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**PRELUDIUM**

\[ m_0 c^2 = \text{const} \]

\[
 m_0 c^2 = \frac{m c^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} = \text{const} , \quad v^2 \ll c^2
\]

\[ m_0 c^2 \approx m c^2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{v^2}{c^2} + ... \right) \]

\[ m_0 c^2 \approx m c^2 + \frac{m v^2}{2} = \text{const} , \text{ note } m_0 > m \]

Free fall in weak gravitational field:

\[ m_1 c^2 + \frac{m v_1^2}{2} = m_2 c^2 + \frac{m v_2^2}{2} = ... = \text{const} \]

Free fall in every gravitational field:

\[
 \frac{m_i c^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v_i^2}{c^2}}} = \frac{m_j c^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v_j^2}{c^2}}} = ... = \text{const}
\]

Note \( m_0 > m_1 > m_2 > ... \)

\[ ... > v_2 > v_1 > 0 \]

**INTRODUCTION**

There is correct opinion, that clock which is elevated 100 m above the surface of the Earth will go faster then the same one on the suffice. If those clocks had torsion pendulum as its vital part, would that be rule for them too?

Sure it would. What about all other particles? Does every particle oscillate somehow, and does every particle have some “pendulum” as its vital part? Yes.
Apparently everything is made of something small that oscillate. Everything has its frequency, and we usually say if something oscillates at greater frequency, then its energy is bigger\(^1\).

**CLAIM\(^2\)**

Every mass consists of oscillators, energy of these oscillators is directly dependent on its frequency\(^3\), frequency is dependent on duration of the time interval, and time interval depends on gravitational field.

Gravity is tendency to be in lower energy state.

*One body is attracted by another, because together they have lower masses (lower potential energy).* Body of 1 kg elevated on 100 m above the Earth has bigger potential energy for:

\[
\Delta E \approx mgh \approx 98 \text{J}
\]

And bigger mass, for approximately:

\[
\Delta m \approx mgh c^2, \Delta m \approx 1.09 \cdot 10^{-14} \text{kg}.
\]

Mass is the measurement of potential energy of body, by Einstein’s equation \(E_0 = mc^2\). In gravitational field this potential energy is reduced.

**CALCULATION**

**Basic equations:**

Energy of quantum oscillators:

\[
E_n = \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \hbar \omega.
\]

Basic energy of quantum oscillators:

\[
E = \frac{\hbar \omega}{2}
\]

And:

\[
T = \frac{2\pi}{\omega}.
\]

---

\(^1\) Actual story is more complex than this (see footnote 27 on page 25), but for now let it stand as is.

\(^2\) I rather wouldn’t make any claims, but if it’s needed there it is.

\(^3\) Actual story is more complex than this (see footnote 27 on page 25), but for now let it stand as is.
Basic energy of quantum oscillators is dependent on time interval:

\[ E = \frac{\hbar \pi}{T}. \]

**Well-known equation** for time interval outside \((T_0)\) and in \((T)\) gravitational field, which is created by mass \(M\) at distance \(R\):

\[
\frac{1}{T} = \frac{1}{T_0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}} \quad \sqrt[\hbar \pi]^4
\]

\[
\frac{\hbar \pi}{T} = \frac{\hbar \pi}{T_0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}}.
\]

Therefore:

\[ E = E_0 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}} \]

\(E\) - potential energy (of mass \(m\) in gravitational field created by mass \(M\) at distance \(R),)\(^5\)

\(E_0\) - potential energy (of mass \(m\) outside gravitational field, \(E_0 = m_0 c^2)\(^6\)

\[ mc^2 = m_0 c^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}} \]

or

\[ m = m_0 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}} \]

mass defect \(\Delta m = m_0 - m\)

\[ \Delta m = m_0 \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}}\right). \]

---

4. \(h = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi}\); \(h\) and therefore \(\hbar\) IS NOT constant, but function (see page 14, 15).

5. Strictly speaking, these equations hold for energy of one oscillator, but it can be applied for any mass which is consist of more different kind and number of oscillators, because equations holds for any of them...

6. Outside gravitation field \(m = m_0\)
WEAK GRAVITATIONAL FIELDS

Approximation

\[ \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2} << 1 \]

\[ T = \frac{T_0}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}}} \], series expansion

\[ T = T_0 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2} + \frac{3}{8} \left( \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2} \right)^2 + \ldots \right) \]

\[ T \approx T_0 \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma M}{Rc^2} \right) \]

\[ \frac{\hbar \pi}{T} = \frac{\hbar \pi}{T_0} \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma M}{Rc^2} + \left( \frac{\gamma M}{Rc^2} \right)^2 + \ldots \right) \]

\[ \frac{\hbar \pi}{T} \approx \frac{\hbar \pi}{T_0} \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma M}{Rc^2} \right) \]

\[ E = E_0 \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma M}{Rc^2} \right) \]

\[ E \] - potential energy (of mass \( m \) in gravitational field created by mass \( M \) at distance \( R \))

\[ E_o \] - potential energy (of mass \( m \) outside gravitational field, \( E_0 = m_0 c^2 \))

Under condition:

\[ \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2} << 1 \]

---

7 \( \gamma = 6.673 \times 10^{-11} \frac{m^3}{kg \cdot s^2} \) - gravitational constant

8 Mass \( m \) is not equal on different heights, but for these calculations that difference is not relevant, although mass difference is key phenomenon…See page 1, when we lift 1 kg its almost the same as we lift 1.109 \times 10^{-14} kg.
TWO CASES OF WEAK FIELDS

1) Approximation for $\frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2} \ll 1$ and $h \ll R$

$$E = E_0 \left(1 - \frac{\gamma M}{Rc^2}\right)$$

$$E_E = E_0 \left(1 - \frac{\gamma M}{Rc^2}\right)$$

$$E_h = E_0 \left(1 - \frac{\gamma M}{(R+h)c^2}\right)$$

$E_E$ - potential energy of mass $m$ in gravitational field created by mass $M$ at distance $R$

$E_h$ - potential energy of mass $m$ in gravitational field created by mass $M$ at distance $R+h$

$$E_h = E_0 \left(1 - \frac{\gamma M}{c^2} \frac{1}{R} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{h}{R}}\right), \text{ series expansion}$$

$$E_h = E_0 \left(1 - \frac{\gamma M}{c^2} \frac{1}{R} \left(1 - \frac{h}{R} + \left(\frac{h}{R}\right)^2 - \ldots\right)\right)$$

$$E_h \approx E_0 \left(1 - \frac{\gamma M}{c^2} \frac{1}{R} + \frac{\gamma M}{c^2} \frac{h}{R^2}\right)$$

Difference between potential energies $E_h$ and $E_E$:

$$E_h - E_E = E_0 \left(1 - \frac{\gamma M}{c^2} \frac{1}{R} + \frac{\gamma M}{c^2} \frac{h}{R^2}\right) - E_0 \left(1 - \frac{\gamma M}{Rc^2}\right)$$

$$E_h - E_E = E_0 \frac{\gamma M}{c^2} \frac{h}{R^2}$$

$$E_h - E_E = mc^2 \frac{\gamma M}{c^2} \frac{h}{R^2}$$

$$E_h - E_E = m \frac{\gamma M}{R^2} h, \text{ if it’s Earth, } \frac{\gamma M}{R^2} = g$$

Difference between potential energies at height $h$ and surface:
\[ E_h - E_e = mgh. \]

2) Approximation for \( \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2} \ll 1 \) and \( H \) comparable \( R \).

\[
E_E = E_0 \left(1 - \frac{\gamma M}{Rc^2}\right)
\]

\[
E_H = E_0 \left(1 - \frac{\gamma M}{(R+H)c^2}\right)
\]

\[
E_H - E_E = E_0 \left(1 - \frac{\gamma M}{(R+H)c^2}\right) - E_0 \left(1 - \frac{\gamma M}{Rc^2}\right)
\]

\[
E_H - E_E = E_0 \left(1 - \frac{\gamma M}{(R+H)c^2} - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\gamma M}{Rc^2}}\right)
\]

\[
E_H - E_E = m^2 \left(-\frac{\gamma M}{(R+H)c^2} + \frac{\gamma M}{Rc^2}\right).
\]

Difference between potential energies

\[
E_H - E_E = -\gamma \frac{mM}{(R+H)} - \left(-\gamma \frac{mM}{R}\right). \quad 9
\]

**GRAVITATIONAL FORCE, GRAVITATIONAL ACCELERATION**

1) Gravitation field of unlimited strength (created by mass \( M \)), under condition \( m \ll M \) (apple-Earth, Mercury-Sun, neutron star-marble, electron-photon\(^{10}\)).

The total potential energy \( E \)

\[
E = E_0 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}}
\]

\[
m^2 = m_0^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}}
\]

\[
\ddot{e}_R = \frac{\ddot{R}}{R}
\]

\[
\ddot{a} = a(-\ddot{e}_R)
\]

\[
\vec{F} = F(-\ddot{e}_R)
\]

---

\(^{9}\)**Important** examples (see page 30)

\(^{10}\)I’m not saying that gravity holds photon in electron, I’m saying that is possible.
Force exerted on mass \( m \) at distance \( R \) by mass \( M \):

\[
\vec{F}_{M \rightarrow m} = -\nabla E = -\frac{\partial}{\partial R}(E)\hat{e}_r
\]

\[
-\hat{e}_r F_{M \rightarrow m} = -\hat{e}_r \frac{\partial}{\partial R}(E)
\]

\[
F_{M \rightarrow m} = \frac{\partial}{\partial R}\left(m_0 c^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2 \gamma M}{Rc^2}}\right)
\]

\[
F_{M \rightarrow m} = m_0 c^2 \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2 \gamma M}{Rc^2}}} \left(-\frac{2 \gamma M}{c^2} \right) \left(-\frac{1}{R^2}\right)
\]

\[
F_{M \rightarrow m} = m_0 \frac{\gamma M}{R^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2 \gamma M}{Rc^2}}}
\]

\[
m_0 = m \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2 \gamma M}{Rc^2}}}
\]

Force exerted on mass \( m \) at distance \( R \) by mass \( M \):

\[
F_{M \rightarrow m} = \gamma \frac{m M}{R^2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2 \gamma M}{Rc^2}}
\]

\[
\vec{F}_{M \rightarrow m} = -\gamma \frac{m M}{R^2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2 \gamma M}{Rc^2}} \hat{e}_r
\]

\[
\vec{F}_{M \rightarrow m} = m \ddot{a}
\]

\[
m \dot{a} = \gamma \frac{m M}{R^2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2 \gamma M}{Rc^2}}
\]

Acceleration \( \dot{a} \) exerted on mass \( m \) at distance \( R \) by mass \( M \):

\[
a = \gamma \frac{M}{R^2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2 \gamma M}{Rc^2}}
\]

\[
\ddot{a} = -\gamma \frac{M}{R^2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2 \gamma M}{Rc^2}} \hat{e}_r
\]
Example:

**Photon sphere radius**

Centripetal acceleration needed to hold photon on circular orbit is:

\[ a_c = \frac{c^2}{R_{ph}}. \]

If that centripetal acceleration is provided by gravitational pull of mass \( M \), then:

\[ a_c = \gamma \frac{M}{R_{ph}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{R_{ph}c^2}}. \]

\[
\frac{c^2}{R_{ph}} = \gamma \frac{M}{R_{ph}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{R_{ph}c^2}}
\]

\[
c^2 = \gamma \frac{M}{R_{ph}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{R_{ph}c^2}}
\]

\[
R_{ph} \left( 1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{R_{ph}c^2} \right) = \gamma \frac{M}{c^2}
\]

\[
R_{ph} - \frac{2\gamma M}{c^2} = \frac{\gamma M}{c^2}.
\]

Photon sphere radius \( R_{ph} \)

\[
R_{ph} = 3 \frac{\gamma M}{c^2}.
\]

In all calculation so far in first equations where is appearing mass \( M \), should be standing \( M_0 \) instead. **Why?**

Now follows correct equations and hopefully correct interpretations.
GENERAL EQUATIONS

Basic law, which determine gravitational interaction between mass $m$ and $M$, for all field strengths and all sizes of $(R).^{11}$

\[ m_0 c^2 = \frac{m c^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2 \gamma M_0}{Rc^2}}} = \text{const} \]

\[ M_0 c^2 = \frac{M c^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2 \gamma m_0}{Rc^2}}} = \text{const} \]

Note that $M_0$ and $m_0$ are constant expressed in kg, on the other hand, although they are constants they are combination of variables and are measurement of total energy which creating gravitational attraction. Even if mass of object is changing during attraction (under condition that is changing its relative position) gravitational attraction is, as if mass is constant.

Only rational explanation which I have is that energy, like mass, generate gravitational field in known ratio $m = \frac{E}{c^2}$.  

\[ ^{11} \text{Isolated from all other influence} \]
Let’s work again on same example from page 6.
Mathematically correct

1) Gravitation field of unlimited strength (created by mass $M$), under condition $m << M$ (apple-Earth, Mercury-Sun, neutron star-marble, electron-photon).

Basic equation

$$m_0 c^2 = \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M_0}{Rc^2}}} = \text{const}$$

$$M_0 c^2 = \frac{M c^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M_0}{Rc^2}}} = \text{const}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( m_0 c^2 \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M_0}{Rc^2}}} \right)$$

$$0 = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M_0}{Rc^2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( mc^2 \right) - m c^2}{2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M_0}{Rc^2}}} \left( -\frac{2\gamma M_0}{c^2} \right) \left( -\frac{1}{R^2} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( mc^2 \right) = \gamma \frac{m M_0}{R^2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2\gamma M_0}{Rc^2}}.$$

Under condition $m << M$, and $R$ is identical, implies $\frac{2\gamma m_0}{Rc^2} << \frac{2\gamma M_0}{Rc^2}$, and from basic equations it follows that $M_0 c^2 \approx Mc^2$ that is $M_0 \approx M$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( mc^2 \right) = \gamma \frac{m M}{R^2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}}.$$
\[ \mathcal{F}_{M \rightarrow m} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial R} (mc^2) \mathbf{e}_R. \]

Force exerted on mass \( m \) at distance \( R \) by mass \( M \):

\[ \mathcal{F}_{M \rightarrow m} = -\gamma \frac{mM}{R^2} \frac{1}{1-\frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}} \]

2) Newton’s "Law of universal gravity" as special case of basic law.\(^{12}\)

Interaction between masses \( m \) and \( M \), under conditions \( \frac{2\gamma M_0}{Rc^2} \ll 1 \), and \( \frac{2\gamma m_0}{Rc^2} \ll 1 \)

\[ m_0c^2 = \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2} \cdot \sqrt{1-\frac{2\gamma m_0}{Rc^2}}}} \]

\[ m_0c^2 \approx \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2\gamma m_0}{Rc^2} + \ldots\right)}} \]

\[ m_0c^2 \approx \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2} - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2} \frac{\gamma m_0}{Rc^2}}} \]

\[ m_0c^2 \approx \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}}} \]

\[ m_0c^2 \approx mc^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2} + \ldots\right) \]

\[ m_0c^2 \approx mc^2 + \gamma \frac{mM}{R^2} \]

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial R} (m_0c^2) \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( mc^2 + \gamma \frac{mM}{R^2} \right) \]

\[ 0 \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial R} (mc^2) + \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial R} (mM) - \gamma \frac{mM}{R^2} \]

\(^{12}\) Even if we see that from last equation, under condition \( \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2} \ll 1 \)
\[
0 \approx \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2 \gamma M}{c^2 R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( mc^2 \right) + \frac{2 \gamma m}{c^2 R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( Mc^2 \right) \right) - \gamma \frac{m M}{R^2} \\
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2 \gamma M}{c^2 R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( mc^2 \right) + \frac{2 \gamma m}{c^2 R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( Mc^2 \right) \right) \approx \text{verylittle-verylittle} \ll \text{1*verylittle} \\
\]

\[
0 \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( mc^2 \right) - \gamma \frac{m M}{R^2} \\
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( mc^2 \right) \approx \gamma \frac{m M}{R^2} \\
\]

\[
\vec{F}_{M \rightarrow m} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( mc^2 \right) \vec{e}_R \\
\]

\[
\vec{F} = -\gamma \frac{m M}{R^2} \vec{e}_R .
\]

3) Something very similar

Interaction between two identical mass \( m \) under condition \( \frac{2 \gamma m_0}{Rc^2} \ll 1 \)

\[
m_o c^2 = \frac{m c^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2 \gamma m_0}{Rc^2}}} \\
\]

\[
m_o c^2 \approx m c^2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2 \gamma m_0}{Rc^2} + \ldots \right) \\
\]

\[
m_o c^2 \approx \frac{m c^2}{1 - \frac{\gamma m}{Rc^2}} \\
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( m_o c^2 \right) \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( \frac{m c^2}{1 - \frac{\gamma m}{Rc^2}} \right) \\
\]

\[
\ldots \\
\]

\[
0 \approx \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma m}{Rc^2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( mc^2 \right) + \frac{\gamma m}{Rc^2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( mc^2 \right) - \frac{mc^2}{R} \right) \\
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left( mc^2 \right) \approx \gamma \frac{m^2}{R^2} \\
\ldots
\]
4) We could do similar calculation for two identical mass, but without any limitation.

\[ m_0 c^2 = \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma m_0}{Rc^2}}} \]

\[ m_0 c^2 = F(m, R) \]

and from conditions

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial R} \left[ f(mc^2, R) \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial R} (m_0c^2) = 0 \]

find

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial R} (mc^2) = f(m, R), \]

and from there force, acceleration...

Similar calculation holds for any two given mass at any distance. Calculation is long and tedious, and not belongs here.

Now follows one important example.

**Non-isolated system**

5) Distance assessment, between two same masses, under very big mass defect and under complete dissipation of converted energy.

Until now everything was about two isolated body and their mutual interaction. Now are about two non-isolated bodies, where mass defect is real loss of energy. That energy is not vanishing; it goes for elevating energy of some other system.\(^{13}\)

Correct equations for interaction of two isolated bodies

\[ m c^2 = m_0 c^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M_0}{Rc^2}} \]

\[ Mc^2 = M_0 c^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma m}{Rc^2}}. \]

If there is complete loss of mass defect, loss of converted energy, then these equation is somewhat different.

For non-isolated system under complete loss of converted energy, holds:

\[ m c^2 = m_0 c^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}} \]

\[ Mc^2 = M_0 c^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma m}{Rc^2}}. \]

\(^{13}\) This is destruction, creation is other way around.
Gravitational interaction is smaller and smaller; masses $m$ and $M$ are getting lost. Energy is conducted away from system in known ratio.

For two identical masses holds:

$$m c^2 = m_0 c^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma m}{Rc^2}}$$

$$\frac{m^2}{m_0^2} = 1 - \frac{2\gamma m}{Rc^2}$$

$$R = \frac{2\gamma m}{c^2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{m^2}{m_0^2}}$$, for great mass defect $m << m_0$

$$R \approx \frac{2\gamma m}{c^2} \left(1 + \frac{m^2}{m_0^2} + \ldots\right)$$

Under great mass defect and with complete loss of converted energy, radius of imaginary sphere $R$ in which mass $m$ is.

$$R \approx \frac{2\gamma m}{c^2}$$

$R$ is close, but always bigger, newer equal to $\frac{2\gamma m}{c^2} \ldots$

**LAW OF CONSERVATION OF ENERGY, DOPPLER EFFECT, PLANCK “CONSTANT”**

We said that total energy of photon is given by equation $E = h \nu$, $h$ – Planck “constant”, $\nu$ -frequency. As photon free fall in gravitation field its frequency is bigger and bigger, but its total energy is conserved.

Law which governs change of the Planck “constant” could be derived by applying law of energy conservation and Doppler effect in gravitational field.

$$E = E_0, \ h \nu = h_0 \nu_0, \ \frac{h}{h_0} = \frac{\nu_0}{\nu}$$

---

14 It’s impossible to put more mass inside this radius. If its field, electrical or other, it’s distributed inside but has a limit on density…

15 Law that applies to marble applies to photon.
\[ \nu = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \frac{\nu}{c}}{1 - \frac{\nu}{c}}} \nu_0. \]

Law which governs change of the Planck “constant”:

\[ h = \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\mu m}{\sqrt{Rc^2}}} h_0. \]

Planck “constant” \( h \) in gravitational field created by mass \( m \) at a distance \( R \), and real Planck constant \( h_0 \approx 6.626 \cdot 10^{-34} \text{ Js} \).

How these change of the Planck”constant” fit in this story so far?

Examples:

1) On the surface of the Earth electron and positron have certain masses \( m_e \), when they annihilate each other, two photons of frequency \( \nu \) is released. Then if we lift identical electron and positron on height of 100 m, and during that we increase their masses for \( 1.09 \cdot 10^{-14} m_e \).

Effectively we increased their energies, and if they annihilate each other on these heights we will get two photon of greater energy\(^{16} \), but frequency of that two photons is, \( \nu \) identical like on surface.

2) 1 kg of water on height of 100 m has identical potential energy like 1 kg on surface of the Earth. But there is difference. On the Earth surface 1 kg of water have more \( H_2O \) molecules, approximately \( \frac{1.09 \cdot 10^{-14}}{3 \cdot 10^{-26}} \approx 3.63 \cdot 10^{11} \), then 1 kg of water on height off 100 m.

(Calculated if in 1 kg have approximately \( \frac{1}{3 \cdot 10^{-26}} \approx 3.33 \cdot 10^{25} \) water molecules)

\(^{16}\) Energy increased by work exerted to lift electron and positron
Elementary particles,
Structure hypothesis

Neutron decay:
\[ n \rightarrow p + e^- \]
\[ (d + d + u) \rightarrow (d + u + u) + e^- \]
My hypothesis for same phenomenon is:
\[ n \rightarrow p + e^- \]
\[ (d + d + [2\tilde{d}]) \rightarrow (d + [2\tilde{d}] + [2\tilde{d}]) + [3d] \]
Or \( d \) quark decay to \( u \) quark and electron \( e^- \)
\[ d \rightarrow u + e^- \]
My hypothesis for same phenomenon is
\[ d \rightarrow [2\tilde{d}] + [3d] \]

\( n \) neutron
\( p \) proton
\( e^- = [3d] \) electron
\( d \) down quark
\( u = [2\tilde{d}] \) up quark

... 

Some consequences

1) Quark algebraic sum is same before and after reactions.
2) Up quark consists of two anti-down \( (\tilde{d}) \) quarks.
3) Electron consists of three down \( (d) \) quarks.
4) Then we could say that down \( (d) \) quark is only true particle and anti-down \( (\tilde{d}) \) quark is only true antiparticle, or vice versa. All other particle is combinations of these two.
5) One of basic characteristic of down \( (d) \) quark is negative electric charge \(-\frac{1}{3}e\), one of basic characteristic of anti-down \( (\tilde{d}) \) quark is positive electric charge \(\frac{1}{3}e\).
6) Electrostatic force is measurement of interaction between particle and anti-particle (particle).
7) If mass is only difference between two particles, then we don’t have two different particles. We have same particles with different mass (different potential energy)…

---

17 Although I’m not sure what is and do I need neutrino.
18 Except photon.
19 \( e \approx 1.6 \cdot 10^{-19} c \)
Masses of proton, neutron, electron

\[ m_p \approx 1.67 \cdot 10^{-27} \text{ kg} \]
\[ m_n \approx 1.67 \cdot 10^{-27} \text{ kg} \]
\[ m_e \approx 9.11 \cdot 10^{-31} \text{ kg} \] .

Masses of up quark and down quark in neutron and proton
\[ m_u + 2 \cdot m_d \approx 1.67 \cdot 10^{-27} \text{ kg} \]
\[ 2 \cdot m_u + m_d \approx 1.67 \cdot 10^{-27} \text{ kg} \]
\[ m_u \approx m_d \approx \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1.67 \cdot 10^{-27} \text{ kg}}{3} \approx 5.567 \cdot 10^{-28} \text{ kg} \] .

Mass of one \( d \) quark in electron
\[ m_{de} \approx \frac{1}{3} \cdot m_e \approx \frac{1}{3} \cdot 9.11 \cdot 10^{-31} \text{ kg} \approx 3.037 \cdot 10^{-31} \text{ kg} \] .

Mass of one "free" down quark \( \bar{d} \) in up quark which is in neutron (proton)
\[ m_{du} \approx \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot m_p \approx \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1.67 \cdot 10^{-27} \text{ kg} \approx 2.783 \cdot 10^{-28} \text{ kg} \] .

Mass of one "free" down quark in proton (neutron)
\[ m_{dp} \approx \frac{1}{3} \cdot m_p \approx \frac{1}{3} \cdot m_e \approx \frac{1}{3} \cdot 1.67 \cdot 10^{-27} \text{ kg} \approx 5.567 \cdot 10^{-28} \text{ kg} \] .
Quark shape and structure hypothesis

Gauss theorem says: “Algebraic sum off all electrical charges inside this surface is equal to \( \frac{1}{3} e \).”

Gauss theorem says: “Algebraic sum off all electrical charges inside this surface is equal to \(-\frac{1}{3} e\).”

Gauss theorem says: “Algebraic sum off all electrical charges inside this surface is equal to zero.”

Gaussian surface

one photon

Similar representation of one photon, same thing, just more suitable for this story

rotate one part off photon for \(180^\circ\) around the blue axis

rotating ends of “photon” around red axis in one direction and putting it together

rotating ends of “photon” around red axis in opposite direction and putting it together

\( \delta \) quark

anti \( \delta \) quark
First sketch is not how quark is created, its just visualization of similarities to photon.
Before calculation for quark radius, I have to emphasize that even though I neglect internal electric charge it exist and it have very important role in interaction (it is, together with magnetic properties, responsible for nuclear and sub nuclear forces\(^{20}\)). Concretely, although we calculate \(d\) quark as if it has only negative electric charge (with that electron to), \(d\) quark and electron have, inside of them, positive electric charge.

**MASS\(^{21}\)**

In the beginning of this story mass is considered to be measurement of basic energy of the quantum oscillator.

\[
m_0 = \frac{E_0}{c^2} = \frac{\hbar \omega}{2c^2}.
\]

Now I say that basic mass of the quark (mass outside gravitational field) is measurement of electrical and magnetic potential energy within quark, (I don’t think that is problem...).

\[
m_0 = \frac{W_e + W_m}{c^2}, W_e = W_m.
\]

Reminding and continuing.

Mass \(m\) in gravitational field of mass \(M\)

\[
m_0 c^2 = \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}}}.
\]

Because for \(m << M \Rightarrow M_0 \approx M\). Electrical potential energy of two same electric charge \(q\) at distance \(r\)

\[
W_{e_0} = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{q^2}{r} = m_0 c^2.
\]

That same energy in gravitational field created by mass \(M\) at distance \(R\), \(r << R\).

\[
W_{e_0} = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{q^2}{r} = m_0 c^2 = \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}}} \quad \text{or}\quad ^{23}\]

\[
\sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}}.
\]

---

\(^{20}\) Bonding force within up quark and within electron.

\(^{21}\) I rather wouldn't make any claims...

\(^{22}\) That does not need to be quantum oscillator, equations holds for “any” kind of oscillations
\[ W_e = mc^2 = W_{e_0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}} \]

- \( W_e \) - electrical potential energy in gravitational field created by mass \( M \) at distance \( R \)

- \( W_{e_0} \) - electrical potential energy outside gravitational field.

Consequences:
There always have to be \( W_e < W_{e_0} \)

and because \( W_{e_0} = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{q^2}{r} \).

For constant \( r \) under decrease of \( R \), \( W_e \) declines. That means \( q \) is changing in gravitational field. Therefore follows \( q' \neq q, q' < q \)

- \( q' \) electric charge in gravitational field
- \( q \) electric charge outside gravitational field
- \( \varepsilon_0 \) is true constant, it doesn't change in gravitational field.\(^{24}\)

In similar way, in which mass defect occurs, there is electrical charge defect.

SOME COMMENT

Generally:
If we observe equation \( \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}} \), under condition that these equation hold for all distances \( R \), and its seams it does, we see that \( \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2} < 1 \) always holds. More accurate and complete expression would be \( \frac{2\gamma Mc^3}{Rc^4} = \frac{2\gamma E_{tot}}{Rc^4} < 1 \)

\( E_{tot} \) in this expression means any energy.

\(^{23}\) \( \varepsilon_0 \approx 8.85 \cdot 10^{-12} \frac{F}{m} \) - electric constant

\(^{24}\) There is no change of \( \varepsilon_0 \) in gravitational field, because it would imply that speed of light is reduced in gravitational field. Although is tempting that would be very wrong concept.
It’s impossible to put energy $E_{\text{tot}}$ in space less then $\text{const} \cdot R$ (in sphere of radius $R$)

$$E_{\text{tot}} < \frac{c^4}{2\gamma} R$$

which is more or less well known thing, and there somewhere is connection with Heisenberg uncertainty principle, and with expansion of the “universe”.

End of comment.

In the light of previous consideration we could define:

Electrical potential energy between two same charge $q$ at mutual distance $r$, in gravitational field created by mass $M$ at distance $R$.

$$W_e = W_e \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}}$$

$$W_e = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{q^2}{r} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}} = mc^2$$

Similarly, **precise equation for electrical potential energy**:

$$W_e = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{q^2}{R} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma m}{Rc^2}} = mc^2.$$ 

$W_e$ Electrical potential energy of two same charge $q$, with mass $m$ at mutual distance $R$.  

---

25 Even if we say “charge in point”or “mass of material point” its physically impossible and useful mathematical tool.

26 Equations are delivered from expression for total energy dissipation, so this equation is for that case. Total dissipation to the end, in one time direction, is particle creation in opposite time direction.
ASSESSMENT OF RADIUS $R$, OF THE $d$ QUARK IN ELECTRON

For rough assessment which follows, is worked under approximation $|eQ| \gg |e\bar{q}|$, and will calculate as if quark looks like in picture below.

\[
Q = \frac{1}{6} e = \frac{1}{6} 1.6 \cdot 10^{-19} c
\]

1) If we assume that mass of one quark is measure of its electrical and magnetic potential energy.

\[
m = \frac{W_e + W_m}{c^2}, W_e = W_m
\]

And

\[
W_e + W_m = 2W_e = mc^2
\]

\[
2 \cdot \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{q^2}{2R} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{Re^2}} = mc^2
\]

\[
2 \cdot \frac{1}{4 \cdot 3.14 \cdot 8.85 \cdot 10^{-12}} \left( \frac{1.6 \cdot 10^{-19}}{6} \right)^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2 \cdot 6.673 \cdot 10^{11} \cdot 9.11 \cdot 10^{-31}}{3 \cdot 10^8} = 9.11 \cdot 10^{-31} (3 \cdot 10^8) \cdot \frac{3}{3} (3 \cdot 10^8)^2.
\]

Solving equation we get three real solutions

$R_1 \approx -2.34 \cdot 10^{-16} m$

$R_2 \approx 2.34 \cdot 10^{-16} m$

$R_3 \approx 4.50 \cdot 10^{-58} m$. 
First two solutions are interesting, and same as for classical calculation. Third solution is important for story about quark. For \( R_s \approx 4.50 \cdot 10^{-58} \text{ m} \) relevant square root is very close to zero, but still **little more** then that.

Assessment of that **little more**

\[
\sqrt{1 - \frac{2 \cdot 6.673 \cdot 10^{-11} \cdot 9.11 \cdot 10^{-31}}{3 \cdot 10^8^2} \frac{3}{R_s (3 \cdot 10^8)^2}} \approx 1.9 \cdot 10^{-42}
\]

which tell us

\[
\sqrt{1 - \frac{2 \gamma M}{Rc^2}} \approx 0
\]
i.e.

\[
R \approx \frac{2 \gamma M}{c^2}
\]
hence very close to “Schwarzschild radius” \( (R_s) \) \(^{27}\)

but something more then that.

\[
\sqrt{1 - \frac{2 \cdot 6.673 \cdot 10^{-11} \cdot 9.11 \cdot 10^{-31}}{(R_s + \Delta R)(3 \cdot 10^8)^2}} \approx 1.9 \cdot 10^{-42}
\]

\[
\sqrt{1 - \frac{2 \gamma m}{(R_s + \Delta R)c^2}} \approx 1.9 \cdot 10^{-42}
\]

\[
\sqrt{1 - \frac{2 \gamma m}{c^2} \frac{1}{R_s} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\Delta R}{R_s}} \right)} \approx 1.9 \cdot 10^{-42}, \quad \frac{2 \gamma m}{c^2} \frac{1}{R_s} = 1
\]

\[
\sqrt{1 - \left( 1 - \frac{\Delta R}{R_s} \ldots \right)} \approx 1.9 \cdot 10^{-42}
\]

\[
\frac{\Delta R}{R_s} \approx 1.9 \cdot 10^{-42}
\]

\[
\frac{\Delta R}{R_s} \approx 3.6 \cdot 10^{-81}.
\]

Relation between that **little more** and \( R_s \). (I.e. quark radius \( R = R_s + \Delta R \))

These are rough assessment. But what I try to show is:
- these constructions are possible
- it’s very close to ”Schwarzschild radius“ \( (R_s) \)

\(^{27}\) This is normal lengths, one meter is one meter, hence “...“. Schwarzschild’s are not, or so I heard.
Quark radius in electron

\[ R \approx 4.50 \cdot 10^{-58} \text{m}. \]

2) Different approach, \(d\) quark radius in electron

Similar result could be gained by calculating radius of quark as it goes around himself on its on quark sphere.

Primary assumptions:

Quark is self entangled by its on gravitational field. It revolves around itself. It is very similar to photon; locally it is the plane wave which is moving at speed of light. So motion of quark around itself can be observed almost as motion of one photon on its own photon sphere.\(^{28}\) In that case relevant equations are:

\[ E = mc^2 = h\nu = h\frac{c}{\lambda} = h\frac{c}{2R\pi} \]

\( h \) local value of the Planck constant

\( R \) radius of the quark sphere (circle)

\( \lambda \) wave length\(^{29}\)

and

\[ h = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \frac{2\gamma m}{Rc^2}}{1 + \frac{2\gamma m}{Rc^2}}}h_0. \]

Combining last two equations

\[ h = mc2\pi = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \frac{2\gamma m}{Rc^2}}{1 + \frac{2\gamma m}{Rc^2}}}h_0. \]

\[ mR^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 10^8 \cdot 3.14 = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \frac{2\cdot 6.673 \cdot 10^{-11}}{(3 \cdot 10^8)^2}m}{1 + \frac{2\cdot 6.673 \cdot 10^{-11}}{(3 \cdot 10^8)^2}m}} \cdot 6.626 \cdot 10^{-34} \]

\(^{28}\) Probably more like some kind of ellipsoid.

\(^{29}\) Trivial calculation will give, interesting and very important result, which for these constructions energy increases with increase of wave length (i.e. quark radius).
for, \( m = \frac{9.11 \cdot 10^{-31}}{3} \) kg (mass of one \( d \) quark in electron).

Solving equation gives three real solutions:

\[
R_1 \approx -1.16 \cdot 10^{-12} m \\
R_2 \approx 1.16 \cdot 10^{-12} m \\
R_3 \approx 4.50 \cdot 10^{-58} m .
\]

Solution of importance for quark is \( R_3 \approx 4.50 \cdot 10^{-58} m \) ... as is before. \(^{30}\)

3) Or simply assessment of quark radius can be derived using equation on page 14

\[
R \approx \frac{2 \gamma m}{c^2} \left( 1 + \frac{m^2}{m_0^2} + \cdots \right), \quad \frac{m^2}{m_0^2} \ll 1
\]

i.e.

For great mass defect... radius is very close but still little bit bigger then “Schwarzschild radius”. \(^{31}\)

\[
R \approx \frac{2 \gamma m}{c^2} \approx \frac{2 \cdot 6.673 \cdot 10^{-11} \frac{9.11 \cdot 10^{-31}}{3}}{\left(3 \cdot 10^8\right)^2}
\]

\[
R \approx 4.5 \cdot 10^{-58} m .
\]

Identical calculation which holds for \( d \) also holds for \( \tilde{d} \).

\(^{30}\) Even if concurrence of results is “good” that does not have to mean that calculations are correct, because basically they are the same one.

\(^{31}\) Again, it’s not real “Schwarzschild radius”. Space is not costricting, objects are. Or better, even if space changes, that change nothing.
\(d\) and \(\bar{d}\) in different situations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(d) quark in electron</th>
<th>(\bar{d}) quark in up quark</th>
<th>(d) quark „free“ in proton (neutron)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mass (m [kg])</td>
<td>(3,037 \cdot 10^{-31})</td>
<td>(2,783 \cdot 10^{-28})</td>
<td>(5,567 \cdot 10^{-28})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radius (R [m])</td>
<td>(4,5 \cdot 10^{-58})</td>
<td>(4,1 \cdot 10^{-55})</td>
<td>(8,3 \cdot 10^{-55})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R \approx \frac{2 \gamma m}{c^2})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GENERAL CONCLUSIONS**

And some speculations

1. If mass is only difference between two quark, then we don’t have two different quark. We have same one with different mass (different potential energy)...
2. There is not one region in space from which photon, directed strictly away from it, can't escape.
3. “Background radiation” is not “picture” of early”universe“, rather is picture of old photon sphere\(^{32}\), but there is no proof for that either.
4. These thing that we name”universe“is just a part of larger and this ours takes energy-mass from neighbor, hence its growth, that doesn’t mean that it was always grown, or that it will always grow. While our neighbor feed us we will grow if he stops, our growth will stop. **Look at**.
5. Maybe there was “big beng“, but”universe expansion“and “background radiation” is not valid proof for that.
6. Particle measuring, in some way, mean compressing it, and is not possible to compress it under certain radius (if its hold on to its energy). It will escape from there or disappear there and appear next to it\(^{33}\). It may seams strange but is simple.
7. Same thing which is cause to Heisenberg uncertainty principle is causing universe expansion and that is, in a way, limit to **energy density**…
8. Three quarks in electron, and similar constructions, are not like three nails hammered in plank of wood.

…

I’m aware that lots of these statements are like „water is wet“, but its need to be said.

**THE END**

---

\(^{32}\) Which was and still is

\(^{33}\) Obeying the lows of conservation and not moving faster then light…
**ADDITIONS**

1) 

\[ \frac{1}{T} = \frac{1}{T_0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma \cdot M}{Rc^2}} \]

Why this equation?

In compliance to special theory of relativity, time interval between two events... is

\[ T = \frac{T_0}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \]

\( v \) – Speed of system, where time interval is \( T \), relative to the system in which same interval is \( T_0 \).

There is certain equivalence between accelerated motion in space where is no gravitational field, and resting \(^{34}\) in space where gravitational field exists.

Free-fall in gravitational field **DOES NOT** implies relativistic mass gain.

Body under gravitational free-fall **LOSES** part of its mass (lost mass goes to speed increase of the mass that remain). Energy is conserved.

In any case same body have lesser mass "down" then "up", either that body is in free-fall or someone is lowering it down...

When we separating two bodies, even if it is with constant speed, then we increasing their masses...

**Principle of equivalence**

Man lifting the object, with constant speed along the whole way, of 1 kg on height of 1m, near surface of the Earth, has to do equivalent work;

as someone who, somewhere outside gravitational field, gives acceleration of \( 9.81 \frac{m}{s^2} \) to object of 1 kg (i.e. exerting the force of 9.81 N on object) along the way of 1 m.

**Mass increase is the same in both cases.**

\(^{34}\) Or moving with constant speed.
So it follows

\[ T = \frac{T_0}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \]

\[ \frac{1}{T} = \frac{1}{T_0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}} \]

\( v \) speed of the body in free-fall in gravitational field

\[ \frac{1}{T} = \frac{1}{T_0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2gR}{c^2}} \]

g acceleration. Equations will correct our assumption, we simple define “alphabet” that we need to express. That \( g \) is for weak fields, general solutions will be similar.

\[ \frac{1}{T} = \frac{1}{T_0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma MR}{R^2c^2}} \]

\[ \frac{1}{T} = \frac{1}{T_0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}} \]

2) A few concrete examples of mass change in weak gravitational field, and comparison of two approaches.

1) “Classical”, mass change caused by exerted work in gravitational field.
2) “Relativistic”, mass change caused by change of basic frequency of quantum oscillator, which is caused by different time flow in gravitational field\(^{35}\).

1) **Classical approach**

\(^{35}\) And change of Planck's “constant”
At the Earth surface measured mass of one weight is \( m=1\text{kg} \), what is its mass at height:

- \( a) \ h = 100 \text{ m} \) above Earth surface \( (g=9.81 \text{ m/s}^2) \)
- \( b) \ h = 200 \text{ km} \) above earth surface
- \( c) \ H = 35786 \text{ km} \)

**Answer:**

All energy used to lift the body goes to body mass increase \(^3^6\)

\( a) \ m = 1\text{kg} \cdot g = 9.81 \frac{m}{s^2}, h = 100m \)

\[ A = mgh = 1 \cdot 9.81 \cdot 100 = 981 \text{J} \]

\[ A = \Delta mc^2 = (m_{100} - m)c^2 \]

\[ m_{100} = m + \frac{A}{c^2} \]

\[ m_{100} = 1\text{kg} + \frac{981}{(3.10^8)^2} \]

\[ m_{100} = 1 + 1.09 \cdot 10^{-14} \text{kg} \]

\( m = 1\text{kg} \) mass of one weight on surface of the Earth

\( m_{100} = 1 + 1.09 \cdot 10^{-14} \text{kg} \) mass of that same weight after it’s lifted at height of 100 m.

Mass gain at that process

\[ \Delta m = 1.09 \cdot 10^{-14} \text{kg} \]

\( b) \ m = 1\text{kg}, \gamma = 6.673 \cdot 10^{-11} \frac{m^3}{\text{kg} \cdot s^2}, M = 5.9742 \cdot 10^{24}, R = 6374790804m, h = 200km \)

\[ A = \gamma M m \left( \frac{1}{r_2} - \frac{1}{r_1} \right) \]

\[ A = 6.673 \cdot 10^{-11} \cdot 5.9742 \cdot 10^{24} \cdot 1 \cdot \left( \frac{1}{6374790804} - \frac{1}{6374790804 + 2000000} \right) \]

\[ A = 1902317493J \]

---

\(^3^6\) During lifting process mass of weight is changing. Even if that minor change is cause of attraction...we do not calculate it, in process of lifting. I.e. During calculation of work needed to lift object in weak fields we calculate as if mass is constant.
\[ m_H = m + \frac{A}{c^2} \]
\[ m_{200k} = 1 + \frac{1902317493}{(3 \cdot 10^8)^2} \]
\[ m_{200k} = 1 + 2.1137 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ kg} \]

\[ m = 1 \text{ kg} \] mass of one weight on surface of the Earth
\[ m_{200k} = 1 + 2.1137 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ kg} \] mass of that same weight after its lifted at height of 200 km.

Mass gain at that process

\[ \Delta m = 2.1137 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ kg} . \]

c) Mass change caused by elevating it at height of geostationary orbit

\[ m = 1 \text{ kg}, \gamma = 6.673 \cdot 10^{-11} \frac{m^3}{\text{kg s}^2}, M = 5.9742 \cdot 10^{24}, R = 6374790804 \text{ m}, H = 35786 \text{ km}. \]

\[ A = \gamma M m \left( \frac{1}{r_2} - \frac{1}{r_1} \right) \]
\[ A = 6.673 \cdot 10^{-11} \cdot 5.9742 \cdot 10^{24} \cdot 1 \left( \frac{1}{6374790804} - \frac{1}{6374790804+35786000} \right) \]
\[ A = 5308103.56 \text{ J} \]
\[ m_H = 1 + \frac{5308103.56}{(3 \cdot 10^8)^2} \]
\[ m_H = 1 + 5.898 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ kg}. \]

Mass gain at that process

\[ \Delta m = 5.898 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ kg} . \]
2) Relativistic approach

First is calculation for time change in gravitational field, then energy change and mass change.

\[ T = \frac{T_0}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2\gamma M}{Rc^2}}} \]

\[ T \approx T_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{8,859 \cdot 10^{-3}}{R}}} \text{, series expansion} \]

\[ T \approx T_0 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{8,859 \cdot 10^{-3}}{R} \right) + \frac{3}{8} \left( \frac{8,859 \cdot 10^{-3}}{R} \right)^2 + \ldots \right) \]

\[ T \approx T_0 \left( 1 + 4,4295 \cdot 10^{-3} \frac{1}{R} \right). \]

Let's define \( T_0 = 1s \)

then, on surface of the Earth:

\[ T_E = 1 \cdot T_0 \left( 1 + 4,4295 \cdot 10^{-3} \frac{1}{6374790804} \right) \]

\[ T_0 \quad \Delta T_0 \]

\[ T_E = 1s + 6,948 \cdot 10^{-10}s \]

\[ T_E = T_0 + \Delta T_0 \]

\( T_E \) duration of time interval on Earth surface

\( T_0 \) duration of time interval outside gravitational field

\( \Delta T_0 \) change to duration of time interval at Earth surface.

\[ ^{37} T \text{ is functional dependence to time interval of ratio } \frac{M}{R}, \text{ when } \frac{M}{R} \to 0, T = T_0 \text{ there where is no gravitational field tact exist and there is shortest i.e. } T_{0 \text{ min}}. \text{ Wherever gravitational field exist there is } T > T_0. \]
I) For $T_0 = 1s$, find $T$ on different heights:

a) 100 m

$$T_{100} = 1 + 4.4295 \times 10^{-3} \cdot \frac{1}{6374790804 + 100}$$

b) 200 km

$$T_{200} = 1 + 4.4295 \times 10^{-3} \cdot \frac{1}{6374790804 + 200000}$$

$$T_{200} = 1 + 6.948 \times 10^{-10} - 6.948 \times 10^{-10} \cdot 0.0304$$

$$T_{200} = T_0 + \Delta T - \Delta T_{200}$$

c) 35786 km

$$T_{35786} = 1 + 4.4295 \times 10^{-3} \cdot \frac{1}{6374790804 + 35786000}$$

$$T_{35786} = 1 + 6.948 \times 10^{-10} - 6.948 \times 10^{-10} \cdot 0.8488$$

$$T_{35786} = T_0 + \Delta T - \Delta T_{35786}$$
II) How does change of time interval in gravitational field affect energy (mass) of oscillators. Concretely how much potential energy (mass) does change at heights:

a) 100 m
b) 200 km
c) 35786 km

\[ E = \frac{\hbar \pi}{T} \] basic energy of quantum oscillator

\[ E_E = \frac{\hbar \pi}{T_E} \] basic energy of same quantum oscillator at Earth surface

\[ E_E = \frac{\hbar \pi}{T_E} = \frac{1,0546 \cdot 10^{-34} \cdot 3,14}{1 + 6,948 \cdot 10^{-10}} \]

\[ E = 3,31 \cdot 10^{-34} J. \]

a) Energy of same quantum oscillator elevated at 100 m.

\[ E_{100} = \frac{\hbar \pi}{T_{100}} = \frac{1,0546 \cdot 10^{-34} \cdot 3,14}{1 + 6,948 \cdot 10^{-10} - 6,948 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot 1,57 \cdot 10^{-5}} \]

\[ E_{100} = \frac{1,0546 \cdot 10^{-34} \cdot 3,14}{1 + 6,948 \cdot 10^{-10}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - 6,948 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot 1,57 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot 1 + 6,948 \cdot 10^{-10}} \]

\[ E_{100} = 3,31 \cdot 10^{-34} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - 1,09 \cdot 10^{-14}}, \text{series expansion} \]

\[ E_{100} \approx 3,31 \cdot 10^{-34} \cdot (1 + 1,09 \cdot 10^{-14} + \ldots) \]

\[ E_{100} = E_E \cdot (1 + 1,09 \cdot 10^{-14}) \]

\[ E_{100} - E_E = E_E \cdot 1,09 \cdot 10^{-14} \]

\[ \Delta E = E_{100} - E_E \]

\[ \Delta mc^2 = mc^2 \cdot 1,09 \cdot 10^{-14} \]

\[ \Delta m = m \cdot 1,09 \cdot 10^{-14} \]

for \( m = 1 \) kg

\[ \Delta m = 1,09 \cdot 10^{-14} \text{ kg} \]

\[ \text{compare with the previous calculations} \]

\[ (\Delta m = 1,09 \cdot 10^{-14} \text{ kg}). \]
b) Energy of same quantum oscillator elevated at 200 km.

\[ E_{200k} = \frac{\hbar \pi}{T_{200k}} = \frac{1.0546 \cdot 10^{-34} \cdot 3.14}{1 + 6.948 \cdot 10^{-10} - 6.948 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot 0.0304} \]

\[ E_{200k} = \frac{1.0546 \cdot 10^{-34} \cdot 3.14}{1 + 6.948 \cdot 10^{-10}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - 6.948 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot 0.0304} \]

\[ E_{200k} = 3.31 \cdot 10^{-34} \frac{1}{1 - 2.112 \cdot 10^{-11}}, \text{ series expansion} \]

\[ E_{200k} \approx 3.31 \cdot 10^{-34} \left( 1 + 2.112 \cdot 10^{-11} + \ldots \right) \]

\[ E_{200k} = E_{E} \left( 1 + 2.112 \cdot 10^{-11} \right) \]

\[ E_{200k} - E_{E} = E_{E} \cdot 2.112 \cdot 10^{-11} \]

\[ \Delta mc^2 = mc^2 \cdot 2.112 \cdot 10^{-11} \]

\[ \Delta m = m \cdot 2.112 \cdot 10^{-11} \]

For \( m = 1 \)kg

\[ \Delta m = 2.112 \cdot 10^{-11} \, \text{kg} \]

compare with the previous calculations \((\Delta m = 2.1137 \cdot 10^{-11} \, \text{kg})\).

c) Potential energy (mass) for same quantum oscillator at distance of geostationary orbit, 35786 km

\[ E_{35786k} = \frac{\hbar \pi}{T_{35786k}} = \frac{1.0546 \cdot 10^{-34} \cdot 3.14}{1 + 6.948 \cdot 10^{-10} - 6.948 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot 0.8488} \]

\[ E_{35786k} = 3.31 \cdot 10^{-34} \frac{1}{1 - 5.897 \cdot 10^{-10}}, \text{ series expansion} \]

\[ E_{35786k} \approx 3.31 \cdot 10^{-34} \left( 1 + 5.897 \cdot 10^{-10} \right) \ldots \]

\[ \Delta m = m \cdot 5.987 \cdot 10^{-10} \]

For \( m = 1 \)kg

\[ \Delta m = 5.987 \cdot 10^{-10} \, \text{kg} \]
compare with the previous calculations \((\Delta m = 5.898 \cdot 10^{-10}\text{ kg})\).

Results show good correlation with classical calculation, which implies that:
1) There is gravitational mass defect.
2) Mass defect is caused by different time flow at different places in gravitational field.
3)…

Additional comments (7.feb.2013)
(Posted at “theoretical physics” group at linkedin.)

Why gravitational mass defect?

Example: You have two identical bricks (same mass) on table top. Take one and lift it 100 m up and stop (you will WORK to do that). Now transform them to energy.
If they have same mass, after lifting one of them, they have same energy.
In that case where did your work disappear?
(Now you will have some excellent explanations.)
I have just one. That bricks do not have same mass anymore. While lifting something, you increase it mass.

What is wrong with Planck's constant?

Like I said in title “gravitational mass defect and its consequence”, IF there is a gravitational mass defect, THEN there are certain consequences, one of them is that: Planck's constant is not constant at all.

Examples:

a) You have mirror on table top, reflective side up. Now you have one photon, 100 m above that mirror, going straight down. As photon “fall down” its frequency is bigger and bigger, until it hits a mirror. Than it starts to go straight back up, now its frequency is getting smaller and smaller. When it passes 100 m mark, it will have same frequency as before.

My statement:

During all that voyage photon have same energy, REGARDLESS of its change in frequency.

In other words:

Total energy of photon is product of photon frequency and Planck's “constant".
Or otherwise:

“Planck's constant” must be gravitational field dependent, VARIABLE, in order to accommodate law of energy conservation.

What is next implication of this “freefall photon”?

As photon “falls down” to the table top, its frequency is bigger and bigger, and because speed of light is constant, its wave length is smaller and smaller. And in this entire voyage, photon energy is same.

In other words:
Photon contract during its "freefall".

…
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