{i!} \right| < \exp \left| v_k(b_n; x) \right| \to 1
\]
as \( n \to \infty \). By applying Lemma 3.2, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
  b_n^{2k} &\left[ b_n^{2k} \left( F_k^n(a_n x + b_n) - \Lambda(x) \right) - l_k(x) \Lambda(x) \right] \\
  &= b_n^{2k} \left[ b_n^{2k} \left( \exp \left( v_k(b_n; x) \right) - 1 \right) - l_k(x) \right] \Lambda(x) \\
  &= \left[ b_n^{2k} \left( b_n^{2k} v_k(b_n; x) - l_k(x) \right) + b_n^{4k} v_k^2(b_n; x) \left( \frac{1}{2} + v_k(b_n; x) \sum_{i=3}^\infty \frac{v_i^{k-3}(b_n; x)}{i!} \right) \right] \Lambda(x) \\
  &\to \left( w_k(x) + \frac{t_k^2(x)}{2} \right) \Lambda(x),
\end{align*}
\]
as \( n \to \infty \).

We obtain the desired result. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** Let \( E_k(n, x) = 1/B_k(n, x) \), by (3.7) and (3.8), we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n^{2k} (E_k(n, x) - 1) = 0 \quad (3.28)
\]
and
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n^{4k} (E_k(n, x) - 1) = -2k^{-1} \sigma^4 x. \quad (3.29)
\]
It follows from (3.9) that
\[
\frac{1 - F_k(a_n x + b_n)}{1 - F_k(b_n)} e^x = E_k(n, x) \left\{ 1 - \int_0^x \delta_n(s) \, ds + \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^x \delta_n(s) \, ds \right)^2 (1 + o(1)) \right\}. \quad (3.30)
\]
where
\[
\delta_n(x) = \frac{k}{\sigma^2} a_n (a_n x + b_n)^{2k-1} - \frac{a_n}{a_n x + b_n} - 1.
\]
By (3.11) and (3.14), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
  a_n C_n(x) D_n(a_n x + b_n) \\
  &= \left( 1 + b_n^{-2k} l_k(x) + b_n^{-4k} \left( w_k(x) + \frac{1}{2} t_k^2(x) \right) \right) (1 + o(1))
  \times \left( 1 + A_1(x) b_n^{-2k} + A_2(x) b_n^{-4k} + O(b_n^{-6k}) \right)
\end{align*}
\]
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Thus, by applying (3.32) we have

\[ \Theta_n(\beta_n, \Lambda'; x) = \beta_n(x) - \Lambda'(x) \]

By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 and combining (3.28)-(3.31) together, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
&= \left(1 - F_k(b_n)\right)^{-1} a_n F_k(a_n x + b_n) - \Lambda'(x) \\
&= \left(1 - F_k(b_n)\right)^{-1} a_n C_n(x) \Lambda(x)(1 - F_k(a_n x + b_n)) D_n(a_n x + b_n) - \Lambda'(x) \\
&= \left( E_k(n, x)(l_k(x) + A_1(x)) b_n^{-2k} + E_k(n, x) \left( w_k(x) + \frac{1}{2} l_k^2(x) + l_k(x) A_1(x) + A_2(x) \right) b_n^{-4k} \\
&\quad - E_k(n, x) \left[ 1 + (l_k(x) + A_1(x)) b_n^{-2k} + \left( w_k(x) + \frac{1}{2} l_k^2(x) + l_k(x) A_1(x) + A_2(x) \right) b_n^{-4k} \right] \\
&\quad \times \int_0^x \delta_n(s) \, ds + \frac{1}{2} E_k(n, x) \left[ 1 + (l_k(x) + A_1(x)) b_n^{-2k} \\
&\quad + \left( w_k(x) + \frac{1}{2} l_k^2(x) + l_k(x) A_1(x) + A_2(x) \right) b_n^{-4k} + O(b_n^{-6k}) \right] \left( \int_0^x \delta_n(s) \, ds \right)^2 (1 + o(1)) \\
&\quad + E_k(n, x) - 1 \right) \Lambda'(x).
\end{align*}
\]  

(3.32)

Thus, by applying (3.32) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n^{2k} \Theta_n(\beta_n, \Lambda'; x) \\
&= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( l_k(x) + A_1(x) - \int_0^x b_n^{2k} \delta_n(s) \, ds \right) \Lambda'(x) \\
&= k^{-1} \sigma^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( (2k - 1)x^2 - 2x \right) e^{-x} + \left( -\frac{1}{2} (2k - 1)x^2 + (2k - 3)x - 1 \right) \right) \Lambda'(x) \\
&= : P(x) \Lambda'(x).
\end{align*}
\]  

(3.33)

Combining (3.32) and (3.33) together, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n^{2k} \left( b_n^{2k} \Theta_n(\beta_n, \Lambda'; x) - P(x) \Lambda'(x) \right) \\
&= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( E_k(n, x) - 1 \right) (l_k(x) + A_1(x)) + E_k(n, x) \left( w_k(x) + \frac{1}{2} l_k^2(x) + l_k(x) A_1(x) + A_2(x) \right) b_n^{-2k} \\
&\quad - b_n^{2k} \int_0^x \left( \delta_n(s) - \left( \frac{2k - 1}{k} \sigma^2 s - \frac{\sigma^2}{k} \right) b_n^{-2k} \right) \, ds \\
&\quad - E_k(n, x) \left[ l_k(x) + A_1(x) + \left( w_k(x) + \frac{1}{2} l_k^2(x) + l_k(x) A_1(x) + A_2(x) \right) b_n^{-2k} \right] \int_0^x \delta_n(s) \, ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} E_k(n, x) b_n^{2k} \left[ 1 + (l_k(x) + A_1(x)) b_n^{-2k} \\
&\quad + \left( w_k(x) + \frac{1}{2} l_k^2(x) + l_k(x) A_1(x) + A_2(x) \right) b_n^{-4k} + O(b_n^{-6k}) \right] \left( \int_0^x \delta_n(s) \, ds \right)^2 (1 + o(1)) \\
&\quad + b_n^{2k} (E_k(n, x) - 1) \right) \Lambda'(x)
\end{align*}
\]  
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Proof of Theorem 2.3. By Lemmas 3.5 – 3.7 and the dominated convergence theorem, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
&\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( b_{n}^{2k}(E_{n}(n, x) - 1) + w_{k}(x) + \frac{1}{2} l_{k}(x) + l_{k}(x)A_{1}(x) + A_{2}(x) \\
&\quad - b_{n}^{2k} \int_{0}^{x} \left( \delta_{n}(s) - \frac{2k - 1 - \sigma^{2}s - \sigma^{2}}{k} \right) b_{n}^{-2k} ds \\
&\quad - (l_{k}(x) + A_{1}(x))b_{n}^{2k} \int_{0}^{x} \delta_{n}(s) ds + \frac{1}{2} b_{n}^{2k} \left( \int_{0}^{x} \delta_{n}(s) ds \right) (1 + o(1)) \Lambda'(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{8}k^{-2}\sigma^{2}\left((2k - 1)x^{2} - 2x\right)^{2}e^{-2x} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{24}k^{-2}\sigma^{4}\left(9(2k - 1)^{2}x^{4} - 16(2k - 1)(2k + 1)x^{3} + 6(12k + 1)x^{2} - 24(k + 1)x\right)e^{-x} \\
&\quad + k^{-2}\sigma^{4}\left(\frac{1}{8}(2k - 1)^{2}x^{4} - \frac{1}{3}(2k - 1)(4k - 1)x^{3} + \frac{1}{2}(4k^{2} + 1)x^{2} - 2kx + 2k\right)\Lambda'(x) \\
&= : Q(x)\Lambda'(x).
\end{align*}
\]

The proof is complete.

**Proof of Theorem 2.3.** By Lemmas 3.5 – 3.7 and the dominated convergence theorem, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
b_{n}^{2k}(m_{r}(n) - m_{r}) \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{r} b_{n}^{2k}(\theta_{n}(\beta_{n}, \Lambda'; x)) dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{c \log b_{n}} x^{r} b_{n}^{2k}(\theta_{n}(\beta_{n}, \Lambda'; x)) dx + b_{n}^{2k} \int_{c \log b_{n}}^{\infty} x^{r} b_{n}^{2k}(\theta_{n}(\beta_{n}, \Lambda'; x)) dx \\
&\quad + \int_{db_{n}^{2k}}^{\infty} x^{r} b_{n}^{2k}(\theta_{n}(\beta_{n}, \Lambda'; x)) dx \\
&\quad \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{r} P(x)\Lambda'(x) dx \\
&= - 2^{-1}k^{-1}\sigma^{2}r((2k - 1)m_{r+1} - 2m_{r})
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
b_{n}^{2k}(b_{n}^{2k}(m_{r}(n) - m_{r}) + 2^{-1}k^{-1}\sigma^{2}r((2k - 1)m_{r+1} - 2m_{r})) \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{r} b_{n}^{2k}(\theta_{n}(\beta_{n}, \Lambda'; x) - P(x)\Lambda'(x)) dx \\
&= \int_{db_{n}^{2k}}^{\infty} x^{r} b_{n}^{2k}(\theta_{n}(\beta_{n}, \Lambda'; x) - P(x)\Lambda'(x)) dx \\
&\quad + \int_{-c \log b_{n}}^{db_{n}^{2k}} x^{r} b_{n}^{2k}(\theta_{n}(\beta_{n}, \Lambda'; x) - P(x)\Lambda'(x)) dx \\
&\quad + \int_{-\infty}^{c \log b_{n}} x^{r} b_{n}^{2k}(\theta_{n}(\beta_{n}, \Lambda'; x) - P(x)\Lambda'(x)) dx \\
&\quad \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{r} Q(x)\Lambda'(x) dx \\
&= - rk^{-2}\sigma^{4}\left\{\frac{1}{8}(2k - 1)^{2}(r + 3) + \frac{1}{3}(k - 1)(2k - 1)\right\} m_{r+2} + \frac{1}{2}(2k - 1)(r + 2) - 1) m_{r+1}
\end{align*}
\]
\[ + \left( 2k - \frac{1}{2}(r + 1) \right) m_r \right] \right),

as \( n \to \infty \) with \( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^{r+1} e^{-2x} \Lambda(x) \, dx = -(r + 1)m_r + m_{r+1} \) and \( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^{r+1} e^{-3x} \Lambda(x) \, dx = r(r + 1)m_{r-1} - 3(r + 1)m_r + 2m_{r+1} \).

The proof is complete. \( \Box \)
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