SR Equations without Constant One-Way Speed of Light
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Abstract: A constant one-way light speed is essential for the Theory of Relativity. This detailed study examines two postulates of Special Relativity and concludes that the claim of “constant one-way speed of light” contradicts itself. The equations of Special Relativity are foundations of many physics theories. The findings on controversial Relativity postulates shake the foundation of these theories. Fortunately, equations similar to Special Relativity equations can be derived, assuming that two-way light speed is constant, without the use of Special Relativity Postulates. These new equations provide a better foundation that is compatible with the correct existing physics theories. There is no threat of invalidating all existing physics theories, only incorrect ones. Instead, we can take a new look at some fundamental questions shared among physicists.
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1. Introduction

Special relativity (e.g. [1], [2]-[13]) is a theory about the structure of spacetime. It was introduced in Einstein's 1905 paper "On the Electrodynamics of Moving Bodies". Special relativity is based on two postulates which are contradictory in classical mechanics:

1. The laws of physics are the same for all observers in uniform motion relative to one another (principle of relativity).
2. The speed of light in a vacuum is the same for all observers, regardless of their relative motion or of the motion of the source of the light (Fig. 1).

First, this paper studies the two postulates of Special Relativity and finds some contradictions. It mathematically proves that the “constant one-way speed of light” (e.g. [13], [14]-[40]) made in second relativity claim is invalid.

Next, the paper derives relativity equations under assumption that aether exists. The new equations are similar to Relativity Equations, but the meanings are different.

2. Relativity Postulates

2.1. Relativity Postulates

Based on the second Relativity claim:

*The speed of light in a vacuum is the same for all observers, regardless of their relative motion or the motion of the source of the light.*

There are three main scenarios:

1. Two moving light sources with different speeds and one observer.
2. One light source and two observers with different moving speeds.
3. Two moving light sources and two observers with different moving speed.

Relativity only considers the second case, since the Lorentz transformation studies one light source and two observers (Fig. 2).

To study the second case, Lorentz simplified the case further by assuming that one of the receivers, (reference) A (x, y, z), is moving at same speed with the light source. Another receiver, B (x’, y’, z’), is moving toward the light source.

\[ t' = \frac{d(t - xv / c^2)}{c^2} \]
\[ x' = d(x - vt) \]
\[ y' = y \]
\[ z' = z \]

Where
\[
d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}
\]

2.1.1. First Relativity Claim

An object X with mass m in the reference frame B is not moving, but it is moving at speed v in the reference frame A.

The kinetic energy of X calculated in reference frame A is \(mv^2/2\).

In the inertial reference B, object X's moving speed is zero. Therefore, the kinetic energy of X in reference frame B is zero.

The reference A and B draw different conclusions for the same experiment. One is \(mv^2/2\), the other is zero.

As stated in the Theory of Relativity, "The laws of physics are the same for all observers in uniform motion relative to one another (principle of relativity)."

Both results are correct. Can we compare the two results? No, we can not, because \(mv^2/2\) is not zero.

Unfortunately, some interesting results of Relativity are derived by comparing results of two inertial references. Those results are:

\[m' = dm\]
\[E = mc^2\]

The first Relativity claim is valid, but the Theory of Relativity use additional assumptions implicitly to get useful results.

2.1.2. Second Relativity Claim

Assuming that the second relativity claim is correct, then the third scenario for second Relativity claim, two moving light sources and two observers with different moving speed, should be valid.

\[t' = t - \frac{xv}{c^2}\]
\[x' = d(x - vt)\]
\[y' = y\]
\[z' = z\]

The above two equations conclude that:

\[d = d' = 1\]
\[v = 0\]
\[(x, y, z, t) = (x', y', z', t')\]

The above results are not logical because, \((x, y, z, t)\) is not equal to \((x', y', z', t')\)

v is not zero

Therefore, as an elaborated claim of 'constant one-way speed of light', the second Relativity claim contradicts itself. Therefore, the one-way speed of light can not be constant.

3. Special Relativity Equations in Aether

The denial of "constant one-way speed of light" leads back to the theory of aether.

There are two moving parallel mirrors (fig. 4) A and B with a speed of v in the aether.

\[tc = A_0B_0\]
\[tmv = A_0A_1\]
\[(tc)^2 + (tmv)^2 = (tm_c)^2\]
Or,

\[ t_m = \frac{t}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \]

As \( t_m = t_{\text{cm}} \) and \( t = t_{\text{c}} \):

\[ l_m = \frac{t}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \]

\[ d = \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}} \]

The light can travel in the direction of the mirrors’ movement or opposite the direction of the mirrors’ movement.

When light travels along the moving direction:

\[ t_{1c} = d(t_{m1c} + t_{m1v}) \]

When light travels opposite to the moving direction:

\[ t_{2c} = d(t_{m2c} - t_{m2v}) \]

To simply the above,

\[ t_1 + t_2 = d(t_{m1} + t_{m2}) \]

\[ t_m = \frac{t}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \]

The travelling time of light above is the two-way travelling time, which remains constant in all directions, while the one-way travelling time can differ when travelling parallel to the moving direction. In other words, the constant two-way speed of light is not only experimentally proven, but also logically sound.

The space/time expansion occurs in all directions with the same factor of \( d \).

According to Planck equation, \( E = \frac{hc}{L} \). In the moving reference, when the wavelength is 1, the aether reference wavelength is:

\[ \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}} \]

Or,

\[ E_{\text{moving}} = \frac{E_{\text{aether}}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \]  \quad (1)

Since,

\[ E_{\text{moving}} - E_{\text{aether}} = \frac{mv^2}{2} \]  \quad (2)

From (1),

\[ E_{\text{moving}} - E_{\text{aether}} = E_{\text{aether}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} - 1 \right) \]  \quad (3)

When \( v \) is very small, (3) can be simplified as:

\[ E_{\text{moving}} - E_{\text{aether}} = E_{\text{aether}} \left( \frac{v^2}{2c^2} \right) \]  \quad (4)

Combine (3) and (4),

\[ E_{\text{aether}} \left( \frac{v^2}{2c^2} \right) = \frac{mv^2}{2} \]

4. Conclusions

We need to elucidate the first Relativity claim to be more specific:

*The laws of physics are the same for all observers in uniform motion relative to one another (principle of relativity). The spacetime measurement is increased by factor of \( (1 - (v/c)^2)^{1/2} \) relative to the aether reference when the speed of the inertial reference frame relative to aether is \( v \). The two observers get same results when aether reference spacetime is used.*

The Physics laws work fine as long as we measure test results based on our own spacetime in the same reference frame. But the aether reference is needed in order to get same test results.

The scientific community accepts the Theory of Relativity because when everything is moving, we all know that our tests’ conclusions are right based on our experiences. On the other hand, the Physicists get their Physics experiments’ results in one inertial reference frame. It is not easy to compare the results among different inertial reference frames without knowing the aether reference frame. Assuming that we are moving at a slower pace against the aether, using the Earth as a reference frame provides a good approximation for the mass of high speed particles in a particle accelerator.

The second Relativity claims:

*The speed of light in a vacuum is the same for all observers, regardless of their relative motion or of the motion of the source of the light.*

Since one-way speed of light is not constant, we can reformulate the claim as:

*The two-way speed of light between two arbitrary locations in any inertial reference frame in a vacuum is the same.*

The above claim limits the definition of constant light speed to constant two-way light speed.

The equations of Special Relativity are foundational for many physics theories. The findings on controversial Relativity Postulates shake the foundation. Fortunately, the Special Relativity equations can be derived without Postulates of Relativity and provide a better new foundation that is compatible with the existing physics theories.

We need to redefine the Postulates of Relativity with following new Postulates as our new foundation:

1. *The laws of physics are the same for all observers in uniform motion relative to one another (principle of relativity). The spacetime measurement is increased by factor of \( (1 - (v/c)^2)^{1/2} \) relative to aether reference when the speed of the inertial reference frame relative to aether is \( v \). The two observers get same results when aether reference spacetime is used.*

2. *The two-way speed of light between two arbitrary locations in any inertial reference frame in a vacuum is the same.*
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