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Abstract In this paper, we use the elementary methods to study the F.Smarandache LCM ratio sequence, and obtain three interesting recurrence relations for it.
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§1. Introduction

Let \((x_1, x_2, ..., x_t)\) and \([x_1, x_2, ..., x_t]\) denote the greatest common divisor and the least common multiple of any positive integers \(x_1, x_2, ..., x_t\) respectively. Let \(r\) be a positive integer \(r > 1\). For any positive integer \(n\), let

\[ T(r, n) = \frac{[n, n + 1, ..., n + r - 1]}{[1, 2, ..., r]}, \]

then the sequences \(SLR(r) = T(r, n)_\infty\) is called the F.Smarandache LCM ratio sequences of degree \(r\). In reference [1], Murthy asked us to find a reduction formula for \(T(r, n)\). Maohua Le [2] solved this open problem for \(r = 3\) and 4. That is, he proved that

\[ T(3, n) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{6}n(n + 1)(n + 2), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\
\frac{1}{12}n(n + 1)(n + 2), & \text{if } n \text{ is even}.
\end{cases} \]

\[ T(4, n) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{24}n(n + 1)(n + 2)(n + 3), & \text{if } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\
\frac{1}{72}n(n + 1)(n + 2)(n + 3), & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.
\end{cases} \]

Furthermore, Wang Ting [3] and [4] computing the value of \(T(5, n)\) and \(T(6, n)\). For example, he obtained the identity

\[ T(5, n) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{1440}n(n + 1)(n + 2)(n + 3)(n + 4), & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 8 \pmod{12} \\
\frac{1}{120}n(n + 1)(n + 2)(n + 3)(n + 4), & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 7 \pmod{12} \\
\frac{1}{720}n(n + 1)(n + 2)(n + 3)(n + 4), & \text{if } n \equiv 2, 6 \pmod{12} \\
\frac{1}{360}n(n + 1)(n + 2)(n + 3)(n + 4), & \text{if } n \equiv 3, 5, 9, 11 \pmod{12} \\
\frac{1}{360}n(n + 1)(n + 2)(n + 3)(n + 4), & \text{if } n \equiv 4 \pmod{12} \\
\frac{1}{240}n(n + 1)(n + 2)(n + 3)(n + 4), & \text{if } n \equiv 10 \pmod{12}.
\end{cases} \]

In this paper, we study the recurrence relations between \(T(r + 1, n)\) and \(T(r, n)\), and get three interesting recurrence formulas for it. That is, we shall prove the following conclusions:
**Theorem 1.** For any natural number \( n \) and \( r \), we have the recurrence formula:

\[
T(r + 1, n) = \frac{n + r}{r + 1} \cdot \frac{([1, 2, ..., r], r + 1)}{([n, n + 1, ..., n + r - 1], n + r)} \cdot T(r, n).
\]

Especially, if both \( r + 1 \) and \( n + r \) are primes, then we can get a simple formula

\[
T(r + 1, n) = \frac{n + r}{r + 1} \cdot T(r, n).
\]

**Theorem 2.** For each natural number \( n \) and \( r \), we also have another recurrence formula:

\[
T(r, n + 1) = \frac{n + r}{n} \cdot \frac{([n, n + 1, ..., n + r])}{([n, n + 1, ..., n + r - 1], n + r)} \cdot T(r, n).
\]

Especially, if both \( n \) and \( n + r \) are primes with \( r < n \), then we can also get a simple formula

\[
T(r, n + 1) = \frac{n + r}{n} \cdot T(r, n);
\]

If both \( n \) and \( n + r \) are primes with \( r \geq n \), then we have

\[
T(r, n + 1) = (n + r) \cdot T(r, n).
\]

**Theorem 3.** For each natural number \( n \) and \( r \), we have

\[
T(r + 1, n + 1) = \frac{n + r}{n} \cdot \frac{n + r + 1}{r + 1} \cdot \frac{([1, 2, ..., r], r + 1)}{([n + 1, ..., n + r], n + r + 1)} \cdot \frac{([n, n + 1, ..., n + r])}{([n, n + 1, ..., n + r - 1], n + r)} \cdot T(r, n).
\]

§2. Some Lemmas

To complete the proof of the above theorems, we need the following several Lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** For any positive integers \( a \) and \( b \), we have \((a, b)[a, b] = ab\).

**Lemma 2.** For any positive integers \( s \) and \( t \) with \( s < t \), we have

\[
(x_1, x_2, ..., x_t) = ((x_1, ..., x_s), (x_{s+1}, ..., x_t))
\]

and

\[
[x_1, x_2, ..., x_t] = [[x_1, ..., x_s], [x_{s+1}, ..., x_t]].
\]

The proof of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 can be found in [3].

§3. Proof of the theorems

In this section, we shall complete the proof of the theorems.
First we prove Theorem 1. According to the definition of $T(r, n)$, Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we have:

$$T(r + 1, n) = \frac{[n, n + 1, ..., n + r + 1]}{[1, 2, ..., r + 1]}$$

$$= \frac{[[1, 2, ..., r], r + 1]}{[[n, n + 1, ..., n + r], n + r]}$$

$$= \frac{(n + r)[n, n + 1, ..., n + r - 1]}{(n, n + 1, ..., n + r - 1, n + r)}$$

$$= \frac{n + r \cdot [n, n + 1, ..., n + r - 1]}{r + 1} \cdot \frac{([1, 2, ..., r], r + 1)}{([n, n + 1, ..., n + r - 1], n + r)} T(r, n).$$

It is easily to get

$$T(r + 1, n) = \frac{n + r}{r + 1} T(r, n)$$

if both $r + 1$ and $n + r$ are primes. Because at this time

$$( [1, 2, ..., r], r + 1 ) = 1$$

and

$$( [n, n + 1, ..., n + r - 1], n + r ) = 1.$$ 

This proves Theorem 1.

Now we prove Theorem 2. From the Lemmas and the definition of $T(r, n)$, we have

$$T(r, n + 1) = \frac{[n + 1, ..., n + r]}{[1, 2, ..., r]}$$

$$= \frac{[n, n + 1, ..., n + r]}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{[1, 2, ..., r]}$$

$$= \frac{n}{[n, n + 1, ..., n + r - 1]} \cdot \frac{([n, n + 1, ..., n + r - 1], n + r)}{[n, n + 1, ..., n + r]}$$

$$= \frac{n + r \cdot [n, n + 1, ..., n + r]}{([n, n + 1, ..., n + r - 1], n + r)} T(r, n).$$

If $n$ and $n + r$ are primes with $n < r$, then we can also get a simple formula

$$T(r, n + 1) = \frac{n + r}{n} T(r, n);$$

If $n$ and $n + r$ are primes with $n \geq r$, this time note that $(n, [n + 1, ..., n + r]) = n$, we have

$$T(r, n + 1) = (n + r) \cdot T(r, n).$$

This proves Theorem 2.
The proof of Theorem 3. Applying Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we can easily get identity

\[ T(r+1, n+1) = \frac{n+r+1}{r+1} \cdot \frac{([1,2,\ldots,r], r+1)}{([n+1,\ldots,n+r], n+r+1)} T(r, n+1) \]

\[ = \frac{(n+r+1)(n+r)}{(r+1)n} \cdot \frac{([1,2,\ldots,r], r+1)}{([n+1,\ldots,n+r], n+r+1)} \cdot \frac{(n, [n+1,\ldots,n+r])}{([n,\ldots,n+r-1], n+r)} T(r, n). \]

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.
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