

# Semicontinuous filter limits of nets of lattice group-valued functions

Antonio Boccuto and Xenofon Dimitriou

**ABSTRACT:** Some conditions for semicontinuity of the limit function of a pointwise convergent net of lattice group-valued functions with respect to filter convergence are given. In this framework we consider some kinds of filter exhaustiveness.

**Definitions 1** Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological space.

(a) A function  $f : X \rightarrow R$  is *upper semicontinuous* at a point  $x \in X$  iff there is an  $(O)$ -sequence  $(\sigma_p)_p$  (depending on  $x$ ) such that for each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a neighborhood  $U_x$  of  $x$  with  $f(z) \leq f(x) + \sigma_p$  whenever  $z \in U_x$ . We say that  $f \in R^X$  is *lower semicontinuous* at  $x$  iff  $-f$  is upper semicontinuous at  $x$ . A function  $f \in R^X$  is *continuous* at  $x$  iff it is both upper and lower semicontinuous at  $x$ . If the  $(O)$ -sequence  $(\sigma_p)_p$  can be chosen independently of  $x \in X$ , then we say that  $f$  is *globally upper semicontinuous* (resp. *globally lower semicontinuous*) on  $X$ . A function  $f \in R^X$  is *globally continuous* on  $X$  iff it is both globally upper and globally lower semicontinuous on  $X$ .

(b) Let  $x \in X$ . We say that a net  $f_\lambda : X \rightarrow R$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , is  $\mathcal{F}$ -*upper exhaustive* (or  $\mathcal{F}$ -*backward exhaustive*) at  $x$  iff there is an  $(O)$ -sequence  $(\sigma_p)_p$  such that for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  and a set  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that for each  $\lambda \in F$  and  $z \in U$  we have  $f_\lambda(z) \leq f_\lambda(x) + \sigma_p$ . We say that  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -*lower exhaustive* (or  $\mathcal{F}$ -*forward exhaustive*) at  $x$  iff  $(-f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -upper exhaustive at  $x$ .

(c) A net  $f_\lambda : X \rightarrow R$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , is *weakly  $\mathcal{F}$ -upper exhaustive* at  $x$  iff

there is an  $(O)$ -sequence  $(\sigma_p)_p$  such that for each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that for every  $z \in U$  there is  $F_z \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $f_\lambda(z) \leq f_\lambda(x) + \sigma_p$  whenever  $\lambda \in F_z$ . We say that  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is *weakly  $\mathcal{F}$ -lower exhaustive at  $x$*  iff  $(-f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is weakly  $\mathcal{F}$ -upper exhaustive at  $x$ .

(d) We say that  $f_\lambda : X \rightarrow R$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , is *weakly  $\mathcal{F}$ -upper (lower) exhaustive on  $X$*  iff it is weakly  $\mathcal{F}$ -upper (lower) exhaustive at every  $x \in X$  with respect to a single  $(O)$ -sequence, independent of  $x \in X$ .

(e) We say that  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is *weakly  $\mathcal{F}$ -exhaustive at  $x$  (resp. on  $X$ )* iff it is both weakly  $\mathcal{F}$ -upper and weakly  $\mathcal{F}$ -lower exhaustive at  $x$  (resp. on  $X$ ).

(f) We say that the net  $f_\lambda : X \rightarrow R$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , is  *$\mathcal{F}$ -almost below a function  $f : X \rightarrow R$  around a point  $x \in X$*  iff there exists an  $(O)$ -sequence  $(\sigma_p)_p$  such that for every  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that for each  $z \in U$  there is a set  $F_z \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $f_\lambda(z) \leq f(x) + \sigma_p$  whenever  $\lambda \in F_z$ . We say that  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is  *$\mathcal{F}$ -almost above  $f$  around  $x$*  iff  $(-f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost below  $-f$  around  $x$ .

**Theorem 2** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $(\Lambda)$ -free filter of  $\Lambda$ ,  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological space,  $f_\lambda : X \rightarrow R$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , be a net of functions,  $(RO\mathcal{F})$ -convergent to  $f : X \rightarrow R$  with respect to a single  $(O)$ -sequence  $(\sigma_p^*)_p$ , and  $x \in X$  be a fixed point. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is weakly  $\mathcal{F}$ -upper exhaustive at  $x$ ;
- (ii)  $f$  is upper semicontinuous at  $x$ ;
- (iii)  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost below  $f$  around  $x$ .

**Theorem 3** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\Lambda$ ,  $X$  be as in Theorem 2,  $f_\lambda : X \rightarrow R$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , be a function net,  $(RO\mathcal{F})$ -convergent to  $f : X \rightarrow R$ , and  $x \in X$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is weakly  $\mathcal{F}$ -lower exhaustive at  $x$ ;
- (ii)  $f$  is lower semicontinuous at  $x$ ;
- (iii)  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost above  $f$  around  $x$ .

**Definitions 4** (a) A net  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is  $(RO\mathcal{F})$ -upper convergent to  $f$  iff there is an  $(O)$ -sequence  $(\sigma_p)_p$  such that for each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in X$  there is a set  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $f(x) \leq f_\lambda(x) + \sigma_p$  whenever  $\lambda \in F$ . We say that  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is  $(RO\mathcal{F})$ -lower convergent to  $f$  iff  $(-f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is  $(RO\mathcal{F})$ -upper convergent to  $-f$ , and that  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is  $(RO\mathcal{F})$ -convergent to  $f$  iff it is both  $(RO\mathcal{F})$ -upper and  $(RO\mathcal{F})$ -lower convergent to  $f$ .

(b) A net  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is said to be  $(\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{T}^s)$ -upper convergent to  $f$  iff there is an  $(O)$ -sequence  $(\sigma_p)_p$  such that  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$   $(RO\mathcal{F})$ -converges to  $f$  with respect to  $(\sigma_p)_p$  and for each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in X$  there is a set  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that for every  $\lambda \in F$  there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $f(z) \leq f_\lambda(z) + \sigma_p$  whenever  $z \in U$ . We say that  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is  $(\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{T}^s)$ -lower convergent to  $f$  iff  $(-f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is  $(\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{T}^s)$ -upper convergent to  $-f$ , and that  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is  $(\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{T}^s)$ -convergent to  $f$  iff  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is both  $(\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{T}^s)$ -upper and  $(\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{T}^s)$ -lower convergent to  $f$ ,

**Theorem 5** Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological space,  $f : X \rightarrow R$  be globally upper semicontinuous on  $X$ ,  $f_\lambda : X \rightarrow R$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , be a net of functions globally lower semicontinuous on  $X$  with respect to a single  $(O)$ -sequence independent of  $\lambda$ , and  $(RO\mathcal{F})$ -upper convergent to  $f$ . Suppose that

(i)  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -almost below  $f$  around every point  $x \in X$  with respect to a single  $(O)$ -sequence, independent of  $x$ .

Then  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is  $(\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{T}^s)$ -upper convergent to  $f$ .

**Corollary 6** Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological space,  $f : X \rightarrow R$  be globally upper semicontinuous on  $X$ ,  $f_\lambda : X \rightarrow R$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , be a net of functions, globally lower semicontinuous on  $X$  with respect to a single  $(O)$ -sequence independent of  $\lambda$ , and  $(RO\mathcal{F})$ -convergent to  $f$ .

Then  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$  is  $(\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{T}^s)$ -upper convergent to  $f$ .

**Theorem 7** Let  $X$ ,  $R$  and  $f$  be as in Corollary.6,  $f_\lambda : X \rightarrow R$ ,

$\lambda \in \Lambda$ , be a net of functions, globally continuous on  $X$  with respect to a single  $(O)$ -sequence independent of  $\lambda$ ,  $(RO\mathcal{F})$ -convergent to  $f$  and  $(\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{I}^s)$ -upper convergent to  $f$ .

Then  $(f_\lambda)_\lambda$  and  $f$  satisfy condition (i) of Theorem 5.