

# Folding a pattern

Alejandro Rivero

February 15, 2013

## Abstract

We propose a reorganisation of the standard model and their mesons in order to build supersymmetric multiplets. The presentation is open to improvements to choose the adequate candidates in each recombination.

I have told elsewhere how a chain of four Koide equations does a good work to predict SM mass. Gray cells are inputs All triplets in sequence meet Koide equation. For  $(bcs)$ , sign of  $\sqrt{m_s}$  is minus.

	pdg 2012	exact	rotated
t	$173.5 \pm 1.0$	174.10	173.26
b	$4.18 \pm 0.03$	3.64	4.197
c	$1.275 \pm 0.025$	1.698	1.359
$\tau$	1.77682(16)	1.698	1.776968
s	$95 \pm 5$	121.95	92.275
$\mu$	105.65837	121.95	105.6584
d	$\sim 4.8$	8.75	5.32
u	$\sim 2.3$	0	.03564
e	0.5109989	0	.5109989

Lets exploit this identification of some levels to try to fold them into a susy-like configuration.

First step, the SM as it is. For each QCD string, consider its fundamental state.

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \nu_1, t_{rgb} \\
 \nu_2, b_{rgb} \quad B^+, B_c^+ \quad bu, bc \quad bb, bs, bd \quad \eta_b, B^0, B_s^0, \bar{B}^0, \bar{B}_s^0 \\
 \begin{array}{l} \bar{c}\bar{c} \quad \bar{c}\bar{u} \\ \bar{c}\bar{c}, \bar{c}\bar{u} \end{array} \quad \tau, c_{rgb} \quad D^+, D_s^+ \quad sc, dc \quad \eta_c, D^0, \bar{D}^0 \\
 \begin{array}{l} \bar{u}\bar{u} \\ u\bar{u} \end{array} \quad \mu, s_{rgb} \quad \pi^+, K^+ \quad su, du \quad ss, sd, dd \quad \eta_8, \pi^0, K^0, \bar{K}^0 \\
 \nu_3, d_{rgb} \\
 e, u_{rgb}
 \end{array}$$

For charged particles, the antiparticle is in the same level. I ommit the “+ antiparticle” remark

Now, second step, we fold t and u

$$\begin{array}{llllll}
\bar{c}\bar{c} & \nu_2, b_{rgb}, e, u_{rgb} & B^+, B_c^+ & bu, bc & bb, bs, bd & \eta_b, B^0, B_s^0, \bar{B}^0, \bar{B}_s^0 \\
\bar{c}\bar{c}, \bar{c}\bar{u} & \tau, c_{rgb} & D^+, D_s^+ & sc, dc & & \eta_c, D^0, \bar{D}^0 \\
\bar{u}\bar{u} & \mu, s_{rgb}, \nu_1, t_{rgb} & \pi^+, K^+ & su, du & ss, sd, dd & \eta_s, \pi^0, K^0, \bar{K}^0 \\
& \nu_3, d_{rgb} & & & & 
\end{array}$$

Next steps are arbitrary, we could fold any combination of up-like quarks

$$\begin{array}{llllll}
\bar{c}\bar{c} & \nu_2, b_{rgb}, e, u_{rgb} & B^+, B_c^+ & bu, bc & bs, bd & \eta_b, B^0, B_s^0, \bar{B}^0, \bar{B}_s^0 \\
\bar{c}\bar{c}, \bar{c}\bar{u} & \tau, c_{rgb}, \nu_3, d_{rgb} & D^+, D_s^+ & sc, dc & bb, dd & \eta_c, D^0, \bar{D}^0 \\
\bar{u}\bar{u} & \mu, s_{rgb}, \nu_1, t_{rgb} & \pi^+, K^+ & su, du & ss, sd & \eta_s, \pi^0, K^0, \bar{K}^0 \\
\bar{u}\bar{u} & & & & & 
\end{array}$$

And last, and even more arbitrary, is to decide which neutral meson to fold into the intermediate level. Just choose one

$$\begin{array}{llllll}
\bar{c}\bar{c} & \nu_2, b_{rgb}, e, u_{rgb} & B^+, B_c^+ & bu, bc & bs, bd & B^0, B_s^0, \bar{B}^0, \bar{B}_s^0 \\
\bar{c}\bar{c}, \bar{c}\bar{u} & \tau, c_{rgb}, \nu_3, d_{rgb} & D^+, D_s^+ & sc, dc & bb, dd & \eta_b, \eta_c, D^0, \bar{D}^0 \\
\bar{u}\bar{u} & \mu, s_{rgb}, \nu_1, t_{rgb} & \pi^+, K^+ & su, du & ss, sd & \eta_s, \pi^0, K^0, \bar{K}^0 \\
\bar{u}\bar{u} & & & & & 
\end{array}$$

The  $\pm 4/3$  diquarks, at last, I guess they are related to electroweak symmetry breaking via some condensation. They could stay as there are, or perhaps the charm should be at an upper level

$$\begin{array}{llllll}
\bar{c}\bar{c} & \nu_2, b_{rgb}, e, u_{rgb} & B^+, B_c^+ & bu, bc & bs, bd & B^0, B_s^0, \bar{B}^0, \bar{B}_s^0 \\
\bar{c}\bar{u} & \tau, c_{rgb}, \nu_3, d_{rgb} & D^+, D_s^+ & sc, dc & bb, dd & \eta_b, \eta_c, D^0, \bar{D}^0 \\
\bar{u}\bar{u} & \mu, s_{rgb}, \nu_1, t_{rgb} & \pi^+, K^+ & su, du & ss, sd & \eta_s, \pi^0, K^0, \bar{K}^0 \\
\bar{u}\bar{u} & & & & & 
\end{array}$$

It could be. Probably all the ambiguities in the above folding, including this last one, are related.

Final, let me prettify it by adding the antiparticles. Note that we have the required quantity of bosons for three generations of the SM, but that the not-SM charged bosons (the uu etc) can not be arranged in a pattern of three generations of Dirac particles; it is because of it that we can guess they have a different role than being a plain sfermion.

$\bar{c}\bar{c}$	$\nu_2, b_{rgb}, e, u_{rgb}$	$B^\pm, B_c^\pm$	$\bar{b}\bar{u} \quad \bar{b}\bar{c}$	$\bar{b}\bar{s} \quad \bar{b}\bar{d}$	$B^0, B_s^0, \bar{B}^0, \bar{B}_s^0$
$\bar{c}\bar{u}$	$\tau, c_{rgb}, \nu_3, d_{rgb}$	$D^\pm, D_s^\pm$	$\bar{s}\bar{c} \quad \bar{d}\bar{c}$	$\bar{b}\bar{b} \quad \bar{d}\bar{d}$	$\eta_b, \eta_c, D^0, \bar{D}^0$
$\bar{u}\bar{u}$	$\mu, s_{rgb}, \nu_1, t_{rgb}$	$\pi^\pm, K^\pm$	$\bar{s}\bar{u} \quad \bar{d}\bar{u}$	$\bar{s}\bar{s} \quad \bar{s}\bar{d}$	$\eta_s, \pi^0, K^0, \bar{K}^0$

Remember that the point of the grouping in fermion side is that we were looking at the koide triplets of the waterfall; any of the triplets is build by taking a fermion for each of the three lines.

A lateral question is, can be build mass values so that any of the eight possible triplets is solution?

Let me consider a simpler case, that of, say,  $m_u = 0$ . Call

$$k = k^+ = 2 + \sqrt{3}$$

$$k^- = 2 - \sqrt{3}$$

$$k^+ k^- = 1$$

and check that  $(k, 8, k^-)$  and  $(k, 0, k^-)$  are Koide triplets. We can use them to build “mass spectra” that saturate all the equations.

<table style="border: none; width: 100%;"> <tr><td colspan="6" style="border: none;">0</td></tr> <tr><td colspan="6" style="border: none;">1</td></tr> <tr><td colspan="6" style="border: none;"><math>k^2 \quad k^2</math></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="6" style="border: none;">  0   0  </td></tr> <tr><td colspan="6" style="border: none;">  1 1   1 <math>k^4</math>  </td></tr> <tr><td colspan="6" style="border: none;">  <math>k^2 \quad k^2</math>   <math>k^2 \quad k^2</math>  </td></tr> </table>						0						1						$k^2 \quad k^2$						0   0						1 1   1 $k^4$						$k^2 \quad k^2$   $k^2 \quad k^2$						<table style="border: none; width: 100%;"> <tr><td colspan="6" style="border: none;">0</td></tr> <tr><td colspan="6" style="border: none;">1</td></tr> <tr><td colspan="6" style="border: none;"><math>k^{-2} \quad k^{-2}</math></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="6" style="border: none;">  0   0  </td></tr> <tr><td colspan="6" style="border: none;">  1 1   1 <math>k^{-4}</math>  </td></tr> <tr><td colspan="6" style="border: none;">  <math>k^{-2} \quad k^{-2}</math>   <math>k^{-2} \quad k^{-2}</math>  </td></tr> </table>						0						1						$k^{-2} \quad k^{-2}$						0   0						1 1   1 $k^{-4}$						$k^{-2} \quad k^{-2}$   $k^{-2} \quad k^{-2}$						<table style="border: none; width: 100%;"> <tr><td colspan="2" style="border: none;">0</td></tr> <tr><td colspan="2" style="border: none;">1</td></tr> <tr><td colspan="2" style="border: none;"><math>k^2 \quad k^{-2}</math></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="2" style="border: none;">  0  </td></tr> <tr><td colspan="2" style="border: none;">  1 1  </td></tr> <tr><td colspan="2" style="border: none;">  <math>k^2 \quad k^{-2}</math>  </td></tr> </table>		0		1		$k^2 \quad k^{-2}$		0		1 1		$k^2 \quad k^{-2}$	
0																																																																																																	
1																																																																																																	
$k^2 \quad k^2$																																																																																																	
0   0																																																																																																	
1 1   1 $k^4$																																																																																																	
$k^2 \quad k^2$   $k^2 \quad k^2$																																																																																																	
0																																																																																																	
1																																																																																																	
$k^{-2} \quad k^{-2}$																																																																																																	
0   0																																																																																																	
1 1   1 $k^{-4}$																																																																																																	
$k^{-2} \quad k^{-2}$   $k^{-2} \quad k^{-2}$																																																																																																	
0																																																																																																	
1																																																																																																	
$k^2 \quad k^{-2}$																																																																																																	
0																																																																																																	
1 1																																																																																																	
$k^2 \quad k^{-2}$																																																																																																	
0 0	0 $8k$	0 0	0 0	0 $8k^{-}$	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0																																																																																								
1 1	1 1	1 $k^4$	1 $k^4$	1 1	1 1	1 $k^{-4}$	1 $k^{-4}$	1 1	1 1																																																																																								
$k^2 \quad k^2$	$k^2 \quad k^2$	$k^2 \quad k^2$	$k^2 \quad k^2$	$k^{-2} \quad k^{-2}$	$k^{-2} \quad k^{-2}$	$k^{-2} \quad k^{-2}$	$k^{-2} \quad k^{-2}$	$k^2 \quad k^{-2}$	$k^2 \quad k^{-2}$																																																																																								
0 0	0 8	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 8	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0																																																																																								
$k^- \quad k^-$	$k^- \quad k^-$	$k^- \quad k^-$	$k^- \quad k^-$	$k^- \quad k^-$	$k^- \quad k^-$	$k^- \quad k^-$	$k^- \quad k^-$	$k^- \quad k^-$	$k^- \quad k^-$																																																																																								
$k \quad k$	$k \quad k$	$k \quad k$	$k \quad k$	$k \quad k$	$k \quad k$	$k \quad k$	$k \quad k$	$k \quad k$	$k \quad k$																																																																																								

In this case, we see that there is really only three possibilites: we can keep full degenerated with the trivial triplet  $(0, 2 - \sqrt{3}, 2 + \sqrt{3})$  or we can do one of two possible mutations: either change one zero to a nonzero from the complementary triplets (8 or 96, thus), or to multiply one of the  $2 - \sqrt{3}$  masses with  $k^4$ , scaling it to  $k^3 = 26 + 15\sqrt{3}$ .

Phenom-wise, the two changes are interesting. In the former, we could think that the broken double is the  $u, b$  pair, and then the  $b$  quark gains -or keeps- a mass above the other two doubles. In the later, it should be the  $t, s$  pair, separating the top quark still not far enough, but the most allowed by the set of eight simultaneous Koide equations (at least, the most with a massless quark still there; we should work now the general case).

0 96	0 8	0 0	0 0	... 96 8
$k^- \quad k^-$	$k^- \quad k^-$	$k^- \quad k^-$	$k^3 \quad k^-$	$k^- \quad k^-$   ...
$k \quad k$	$k \quad k$	$k \quad k$	$k \quad k$	$k \quad k$

If we put some mass scale in GeV, the likeliness with the SM is apparent

0	43.6918	0	3.64098	0	0	0	0
0.12195	0.12195	0.12195	0.12195	0.12195	0.12195	1.69854	0.008755
1.69854	1.69854	1.69854	1.69854	1.69854	1.69854	0.12195	0.12195

Ok; if we are going to use these sextets as a startpoint to look for continuous families of non-zero solutions, we can also change both zeros to a still degenerated pair (8,8) or (96,96) or to the non-degenerated (8,96). But here we see that the general case of two degenerated pairs is uninteresting: there is always the possibility of choosing from up to four different solutions for a pair of masses. In any case, we could look for accidental degeneracies.

So a more interesting question is if there are solutions with zero or one degenerated pair at most. A numerical exploration, e.g with `octave` finds at least four candidates, they are uninteresting, if they are expected to have some hint about the top quark:

0.000055046	174.1	0.000091997	174.1	0.0722	174.1	5.864	174.1
0.49746	94.32	0.4714	96.229	4.597	29.24	7.299	20.432
6.7159	6.7159	6.8354	6.8354	1.1821	1.1821	0.3796	0.3796

They are not directly connected to the zeroed solutions. The next approach is to use Mathematica or Maxima to find solutions perhaps missed in the numerical exploration This is explained in

<http://www.physicsforums.com/showthread.php?t=551549&page=7>

<http://www.physicsforums.com/showpost.php?p=4270855&postcount=100>

and what happens is very peculiar; the polynomials in the resolvent produce the above four solutions but each of them have extra real triplets that can be used to build zero-less but degenerated solutions; these solutions are really inside the continuous spectra of answers, but they are specially signaled in the resolvent and they are also connected in some way to the above zeroed solutions. In particular, the strange-charm-bottom triplet

$$[2 - \sqrt{3}, 1, 2\sqrt{3} - 2]$$

and the charm-bottom-top

$$[1, 2\sqrt{3} - 2, 7\sqrt{3} - 2]$$

solutions appear explicitly. So we have on one side a zeroed solution, and on other side, from the resolvent, a particular zeroless solution, that happens to be related to our folding:

3.64098	0		$b$	$u$		3.640	3.640
1.69854	1.69854	...	$d$	$c$	...	1.698	1.698
0.12195	0.12195		$s$	$t$		0.1219	174.1

Note that some of the zeroed solutions were also able to produce good values for the down quark. Perhaps the right symmetry group is beyond  $S_4$