

# ABOUT VERY PERFECT NUMBERS<sup>1</sup>

Florentin Smarandache, Ph D  
Associate Professor  
Chair of Department of Math & Sciences  
University of New Mexico  
200 College Road  
Gallup, NM 87301, USA  
E-mail: smarand@unm.edu

A natural number  $n$  is called very perfect if  $\sigma(\sigma(n)) = 2n$  (see [1]).

**Theorem.** The square of an odd prime number cannot be very perfect number.

*Proof:* Let's consider  $n = p^2$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime number, then

$$\sigma(n) = 1 + p + p^2, \quad \sigma(\sigma(n)) = \sigma(1 + p + p^2) = 2p^2.$$

We decompose  $\sigma(n)$  in canonical form, from where  $1 + p + p^2 = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ . Because  $p(p+1)+1$  is odd, in the canonical decompose must be only odd numbers.

$$\sigma(\sigma(n)) = (1 + p_1 + \dots + p_1^{\alpha_1}) \dots (1 + p_k + \dots + p_k^{\alpha_k}) = \frac{p_1^{\alpha_1+1} - 1}{p_1 - 1} \dots \frac{p_k^{\alpha_k+1} - 1}{p_k - 1} = 2p^2$$

Because

$$\frac{p_1^{\alpha_1+1} - 1}{p_1 - 1} > 2, \dots, \frac{p_k^{\alpha_k+1} - 1}{p_k - 1} > 2$$

one obtains that  $2p^2$  cannot be decomposed in more than two factors, then each one is  $> 2$ , therefore  $k \leq 2$ .

**Case 1.** For  $k = 1$  we find  $\sigma(n) = 1 + p + p^2 = p_1^{\alpha_1}$ , from where one obtains

$$p_1^{\alpha_1+1} = p_1(1 + p + p^2) \text{ and}$$

$$\sigma(\sigma(n)) = \frac{p_1^{\alpha_1+1} - 1}{p_1 - 1} = 2p^2, \quad p_1(1 + p + p^2) - 1 = 2p^2(p_1 - 1),$$

from where

$$p_1 - 1 = p(pp_1 - 2p - p_1).$$

The right side is divisible by  $p$ , thus  $p_1 - 1$  is a  $p$  multiple. Because  $p_1 > 2$  it results

$$p_1 \geq p - 1 \text{ and } p_1^2 \geq (p+1)^2 > p^2 + p + 1 = p_1^{\alpha_1},$$

thus  $\alpha_1 = 1$  and

$$\sigma(n) = p^2 + p + 1 = p_1, \quad \sigma(\sigma(n)) = \sigma(p_1) = 1 + p_1.$$

If  $n$  is very perfect then  $1 + p_1 = 2p^2$  or  $p^2 + p + 2 = 2p^2$ . The solutions of the equation are  $p = -1$ , and  $p = 2$  which is a contradiction.

**Case 2.** For  $k = 2$  we have  $\sigma(n) = p^2 + p + 1 = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Together with Mihály Bencze and Florin Popovici

$$\sigma(\sigma(n)) = (1 + p_1 + \dots + p_1^{\alpha_1})(1 + p_2 + \dots + p_2^{\alpha_2}) = \frac{p_1^{\alpha_1+1} - 1}{p_1 - 1} \cdot \frac{p_2^{\alpha_2+1} - 1}{p_2 - 1} = 2p^2.$$

Because

$$\frac{p_1^{\alpha_1+1} - 1}{p_1 - 1} > 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p_2^{\alpha_2+1} - 1}{p_2 - 1} > 2,$$

it results

$$\frac{p_1^{\alpha_1+1}}{p_1 - 1} = p \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p_2^{\alpha_2+1} - 1}{p_2 - 1} = 2p$$

(or inverse), thus

$$p_1^{\alpha_1+1} - 1 = p(p_1 - 1), \quad p_2^{\alpha_2+1} - 1 = 2p(p_2 - 1),$$

then

$$p_1^{\alpha_1+1} p_2^{\alpha_2+1} - p_1^{\alpha_1+1} - p_2^{\alpha_2+1} + 1 = 2p^2(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1),$$

thus

$$\sigma(n) = p^2 + p + 1 = p_1^{\alpha_1+1} p_2^{\alpha_2+1}$$

and

$$p_1 p_2 (p^2 + p + 1) = 2p^2(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) + p_1^{\alpha_1+1} + p_2^{\alpha_2+1} - 1$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 p_2 p(p + 1) + p_1 p_2 - 1 &= 2p^2(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) + (p_1^{\alpha_1+1} - 1) + (p_2^{\alpha_2+1} - 1) = \\ &= 2p^2(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) + p(p_1 - 1) + 2p(p_2 - 1) \end{aligned}$$

accordingly  $p$  divides  $p_1 p_2 - 1$ , thus  $p_1 p_2 > p + 1$  and

$$p_1^2 p_2^2 \geq (p + 1)^2 > p^2 + p + 1 = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2}.$$

Hence:

$$\Pi_1) \text{ If } \alpha_1 = 1 \text{ and } n = 2p^2,$$

then

$$\sigma(n) = p^2 + p + 1 = p_1 p_2^{\alpha_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p_1^2 - 1}{p_1 - 1} = p, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p_2^{\alpha_2+1} - 1}{p_2 - 1} = 2p,$$

thus  $p_1 + 1 = p$  which is a contradiction.

$$\Pi_2) \text{ If } \alpha_2 = 1 \text{ and } n = 2p^2,$$

then

$$\sigma(n) = p^2 + p + 1 = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p_1^{\alpha_1+1} - 1}{p_1 - 1} = p, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\frac{p_2^2 - 1}{p_2 - 1} = 2p,$$

thus

$$p_2 + 1 = 2p, \quad p_2 = 2p - 1$$

and

$$\sigma(n) = p^2 + p + 1 = p_1^{\alpha_1} (2p + 1),$$

from where

$4\sigma(n) = (2p-1)(2p+3) + 7 = 4p_1^{\alpha_1}(2p-1),$   
accordingly 7 is divisible by  $2p-1$  and thus  $p$  is divisible by 4 which is a contradiction.

**REFERENCE:**

[1] Suryanarayana – Elemente der Mathematik – 1969.

[“Octagon”, Braşov, Vol. 5, No. 2, 53-4, October 1997.]