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Application of a quantum theory of superconductivity to the formation of the primary
dark energy at the Planck scale allows us to solve the cosmological constant problem and to
obtain the observed value of the dark energy density, corresponding to PLANK results. It is
offered the new model of exponential expansion and the hot stage of the Universe. It is
followed that the modern evolution of the universe can be viewed as a process of phase
transition, and the physical time is an indicator of this transition.
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1. Introduction

As it is known, the standard estimations within the limits of the quantum field theory give
values of vacuum energy density at $\omega_0^2 = k^2 + m^2$, $k_{\text{max}} \gg m$ [1]:

$$\rho_v = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{k_{\text{max}}} \frac{4\pi k^2}{(2\pi)^3} dk \sqrt{k^2 + m^2} = \frac{k_{\text{max}}^4}{16\pi^2} \approx 2.2 \times 10^{71} \text{GeV}^4,$$

where $E_p = (\hbar c^5 / 8\pi G_N)^{1/12}$ is the Planck energy. This value is in $10^{130}$ times more than the observed one $\rho_V(\text{obs}) \approx (2 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV})^4$. This is one of the most serious problems in physics, because it is also
related to the nature of “dark energy”.

By this time the set of variants of the solution of the problem of the cosmological constant and
energy of vacuum is offered [1–4]. However the majority of such solutions require the use of specific
parameters, exotic variants of the field theory or modification of the gravitation theory. Therefore
those directions of the solution of this problem have advantage, which are characterized by maximum
physical naturalness and simplicity. It is possible to refer to such directions the attempts to solve the
cosmological constant problem by analogy to the superconductivity theory. But within the limits of
such approach usually the special assumptions on gravitational interaction between primary fermions,
which defines value of dark energy, are made in [3, 4].

An approach offered in this article does not use such additional hypotheses, and the law of
interaction between primary fermions follows from the theory and experimental data. It in turn gives
the value of the cosmological constant close to the observed one and explains the nature of “dark energy”.
Thus it becomes clear not only the mechanism of formation of modern value of dark energy
density, but also variety of the problems related to the theory of a Big Bang and to the cosmological
evolution of the Universe [5].

2. Possible structure of space-time on Planck scales and superconductivity

Usually it is supposed that the space-time on Planck scales has a foamy structure. However,
there are calculations of interactions on Planck distances which show that domains, with the masses
close to Planck mass, can form regular structures [6]. Such structures can form a regular crystal-like
lattice with the cells close to Planck scales $L_p = (G_N h / c^3)^{1/2}$. As the domains are the quasi self-
contained objects, their effective mass is close to zero. They also can interact among themselves by
quadrupole gravitational forces. At such description it is possible to consider the space-time on Planck
scales as analogue of a solid body [6, 7]. Collective excitations in such structure play a role of
phonons, arising in a crystal-like lattice with the period close to the Planck interval.
The calculation of the entropy of black holes, in which a minimum possible size is the Planck length, also points on the discreteness of space at the Planck scale. In theory loop quantum gravity space is also considered as a network with the cells of Planck size [8].

Let’s consider interaction of such structure with the primary fermions (b-fermions), which may be primary excitations of the lattice. These fermions can interreact with the spatial lattice and, under certain conditions, can couple by means of phonon interaction, it is similar to Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer model (BCS) [9] for electrons with the bose-condensate formation. Thus the maximum oscillation frequency of lattices, as an analogue of Debye frequency, is close to Planck frequency $\omega_B \approx \omega_P$.

A number of authors already considered BCS-models for fermions, using Planck frequency as an analog Debye frequency [3, 4]. The macroscopic equation, which describes interaction of fermions [10], looks like:

$$\left[i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nabla^2 - \zeta (\overline{\psi}\psi)\right] \psi = 0. \tag{1}$$

At the use of pulsing representation of this equation we find for Fermi energy:

$$E - E_F = \frac{p^2 - p_F^2}{2m} + \zeta (\overline{\psi}\psi - \langle \overline{\psi}\psi \rangle). \tag{2}$$

Raising both sides of the square, averaging and using $|\Psi|^2 = \langle (\overline{\psi}\psi)^2 \rangle - \langle (\overline{\psi}\psi)^2 \rangle$, we can make the substitution near the Fermi surface $(p^2 - p_F^2)/(2m) \to v(p - p_F)$, where $v = p_F/m$ is a velocity of subfermions at this surface, and obtain [10]

$$E - E_F = \pm \sqrt{v^2 (p - p_F)^2 + \zeta^2 |\Psi|^2}. \tag{2}$$

$2\Delta = \lambda \psi$ is an energy gap, related to effect of coupling of fermions, which separates the basic and excited state [10]. As it appears from theory BCS, thus

$$2\Delta = \frac{4\hbar \omega_D}{\sinh \left(\frac{1}{V \cdot M(0)}\right)} \approx \frac{4\hbar \omega_D}{e^{\frac{1}{e}} - e^{-\frac{1}{e}}} = 3.52 k_B T_c. \tag{3}$$

where $\lambda = V \cdot M(0)$ is a constant of fermion-phonon interactions, $V$ is a potential, $M(0)$ is a density of fermion states on Fermi’s surface, $T_c$ is a critical temperature [11]. Then, at $4\Delta^2 \approx \Lambda$,

$$\rho_{DE} = \frac{4M_p^2 \Delta^2}{8\pi}, \tag{4}$$

where $M_p = (\hbar c / G_N)^{1/2}$ is Plank mass.

### 3. Dark energy and superfluid Fermi gas

We can get the same result as (4), considering the condensation of fermion gas with a weak attraction between fermions, provided that the mass of the fermions is close to Planck, without the concept of quasi-crystalline lattice.

The initial density of the Universe is equal to Planck one:

$$\rho_{DE} \approx \rho_m = \rho_p = \frac{3M_p^4}{(8\pi L_p^3)} = \frac{3(hc)^4}{(8\pi)^3 \hbar^4 c^5}. \tag{5}$$

In that case the dark energy density is defined by density of energy gaps $\Delta_\lambda$ as binding energies of fermion pairs, which form a condensate as the difference between the energy densities of the superfluid and normal components according to the theory of superfluid fermion gas [15]:

$$-(\rho_\lambda - \rho_n) = -\Delta \rho = \rho_{DE} = \frac{mp_C^2 \Delta^2}{4\pi^2 \hbar^2} = \frac{mp_C^2 \Delta^2}{4\pi^2 \hbar^2} \left(\frac{1}{C_1} - \frac{\hbar \omega_p}{e^{10/9} - e^{-10/9}}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi G_N} \Lambda, \tag{6}$$

where $\lambda_\lambda$ is constant interaction of fermions. The “minus” sign means the instability of the normal state of the fermion gas with weak attraction between fermions [15].

And the of difference entropies is negative [15]:
\[ S_n - S_s = -V \frac{4m_p T_c}{7\zeta(3)h^4} \left( 1 - \frac{T}{T_c} \right), \]  
where \( V \) is a volume, at

\[ \Lambda = T_c \sqrt{\frac{8\pi^2}{7\zeta(3)}} \left( 1 - \frac{T}{T_c} \right) = 3.063 T_c \sqrt{1 - \frac{T}{T_c}}, \]

when \( T \to T_c \).

At \( \lambda_i \gg 1 \), \( C_1 = 8\pi \), \( \Lambda = \Delta_0^2 / 4 \) and \( \Lambda^{-1/2} = M_p / e^{\lambda_i/2} \), \( m = M_p \), \( p_e = M_p e \pi / 8 \) and \( C_2 = 2 \):

\[ \rho_{DE} = \frac{C_2}{8 \pi G_N \left( 8 \pi G_N e^{\lambda_i/2} \right)^2} \]

assuming that \( \lambda_i \approx \alpha_{em}^{-1} = (137.0599)^{-1} \) we obtain:

\[ \rho_{DE} = \frac{1}{4 \pi G_N \left( 8 \pi G_N e^{\lambda_i/2} \right)^2} = \frac{e^5}{256 \pi^3 G_N^2 \hbar e^{2\alpha_{em}}} = 6.09 \cdot 10^{-27} \text{kg/m}^3 \]

in excellent agreement with the PLANK data [16].

Thus, the agreement with experimental data requires value of coefficient of interactions \( \lambda_i \):

\[ \frac{1}{\lambda_i} = \frac{\alpha_{em}}{\alpha} \approx \Omega \cdot \frac{\hbar c}{e^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi \alpha}, \]

(11)

Let’s note that \( \lambda_i \) is defined also by a ratio of value of a charge of a Dirac magnetic monopole to an electrical charge: \( \lambda_i = g / e \). But equality \( \lambda \) and \( \alpha_{em} \) does not mean identity. \( \lambda_i \) can be a constant for the other, unobserved forms of matter, such as mirror or in other dimensions. \( \lambda_i = e^{\lambda_i} / \hbar c \). This result naturally confirms our point of view on character of interaction of fermions. Thus, the mechanism of condensation of primary fermions has not the gravitational nature, but is defined by special interaction.

Therefore, the existence of “dark energy” of the Universe is defined by phase change process — condensation of primary fermions and formation of a Bose condensate of these pairs.

4. Dynamics of the modern vacuum density

Let’s consider the process of formation of the up-to-date dark energy in the hot early Universe. As it is known from quantum electrodynamics, a value of electromagnetic fine-structure constant is function of four-impulse \( \vec{Q} \):

\[ \alpha^{-1} = \alpha_{em}^{-1} - \frac{\beta}{3\pi} \ln \left( \frac{Q}{2m_e} \right)^2, \]

(12)

where \( m_e \) is the mass of electron, \( \alpha_{em} = e^2 / \hbar c \) is the fine-structure constant [12].

Then the effective dark energy density as density of energy gaps will make:

\[ \rho_{\text{cond}} = \rho_e \approx \Omega \cdot \frac{3e^5}{(8\pi^3 G_N^2 \hbar e^{2\alpha_{em}})} \left( \frac{Q}{2m_e} \right)^{4\beta} \frac{\pi}{16}, \]

(13)

where \( Q \) is a 4-impulse of quanta of radiation and substance in the early Universe.

The energy density of a vacuum condensate is driven by the energy density of radiation and substances. Thus \( \rho_e \) reaches a minimum and becomes a stationary value at \( Q = 2m_e c^2 = 1.022 \text{ MeV} \).

For energies \( \mu_{GUT} \approx 10^{15} \text{ eV} \), \( \alpha^{-1}(\mu_{GUT}) = \alpha^{-1}(\mu_0) - b_1 \ln (\mu_{GUT} / \mu_0) / 2\pi \),

\[ \rho_\nu = \frac{(\hbar c \omega_\nu)^4}{(8\pi^3)^2 e^{2\alpha_{em}}} \left( \frac{\mu_{GUT}}{\mu_0} \right)^2 \frac{2b_1}{\pi}, \]

(14)
Let’s consider now a question on quantity of $\alpha_{em}^{-1}$ change. At the Planck energies the value for vacuum is $\rho_v \approx \rho_p$ and $\alpha_{em}^{-1} = 1$. Thus the value of an electrical charge equally primary one: $e_0^2 = \hbar c = Q_0^2$.

For definition of the law of $\alpha_{em}^{-1}$ change we will consider some aspects of the Universe formation. If the Universe started from Planck density $\rho_p \sim M_p^4$, it extended in a vacuum-like state till the moment of phase transition under the law

$$\rho_v = \rho_p \left( \frac{1}{e^{\alpha_{em}^{-1}}} \right)^2 \approx \frac{1}{8\pi G_N} \Lambda_{v} = \frac{1}{8\pi G_N} \tau_c^2,$$

(14)

and the radius of the Universe at the moment of transition from vacuum-like state in a hot state was $R_U = R_y = R_H T_{CMBR} / T_{GUT} = 8\pi \rho_v e^{\alpha_{em}^{-1}}$, where $R_H = cH^{-1}$ is the up-to-date Hubble radius, $T_{CMBR}$ is the temperature of relict radiation (temperature of cosmic microwave background radiation). Thus $\tau_c$ plays a role of parameter of time for phase transition.

Thus the value $\alpha_{em}^{-1}$ could change from 1 to $\alpha_{GUT}^{-1} \approx 80$. At the moment of phase transition we can estimate the radius of the Universe $R_U$ and, accordingly, value $\alpha_{em}^{-1}$ at $\rho_{GUT} = (32\pi G_N \tau_{GUT}^2)^{1/4} \approx (10^{15} \text{ GeV})^4$ depending on value of energy of a dark energy.

So, at $E_{DE} = M_P^{1/2} \alpha_{em}^{-1} = 3.22 \cdot 10^3 \text{ GeV}$ and $k_B T_{GUT} = 2.11 \cdot 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$ the Universe radius is $R_U = 2.32 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ sm}$ at $\alpha_{em}^{-1} = 68.518$.

At $E_{DE} = m_H^2 c^2 = 246.3 \text{ GeV}$ and $k_B T_{GUT} = 1.35 \cdot 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$ the Universe radius is $R_U = 2.292 \text{ sm}$ at $\alpha_{em}^{-1} = 73.1$.

At $E_{DE} = m_Z^2 c^2 = 91.18 \text{ GeV}$ and $k_B T_{GUT} = 5.02 \cdot 10^{14} \text{ GeV}$ the Universe radius is $R_U = 16.7 \text{ sm}$ at $\alpha_{em}^{-1} = 75$.

At $E_{DE} = m_W^2 c^2 = 80.4 \text{ GeV}$ and $k_B T_{GUT} = 4.43 \cdot 10^{14} \text{ GeV}$ the Universe radius is $R_U = 21.49 \text{ sm}$ at $\alpha_{em}^{-1} = 77.22$.

Let’s note that the value of initial exponential expansion of the Universe corresponds to that which arises also in the inflation theory. Therefore the superconducting mechanism of the Universe's expansion provides causality and homogeneity of the Universe. Moreover, while in the inflationary theory maintenance of the Universe homogeneity is a one-time event, in the superconducting scenario existence of a quantum vacuum condensate continuously provides homogeneity of the Universe. It resolves the Penrose’s paradox: R. Penrose repeatedly underlined the lack of mechanism of sync of electroweak phase transition in various points of space, which occurs much more after inflationary dilating [13].

It means that the birth of substance during a Big Bang is defined by presence of special dark energy vector bosons and, possibly, the Higgs field. The energies of prospective usual X, Y- bosons with $E \approx 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$ correspond to these bosons. Therefore the higher energies $E_{DE} \gg 10^9 \text{ GeV}$ and $E_c > 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$, seemingly, are not realized because the Universe warming up at transition from a vacuum state to radiation-dominating state begins with $E_{GUT} \approx 1.35 \cdot 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$.

At the same time there is a possibility of Universe expansion not from Planck, but from bigger volume, for example from volume with radius $r = 8\pi L_p \cdot e^{\alpha_{em}^{-1}/2} = 2.32 \cdot 10^2 \text{ sm}$. Such volume corresponds to the greatest possible packaging of the Planck domains which number is

$$N_p \approx e^{3 \alpha_{em}^{-1}} = 1.86 \cdot 10^{89}.$$ 

As far as the quantity of fundamental particles in the Universe is close to this number, it is obvious that phonon oscillations of the lattices, formed by domains of spatial “quasi-crystal”, at phase transition have served as the cause of formation of these particles. Let’s note that the presence of a vacuum condensate with $\rho_v = (3.22 \cdot 10^3 \text{ GeV})^4$ provides homogeneity of such volume and there is no necessity to care of existence of $10^{89}$ causally unrelated Planck volumes: all of them prove to be
related to a vacuum coherent fermions condensate. It follows that the Universe birth could occur in 2 stages: the first was the formation of quasi-stable vacuum-like state with \( r_e = e^{\alpha^{-1/2}}8\pi l_p \) and the second was the expansion of this volume for \( t_{GUT} \approx 10^{-37} \) in 90–100 times. At exterior energy action the vacuum-like Universe could begin transition into radiation-dominated state with an energy liberation \( E = E_{GUT} \). It caused the phase transition and the beginning of the hot Universe. Thus, according to (13), a reorganisation of structure of vacuum happened because of \( \alpha^{-1} \) change. At \( \alpha_i^{-1} = \alpha_{\text{wim}} \) a radius of vacuum curvature \( \frac{1}{2} \) becomes close to \( R_H \). \( \frac{1}{2} \) at \( Q_i = 2m_i c \).

At the second scenario phase transition with condensate formation looks natural, because the certain value of parameter of interaction \( \lambda_i = \alpha \) already exist.

5. Physical time as phase change function

If the density of the condensate of energy gaps is close to the up-to-date critical density

\[
\rho_c \approx \frac{3}{8\pi G_N} \left( \frac{1}{8\pi l_p e^{\frac{\alpha^{-1/2}}{2}}} \right)^2 = \frac{3}{8\pi G_N} H_0^2,
\]

then \( H^{-1} = t_H = 8\pi(G_N l / c^3)^{1/2} \cdot e^{\alpha^{-1/2}} = 8\pi l_p e^{\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{-1}} \).

From here we can calculate a value of interaction constant \( \lambda_j \). As \( H^{-1} = t_H \approx 1.4 \cdot 10^{10} \) years = \( 4.4 \cdot 10^{17} \) c,

\[
\frac{1}{\lambda_j} = \ln \frac{t_H}{8\pi l_p} = 137.
\]

Thus the dynamic parameter, the Hubble parameter, is very close to a value of the constant or is equal to it. Such exact coincidence, apparently, is related to affinity of values of density of substance and vacuum in present period.

Within the limits of superconducting cosmology the radius \( \Lambda^{-1/2} \) and the Hubble radius are nearly equal. The cosmological time \( t_U \) is \( t_U \approx t_H = H^{-1} = 8\pi l_p \cdot e^{\alpha^{-1}} = (3\Omega_\Lambda)^{-1/2} 8\pi l_p e^{\alpha^{-1}} \).

Now, at \( z = 0 \), \( \alpha_{\text{en}}^{-1} = \alpha_j^{-1} \). Then it is possible to present the evolution of the critical density of the Universe energy also in the form of \( \alpha_j^{-1} \) evolution:

\[
\rho_c = \frac{3}{8\pi G_N t_H^2} = \frac{3}{8\pi G_N \left( 8\pi l_p e^{\alpha_{\text{en}}^{-1}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{Q_j}{2me} \right)^{28/16}},
\]

where \( Q_j^2 \) is a 4-impulse of quanta of radiation and substance, which are so far unknown and, probably, related to other dimensions.

Thus, current cosmological time \( t_H \) and observable evolution of the Universe can be described as process of phase transition with change of parameter of interaction between fermions and phonons \( \alpha_j^{-1} = \ln t \), similar to \( \alpha_{\text{en}} \). So a hierarchy of parameters of evolution or times appears, it relates to the hierarchy of the energies of the fermions condensates, each of them plays a role of vacuum for overlying level.

6. Vacuum energy density

Occurrence and destruction of condensates in the hot Universe correspond to the law (17). Therefore usually calculated vacuum of electroweak interactions and a QCD vacuum, which arose during phase transitions in the early Universe [2], are distinct from real vacuum. They, possibly, represent false vacuums in relation to the vacuum condensate, considered above. During cooling of the hot Universe they disappear. It is easy to understand if to take into consideration that the hypothetical vacuum should stop evolution at level of energy density of gluon condensate \( \rho_c \sim (0.2 \text{ GeV})^4 \) or \( \pi \)-mesons (0.14 GeV)⁴ [2]. This value is greater than the modern vacuum energy density \( \rho_c = (2 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ eV})^4 \) to 44 orders, but there are no observable phase transitions to the modern vacuum density.
However the Universe cooling to the up-to-date temperature gives the chance to display the true vacuum condensate. It is thus obvious that the average density $\langle \rho_f \rangle$ of real vacuum energy of other fields of the observable Universe does not exceed the energy of this dark energy condensate $\langle \rho_f \rangle \leq \rho_{DE}$. Apparently, the true vacuum of fields both in hot and in the up-to-date Universe coincides with a dark energy evolving condensate as the inferior energy level.

7. The conclusion

1. Within the limits of the theory of superconductivity the real value of density of dark energy as density of energy interactions of a primary fermions condensate is received. These fermions with Plank mass, apparently, do not give a contribution to observable energy density. The contribution to observable forms of energy is given only by the interaction energy of the primary fermions.

2. Initial exponential expansion of the vacuum-like Universe within the limits of superconducting cosmology allows to provide the birth of the hot Universe and solves the same problems which are solved by an inflationary cosmology. Thus in the initial Universe at least two components exist: the first component is, probably, the supercondensat, it breaks up and generates the hot Universe with temperature $T_{GUT} \approx 1.35 \cdot 10^{15}\text{GeV}$; the second component with much lower energy plays a role of dark energy for the hot Universe.

3. Origin of cosmological time $t_p \approx t_H$ becomes clear: in the observable Universe time is a consequence of proceeding phase transition of II kind, which is similar to the phase transition, which has created the up-to-date vacuum energy density with change and fixing of a fine-structure constant $\alpha_j = \ln\left(t_H / \left(8\pi\rho\right)\right)$.

4. The closeness of the densities $\rho_{DE}$, $\rho_M$ and $\rho_c$ (coincidence problem) is due to the similarity or identity of the interaction constants: $\alpha_j \equiv \alpha_j \equiv \alpha_{em}$.

5. Transition into a superconducting state at $T < T_c$ is accompanied by entropy reduction, because entropy of a superconducting state $S_s$ is less than entropy of a normal state $S_N$: $S_s - S_N < 0$. It means that during the Universe expansion process both entropy of a vacuum condensate ($\partial S, / \partial t < 0$) and entropy of the Universe ($\partial S_{\rho_c}, / \partial t < 0$) at its cooling decreases. It explains the forming of complex structures, including living beings, together with observers, during the Universe evolution, contrary to the “thermal death” concept. This does not exclude that more globally outside of our Universe as an analogue of the superconductor, which is cooled, the total entropy increases in accordance with the II law of thermodynamics.

6. If occurrence of the observer of terrestrial type is the indicator of the termination of phase transition and the beginning of a new stage of the Universe evolution, it explains the cause of applicability of Anthropic principle: the determined phase transition of the Universe in a certain state generates the observable Universe with observers [14].

7. Existence of a coherent condensate of primary fermions with one wave function $\psi_c$ eliminates a problem of homogeneity of the Universe at all stages of its evolution.

Thus, the condensates of primary fermions forming different phases, defining the primary Universe expansion, define also modern dynamics of its evolution.
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