# ON THE DIVISOR PRODUCTS AND PROPER DIVISOR PRODUCTS SEQUENCES\*

### LIU HONGYAN AND ZHANG WENPENG

# Department of Mathematics, Northwest University Xi'an, Shaanxi, P.R.China

ABSTRACT. Let n be a positive integer,  $p_d(n)$  denotes the product of all positive divisors of n,  $q_d(n)$  denotes the product of all proper divisors of n. In this paper, we study the properties of the sequences  $\{p_d(n)\}$  and  $\{q_d(n)\}$ , and prove that the Makowski & Schinzel conjecture hold for the sequences  $\{p_d(n)\}$  and  $\{q_d(n)\}$ .

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let n be a positive integer,  $p_d(n)$  denotes the product of all positive divisors of n. That is,  $p_d(n) = \prod_{d|n} d$ . For example,  $p_d(1) = 1$ ,  $p_d(2) = 2$ ,  $p_d(3) = 3$ ,  $p_d(4) = 8$ ,  $p_d(5) = 5$ ,  $p_d(6) = 36$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $p_d(p) = p$ ,  $\cdots$ .  $q_d(n)$  denotes the product of all proper divisors of n. That is,  $q_d(n) = \prod_{\substack{d|n,d < n}} d$ . For example,  $q_d(1) = 1$ ,  $q_d(2) = 1$ ,  $q_d(3) = 1$ ,  $q_d(4) = 2$ ,  $q_d(5) = 1$ ,  $q_d(6) = 6$ ,  $\cdots$ . In problem 25 and 26 of [1], Professor F.Smarandach asked us to study the properties of the sequences  $\{p_d(n)\}$ and  $\{q_d(n)\}$ . About this problem, it seems that none had studied it, at least we have not seen such a paper before. In this paper, we use the elementary methods to study the properties of the sequences  $\{p_d(n)\}$  and  $\{q_d(n)\}$ , and prove that the Makowski & Schinzel conjecture hold for  $p_d(n)$  and  $q_d(n)$ . That is, we shall prove

the following:

**Theorem 1.** For any positive integer n, we have the inequality

$$\sigma\left(\phi\left(p_d(n)\right)\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}p_d(n),$$

where  $\phi(k)$  is the Euler's function and  $\sigma(k)$  is the divisor sum function.

**Theorem 2.** For any positive integer n, we have the inequality

$$\sigma(\phi(q_d(n))) \geq \frac{1}{2}q_d(n).$$

Key words and phrases. Makowski & Schinzel conjecture: Divisor and proper divisor product. \* This work is supported by the N.S.F. and the P.S.F. of P.R.China.

### 2. Some Lemmas

To complete the proof of the Theorems, we need the following two Lemmas:

Lemma 1. For any positive integer n, we have the identities

$$p_d(n) = n^{\frac{d(n)}{2}}$$
 and  $q_d(n) = n^{\frac{d(n)}{2}-1}$ ,

where  $d(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$  is the divisor function.

*Proof.* From the definition of  $p_d(n)$  we know that

$$p_d(n) = \prod_{d|n} d = \prod_{d|n} \frac{n}{d}.$$

So by this formula we have

(1) 
$$p_d^2(n) = \prod_{d|n} n = n^{d(n)}.$$

From (1) we immediately get

$$p_d(n) = n^{\frac{d(n)}{2}}$$

and

$$q_d(n) = \prod_{d|n,d < n} d = \frac{\prod_{d|n} d}{n} = n^{\frac{d(n)}{2}-1}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

**Lemma 2.** For any positive integer n, let  $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s}$  with  $\alpha_i \ge 2$   $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, s)$ ,  $p_j(j = 1, 2, \cdots, s)$  are some different primes with  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_s$ , then we have the estimate

$$\sigma(\phi(n)) \geq \frac{6}{\pi^2}n.$$

*Proof.* From the properties of the Euler's function we have

(2) 
$$\phi(n) = \phi(p_1^{\alpha_1})\phi(p_2^{\alpha_2})\cdots\phi(p_s^{\alpha_s})$$
$$= p_1^{\alpha_1-1}p_2^{\alpha_2-1}\cdots p_s^{\alpha_s-1}(p_1-1)(p_2-1)\cdots(p_s-1).$$

Let  $(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) \cdots (p_s - 1) = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \cdots p_s^{\beta_s} q_1^{r_1} q_2^{r_2} \cdots q_t^{r_t}$ , where  $\beta_i \ge 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, s, r_j \ge 1, j = 1, 2, \cdots, t$  and  $q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_t$  are different primes. Then

from (2) we have

$$\begin{split} \sigma\left(\phi(n)\right) &= \sigma(p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1}-1}p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}+\beta_{2}-1}\cdots p_{s}^{\alpha_{s}+\beta_{s}-1}q_{1}^{r_{1}}q_{2}^{r_{2}}\cdots q_{t}^{r_{t}}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}}-1}{p_{i}-1}\prod_{j=1}^{t} \frac{q_{j}^{r_{j}+1}-1}{q_{j}-1} \\ &= p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1}}p_{2}^{\alpha_{2}+\beta_{2}}\cdots p_{s}^{\alpha_{s}+\beta_{s}}q_{1}^{r_{1}}q_{2}^{r_{2}}\cdots q_{t}^{r_{t}}\prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1-\frac{1}{p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}}}{p_{i}-1}\prod_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1-\frac{1}{q_{j}^{r_{j}+1}}}{1-\frac{1}{q_{j}}} \\ &= n\prod_{i=1}^{s} \left(1-\frac{1}{p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}}}\right)\prod_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1-\frac{1}{q_{j}^{r_{j}+1}}}{1-\frac{1}{q_{j}}} \\ &= n\prod_{i=1}^{s} \left(1-\frac{1}{p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}}}\right)\prod_{j=1}^{t} \left(1+\frac{1}{q_{j}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{q_{j}^{r_{j}}}\right) \\ &\geq n\prod_{i=1}^{s} \left(1-\frac{1}{p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}}}\right) \\ &\geq n\prod_{i=1}^{s} \left(1-\frac{1}{p_{i}^{2}}\right) \\ &\geq n\prod_{i=1}^{s} \left(1-\frac{1}{p_{i}^{2}}\right) . \end{split}$$

Noticing 
$$\prod_{p} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^2}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$
, we immediately get  
$$\sigma(\phi(n)) \ge n \cdot \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) = \frac{6}{\pi^2}n.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

# 3. Proof of the Theorems

In this section, we shall complete the proof of the Theorems. First we prove Theorem 1. We separate n into prime and composite number two cases. If n is a prime, then d(n) = 2. This time by Lemma 1 we have

$$p_d(n) = n^{\frac{d(n)}{2}} = n.$$

Hence, from this formula and  $\phi(n) = n - 1$  we immediately get

$$\sigma(\phi(p_d(n))) = \sigma(n-1) = \sum_{d|n-1} d \ge n-1 \ge \frac{n}{2} = \frac{1}{2} p_d(n).$$

If n is a composite number, then  $d(n) \ge 3$ . If d(n) = 3, we have  $n = p^2$ , where p is a prime. So that

(3) 
$$p_d(n) = n^{\frac{d(n)}{2}} = p^{d(n)} = p^3.$$

From Lemma 2 and (3) we can easily get the inequality

$$\sigma\left(\phi\left(p_d(n)\right)\right) = \sigma\left(\phi\left(p^3\right)\right) \ge \frac{6}{\pi^2}p^3 \ge \frac{1}{2}p_d(n).$$

If  $d(n) \ge 4$ , let  $p_d(n) = n^{\frac{d(n)}{2}} = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s}$  with  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_s$ , then we have  $\alpha_i \ge 2, i = 1, 2, \cdots, s$ . So from Lemma 2 we immediately obtain the inequality

$$\sigma\left(\phi\left(p_d(n)\right)\right) \geq \frac{6}{\pi^2} p_d(n) \geq \frac{1}{2} p_d(n).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

The proof of Theorem 2. We also separate n into two cases. If n is a prime, then we have

$$q_d(n) = n^{\frac{d(n)}{2}-1} = 1.$$

From this formula we have

$$\sigma\left(\phi\left(q_d(n)\right)\right) = 1 \ge \frac{1}{2}q_d(n).$$

If n is a composite number, we have  $d(n) \ge 3$ , then we discuss the following four cases. First, if d(n) = 3, then  $n = p^2$ , where p is a prime. So we have

$$q_d(n) = n^{\frac{d(n)}{2}-1} = p^{d(n)-2} = p.$$

From this formula and the proof of Theorem 1 we easily get

$$\sigma(\phi(q_d(n))) \geq \frac{1}{2}q_d(n).$$

Second, if d(n) = 4, from Lemma 1 we may get

(4) 
$$q_d(n) = n^{\frac{d(n)}{2} - 1} = n$$

and  $n = p^3$  or  $n = p_1 p_2$ , where  $p, p_1$  and  $p_2$  are primes with  $p_1 < p_2$ . If  $n = p^3$ , from (4) and Lemma 2 we have

(5)  
$$\sigma\left(\phi\left(q_d(n)\right)\right) = \sigma\left(\phi(n)\right) = \sigma\left(\phi(p^3)\right)$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2}p^3 = \frac{1}{2}q_d(n).$$

If  $n = p_1 p_2$ , we consider  $p_1 = 2$  and  $p_1 > 2$  two cases. If  $2 = p_1 < p_2$ , then  $p_2 - 1$  is an even number. Supposing  $p_2 - 1 = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} q_1^{r_1} \cdots q_t^{r_t}$  with  $q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_t$ ,

 $q_i(i = 1, 2, \dots, t)$  are different primes and  $r_j \ge 1$   $(j = 1, 2, \dots, t)$ ,  $\beta_1 \ge 1$ ,  $\beta_2 \ge 0$ . Note that the proof of Lemma 2 and (4) we can obtain

$$\sigma(\phi(q_d(n))) = \sigma(\phi(n))$$

$$= n \prod_{i=1}^2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_i^{1+\beta_i}} \right) \prod_{j=1}^i \left( 1 + \frac{1}{q_j} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_j^{r_j}} \right)$$

$$\ge n \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_1^2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_2} \right)$$

$$\ge n(1 - \frac{1}{4})(1 - \frac{1}{3})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}q_d(n).$$

If  $2 < p_1 < p_2$ , then both  $p_1 - 1$  and  $p_2 - 1$  are even numbers. Let  $(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} q_1^{r_1} q_2^{r_2} \cdots q_t^{r_t}$  with  $q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_t, q_i (i = 1, 2, \cdots, t)$  are different primes and  $r_j \ge 1 (j = 1, 2, \cdots, t), \beta_1, \beta_2 \ge 0$ , then we have  $q_1 = 2$  and  $r_1 \ge 2$ . So from the proof of Lemma 2 and (4) we have

$$\begin{split} \sigma\left(\phi\left(q_{d}(n)\right)\right) &= \sigma\left(\phi(n)\right) \\ &= n \prod_{i=1}^{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_{i}^{1+\beta_{i}}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q_{j}} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_{j}^{r_{j}}}\right) \\ &\geq n \prod_{i=1}^{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_{i}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{2}}\right) \\ &\geq n \prod_{i=1}^{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_{i}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{3}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{5}\right) \\ &\geq n \prod_{i=1}^{2} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_{i}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_{i}}\right)\right] \\ &\geq n \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{2}}\right) \\ &\geq n \frac{6}{\pi^{2}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} q_{d}(n). \end{split}$$

Combining (5), (6) and (7) we obtain

(6)

(7)

$$\sigma\left(\phi\left(q_d(n)
ight)
ight)\geq rac{1}{2}q_d(n) \quad ext{if} \quad d(n)=4.$$

Third, if d(n) = 5, we have  $n = p^4$ , where p is a prime. Then from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 we immediately get

$$\sigma\left(\phi\left(q_d(n)\right)\right) = \sigma\left(\phi\left(p^6\right)\right) \ge \frac{6}{\pi^2}p^6 = \frac{1}{2}q_d(n).$$

Finaly, if  $d(n) \ge 6$ , then from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 we can easily obtain

$$\sigma\left(\phi\left(q_d(n)\right)\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}q_d(n).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

## References

- 1. F. Smarandache, Only problems, not Solutions, Xiquan Publ. House, Chicago, 1993, pp. 24-25.
- 2. Tom M. Apostol, Introduction to Analytic Number Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
- 3. R. K. Guy, Unsolved Problems in Number Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1994, pp. 99.
- 4. "Smarandache Sequences" at http://www.gallup.unm.edu/~smarandache/snaqint.txt.
- 5. "Smarandache Sequences" at http://www.gallup.unm.edu/~smarandache/snaqint2.txt.

6. "Smarandache Sequences" at http://www.gallup.unm.edu/~smarandache/snaqint3.txt.