# Electromagnetic Method for blocking the action of Neutrons, $\alpha$ -particles, $\beta$ -particles and $\gamma$ -rays upon Atomic Nuclei.

## Fran De Aquino

Copyright © 2013 by Fran De Aquino. All Rights Reserved.

Here we show an electromagnetic method for blocking the action of external neutrons,  $\alpha$ -particles,  $\beta$ -particles and  $\gamma$ -rays upon atomic nuclei. This method can be very useful for stopping nuclear fissions, as the chain reactions that occur inside a nuclear fission reactor, and also those nuclear fissions that continue occurring, and generating heat (*decay heat*), even after the shut down of the reactor.

Key words: Quantum Gravity, Gravitational Mass, Nuclear Physics, Nuclear Chain Reactions, Decay heat.

#### 1. Introduction

Nuclear fission is the splitting of an atomic nucleus into smaller parts (lighter nuclei). The fission process often produces free neutrons and gamma rays, and releases a very large amount of energy.

Nuclear fission chain reactions produce energy in the nuclear fission reactors of the nuclear power plants, and drive the explosion of nuclear weapons.

The chain reactions occur due to the interactions between neutrons and *fissionable* isotopes (usually — uranium-235 and plutonium-239.). When an atom undergoes nuclear fission, a few neutrons are ejected from the reaction. These neutrons will then interact with the surrounding medium, and if more fissionable fuel is present, some may be absorbed and cause more fissions. This makes possible a self-sustaining nuclear chain reaction that releases energy at a controlled rate in a nuclear reactor or at a very rapid uncontrolled rate in a nuclear weapon.

The thermal energy generated by a nuclear fission reactor come from the chain reactions produced inside the reactor. An important fact is that the nuclear reactor continues generating heat even after the stopping of the nuclear chain reactions (decay heat [1]). The heat is released as a result of radioactive decay produced as an effect of radiation on materials: the energy of the alpha, beta or gamma radiation is converted into the thermal movement of atoms. This heat requires the cooling of the reactor during long time. It is believed that is

impossible quickly stop this phenomenon \* [2].

Here we show an electromagnetic method for blocking the action of external neutrons,  $\alpha$ -particles,  $\beta$ -particles and  $\gamma$ -rays upon atomic nuclei. It was developed starting from a process patented in July, 31 2008 (BR Patent Number: PI0805046-5) [3]. This non invasive method can be very useful for stopping nuclear fissions, as the chain reactions that occur inside a nuclear fission reactor, and also those nuclear fissions that continue occurring even after the shut down of the reactor. These nuclear reactions produce a significant decay heat, which requires the permanent cooling of the reactor, and have been the cause of some nuclear disasters, as the occurred in the Nuclear Power Plant of Fukushima [4].

### 2. Theory

The contemporary greatest challenge of the Theoretical Physics was to prove that, Gravity is a *quantum* phenomenon. The quantization of gravity shows that the *gravitational mass*  $m_g$  and *inertial mass*  $m_i$  are correlated by means of the following factor

[<u>5</u>]:

$$\chi = \frac{m_g}{m_{i0}} = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{\Delta p}{m_{i0} c} \right)^2} - 1 \right] \right\}$$
 (1)

<sup>\*</sup> After one year offline, used fuel still emits about 10 kilowatts of decay heat energy per ton. After 10 years, it emits 1 kW of heat per ton.

where  $m_{i0}$  is the *rest* inertial mass of the particle and  $\Delta p$  is the variation in the particle's *kinetic momentum*; c is the speed of light.

In general, the *momentum* variation  $\Delta p$  is expressed by  $\Delta p = F\Delta t$  where F is the applied force during a time interval  $\Delta t$ . Note that there is no restriction concerning the *nature* of the force F, i.e., it can be mechanical, electromagnetic, etc.

For example, we can look on the *momentum* variation  $\Delta p$  as due to absorption or emission of *electromagnetic* energy. In this case, by substitution of  $\Delta p = \Delta E/v = \Delta E/v (c/c)(v/v) = \Delta E n_r/c$  into Eq. (1), we get

$$\chi = \frac{m_g}{m_{i0}} = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{\Delta E}{m_{i0} c^2} n_r \right)^2} - 1 \right] \right\}$$
 (2)

By dividing  $\Delta E$  and  $m_{i0}$  in Eq. (2) by the volume V of the particle, and remembering that,  $\Delta E/V = W$ , we obtain

$$\chi = \frac{m_g}{m_{i0}} = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{W}{\rho c^2} n_r \right)^2} - 1 \right] \right\}$$
 (3)

where  $\rho$  is the matter density  $(kg/m^3)$ .

Another important equations obtained in the quantization theory of gravity is the new expression for the *momentum* q and *energy* of a particle with gravitational mass  $M_g$  and velocity v, which is given by [6]

$$\vec{q} = M_g \vec{v} \tag{4}$$

$$E_{o} = M_{o}c^{2} \tag{5}$$

where  $M_g = m_g / \sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$ ;  $m_g$  is given by Eq.(1), i.e.,  $m_g = \chi m_i$ . Thus, we can write

$$M_g = \frac{\chi m_i}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} = \chi M_i$$
 (6)S

ubstitution of Eq. (6) into Eq. (5) and Eq. (4) gives

$$E_g = \chi M_i c^2 \tag{7}$$

$$\vec{q} = \chi M_i \vec{v} = \frac{\vec{v}}{c} \chi \frac{h}{\lambda} \tag{8}$$

For v = c, the *momentum* and the energy of the particle become infinite. This means that a particle with non-null mass cannot travel with the light speed. However, in Relativistic Mechanics there are particles with *null mass* that travel with the light speed. For these particles, Eq. (8) gives

$$q = \chi \frac{h}{\lambda} \tag{9}$$

Note that only for  $\chi = 1$  the Eq. (9) is reduced to the well=known expressions of DeBroglie  $(q = h/\lambda)$ .

Since the factor  $\chi$  can be strongly reduced under certain circumstances (See Eq.(1)), then according to the Eqs. (7) and (9), the energy and momentum of a particle can also be strongly reduced. Based on this we have developed possibility, electromagnetic method for blocking the action of external neutrons,  $\alpha$ -particles,  $\beta$ particles and y-rays upon atomic nuclei. In order to describe this method we start considering an atom subjected to a static magnetic field  $B_e$ , and an oscillating magnetic with frequency  $f_{\mbox{\scriptsize Bosc}}$  (Fig.1). If this frequency is equal to the electrons' precession frequency  $f_{pr(e)}$ , they absorb energy from the magnetic field (Electronic Magnetic Resonance). The frequency  $f_{pr(e)}$ , is given by [6, 7]

$$f_{pr(e)} = \frac{\gamma_e}{2\pi} B_e = \frac{\mu_e^s}{2\pi L_e^s} B_e = \frac{g_e \frac{e}{2m_e} S_z}{2\pi (n_s \hbar)} B_e =$$

$$= \frac{g_e \frac{e\hbar}{2m_e} m_s}{2\pi (n_s \hbar)} B_e = \left(\frac{g_e e}{4\pi m_e}\right) B_e = 2.79 \times 10^0 B_e \quad (10)$$

where  $g_e = 2.002322$  is the electron g-factor.




Fig. 1 – In this method, an oscillating magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{osc}}$ , with small intensity, is applied perpendicularly to a static magnetic field Be.

Thus, under theses conditions, the energy absorbed by one electron, is given by [8]

$$\Delta E_e = \gamma_e \hbar B_e \tag{11}$$

The electrons are often described as moving around the nucleus as the planets move around the sun. This picture, however, is misleading. The quantum theory has shown that due to the size of the electrons, they cannot be pictured in an atom as localized in space, but rather should be viewed as smeared out over the entire orbit so that they form a cloud of charge. Thus, the region around the nucleus represents a cloud of charges, in which the electrons are most likely to be found. However, this cloud is sub-divided into shells. Each shell can contain only a fixed number of electrons: The closest shell to the nucleus is called the "K shell" (also called "1 shell"). Heavy atoms as Uranium, has 7 shells (K, L, M, N, O, P, Q). The K shell can hold up to *two* electrons. The *numbers of electrons* that can occupy each shell are: L = 8, M = 18, N = 32, O = 21, P = 9, Q = 2 [9, 10].

According to Eq. (11), the energy absorbed by each one of the shells are respectively, given by

$$\Delta E_e = N_e \gamma_e \hbar B_e \tag{12}$$

where  $N_e$  is the number of electrons in the shell.

Dividing the Eqs. (12) by correspondent volume of the shell, we get

$$W = \frac{N_e \gamma_e \hbar B_e}{V_s} \tag{13}$$

Substitution of Eq. (13) into Eq. (3) gives

$$\chi = \frac{m_g}{m_{i0}} = \begin{cases} 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{N_e \gamma_e \hbar B_e n_r}{\rho_s V_s c^2} \right)^2} - 1 \right] \end{cases}$$
 (14) Substitution of  $\gamma_e = g_e e / 2 m_{i0e}$  (See Eq.

Substitution of  $\gamma_e = g_e e / 2m_{i0e}$  (See (10)) into Eq. (13) gives

$$\chi = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{N_e g_e e \hbar B_e n_r}{2 m_{i0e} \rho_s V_s c^2} \right)^2} - 1 \right] \right\}$$
 (15)

In order to calculate  $\rho_s$  we start considering the hydrogen gas. If we remove the hydrogen nuclei what remains is an electron gas with density equal to  $\rho_s$ . Thus, we can calculate this density by multiplying the density of the Hydrogen gas by the factor  $(m_{i0e}/m_{i0p} + m_{i0e})$ . However, in the case of heavy atoms this factor must be, obviously,  $(Zm_{0e}/Zm_{0p}+Zm_{0n}+Zm_{0e} \cong m_{0e}/2m_{0p})$ . Thus, in this case, we can write that

$$\rho_s = \rho_H \left( \frac{m_{i0e}}{2m_{i0p}} \right) \cong 8.99 \times 10^{-2} \left( 2.73 \times 10^{-4} \right)$$

$$=2.45\times10^{-5}\,kg.m^{-3}\tag{16}$$

The values of the  $V_s$ , can be easily calculated starting from the thickness, l, and the inner radii, r, of the shells. The thicknesses l, are given by

$$\begin{array}{l} l_{K} = K(2R_{e}) = 4R_{e} \\ l_{L} = L(2R_{e}) = 16R_{e} \\ l_{M} = M(2R_{e}) = 36R_{e} \\ l_{N} = N(2R_{e}) = 64R_{e} \\ l_{O} = O(2R_{e}) = 42R_{e} \\ l_{P} = P(2R_{e}) = 18R_{e} \\ l_{Q} = Q(2R_{e}) = 4R_{e} \end{array}$$

where  $R_e$  is the electron's radius. It can be calculated starting from the Compton sized electron, which gives  $R_e = 3.862 \times 10^{-13} m$ , and from the standardized result recently obtained of  $R_e = 5.156 \times 10^{-13} m$  [11]. Based on these values, the average  $R_{e} = 4.509 \times 10^{-13} m$ .

The inner radii of the shells, are given

$$\begin{split} r_{K} &= r_{1} = 5.3 \times 10^{-11} m \\ r_{L} &= \left(r_{1} + l_{K}\right) = 5.48 \times 10^{-11} m \\ r_{M} &= \left(r_{1} + l_{K} + l_{L}\right) = 6.20 \times 10^{-11} m \\ r_{N} &= \left(r_{1} + l_{K} + l_{L} + l_{M}\right) = 7.82 \times 10^{-11} m \\ r_{O} &= \left(r_{1} + l_{K} + l_{L} + l_{M} + l_{N}\right) = 1.07 \times 10^{-10} m \\ r_{P} &= \left(r_{1} + l_{K} + l_{L} + l_{M} + l_{N} + l_{O}\right) = 1.26 \times 10^{-10} m \\ r_{Q} &= \left(r_{1} + l_{K} + l_{L} + l_{M} + l_{N} + l_{O} + l_{P}\right) = 1.34 \times 10^{-10} m \end{split}$$

Note that  $(r_Q + l_Q) - r_K = 0.81 \times 10^{-10} \, m$ . However, in the case of the Uranium,  $r_{outer} - r_{inner} = 1.56 \times 10^{-10} - 0.53 \times 10^{-10} \cong 1.03 \times 10^{-10} \, m$ . Thus, there is a difference of  $1.03 \times 10^{-10} - 0.81 \times 10^{-10} = 0.22 \times 10^{-10} \, m$ . This value must be added in the values of  $r_L, \ldots, r_Q$ , in order to obtain the corrected values of  $r_L, \ldots, r_Q$ . The result is

$$\begin{split} r_K &= 5.30 \times 10^{-11} m \\ r_L &= 7.68 \times 10^{-11} m \\ r_M &= 8.40 \times 10^{-11} m \\ r_N &= 1.00 \times 10^{-10} m \\ r_O &= 1.29 \times 10^{-10} m \\ r_P &= 1.48 \times 10^{-10} m \\ r_O &= 1.56 \times 10^{-10} m \end{split}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$V_{K} = 4\pi r_{K}^{2}(l_{K}) = 6.36 \times 10^{-32}$$

$$V_{L} = 4\pi r_{L}^{2}(l_{L}) = 5.35 \times 10^{-31}$$

$$V_{M} = 4\pi r_{M}^{2}(l_{M}) = 1.44 \times 10^{-30}$$

$$V_{N} = 4\pi r_{N}^{2}(l_{N}) = 3.63 \times 10^{-30}$$

$$V_{O} = 4\pi r_{O}^{2}(l_{O}) = 3.96 \times 10^{-30}$$

$$V_{P} = 4\pi r_{P}^{2}(l_{P}) = 2.23 \times 10^{-30}$$

$$V_{Q} = 4\pi r_{Q}^{2}(l_{Q}) = 5.51 \times 10^{-31}$$
(17)




Fig.2 – The 7 Atomic Gravitational Shieldings

The mobility of the orbital electrons confers an electrical conductivity  $\sigma$  for each shell, i.e.,

$$\sigma_s = \rho_e \mu_e \tag{18}$$

where  $\rho_e$  expresses the concentrations of electrons  $\left(C/m^3\right)$  and  $\mu_e$  is the mobility of the electrons. The expression of  $\rho_e$  is

$$\rho_e = eN_e/V_s \tag{19}$$

On the other hand, since by definition  $\mu_e = v_d/E$  and  $v_d = v_e = e/\sqrt{4\pi\varepsilon_0\bar{r}_s m_e}$  [12] and  $E = Ze/4\pi\varepsilon_0\bar{r}_s^2$ , we obtain

$$\mu_e = \frac{1}{Z} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi\varepsilon_0 \overline{r}_s^3}{m_e}} \tag{20}$$

Substitution of Eqs. (19) and (20) into Eq. (18), gives

$$\sigma_{s} = \frac{eN_{e}}{ZV_{s}} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi\varepsilon_{0}\overline{r}_{s}^{3}}{m_{e}}} \qquad (21)$$

The values of  $\bar{r}_s$ , in the case of the Uranium, are given by

$$\begin{split} \overline{r}_K &= (r_1 + l_K/2) = 5.39 \times 10^{-11} m \\ \overline{r}_L &= (r_L + l_L/2) = 8.04 \times 10^{-11} m \\ \overline{r}_M &= (r_M + l_M/2) = 9.21 \times 10^{-11} m \\ \overline{r}_N &= (r_N + l_N/2) = 1.14 \times 10^{-10} m \\ \overline{r}_O &= (r_O + l_O/2) = 1.38 \times 10^{-10} m \\ \overline{r}_P &= (r_P + l_P/2) = 1.52 \times 10^{-10} m \\ \overline{r}_Q &= (r_Q + l_Q/2) = 1.56 \times 10^{-10} m \end{split}$$

Therefore, according to Eq. (21), the values of the  $\sigma_s$  are the followings

$$\sigma_{K} = 6.044 \times 10^{20} \sqrt{\overline{r_{K}^{3}}} = 2.39 \times 10^{5} \, S/m$$

$$\sigma_{L} = 2.874 \times 10^{20} \sqrt{\overline{r_{L}^{3}}} = 2.07 \times 10^{5} \, S/m$$

$$\sigma_{M} = 2.402 \times 10^{20} \sqrt{\overline{r_{M}^{3}}} = 2.12 \times 10^{5} \, S/m$$

$$\sigma_{N} = 1.694 \times 10^{20} \sqrt{\overline{r_{N}^{3}}} = 2.06 \times 10^{5} \, S/m$$

$$\sigma_{O} = 1.019 \times 10^{20} \sqrt{\overline{r_{O}^{3}}} = 1.65 \times 10^{5} \, S/m$$

$$\sigma_{P} = 7.757 \times 10^{19} \sqrt{\overline{r_{P}^{3}}} = 1.45 \times 10^{5} \, S/m$$

$$\sigma_{Q} = 6.976 \times 10^{19} \sqrt{\overline{r_{Q}^{3}}} = 1.36 \times 10^{5} \, S/m$$
(22)

From Electrodynamics we know that the index of refraction,  $n_r$ , of a material with relative permittivity  $\varepsilon_r$ , relative magnetic permeability  $\mu_r$  and electrical conductivity  $\sigma$  is given by [13]

$$n_r = \frac{c}{v} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_r \mu_r}{2} \left( \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{\sigma}{\omega \varepsilon} \right)^2} + 1 \right)}$$
 (23)

If  $\sigma >> \omega \varepsilon$ ,  $\omega = 2\pi f$ , Eq. (23) reduces to

$$n_r = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_r \sigma}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 f}} \tag{24}$$

Substitution of  $f = f_{Bosc}$  given by, Eq. (10) into Eq. (24) yields

$$n_r = 0.566 \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_s}{B_e}} \tag{25}$$

Substitution of the  $\sigma_s$  given by Eq. (22) into Eq. (25) yields

$$n_{rK}^{2}B_{e}^{2} = 7.65 \times 10^{4} B_{e}$$

$$n_{rK}^{2}B_{e}^{2} = 6.62 \times 10^{4} B_{e}$$

$$n_{rM}^{2}B_{e}^{2} = 6.78 \times 10^{4} B_{e}$$

$$n_{rN}^{2}B_{e}^{2} = 6.59 \times 10^{4} B_{e}$$

$$n_{rN}^{2}B_{e}^{2} = 5.28 \times 10^{4} B_{e}$$

$$n_{rP}^{2}B_{e}^{2} = 4.64 \times 10^{4} B_{e}$$

$$n_{rP}^{2}B_{e}^{2} = 4.35 \times 10^{4} B_{e}$$

$$(26)$$

Substitution of the values of the  $\rho_s$  given by Eq. (16) into Eq. (15) gives

$$\chi = \left\{ 1 - 2 \left[ \sqrt{1 + 1.414 \times 10^{-70} \left( \frac{N_e}{V_s} \right)^2 n_{rs}^2 B_e^2} - 1 \right] \right\} (27)$$

Now, by considering the values of  $N_e$ ,  $V_s$  (Eq. 17) and  $n_{rs}^2 B_e^2$  (Eq. 26), we can calculate the values of  $\chi$  for each shell, i.e.,

$$\chi_{K} = \left\{1 - 2\left[\sqrt{1 + 0.107B_{e}} - 1\right]\right\} (28)$$

$$\chi_{L} = \left\{1 - 2\left[\sqrt{1 + 2.09 \times 10^{-3}B_{e}} - 1\right]\right\} (29)$$

$$\chi_{M} = \left\{1 - 2\left[\sqrt{1 + 1.49 \times 10^{-3}B_{e}} - 1\right]\right\} (30)$$

$$\chi_{N} = \left\{1 - 2\left[\sqrt{1 + 7.24 \times 10^{-4}B_{e}} - 1\right]\right\} (31)$$

$$\chi_{O} = \left\{1 - 2\left[\sqrt{1 + 2.10 \times 10^{-4}B_{e}} - 1\right]\right\} (32)$$

$$\chi_{P} = \left\{1 - 2\left[\sqrt{1 + 1.07 \times 10^{-4}B_{e}} - 1\right]\right\} (33)$$

$$\chi_{O} = \left\{1 - 2\left[\sqrt{1 + 0.81 \times 10^{-4}B_{e}} - 1\right]\right\} (34)$$


In the particular case of  $B_e = 11.68T$ , the Eqs. (28) ... (34), yields

$$\chi_{K} \cong 1.60 \times 10^{-4} 
\chi_{L} \cong 0.97 
\chi_{M} \cong 0.98 
\chi_{N} \cong 0.99 
\chi_{O} \cong 0.99 
\chi_{P} \cong 0.99 
\chi_{O} \cong 0.99$$

$$\chi_{O} \cong 0.99$$

In a previous paper [14] it was shown that, if the *weight* of a particle in a side of a lamina is  $P=m_g\,g$  then the weight of the same particle, in the other side of the lamina is  $P'=\chi m_g\,g$ , where  $\chi=m_g\,/m_{i0}$  ( $m_g$  and  $m_{i0}$  are respectively, the gravitational mass and the inertial mass of the lamina). Only when  $\chi=1$ , the weight is equal in both sides of the lamina. The lamina works as a Gravitational Shielding. This is the *Gravitational Shielding* effect. Since  $P'=\chi P=\left(\chi m_g\right)g=m_g\,(\chi g)$ , we can consider that  $m_g'=\chi m_g$  or that  $g'=\chi g$ .

If we take two parallel gravitational shieldings, with  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  respectively, then the gravitational masses become:  $m_{g1}=\chi_1 m_g$ ,  $m_{g2}=\chi_2 m_{g1}=\chi_1 \chi_2 m_g$ , and the gravity will be given by  $g_1=\chi_1 g$ ,  $g_2=\chi_2 g_1=\chi_1 \chi_2 g$ .







Fig. 3 – Plane and Spherical Gravitational Shieldings. When the radius of the gravitational shielding (b) is very small, any particle inside the spherical crust will have its gravitational mass given by  $m_g = \chi m_g$ , where  $m_g$  is its gravitational mass out of the crust.



(b)
Fig. 4 – The gravity acceleration in both sides of the

gravitational shielding.

In the case of multiples gravitational shieldings, with  $\chi_1, \chi_2, ..., \chi_n$ , we can write that, after the  $n^{th}$  gravitational shielding the gravitational mass,  $m_{gn}$ , and the gravity,  $g_n$ , will be given by

$$m_{gn} = \chi_1 \chi_2 \chi_3 ... \chi_n m_g$$
,  $g_n = \chi_1 \chi_2 \chi_3 ... \chi_n g$  (36)

This means that, n superposed gravitational shieldings with different  $\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3, ..., \chi_n$  are equivalent to a single gravitational shielding with  $\chi = \chi_1 \chi_2 \chi_3 ... \chi_n$ . Since the atomic shells K, L, M, N, O, P and Q, work as gravitational shieldings, then they are equivalent to a single gravitational shielding with  $\chi = \chi_K \chi_L \chi_M \chi_N \chi_0 \chi_P \chi_Q$ . Thus, in the case of Uranium, which is simultaneously subjected to a magnetic field with intensity  $B_e = 11.68T$ , and an oscillating magnetic field with frequency  $f_{Bosc} = f_{pr(e)} = 2.798 \times 10^{10} B_e = 326.8 GHz$ 

, the values given by Eq. (35), yield the following value for  $\chi$ :

$$\chi = \chi_K \chi_L \chi_M \chi_N \chi_O \chi_P \chi_O \cong 1.4 \times 10^{-4} \tag{37}$$

Consequently, according to Eq. (9), when a  $\gamma - ray$  crosses the atomic shells of Uranium, subjected to the above mentioned conditions, the *momentum* of the  $\gamma - ray$ , after it leaves the K atomic shell<sup>†</sup> is given by

$$q = \chi \frac{h}{\lambda} = \frac{\chi h f}{c} \tag{38}$$

where  $\chi = \chi_K \chi_L \chi_M \chi_N \chi_O \chi_P \chi_Q \cong 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ .

Under these conditions, the effect of this  $\gamma - ray$  upon the nucleus becomes equivalent to the effect produced by and photon with energy  $\chi hf$ . Thus, if  $\chi hf << 1 MeV^{\ddagger}$  [15], the photon will not have sufficient energy to excite the nucleus.

The energy of a photon with  $f = 10^{23} Hz$ , after crossing the K atomic shell, becomes just  $9.3 \times 10^{-15}$  joules  $<< 1 MeV = 1.6 \times 10^{-13}$  joules. Under these circumstances, we can say that  $\gamma - rays$  with  $f \le 10^{23} Hz$ , after crossing the K atomic shell, do not are able to excite the Uranium's nucleus.

The effect also extends to particles of matter as *neutrons*,  $\alpha$ -particles,  $\beta$ -particles, etc.

For example, consider a faster neutron through a Uranium atom. After crossing the K atomic shell its *momentum*, according to Eq. (8), becomes  $q = \chi M_i v$  and, according to Eq. (5) its total relativistic energy is  $E_g = M_g c^2$ . Thus, the gravitational kinetic energy is

$$K_g = (M_g - m_g)c^2 = (\chi M_i - \chi m_i)c^2 = \chi K_i$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Due to the atom's radius be very small, any particle inside the intermediate region between the shells and the nucleus will have its gravitational mass given by  $m'_g = \chi m_g$ , where  $m_g$  is its gravitational mass out of the crust (See Fig.3)). Similarly, if the energy of a photon, out of the atom is hf then, inside the intermediate region, its energy becomes  $\chi hf$ .

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ddagger}$  A heavy nucleus undergoes fission when acquires energy >5MeV. Some nucleus as the  $_{92}U^{235}$  undergo fission when absorbs just a neutron. Others as the  $_{92}U^{238}$  needs to absorbs faster neutrons with kinetic energy >1MeV. However, in all cases if the total energy of the incident particle is <<1MeV, the fission does not occurs.

According to this equations, the gravitational kinetic energy of a neutron, inside the intermediate region between the shells and the nucleus of Uranium, is given by  $K_g \cong 1.4 \times 10^4 K_i$ . For  $K_i << 7.14 GeV$  we obtain  $K_g << 1 MeV$ . This means that, neutrons with kinetic energy  $K_i << 7.14 GeV$  (and also particles such as  $\alpha$ -particles,  $\beta$ -particles, protons, etc.) are not able to produce the fission of an atomic nucleus of Uranium, subjected to the previously mentioned conditions.

It is also necessary consider the case of some nuclei, as the nuclei of  $_{92}U^{235}$ , which undergo fission by the simple absorption of a *neutron neighboring the nucleus*. Also, we must consider the case of *electrons capture* by the nuclei,  $(p+e^- \rightarrow n+v)$ . In these cases, if the Uranium atom is subjected to the previously mentioned conditions, both neutrons and the electrons will have their *total energy*, according to Eq. (5), given by

$$E_{gn} = |\chi| |m_{i0n}c^2 \cong 2.1 \times 10^{-14} joules$$

and

$$E_{ge} = |\chi| |m_{i0e}c^2 \cong 1.1 \times 10^{-17} joules$$

These energies are very smaller than 1MeV and therefore, the neutron cannot excitate the nuclei of  $_{92}U^{235}$  to produce fission, and the electron does not have sufficient energy to interact with a nuclear proton to produce a neutron and a neutrino.

The method here described requires  $B_e = 11.68T$ , and an oscillating magnetic field with frequency  $f_{Bosc} = 326.8GHz$ .

The spectrometers used in the Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy, most commonly known as NMR spectroscopy, works with up to 1GHz, 23.5 T (AVANCE 1000 MHz NMR spectrometer, launched by Bruker BioSpin). Figure 5 shows a 0.9GHz, 21.1T NMR spectrometer.

By comparing the values required by the method here described with these values, we can conclude that the necessary technology is coming soon.



Fig.5 - A 0.9GHz, 21.1 T NMR spectrometer at HWB-NMR, Birmingham, UK.

## References

- Ragheb, M. (2011). Decay Heat Generation in Fission Reactors. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. Retrieved 26 January 2013.
- [2] DOE fundamentals handbook Decay heat, Nuclear physics and reactor theory, Vol. 2, module 4, p. 61.
- [3] De Aquino, F. (2008) Process and Device for Controlling the Locally the Gravitational Mass and the Gravity Acceleration, BR Patent Number: PI0805046-5, July 31, 2008.
- [4] Lipscy, P, et al., (2013) The Fukushima Disaster and Japan's Nuclear Plant Vulnerability in Comparative Perspective. Environmental Science and Technology 47 (May), 6082-6088.
- [5] De Aquino, F. (2010) Mathematical Foundations of the Relativistic Theory of Quantum Gravity, Pacific Journal of Science and Technology, 11 (1), pp. 173-232.
- [6] Levitt, M. H. (2001) Spin Dynamics, Wiley.
- [7] Hand, L. N. and Finch, J. D. (1998). Analytical mechanics. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, p.192.
- [8] Gil, V.M.S. and Geraldes, C.F.G.C. (1987) Ressonância Magnética Nuclear: Fundamentos, Métodos e Aplicações, Fundação Calouste Gulbenkian, Lisboa.
- [9] Beiser, A. (1967) Concepts of Modern Physics, McGraw-Hill, Portuguese version (1969) Ed. Polígono, S.Paulo, p. 213-219
- [10] Jolly, W. L. (1991). Modern Inorganic Chemistry (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- [11] Mac Gregor. M. H., (1992) *The Enigmatic Electron*. Boston: Klurer Academic, 1992, pp. 4-5.
- [12] Beiser, A. (1967) Concepts of Modern Physics, McGraw-Hill, Portuguese version (1969) Ed. Polígono, S.Paulo, p.132.
- [13] Quevedo, C. P. (1977) Eletromagnetismo, McGraw-Hill, p. 270.
- [14] De Aquino, F. (2010) Gravity Control by means of Electromagnetic Field through Gas at Ultra-Low Pressure, Pacific Journal of Science and Technology, 11(2) November 2010, pp.178-247, Physics/0701091.
- [15] Beiser, A. (1967) Concepts of Modern Physics, McGraw-Hill, Portuguese version (1969) Ed. Polígono, S.Paulo, p.404.