

# Are there scientific proofs for the existence of God?

By Victor Christianto,<sup>1</sup> email: victorchristianto@gmail.com

December 29<sup>th</sup>, 2013

There are many people in this world who postpone believing in God because they do not find an answer to this question: Are there scientific proofs for the existence of God? The present article will make an effort to answer this serious question in order to help you believing God today. Of course there are many articles and books out there that have been written to address this question, but I think that a short article is enough as preliminary answer. You can search in [www.google.com](http://www.google.com) for longer answers.

The once regarded as a scientific answer to the question concerning the origin of life in this earth is the theory of evolution. This theory has been defended by many scientists<sup>2</sup> and philosophers but deep at the bottom of this theory lays the crux of the problem: how can life begin? Some physicists and biologists would say that life started from a statistical probability or chance alone. That is chance that there was chemical reaction which triggered microorganism to take place, long time ago in the past. Therefore the proponents of this theory rely on statistical chance rather than God as the origin of their life.

At the opposite side of the evolution theory, there are at least two big positions which stand close to another: namely, (a) Creation theory according to the book of Genesis, (b) Intelligent Design theory. Both theories have the same starting point, that is God as the Creator of this Earth and the universe, although Intelligent Design (ID) proponents often do not want to admit that.

The present article will not discuss in details about the strength and weaknesses of either evolution theory or ID/Creation theory, because you can find many books and articles on this subject. Instead, I will invite you to remember a story about Prof. Anthony Flew, regarded by many as the world's most acclaimed atheist. Prof. Flew had renounced his atheism in favor of theism in 2004, in a move which shocked many scientists around the world, as reported by Dr. Andrew Corbett.<sup>3</sup>

What seems interesting from this story is that Prof. Flew cited that he renounced his position as an atheist, because he found **"the evidence"**. He admitted that for a long time

---

<sup>1</sup> URL: <http://www.sciprint.org>, also <http://independent.academia.edu/VChristianto>

<sup>2</sup> See for example an article by Steven Weinberg, A Designer Universe?, The New York Review of Books, Oct. 21, 1999. URL: <http://www.nybooks.com/articles/archives/1999/oct/21/a-designer-universe/>

<sup>3</sup> Andrew Corbett, 5 proofs for the existence of God, August 15<sup>th</sup>, 2011, URL: <http://www.andrewcorbett.net/articles/5-proofs.html>

the growing problem of Evolution's inability to explain how life began, or for that matter, how anything began, led him to the inevitable conclusion that it was an inadequate answer in the face of the evidence. Then when the DNA Genome code was unraveled the evidence for Design became "undeniable." These two pieces of evidence (1. The existence of life demanding a Life-Source, and 2. The scientific evidence of an extremely complex code in the make-up of the life-DNA) were enough for Prof. Anthony Flew to renounce atheism.<sup>4</sup>

But for those of you who think that the above mentioned two pieces of evidence is not enough for you to believe in God as the Creator of the universe, there are 5 more proofs for you to ponder. This evidence, or proofs, for the existence of God invites those atheists to consider it – especially for those who claim that there is none. At the very least it should be reasonably concluded that atheism (the absolute claim that there is no God after considering all possible knowledge) is a *highly irrational position*. The following is reasonable evidence for accepting that there is indeed a Personal Omnipotent God as described in the Christian Bible:<sup>5</sup>

1. Cause: Everything has a cause.
2. Design: There is evidence for design.
3. Morality: There is intrinsic morality which needs a point of reference.
4. Resurrection: The Resurrection of Jesus Christ.
5. Experience: The claims of Christ can be experienced.

For more detailed explanation of the above 5 proofs, please read the article by Andrew Corbett.<sup>6</sup>

And if there are some scientists among you who demand a scientific expression of the belief on a Personal Omnipotent God, you can read a paper by Fran de Aquino [3]. The basic idea of de Aquino is that God equals to wavefunction of the universe, as follows:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi_{SC}^2 dV = \infty \quad (1)$$

The above equation simply tells us that the Supreme Consciousness is simultaneously everywhere, i.e. He is omnipresent. The infinite presence of the Supreme Consciousness also implies that He is omnipotent. However, I got an objection on this equation, i.e. according to (1) God seems to equal with everything in this universe, which appears to

---

<sup>4</sup> Andrew Corbett, 5 proofs for the existence of God, August 15<sup>th</sup>, 2011, URL: <http://www.andrewcorbett.net/articles/5-proofs.html>

<sup>5</sup> Andrew Corbett, 5 proofs for the existence of God, August 15<sup>th</sup>, 2011, URL: <http://www.andrewcorbett.net/articles/5-proofs.html>

<sup>6</sup> Andrew Corbett, 5 proofs for the existence of God, August 15<sup>th</sup>, 2011, URL: <http://www.andrewcorbett.net/articles/5-proofs.html>

remind us to *pantheism*, instead of Biblical God. However, we can use this equation (1) as a starting point of discussion.

There are other papers which discuss God from scientific viewpoint, for example see the references below for a short list of them. [4][5][6][7] But you should read their arguments carefully.

Version 1.0: December 29<sup>th</sup>, 2013

VC, email: victorchristianto@gmail.com

### **References:**

[1] Andrew Corbett (2011), 5 proofs for the existence of God, August 15<sup>th</sup>, 2011, URL: <http://www.andrewcorbett.net/articles/5-proofs.html>

[2] Steven Weinberg (1999), A Designer Universe?, The New York Review of Books, Oct. 21, 1999. URL: <http://www.nybooks.com/articles/archives/1999/oct/21/a-designer-universe/>

[3] Fran de Aquino (2012), God in the viewpoint of the Theory of Everything, URL: <http://vixra.org/pdf/1210.0179v1.pdf>

[4] A. Garcez Doz (2012), God and His Creation: The Universe, [vixra.org/abs/1202.0064](http://vixra.org/abs/1202.0064)

[5] Hossein Turner (2013), The Omniscience of God and the Domain of its Applicability. [Vixra.org/abs/1305.0155](http://vixra.org/abs/1305.0155)

[6] Robert A. Herrmann (2013), Modeling God's attributes and the Biblical God is a scientifically rational concept, [vixra.org/abs/1312.0203](http://vixra.org/abs/1312.0203)

[7] Helmut Hansen (2013), Über Die Logische Notwendigkeit Des Einen, [vixra.org/abs/1312.0126](http://vixra.org/abs/1312.0126)