

POINT PROCESS MODELS FOR MULTIVARIATE HIGH-FREQUENCY IRREGULARLY SPACED DATA

11-16-2012

Stephen Crowley

stephen.crowley@hushmail.com

ABSTRACT. Definitions from the theory of point processes are recalled. Models of intensity function parameterization and maximum likelihood estimation from data are explored. Closed-form log-likelihood expressions are given for the Hawkes process, Autoregressive Conditional Duration(ACD), and Log-ACD models. The Autoregressive Conditional Intensity model is also discussed.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Defintions	1
1.1. Point Processes and Intensities	1
1.1.1. Stochastic Integrals	2
1.2. The Autoregressive Conditional Duration Model	2
1.3. The Autoregressive Conditional Intensity Model	3
1.3.1. The ACI(1,1) Model	3
1.3.2. Maximum Likelihood Estimation	4
1.4. The Hawkes Process	4
1.4.1. Linear Self-Exciting Processes	4
1.4.2. The Hawkes(1) Model	5
1.4.3. Maximum Likelihood Estimation	5
Bibliography	6

1. DEFINITIONS

1.1. Point Processes and Intensities.

Consider a K dimensional multivariate point process. Let $N^k(t)$ denote the *counting process* associated with the k -th point process which is simply the number of events which have occurred by time t . Let F_t denote the filtration of the pooled process $N(t)$ of K point processes consisting of the set $t_0^k < t_1^k < t_2^k < \dots < t_i^k < \dots$ denoting the history of arrival times of each event type associated with the $k = 1 \dots K$ point processes. At time t , the most recent arrival time will be denoted $t_{N^k(t)}^k$. A process is said to be simple if no points occur at the same time, that is, there are no zero-length durations. The counting process can be represented as a sum of Heaviside step functions

$$\theta(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ 1 & t \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$N^k(t) = \sum_{t_i^k \leq t} \theta(t - t_i^k) \tag{1}$$

The *conditional intensity function* gives the conditional probability per unit time that an event of type k occurs in the next instant.

$$\lambda^k(t|F_t) = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Pr(N^k(t + \Delta t) - N^k(t) > 0 | F_t)}{\Delta t} \tag{2}$$

For small values of Δt we have

$$\lambda^k(t|F_t)\Delta t = E(N^k(t + \Delta t) - N^k(t) | F_t) + o(\Delta t) \tag{3}$$

so that

$$E((N^k(t + \Delta t) - N^k(t)) - \lambda^k(t|F_t)\Delta t) = o(\Delta t) \tag{4}$$

and (4) will be uncorrelated with the past of F_t as $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$. Next consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{(s_1-s_0)}{\Delta t}} (N^k(s_0 + j\Delta t) - N^k(s_0 + (j-1)\Delta t)) - \lambda^k(s_0 + j\Delta t | F_t) \Delta t \\ &= \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} (N^k(s_0) - N^k(s_1)) - \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{(s_1-s_0)}{\Delta t}} \lambda^k(j\Delta t | F_t) \Delta t \\ &= (N^k(s_0) - N^k(s_1)) - \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \lambda^k(t | F_t) dt \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

which will be uncorrelated with F_{s_0} , that is

$$E\left(\int_{s_0}^{s_1} \lambda^k(t | F_t) dt\right) = N^k(s_0) - N^k(s_1) \quad (6)$$

The integrated intensity function is known as the *compensator*, or more precisely, the F_t -*compensator* and will be denoted by

$$\Lambda^k(s_0, s_1) = \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \lambda^k(t | F_t) dt \quad (7)$$

Let $\tau_k = t_i^k - t_{i-1}^k$ denote the time interval, or duration, between the i -th and $(i-1)$ -th arrival times. The F_t -*conditional survivor function* for the k -th process is given by

$$S_k(\tau_i^k) = P_k(T_i^k > \tau_i^k | F_{t_{i-1} + \tau}) \quad (8)$$

Let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{N(t)}^k = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \lambda^k(t | F_t) dt$$

then provided the survivor function is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure we have

$$S_k(\tau_i^k) = e^{-\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \lambda^k(t | F_t) dt} = e^{-\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{N(t)}^k} \quad (9)$$

and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{N(t)}^k$ is an i.i.d. exponential random variable with unit mean and variance. Since $E(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{N(t)}^k) = 1$ the random variable

$$\mathcal{E}_{N(t)}^k = 1 - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{N(t)}^k \quad (10)$$

has zero mean and unit variance. Positive values of $\mathcal{E}_{N(t)}^k$ indicate that the path of conditional intensity function $\lambda^k(t | F_t)$ under-predicted the number of events in the time interval and negative values of $\mathcal{E}_{N(t)}^k$ indicate that $\lambda^k(t | F_t)$ over-predicted the number of events in the interval. In this way, (8) can be interpreted as a generalized residual. The *backwards recurrence time* given by

$$U^{(k)}(t) = t - t_{N^k(t)} \quad (11)$$

increases linearly with jumps back to 0 at each new point.

1.1.1. Stochastic Integrals.

The *stochastic Stieltjes integral* [2, 2.1] of a measurable process, having either locally bounded or nonnegative sample paths, $X(t)$ with respect to N^k exists and for each t we have

$$\int_{(0,t]} X(s) dN^k(s) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \theta(t - t_i^k) X(t_i^k) \quad (12)$$

1.2. The Autoregressive Conditional Duration Model.

Let $x_i = t_i - t_{i-1}$ be the interval between two arrival times; then x_i is a sequence of durations or “waiting times”. The conditional density of x_i given its past is then given directly by

$$E(x_i | x_{i-1}, \dots, x_1) = \psi_i(x_{i-1}, \dots, x_1; \theta) = \psi_i \quad (13)$$

Then the ACD models are those that consist of the assumption

$$x_i = \psi_i \varepsilon_i \quad (14)$$

where ε_i is independently and identically distributed with density $p(\varepsilon; \phi)$ where θ and ϕ are variation free. These models are interesting but suffering from the drawback of being limited to the univariate setting. [3]

1.3. The Autoregressive Conditional Intensity Model.

1.3.1. The ACI(1,1) Model.

Let the conditional intensity function for process k be given by the non-negative function

$$\lambda^k(t|F_t) = \omega_k e^{\phi_{N(t)}^k} \quad (15)$$

where $\omega_k > 0$ and $\phi_{N(t)}^k$ is a measurable function of the bivariate filtration of all past arrival times. [1, 4.2] Since $\phi_{N(t)}^k$ is time-invariant between arrivals in the pooled process it is therefore indexed by the associated counting process. Define the vector

$$\phi_{N(t)} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{N(t)}^a \\ \phi_{N(t)}^b \end{pmatrix} \quad (16)$$

In this bivariate setting, each arrival can be one of two types. Let y_i be the indicator variable

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 0 & i\text{-th event is of type } a \\ 1 & i\text{-th event is of type } b \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

The parameterization proposed by [6] is

$$\phi_{N(t)} = \begin{cases} \alpha_a \mathcal{E}_{N(t)-1}^a + B \phi_{N(t)-1} & \text{if } y_{N(t)-1} = 0 \\ \alpha_b \mathcal{E}_{N(t)-1}^b + B \phi_{N(t)-1} & \text{if } y_{N(t)-1} = 1 \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

or equivalently

$$\phi_{N(t)} = (\alpha_a + (\alpha_b - \alpha_a) y_{N(t)-1}) \mathcal{E}_{N(t)-1} + B \phi_{N(t)-1} \quad (19)$$

where ω , α_a and α_b are 2-dimensional parameter vectors, B is a 2×2 matrix, and $\mathcal{E}_{N(t)}$ is an i.i.d. unit exponential random variable given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{N(t)} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{E}_{N(t)}^a & \text{if } y_{N(t)} = 1 \\ \mathcal{E}_{N(t)}^b & \text{if } y_{N(t)} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

where the generalized residuals are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_i^a &= 1 - \int_{t_{i-1}^a}^{t_i^a} \lambda^a(t|F_t) dt \\ &= 1 - \int_{t_{i-1}^a}^{t_i^a} \omega_a e^{\phi_{N(t)}^a} dt \\ &= 1 - \int_{t_{i-1}^a}^{t_i^a} \omega_a e^{\alpha_a \mathcal{E}_{N(t)-1}^a + B \phi_{N(t)-1}} dt \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_i^b = 1 - \int_{t_{i-1}^b}^{t_i^b} \lambda^b(t|F_t) dt = 1 - \int_{t_{i-1}^b}^{t_i^b} \omega_b e^{\phi_{N(t)}^b} dt \quad (22)$$

If the $N(t)$ -th arrival was of type a then $\mathcal{E}_{N(t)} = \mathcal{E}_{N(t)}^a$. We see that $\phi_{N(t)}$ is a weighted-average of its most recent value $\phi_{N(t)-1}$ and the error term $\mathcal{E}_{N(t)-1}$ and in this way the model has Kalman-filter like properties. If B is restricted to be diagonal then the model is called a Diagonal Autoregressive Conditional Intensity model. By rearranging terms (19) can be rewritten as

$$(I - BL)\phi_{N(t)} = (\alpha_a + (\alpha_b - \alpha_a) y_{N(t)-1}) \mathcal{E}_{N(t)-1} \quad (23)$$

If the eigenvalues of B lie inside the unit circle then (19) can be written as infinite moving average

$$\phi_{N(t)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} B^{j-1} (\alpha_a + \alpha_b^* y_{N(t)-j}) \mathcal{E}_{N(t)-j} \quad (24)$$

The compensator for this parametrization is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^k(s_0, s_1) &= \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \lambda^k(t|F_t) dt \\ &= \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \omega_k e^{\phi_{N^k}(t)} dt\end{aligned}\quad (25)$$

1.3.2. Maximum Likelihood Estimation.

For a bivariate model that requires joint estimation of both processes the likelihood is expressed as

$$L = e^{-(\Lambda^a(0,T) + \Lambda^b(0,T))} \prod_{i=1}^{N^a(t)} \lambda^a(t_i^a|F_t) \prod_{i=1}^{N^b(t)} \lambda^b(t_i^b|F_t) \quad (26)$$

For a general K -variate model the likelihood is expressed as

$$L = e^{-\sum_{k=1}^K \Lambda^k(0,T)} \prod_{k=1}^K \prod_{i=1}^{N^k(t)} \lambda^k(t_i^k|F_t) \quad (27)$$

Due to the necessity of numerical integration, likelihood estimation for ACI processes tends to be complicated and laborious to implement in code.

1.4. The Hawkes Process.

1.4.1. Linear Self-Exciting Processes.

A (univariate) linear self-exciting (counting) process $N(t)$ is one that can be expressed as [7]

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(t) &= \lambda_0(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t \nu(t-s) dN(s) \\ &= \lambda_0(t) + \sum_{t_i < t} \nu(t-t_i)\end{aligned}\quad (28)$$

where $\lambda_0(t)$ is a deterministic base intensity and $\nu: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ expresses the positive influence of past events t_i on the current value of the intensity process. The Hawkes process of order P is a linear self-exciting process defined by the exponential kernel

$$\nu(t) = \sum_{j=1}^P \alpha_j e^{-\beta_j t} \quad (29)$$

so that the intensity is written as

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(t) &= \lambda_0(t) + \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^P \alpha_j e^{-\beta_j(t-s)} dN(s) \\ &= \lambda_0(t) + \sum_{t_i < t} \sum_{j=1}^P \alpha_j e^{-\beta_j(t-t_i)}\end{aligned}\quad (30)$$

A univariate Hawkes process is stationary if

$$\sum_{j=1}^P \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j} < 1 \quad (31)$$

If a Hawkes process is stationary then the unconditional mean is

$$\begin{aligned}E[\lambda(t)] &= \frac{\lambda_0}{1 - \int_0^\infty \nu(t) dt} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_0}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^P \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j}}\end{aligned}\quad (32)$$

For consecutive events, we have the compensator

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(t_{i-1}, t_i) &= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \lambda_0(s) ds + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^P \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j} (e^{-\beta_j(t_{i-1}-t_k)} - e^{-\beta_j(t_i-t_k)}) \\ &= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \lambda_0(s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^P \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j} (1 - e^{-\beta_j(t_i-t_{i-1})}) A_j(i-1)\end{aligned}\quad (33)$$

where there is the recursion

$$\begin{aligned}A_j(i-1) &= \sum_{\substack{t_k \leq t_{i-1} \\ k=0 \\ i-2}} e^{-\beta_j(t_{i-1}-t_k)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{i-2} e^{-\beta_j(t_{i-1}-t_k)} \\ &= 1 + e^{-\beta_j(t_{i-1}-t_{i-2})} A_j(i-2)\end{aligned}\quad (34)$$

with $A_j(0) = 0$. If $\lambda_0(t) = \lambda_0$ then Equation 33 simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(t_{i-1}, t_i) &= (t_i - t_{i-1}) \lambda_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^P \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j} (e^{-\beta_j(t_{i-1}-t_k)} - e^{-\beta_j(t_i-t_k)}) \\ &= (t_i - t_{i-1}) \lambda_0 + \sum_{j=1}^P \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j} (1 - e^{-\beta_j(t_i-t_{i-1})}) A_j(i-1)\end{aligned}\quad (35)$$

1.4.2. The Hawkes(1) Model.

The simplest case occurs when the baseline intensity $\lambda_0(t)$ is constant and $P=1$ where we have

$$\lambda(t) = \lambda_0 + \sum_{t_i < t} \alpha e^{-\beta(t-t_i)} \quad (36)$$

which has the unconditional mean

$$E[\lambda(t)] = \frac{\lambda_0}{1 - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \quad (37)$$

1.4.3. Maximum Likelihood Estimation.

The log-likelihood of a simple point process is written as

$$\ln \mathcal{L}(N(t)_{t \in [0, T]}) = \int_0^T (1 - \lambda(s)) ds + \int_0^T \ln \lambda(s) dN(s) \quad (38)$$

which in the case of the Hawkes(P) model can be explicitly written [5] as

$$\begin{aligned}\ln \mathcal{L}(\{t_i\}_{i=1 \dots n}) &= -\Lambda(0, t_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \lambda(t_i) \\ &= -\Lambda(0, t_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \left(\lambda_0(t_i) + \sum_{j=1}^P \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \alpha_j e^{-\beta_j(t_i-t_k)} \right) \\ &= -\Lambda(0, t_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \left(\lambda_0(t_i) + \sum_{j=1}^P \alpha_j R_j(i) \right) \\ &= -\int_0^{t_n} \lambda_0(s) ds - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^P \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j} (1 - e^{-\beta_j(t_n-t_i)}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \left(\lambda_0(t_i) + \sum_{j=1}^P \alpha_j R_j(i) \right)\end{aligned}\quad (39)$$

where we have the recursion[4]

$$\begin{aligned} R_j(i) &= \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} e^{-\beta_j(t_i-t_k)} \\ &= e^{-\beta_j(t_i-t_{i-1})}(1 + R_j(i-1)) \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

If we have constant baseline intensity $\lambda_0(t) = \lambda_0$ then the log-likelihood can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \mathcal{L}(\{t_i\}_{i=1\dots n}) &= -\lambda_0 t_n - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^P \frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j} (1 - e^{-\beta_j(t_n-t_i)}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \left(\lambda_0 + \sum_{j=1}^P \alpha_j R_j(i) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] L. Bauwens and N. Hautsch. Modelling financial high frequency data using point processes. *Handbook of Financial Time Series*, :953–979, 2009.
- [2] C.G. Bowsher. Modelling security market events in continuous time: intensity based, multivariate point process models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 141(2):876–912, 2007.
- [3] R.F. Engle and J.R. Russell. Autoregressive conditional duration: a new model for irregularly spaced transaction data. *Econometrica*, :1127–1162, 1998.
- [4] Y. Ogata. On lewis’ simulation method for point processes. *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 27(1):23–31, 1981.
- [5] T. Ozaki. Maximum likelihood estimation of hawkes’ self-exciting point processes. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 31(1):145–155, 1979.
- [6] J.R. Russell. Econometric modeling of multivariate irregularly-spaced high-frequency data. *Manuscript, GSB, University of Chicago*, , 1999.
- [7] Ioane Muni Toke. An introduction to hawkes processes with applications to finance. , http://fiquant.mas.ecp.fr/ioane_files/HawkesCourseSlides.pdf.