

Stellar Metamorphosis: Masses of Stars are Vastly Overestimated

Jeffrey J Wolynski
Jeffrey.wolynski@yahoo.com
September 16, 2013
Cocoa, FL 32922

Abstract: Since it is well established that mainstream scientists do not understand the universe we must start examining their root assumptions. One of these root assumptions is that mass causes spacetime warping which is gravitation. Alternatives are exercised in this paper as all scientists on the Earth already know spacetime warping is bogus. We cannot let the dark matter believers and Big Bang Creationists drag humanity into another dark age.

According to stellar metamorphosis a young star exhibits the effects of having a very large mass. There are many possibilities that need to be explored. Just so the reader is aware the establishment scientists rather agree with everybody just to have a career and get grant money. They care nothing for possibility and human ingenuity.

1. All stars that exhibit enormous gravitation are extremely massive. (This is the root assumption).

In order to solve this mystery we must look at what is being ignored:


1. Young stars are plasma.
2. Young stars have vast surface areas.
3. Young stars are very hot.
4. The Sun (young star) is much rounder than what mathematical theory predicts.

Thus enormous gravitation could be caused by different factors other than "mass".

1. Plasma could mimic the effects of inertia/mass in certain arrangements.
2. The surface area could have more contact with the environment, giving the star inertia/mass that is not present in it. Think of a tree's root system or a bolt that is attached to a steel bridge. It is not the roots that are massive, or the bolt that is massive, but that they are attached to something bigger, making lots of friction and giving the appearance of being really massive.
3. There is a new concept called "radiative work" that is being developed. Thus work done via radiation, not convection/conduction should be considered, regardless if it is ignored by the dark matter believers. Thus stars that do more radiative work on their hosts, hotter bigger stars holding smaller colder ones, should be considered.
4. The Sun is rounder than what its mass predicts. It should bulge considerably at the equator from its rotational inertia. It does not, thus it is not as massive as the Newton believers have predicted. This is kept a secret though because it actually falsifies mainstream theories. This fact needed to be added to this paper to give it credibility. The establishment is wrong we must avoid their ad hoc explanations of gravitation at all costs. Measuring gravity is not explaining it. Math is not physics.

So the reader is made aware, all stars shrink and become what are called "planets". Thus their masses are essentially the same from birth, all the way until all the matter is neutralized into solid

material such as rocks/minerals. A graph is provided below that shows the other half of their Hertzsprung-Russell Diagram. The establishment cannot be trusted to lead us in the 21st century we must ignore their interpretations at all costs, or else humanity risks falling back into another dark age, with dark matter, dark energy, big bangs, black holes and other fictional made up fantasy such as higgsinos and other nonsense. We should only look at their data only, not their interpretation of natural physics. They do not have the minds required to interpret their data, they only have minds to collect the data. They are mostly bean counters with zero insight.



[1] Wolynski, Jeffrey (2012). *Stellar Metamorphosis: An Alternative for the Star Sciences*. <http://vixra.org/pdf/1303.0157vC.pdf>.

[2] Abruzzo, Anthony (2008). *Are Planets the End Products Rather than the By-Products of Stellar Evolution?*. The General Science Journal <http://gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/Research%20Papers-Astrophysics/Download/1160>.

[3] Oparin, Alexander (1924). *The Origin of Life*. <http://www.valencia.edu/~orilife/textos/The%20Origin%20of%20Life.pdf>.