Fermat's Last Theorem（3）

Chun-Xuan Jiang
P. O. Box 3924, Beijing 100854, P. R. China
123jiangchunxuan@gmail.com

Abstract

In 1637 Fermat wrote: "*It is impossible to separate a cube into two cubes, or a biquadrate into two biquadrates, or in general any power higher than the second into powers of like degree: I have discovered a truly marvelous proof, which this margin is too small to contain.*"

This means: $x^n + y^n = z^n (n > 2)$ has no integer solutions, all different from 0(i.e., it has only the trivial solution, where one of the integers is equal to 0). It has been called Fermat's last theorem (FLT). It suffices to prove FLT for exponent 4 and every prime exponent P. Fermat proved FLT for exponent 4. Euler proved FLT for exponent 3.

In this paper using the complex hyperbolic functions we prove FLT for exponents $4P$ and P, where P is an odd prime. We rediscover the Fermat proof. The proof of FLT must be direct. But indirect proof of FLT is disbelieving.

In 1974 Jiang found out Euler formula

$$\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4m-1} t_i J^i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{4m} S_i J^{i-1}, \quad (1)$$

where J denotes a $4m$ th root of unity, $J^{4m} = 1$, m=1,2,3,…, t_i are the real numbers.

S_i is called the complex hyperbolic functions of order $4m$ with $4m-1$ variables [2,5,7].

$$S_i = \frac{1}{4m}\left[e^{A_1} + 2e^H \cos\left(\beta + \frac{(i-1)\pi}{2}\right) + 2\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e^{B_j} \cos\left(\theta_j + \frac{(i-1)j\pi}{2m}\right)\right]$$

$$+\frac{(-1)^{(i-1)}}{4m}\left[e^{A_2} + 2\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e^{D_j} \cos\left(\phi_j - \frac{(i-1)j\pi}{2m}\right)\right] \quad (2)$$

where $i = 1, \ldots, 4m$;

$$A_1=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4m-1} t_\alpha,\quad A_2=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4m-1} t_\alpha(-1)^\alpha,\qquad H=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2m-1} t_{2\alpha}(-1)^\alpha,\quad \beta=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2m} t_{2\alpha-1}(-1)^\alpha,$$

$$B_j=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4m-1} t_\alpha\cos\frac{\alpha j\pi}{2m},\quad \theta_j=-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4m-1} t_\alpha\sin\frac{\alpha j\pi}{2m},$$

$$D_j=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4m-1} t_\alpha(-1)^\alpha\cos\frac{\alpha j\pi}{2m},\quad \phi_j=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4m-1} t_\alpha(-1)^\alpha\sin\frac{\alpha j\pi}{2m},$$

$$A_1+A_2+2H+2\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}(B_j+D_j)=0. \qquad (3)$$

From (2) we have its inverse transformation[5,7]

$$e^{A_1}=\sum_{i=1}^{4m} S_i,\quad e^{A_2}=\sum_{i=1}^{4m} S_i(-1)^{1+i}$$

$$e^H\cos\beta=\sum_{i=1}^{2m} S_{2i-1}(-1)^{1+i},\quad e^H\sin\beta=\sum_{i=1}^{2m} S_{2i}(-1)^i,$$

$$e^{B_j}\cos\theta_j=S_1+\sum_{i=1}^{4m-1} S_{1+i}\cos\frac{ij\pi}{2m},\quad e^{B_j}\sin\theta_j=-\sum_{i=1}^{4m-1} S_{1+i}\sin\frac{ij\pi}{2m},$$

$$e^{D_j}\cos\phi_j=S_1+\sum_{i=1}^{4m-1} S_{1+i}(-1)^i\cos\frac{ij\pi}{2m},\quad e^{D_j}\sin\phi_j=\sum_{i=1}^{4m-1} S_{1+i}(-1)^i\sin\frac{ij\pi}{2m}. \qquad (4)$$

(3) and (4) have the same form.
From (3) we have

$$\exp\left[A_1+A_2+2H+2\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}(B_j+D_j)\right]=1 \qquad (5)$$

From (4) we have

$$\exp\left[A_1+A_2+2H+2\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}(B_j+D_j)\right]=\begin{vmatrix} S_1 & S_{4m} & \cdots & S_2 \\ S_2 & S_1 & \cdots & S_3 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ S_{4m} & S_{4m-1} & \cdots & S_1 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$=\begin{vmatrix} S_1 & (S_1)_1 & \cdots & (S_1)_{4m-1} \\ S_2 & (S_2)_1 & \cdots & (S_2)_{4m-1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ S_{4m} & (S_{4m})_1 & \cdots & (S_{4m})_{4m-1} \end{vmatrix} \qquad (6)$$

where

$$(S_i)_j=\frac{\partial S_i}{\partial t_j}\,[7]$$

From (5) and (6) we have circulant determinant

$$\exp\left[A_1 + A_2 + 2H + 2\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (B_j + D_j)\right] = \begin{vmatrix} S_1 & S_{4m} & \cdots & S_2 \\ S_2 & S_1 & \cdots & S_3 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ S_{4m} & S_{4m-1} & \cdots & S_1 \end{vmatrix} = 1 \qquad (7)$$

Assume $S_1 \neq 0, S_2 \neq 0, S_i = 0$, where $i = 3,...,4m.$ $S_i = 0$ are $(4m-2)$ indeterminate equations with $(4m-1)$ variables. From (4) we have

$$e^{A_1} = S_1 + S_2, \quad e^{A_2} = S_1 - S_2, \quad e^{2H} = S_1^2 + S_2^2$$

$$e^{2B_j} = S_1^2 + S_2^2 + 2S_1S_2\cos\frac{j\pi}{2m}, \quad e^{2D_j} = S_1^2 + S_2^2 - 2S_1S_2\cos\frac{j\pi}{2m} \qquad (8)$$

Example [2]. Let $4m = 12$. From (3) we have

$A_1 = (t_1 + t_{11}) + (t_2 + t_{10}) + (t_3 + t_9) + (t_4 + t_8) + (t_5 + t_7) + t_6$,

$A_2 = -(t_1 + t_{11}) + (t_2 + t_{10}) - (t_3 + t_9) + (t_4 + t_8) - (t_5 + t_7) + t_6$,

$H = -(t_2 + t_{10}) + (t_4 + t_8) - t_6$,

$B_1 = (t_1 + t_{11})\cos\frac{\pi}{6} + (t_2 + t_{10})\cos\frac{2\pi}{6} + (t_3 + t_9)\cos\frac{3\pi}{6} + (t_4 + t_8)\cos\frac{4\pi}{6} + (t_5 + t_7)\cos\frac{5\pi}{6} - t_6$,

$B_2 = (t_1 + t_{11})\cos\frac{2\pi}{6} + (t_2 + t_{10})\cos\frac{4\pi}{6} + (t_3 + t_9)\cos\frac{6\pi}{6} + (t_4 + t_8)\cos\frac{8\pi}{6} + (t_5 + t_7)\cos\frac{10\pi}{6} + t_6$,

$D_1 = -(t_1 + t_{11})\cos\frac{\pi}{6} + (t_2 + t_{10})\cos\frac{2\pi}{6} - (t_3 + t_9)\cos\frac{3\pi}{6} + (t_4 + t_8)\cos\frac{4\pi}{6} - (t_5 + t_7)\cos\frac{5\pi}{6} - t_6$,

$D_2 = -(t_1 + t_{11})\cos\frac{2\pi}{6} + (t_2 + t_{10})\cos\frac{4\pi}{6} - (t_3 + t_9)\cos\frac{6\pi}{6} + (t_4 + t_8)\cos\frac{8\pi}{6} - (t_5 + t_7)\cos\frac{10\pi}{6} + t_6$,

$$A_1 + A_2 + 2(H + B_1 + B_2 + D_1 + D_2) = 0, \quad A_2 + 2B_2 = 3(-t_3 + t_6 - t_9). \qquad (9)$$

From (8) and (9) we have

$$\exp[A_1 + A_2 + 2(H + B_1 + B_2 + D_1 + D_2)] = S_1^{12} - S_2^{12} = (S_1^3)^4 - (S_2^3)^4 = 1. \qquad (10)$$

From (9) we have

$$\exp(A_2 + 2B_2) = [\exp(-t_3 + t_6 - t_9)]^3. \qquad (11)$$

From (8) we have

$$\exp(A_2 + 2B_2) = (S_1 - S_2)(S_1^2 + S_2^2 + S_1S_2) = S_1^3 - S_2^3. \qquad (12)$$

From (11) and (12) we have Fermat's equation

$$\exp(A_2 + 2B_2) = S_1^3 - S_2^3 = [\exp(-t_3 + t_6 - t_9)]^3. \qquad (13)$$

Fermat proved that (10) has no rational solutions for exponent 4 [8].

Therefore we prove we prove that (13) has no rational solutions for exponent 3. [2]

Theorem . Let $4m = 4P$, where P is an odd prime, $(P-1)/2$ is an even number.

From (3) and (8) we have

$$\exp[A_1 + A_2 + 2H + 2\sum_{j=1}^{P-1} (B_j + D_j)] = S_1^{4P} - S_2^{4P} = (S_1^P)^4 - (S_2^P)^4 = 1. \qquad (14)$$

From (3) we have

$$\exp[A_2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{P-1}{4}} (B_{4j-2} + D_{4j})] = [\exp(-t_P + t_{2P} - t_{3P})]^P. \qquad (15)$$

From (8) we have

$$\exp[A_2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{P-1}{4}} (B_{4j-2} + D_{4j})] = S_1^P - S_2^P. \qquad (16)$$

From (15) and (16) we have Fermat's equation

$$\exp[A_2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{P-1}{4}} (B_{4j-2} + D_{4j})] = S_1^P - S_2^P = [\exp(-t_P + t_{2P} - t_{3P})]^P. \qquad (17)$$

Fermat proved that (14) has no rational solutions for exponent 4 [8]. Therefor we prove that (17) has no rational solutions for prime exponent P.

References

[1] Jiang, C-X, Fermat last theorem had been proved, Potential Science (in Chinese), 2.17-20 (1992), Preprints (in English) December (1991). http://www.wbabin.net/math/xuan47.pdf.

[2] Jiang, C-X, Fermat last theorem had been proved by Fermat more than 300 years ago, Potential Science (in Chinese), 6.18-20(1992).

[3] Jiang, C-X, On the factorization theorem of circulant determinant, Algebras, Groups and Geometries, 11. 371-377(1994), MR. 96a: 11023, http://www.wbabin.net/math/xuan45.pdf

[4] Jiang, C-X, Fermat last theorem was proved in 1991, Preprints (1993). In: Fundamental open problems in science at the end of the millennium, T.Gill, K. Liu and E. Trell (eds). Hadronic Press, 1999, P555-558. http://www.wbabin.net/math/xuan46.pdf.

[5] Jiang, C-X, On the Fermat-Santilli theorem, Algebras, Groups and Geometries, 15. 319-349(1998)

[6] Jiang, C-X, Complex hyperbolic functions and Fermat's last theorem, Hadronic Journal Supplement, 15. 341-348(2000).

[7] Jiang, C-X, Foundations of Santilli Isonumber Theory with applications to new cryptograms, Fermat's theorem and Goldbach's Conjecture. Inter. Acad. Press. 2002. MR2004c:11001, http://www.wbabin.net/math/xuan13.pdf. http://www.i-b-r.org/docs/jiang.pdf

[8] Ribenboim,P, Fermat last theorem for amateur, Springer-Verlag, (1999).