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ABSTRACT

We present a Software Keyboard for smart touchscreen devices that learns its owner’s unique dictionary in order to produce personalized typing predictions. The learning process is accelerated by analysing user’s past typed communication. Moreover, personal temporal user behaviour is captured and exploited in the prediction engine. Computational and storage issues are addressed by dynamically forgetting words that the user no longer types. A prototype implementation is available at Google Play Store.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

H.2.8 [Database Applications]: Data mining; C.5.3 [Computer System Implementation]: Personal Computers

General Terms

Algorithms
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1. INTRODUCTION

Over the last few years smartphones and tablets, have achieved mass adoption. Currently, smart devices possess impressive computational and sensing capabilities. However, little improvement has been achieved with respect to their (software) keyboards (SKs).

Contemporary SKs are more difficult to use, due to lack of typing feedback, large number of keys and small screen size. On the other hand, the need for written communication is increasing. Popular everyday applications include chatting, e-mail exchanging, micro-blogging, participation in social networks and even editing documents.

With the rise of multitouch capacitive touch-screens, various research approaches [13, 2, 7] and corporate efforts [1] tried to improve software keyboards. However, none of them managed to be successfully adopted yet. Existing commercial SKs, are based on generic dictionaries [1, 5] adapting to personal typing behavior by learning new words and phrases that the user commonly uses. Generic predefined dictionaries maintain a large amount of unnecessary information and fail to capture the user language. For example, it is common for non-English speakers to type their messages in their mother tongue using Latin characters. These dialects use words that can not be found in common dictionaries, since they differ from user to user and there is no common grammar. As a consequence, ‘auto-complete’ solutions have disappointed users even leading them into creating memes discussing these issues [11].

We introduce Anima, an SK that captures its owner’s unique dictionary in order to produce personalized typing predictions. Anima is characterized by the following novel features:

1. There is no requirement for a predefined dictionary
2. The learning process is accelerated by considering the user’s past written communication
3. A low memory footprint is achieved, by forgetting words that the user does not use any more, and finally
4. Anima learns user’s daily patterns and produces time-aware predictions

2. SYSTEM OVERVIEW

Architecture. According to Human Computer Interaction (HCI) research, word suggestion engines (‘auto-complete’) decrease the user’s typing speed without reducing error rates [7]. Hence, we focus on predicting the next character that the user will most probably type. The architecture of Anima decouples the User Interface (UI) from the Prediction Engine (PE). In this way, we provide the opportunity to the HCI research community to develop new UI approaches that will utilize the existing PE. Hence, we defined a simple contract that the UI must follow:

1. Inform PE about the typed character
2. Request predictions for the next character
3. Visually exploit the predicted character set that PE returns
4. Send feedback to PE when a prediction is not accurate

The system overview of Anima is depicted in Figure 3.

User Interface. Anima’s UI, is inspired by recent HCI research [6] shrinking the keyboard buttons that are not included in the PE’s prediction set. As a result, it visually aids the user to type the desired characters (see Figure 1). In addition, shrinking buttons result to larger empty spaces between keys preventing mistypes. In order send feedback for a bad prediction the user can swipe diagonally. Finally, the user can hide the keyboard by swiping down.
3. PREDICTION ENGINE

The Prediction Engine is the module that predicts the next character that the user will type. Its main structure is based on a Trie data structure [12], with weights in each node. Let’s assume a stream of user key strokes at each time-step $t$: $\{a_t, \ldots, a_1\}$, where $a_t \in K$ and $K$ is the set of all possible keys in a keyboard. A corresponding stream of prediction-sets is produced by the prediction engine $\{G_t, \ldots, G_1\}$, where $G_t = \{g_{t,1}, \ldots, g_{t,n_t}\}$, with $g \in K$ and $n$ the size of the prediction set. Note that $t$ in $n_t$ implies that the size of the prediction set is varying (see next paragraphs).

Learning and Predicting. Each time the user types a character $a_t$, PE traverses the Trie from the root, by descending one level every time a character is typed. The weights in each node, represent how many times the user has traversed this path. The weighted Trie ($WT$) tracks the traversed paths and adds words that the user types for the first time. A cursor ($c$) points to the current level of the Trie. Every time the user types a word separator, $c$ is redirected to the root of $WT$. In order to produce predictions for the next character $G_{t+1}$, given the sequence of characters that have been typed after the last word separator (word $W$), $Anima$ computes the conditional probabilities $P(k | W)$ for every $k \in K$, based on the weights of the nodes at $c$. Then, the top-$n_t$ characters according to $P$ are returned. The time complexity of computing all probabilities of the next character is constant, $O(1)$. This results from the following:

- The limited number of probability calculations (i.e. size of $K$) and
- The fact that these computations consider information that is available within the node of $WT$ (instant access)

Constant time complexity is crucial for such applications, since any propagated delay to the UI level, would annoy the user and hurt the usability of the keyboard.

Confidence and Diffidence. An upper bound $n_t$ is defined, representing the maximum number of predicted characters at time $t$. This upper bound is dynamic and is refined automatically and continuously. It is associated with the confidence of the PE. The time that $n_t$ is adjusted, is defined by two parameters, conf (confidence) and diff (diffidence) associated with bad prediction feedback from the UI. After $conf$ consecutive successful predictions, $n_t$ is decreased by one, resulting to a more aggressive (confident) behaviour shrinking $k-n_t$ keys. On the other hand, when PE gets $diff$ continuous negative feedbacks, $n_t$ is increased by one, in order to shrink a smaller set of characters.

Learning Accelerators. In order to accelerate the learning process, Accelerators can be added to the PE. Accelerators are messages written by the user in the past. Currently one Accelerator has been implemented, shown in Figure 1, which crawls the user’s Twitter account and feeds his/her messages to the engine, in order to train it.

Pruning. Contemporary SKs come with a pre-installed dictionary and learn words that the user is typing and are not included in the dictionary. Google’s Android SK contains a dictionary of 160,722 words for the English UK language [5] and its footprint continues to increase as the user types new words (see Figure 4b). In order to address the increasing volume of the dictionary, $Anima$ prunes $WT$, based on a Least Recently Used algorithm [3]. As a result, it maintains a dictionary that is more suitable to the user, since it consists of more relevant recently typed words.

Time-awareness: $Anima$ incorporates Time-Awareness (TA) in the PE, in order to incorporate user daily habits into the predictions. This is done by partitioning a day into $T$ partitions, and by keeping a $WT$ per partition, represented as $WT_t$, $t \in [0,1,\ldots,T]$. During a partition $t$, the respective $WT_t$ is active. Our experimental results (Section 4), showed that the invocation of the time feature improved the accuracy of $Anima$.

Multilingual Support: PE is language agnostic, which means that in order to add a new language only the UI level has to be updated with the new character layout. This is a result of the way the PE handles the characters and the predictions, since $WT$s are independent of languages.

Finally, in the case of a user typing in multiple languages, for
Algorithm 1 Time-Aware typing predictions

Input:  
ch: Key typed.  
feedback: Bad Prediction Feedback.  

Return:  
P: List of predicted next keys along with their respective probability.

1: time ← clock.Now  
2: if feedback then  
3: idle ← true  
4: confidence()  
5: else  
6: confidence()  
7: end if  
8: if idle then  
9: cursor ← TimeTries[time]  
10: cursor.Add(ch)  
11: cursor ← cursor[ch]  
12: if isWordSeparator(ch) then  
13: idle ← false  
14: TimeTries[time] ← TimeTries[time].Root  
15: return ∅  
16: end if  
17: end if  
18: cursor ← TimeTries[time]  
19: cursor ← cursor[ch]  
20: for each ch ∈ cursor do  
21: P ← P ∪ popularity(ch)  
22: end for  
23: if isWordSeparator(ch) then  
24: TimeTries[time] ← TimeTries[time].Root  
25: end if  
26: return normalize(P)

4. EVALUATION

Dataset. We utilized a dataset with messages typed through mobile devices. More specifically we collected Twitter messages and kept only the ones containing geo-location information. This information is only available when a user posts through GPS-enabled devices (smart phones, tablets, etc). We crawled Twitter using the Streaming API for the period between February 16, 2014 and April 6, 2014. Tweets were collected using a bounding box around the United Kingdom, resulting in a dataset with over 27 million tweets. We selected the top 100 authors in terms of tweet count. In addition, we developed a simulator of the Anima engine (source code available here [9]), in order to simulate the users’ typing behavior using Anima. Retweets and hyperlinks were ignored, because they do not contain words and characters actually typed by the users. Instead, mentions to other users were taken into account, because they can be considered as nicknames that the user uses to refer to a friend. Finally, we manually filtered-out accounts that are automated systems (e.g. weather broadcasting accounts, bots, or news broadcasting accounts). Messages were sorted in a chronological order. We simulated users typing through Anima, by using multiple-sized training sets containing 0 to 1500 tweets, with a step of 50 tweets. The test sets consist of the following 500 messages.

Results: We evaluated the predictive accuracy of Anima, by computing the hit ratio [4] over how many predicted characters were returned in each prediction. The ideal prediction engine would always predict exactly one character which would be the correct one, resulting in a precision of 1. For the experiments, we used the two following variations of Anima:

- Anima with Google’s dictionary for the UK English language [5], consisting of 160,722 words, Accelerator-disabled, TA-disabled and Pruning-disabled.
- Anima with no initial dictionary, Accelerators disabled, TA-enabled and Pruning-enabled.

![Algorithm 1 Time-Aware typing predictions](image)

![Figure 3: Anima overview](image)
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Table 1: Mean Precision for Anima variations, without any Accelerator and no initial dictionary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TA</th>
<th>Pruning</th>
<th>Prec</th>
<th>TA</th>
<th>Pruning</th>
<th>Prec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2387</td>
<td>$T = 14$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T = 2$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2426</td>
<td>$T = 15$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T = 3$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2418</td>
<td>$T = 16$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T = 4$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2426</td>
<td>$T = 17$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T = 5$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2412</td>
<td>$T = 18$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T = 6$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2352</td>
<td>$T = 19$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T = 7$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2349</td>
<td>$T = 20$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T = 8$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2442</td>
<td>$T = 21$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T = 9$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2446</td>
<td>$T = 22$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T = 10$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2448</td>
<td>$T = 23$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T = 11$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2450</td>
<td>$T = 24$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T = 12$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2452</td>
<td>$T = 24$</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>.2392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T = 13$</td>
<td>$\times$</td>
<td>.2454</td>
<td>$T = 24$</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>.2361</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper we introduce Anima, an adaptive software keyboard for touchscreen devices that learns the user’s dictionary and habits, in order to produce more accurate character predictions. During the demonstration, the users will be able to test Anima with a variety of touchscreen devices and settings by providing their Twitter username, so that Anima can accelerate learning of their personal dictionary. We plan to incorporate location features and knowledge exchanging between users that have similar behavior, e.g. users that are co-workers or are connected through a real-life relationship. Finally, we intend to use more Acceleration sources, like chat messages, e-mails and social networking services.

An early prototype of Anima, without the Twitter Accelerator and the TA feature, is publicly available at Google’s Play Store [10], with more than 9000 installations, a rating of 4 out of 5, among 72 users and 196 Google +1s. Source code is available at [8].

6. DEMONSTRATION

Attendants will be able to use two software keyboards:

1. Time-Aware Anima, after being Accelerated by their Twitter account and

2. Anima without Time-Aware or Acceleration and with Google’s Android dictionary [5] as initial dictionary and compare them by using them.

7. REFERENCES


