Do the two operations Addition and Multiplication commute with each other?

Koji Nagata¹ and Tadao Nakamura²

¹1-17-107 West 19 South 3, Obihiro, Hokkaido 080-2469, Japan

²Department of Information and Computer Science, Keio University,

3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 223-8522, Japan

(Dated: March 25, 2014)

We use the validity of Addition and Multiplication. We provide an example that the two operations Addition and Multiplication do not commute with each other. All analyses are performed in a finite set of natural numbers.

PACS numbers: 02.10.Ab(Set theory), 03.65.Ca(Formalism)

INTRODUCTION

We treat here Addition. We have

$$1 + 1 = 2.$$
 (2)

Thus we obtain 2. By using the obtained 2, we have

$$2 + 1 = 3.$$
 (3)

Thus we obtain 3. By repeating this method for an even number time, we have

$$1, 2, 3, \dots, 2m. \tag{4}$$

By repeating this method, we have

$$1, 2, 3, \dots, 2m, \dots, 10m^2.$$
 (5)

Thus we have the following finite set of natural numbers

$$\{1, 2, \dots, 2m, \dots, 10m^2\}.$$
 (6)

By using the set (6), we discuss that the two operations Addition and Multiplication do not commute with each other.

We consider a value V which is the sum of the results of trials. Result of trials is 1 or 2. We assume the number of 2 is equal to the number of 1. The number of trials is 2m. We have

$$V = 2m + 1m = 3m.$$
 (7)

We derive the possible value of the product $V \times V =:$ $||V||^2$ of the value V. It is

$$\|V\|^2 = 9m^2. \tag{8}$$

We assign the truth value "1" for the following proposition

$$\|V\|^2 \le 9m^2. \tag{9}$$

We have

$$(\|V\|^2)_{\max} = 9m^2. \tag{10}$$

The value (V = 3m) which is the sum of the results of trials is given by

$$V = \sum_{l=1}^{2m} r_l.$$
 (11)

Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory with the axiom of choice, commonly abbreviated ZFC, is the standard form of axiomatic set theory and as such is the most common foundation of mathematics. It has a single primitive ontological notion, that of a hereditary well-founded set, and a single ontological assumption, namely that all individuals in the universe of discourse are such sets. ZFC is a one-sorted theory in first-order logic. The signature has equality and a single primitive binary relation, set membership, which is usually denoted \in . The formula $a \in b$ means that the set a is a member of the set b (which is also read, "a is an element of b" or "a is in b"). Most of the ZFC axioms state that particular sets exist. For example, the axiom of pairing says that given any two sets a and b there is a new set $\{a, b\}$ containing exactly a and b. Other axioms describe properties of set membership. A goal of the ZFC axioms is that each axiom should be true if interpreted as a statement about the collection of all sets in the von Neumann universe (also known as the cumulative hierarchy). The metamathematics of ZFC has been extensively studied. Landmark results in this area that is established the independence of the continuum hypothesis from ZFC, and of the axiom of choice from the remaining ZFC axioms [1]. Mach literature concerning above topic can be seen in Refs. [2–17].

We use the validity of Addition and Multiplication. Here we aim to provide an example that the two operations Addition and Multiplication do not commute with each other. All analyses are performed in a finite set of natural numbers.

THE TWO OPERATIONS ADDITION AND MULTIPLICATION DO NOT COMMUTE WITH EACH OTHER

Assume all axioms of Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory with the axiom of choice is true.

Let us start with a singleton set

$$\{1\}.$$
 (1)

We assume that the possible value of the actually happened results r_l is 1 or 2. We have

$$-4m \le V \le +4m. \tag{12}$$

The same value is given by

$$V = \sum_{l'=1}^{2m'} r_{l'}.$$
 (13)

We only change the labels as $m \to m'$ and $l \to l'$. The possible value of the actually happened results $r_{l'}$ is 1 or 2. We have

$$\|\{l|l \in \mathbf{N}_{2m} \land r_l = 1\}\| = \|\{l'|l' \in \mathbf{N}_{2m} \land r_{l'} = 1\}\| (14)$$

and

$$\|\{l|l \in \mathbf{N}_{2m} \land r_l = 2\}\| = \|\{l'|l' \in \mathbf{N}_{2m} \land r_{l'} = 2\}\|.(15)$$

Here $\mathbf{N}_{2m} = \{1, 2, \ldots, 2m\}$. By using these facts we derive a necessary condition for the value given in (11). We derive the possible value of the product $||V||^2$ of the value V given in (11). We have the following under the assumption that the two operations Addition and Multiplication commute with each other.

$$||V||^{2} = (\sum_{l=1}^{2m} r_{l}) \times (\sum_{l'=1}^{2m'} r_{l'})$$
(16)

$$=\sum_{l=1}^{2m} \cdot \sum_{l'=1}^{2m'} r_l r_{l'} \tag{17}$$

$$=\sum_{l=1}^{2m} \cdot \sum_{l'=1}^{2m'} (r_l \times r_{l'})$$
(18)

$$\leq \sum_{l=1}^{2m} \cdot \sum_{l'=1}^{2m'} (r_l)^2 \tag{19}$$

$$=\sum_{l=1}^{m} \cdot \sum_{l'=1}^{2m'} ((2)^2 + (1)^2)$$
(20)

$$= (5) \sum_{l=1}^{m} \cdot \sum_{l'=1}^{2m'} = 10m^2.$$
 (21)

The step (16) to (17) is OK. The step (17) to (18) is valid under the assumption that the two operations Addition and Multiplication commute with each other. The step (18) to (19) is true since we have only changed the label as $l \rightarrow l'$.

The above inequality (19) is saturated since

$$\|\{l|l \in \mathbf{N}_{2m} \land r_l = 1\}\| = \|\{l'|l' \in \mathbf{N}_{2m} \land r_{l'} = 1\}\| (22)$$

and

$$|\{l|l \in \mathbf{N}_{2m} \land r_l = 2\}|| = ||\{l'|l' \in \mathbf{N}_{2m} \land r_{l'} = 2\}||.(23)|$$

We derive a proposition concerning the value given in (11) under the assumption that the possible value of the actually happened results is 1 or 2, that is $||V||^2 \leq 10m^2$. We derive the following proposition

$$(\|V\|^2)_{\max} = 10m^2. \tag{24}$$

We do not assign the truth value "1" for the two propositions (10) and (24) simultaneously. We are in a contradiction. Thus we have to give up the assumption that the two operations Addition and Multiplication commute with each other.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusions we have used the validity of Addition and Multiplication. We have provided an example that the two operations Addition and Multiplication do not commute with each other. All analyses have been performed in a finite set of natural numbers.

- Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia.
- [2] Alexander Abian, 1965. The Theory of Sets and Transfinite Arithmetic. W B Saunders.
- [3] and LaMacchia, Samuel, 1978, "On the Consistency and Independence of Some Set-Theoretical Axioms," Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic 19: 155-58.
 [4] M. J. D. H. 1996 (1994). The Logic 19: 155-58.
- 4] Keith Devlin, 1996 (1984). The Joy of Sets. Springer.
- [5] Abraham Fraenkel, Yehoshua Bar-Hillel, and Azriel Levy, 1973 (1958). Foundations of Set Theory. North Holland. Fraenkel's final word on ZF and ZFC.
- [6] Hatcher, William, 1982 (1968). The Logical Foundations of Mathematics. Pergamon.
- [7] Thomas Jech, 2003. Set Theory: The Third Millennium Edition, Revised and Expanded. Springer. ISBN 3-540-44085-2.
- [8] Kenneth Kunen, 1980. Set Theory: An Introduction to Independence Proofs. Elsevier. ISBN 0-444-86839-9.
- [9] Richard Montague, 1961, "Semantic closure and nonfinite axiomatizability" in Infinistic Methods. London: Pergamon: 45-69.
- [10] Patrick Suppes, 1972 (1960). Axiomatic Set Theory. Dover reprint. Perhaps the best exposition of ZFC before the independence of AC and the Continuum hypothesis, and the emergence of large cardinals. Includes many theorems.
- [11] Gaisi Takeuti and Zaring, W M, 1971. Introduction to Axiomatic Set Theory. Springer Verlag.
- [12] Alfred Tarski, 1939, "On well-ordered subsets of any set,", Fundamenta Mathematicae 32: 176-83.
- [13] Tiles, Mary, 2004 (1989). The Philosophy of Set Theory. Dover reprint. Weak on metatheory; the author is not a mathematician.
- [14] Tourlakis, George, 2003. Lectures in Logic and Set Theory, Vol. 2. Cambridge Univ. Press.
- [15] Jean van Heijenoort, 1967. From Frege to Godel: A Source Book in Mathematical Logic, 1879-1931. Harvard

Univ. Press. Includes annotated English translations of the classic articles by Zermelo, Fraenkel, and Skolem bearing on ZFC.

[16] Zermelo, Ernst (1908), "Untersuchungen uber die Grundlagen der Mengenlehre I", Mathematische Annalen 65: 261-281, doi:10.1007/BF01449999 English translation in *Heijenoort, Jean van (1967), "Investigations in the foun-

dations of set theory", From Frege to Godel: A Source Book in Mathematical Logic, 1879-1931, Source Books in the History of the Sciences, Harvard Univ. Press, pp. 199-215, ISBN 978-0674324497.

[17] Zermelo, Ernst (1930), "Uber Grenzzablen und Mengenbereiche", Fundamenta Mathematicae 16: 29-47, ISSN 0016-2736.