

MEASUREING COMPLEXITY BY USING REDUCTION TO SOLVE P VS NP AND NC HIERARCHY

KOBAYASHI KOJI

1. ABSTRACT

This article describes about that NC hierarchy and P is not NP by using problem reduction. If L is not P, we can prove P is not NP by using difference between logarithm space reduction and polynomial time reduction. Like this, we can also prove NC hierarchy by using difference between AL0 and NC1. This means L is not P. Therefore P is not NP.

2. PREPARATION

In this article, we will use words and theorems of References [1, 2, 3] in this paper. And we use description as follows;

Definition 1. We will use the term “ $pDTM$ ” as Turing Machine set that compute P , “ $LDTM$ ” as Turing Machine set that compute L . “ $RpDTM$ ” as Reversible $pDTM$.

And we use circuit problem as follows;

Definition 2. We will use the term “ AC^i ” as uniform circuits family set that compute AC^i problem, “ NC^i ” as uniform circuits family set that compute NC^i problem, “ RC^i ” as reversible circuits family that compute NC^i problem. “ $f \circ g$ ” as connected circuit that g outputs connect to f inputs. In this case, we also use circuits family or circuits family set. For example, $A \circ BB$ of circuits family A and circuits family set BB means a circuit that $a \circ b \mid a \in A, b \in B \in BB$. Circuits family uniformity is that these circuits can compute AC^0 .

3. P IS NOT NP IF L IS NOT P

Theorem 3. $L \subsetneq P \rightarrow P \subsetneq NP$

Proof. To prove it by using contraposition $P = NP \rightarrow L = P$. If $P = NP$, then all $A, B \in NP - Complete$ have $f \in LDTM$ that reduce A to B .

$$P = NP \rightarrow \forall A, B \in NP - Complete \exists f \in LDTM (f(A) = B)$$

If $g \in RpDTM$ then

$$A \leq_p g(A)$$

and

$$g(A) \leq_p g^{-1}(g(A)) = A \in NP \implies g(A) \in NP$$

Therefore

$$g(A) \in NP - Complete$$

That is,

$$\forall A \in NP - Complete, g \in RpDTM \exists f \in LDTM (f(A) = g(A))$$

As we all know, all $pDTM$ correspond to $RpDTM$ and $LDTM$ can pick up $pDTM$ output from $RpDTM$ output. That is;

$$\forall f \in pDTM (\exists g \in RpDTM, h \in LDTM (h \circ g = f))$$

So that this means $L = P$.

Therefore, this theorem was shown. □

4. NC HIERARCHY

Theorem 4. AC^i has Universal Circuits Family that can emulate all AC^i circuits family.

Proof. To prove this theorem by making universal circuit family $A^i \in AC^i$ that emulate circuit family $\{C_j\} \in AC^i$ by using “depth circuit tableau”. Universal circuit $U_j \in A^i$ have partial circuit $u_{k,d}$ that emulate all C_j gates $g_{k \in n}$ (include input value) and connected wires $w_{p,q}$ from g_p output to g_q input in every depth d . ($w_{p,p}$ always exist)

$u_{v \in n, d}$ have inputs from all $u_{u \in n, d-1}$ and g_u information that mean

- a) validity of $u_{u, d-1}$
- b) $u_{u, d-1}$ output (true if g_u output true)
- c) existence of $w_{u,v}$ (true if $w_{u,v}$ is exists)
- d) negation of $w_{u,v}$ (true if $w_{u,v}$ include not gate)
- e) gate type of g_v (Or gate or And gate)

and outputs to $u_{w \in n, d+1}$ that mean

A) validity of $u_{v, d}$

B) $u_{v, d}$ output

These $u_{v, d}$ compute output like this;

If $u_{u, d-1}$ a) or c) input false then $u_{v, d}$ ignore $u_{u, d-1}$.

If $u_{u, d-1}$ a) and c) input true then $u_{v, d}$ A) output true and $u_{v, d}$ B) output g_k value that compute from e), b), d). b), d) include another $u_{w \in n, d-1}$ b), d).

If all a) input false then $u_{k, d}$ A) output false.

If all c) input false then $u_{k, d}$ A) output false.

And depth 0 circuit compute additional condition;

If $u_{k, 0}$ is C_j input then $u_{k, 0}$ A) output true and $u_{i, d}$ B) output C_j input value, else $u_{k, 0}$ A) output false.

This U_j that consists of u emulate C_j . We can make every u in AC^0 , so that A^i in AC^i .

Therefore, this theorem was shown. □

Definition 5. We will use the term “ A^{in} ” as universal circuits family that compute AC^i problem, “ N^{in} ” as universal circuits family that compute NC^i problem.

Theorem 6. AC^0 can reduce all AC^i to A^i . That is, A^i is AC^i – Complete with AC^0 reduction.

Proof. Mentioned above 24, we can make all AC^i by using AC^0 and we can connect these AC^i to A^i . That is, we can emulate all AC^i circuit by using $A^i \circ AC^0$. From the view of A^i , AC^0 is input reduction from AC^i to A^i . Therefore, this theorem was shown. □

Theorem 7. $NC^i \subsetneq NC^{i+1}$

Proof. We can prove this theorem like mentioned above 3.

To prove it using reduction to absurdity. We assume that $NC^i = AC^i = NC^{i+1}$. From assumption $NC^i = AC^i$ and mentioned above 4, universal circuit $N^i \in NC^i$ also exists.

$$NC^i = AC^i \rightarrow \exists N^i \in NC^i (N^i = A^i)$$

As we all know, NC^{i+1} include $N^i \circ a \mid a \in RC^1$ circuits, and all NC^1 correspond to RC^1 and AC^0 can pick up NC^1 output from RC^1 output. That is;

$$\forall a \in RC^1 (N^i \circ a \in NC^{i+1})$$

$$\forall c \in NC^1 (\exists a \in RC^1, b \in AC^0, (b \circ a = c))$$

From assumption $AC^i = NC^{i+1}$, all $N^i \circ a$ correspond to AC^i . Therefore

$$AC^i = NC^{i+1} \rightarrow \forall a \in RC^1 (N^i \circ a \in AC^i)$$

Mentioned above 6, AC^i have AC^0 reduction to universal circuit A^i .

$$\forall d \in AC^i \exists e \in AC^0 (A^i \circ e = d)$$

That is,

$$NC^i = AC^i = NC^{i+1}$$

$$\rightarrow \forall a \in RC^1 \exists e \in AC^0 (N^i \circ a = A^i \circ e)$$

$$\rightarrow \forall a \in RC^1 \exists e \in AC^0 (a = e)$$

$$\rightarrow \forall f \in NC^1 \exists e, h \in AC^0 (f = h \circ e)$$

But this means $AC^0 = NC^1$ and contradict $AC^0 \subsetneq NC^1$.

Therefore, this theorem was shown than reduction to absurdity. \square

5. P IS NOT NP

Theorem 8. $P \neq NP$

Proof. Mentioned above 3, $L \subsetneq P \rightarrow P \subsetneq NP$. And mentioned above 7, $L \subset NC^i \subsetneq NC^{i+1} \subset P$. Therefore $P \subsetneq NP$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Michael Sipser, (translation) OHTA Kazuo, TANAKA Keisuke, ABE Masayuki, UEDA Hiroki, FUJIOKA Atsushi, WATANABE Osamu, Introduction to the Theory of COMPUTATION Second Edition, 2008
- [2] OGIHARA Mitsunori, Hierarchies in Complexity Theory, 2006
- [3] MORITA Kenichi, Reversible Computing, 2012