

# Stellar Metamorphosis: The Solar System

Jeffrey J. Wolynski  
Jeffrey.wolynski@yahoo.com  
February 5, 2014  
Cocoa, FL 32922


*Abstract: Some problems with the mentality and use of language in establishment astrophysics/astronomy with the concept of the "Solar System" are presented.*

In establishment astrophysics/astronomy there are hundreds of inconsistent and ill defined terms, including but not limited to the terms "ices, rock, solar system, planet", etc. In this paper the inconsistent term "solar system" will be covered and show why this term is so dangerous to the development of understanding of our place in the galaxy.

The Solar System is a classic phrase. It means the objects that orbit the Sun and the Sun itself. This means the Sun is placed classically as the more important object. We have a huge problem though. Most objects that are classified as celestial bodies do not orbit the Sun! They orbit Earth, Mars, Saturn, Jupiter, Neptune, Uranus and Pluto. How can this be? How can the Sun be considered the most important object yet most objects do not orbit it? The term Solar System prevents this basic understanding. It sets people's minds to focus on the Sun. Yet the truth is that we live in a multiple solar system, solar system. Let me explain.

Does Jupiter contain objects that orbit it? Could Jupiter be considered a solar system? What about Saturn? Does it have lots of objects orbiting it? These objects do not orbit the Sun, they orbit their host brown dwarfs, Saturn and Jupiter! If these solar systems were not orbiting the Sun and we found them by using the Kepler, guess what? They would be considered solar systems! Yet in modern confused astrophysics and astronomy they are considered as moons orbiting a planet! Yet when the Kepler finds them they become planets orbiting brown dwarf stars! Why? It is clear we live in a multiple star system with stars in different stages of evolution, not a "solar system". There is no method to the madness. For classification purposes confused astrophysicists/astronomers place too much importance on the Sun.

To keep our "solar system" phrase is to assume it all formed as a "system", like a unitary object. Yet the objects are clearly mutually exclusive and separated by billions of miles! To keep "solar system" is to assume all objects are actually all one object that all came from the same place, at the same time. There is no system in reality. All the stars in the vicinity were captured as the Sun moved about the galaxy, with their own captured satellites of their own. It is a giant mix we are observing not a "system".



As stars cool and die they become what the establishment calls "planets/exoplanets". This is basic star science. A planet is an old star and a star is a new planet, they are the exact same objects.

<sup>[1]</sup> Wolynski, Jeffrey (2012). *Stellar Metamorphosis: An Alternative for the Star Sciences*.

<http://vixra.org/pdf/1303.0157vC.pdf>.

<sup>[2]</sup> Abruzzo, Anthony (2008). *Are Planets the End Products Rather than the By-Products of Stellar Evolution?*.

The General Science Journal <http://gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Research%20Papers-Astrophysics/Download/1160>.

<sup>[3]</sup> Oparin, Alexander (1924). *The Origin of Life*.

<http://www.valencia.edu/~orilife/textos/The%20Origin%20of%20Life.pdf>.