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The value 137.036, an excellent approximation of the fine structure constant inverse, is shown to occur naturally in connection with a theorem employing a pair of closely-related functions. It is also shown that the formula producing this approximation contains terms expressible using the sines squared of the experimental quark and lepton mixing angles, implying an underlying relationship between these constants. This formula places the imprecisely measured neutrino mixing angle $\theta_{13}$ at close to 8.09°, so that $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \approx 0.0777$.

PACS numbers: 06.20.Jr

The value 137.036, an excellent approximation of the fine structure constant (FSC) inverse $1/\alpha$ [1], is shown to occur naturally in connection with a theorem employing a pair of closely-related functions [2]. It is also shown that the formula producing this FSC approximation contains terms expressible using the sines squared of the experimental quark and lepton mixing angles, implying an underlying relationship between these constants.

I. TWO FUNCTION DEFINITIONS

We begin by defining the pair of related functions that the theorem will exploit. Let $M$ and $N$ be positive integer constants, so that

$$h(u) = \frac{M^3 - u^3}{N^3} + M^2 - u^3$$

$$j(u) = \left( \frac{M - u}{N} \right)^3 + (M - u)^2$$

where $u$ is a variable such that

$$0 < u \leq 0.1$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

and

$$M \geq 10$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.2)

II. THE FSC THEOREM

We then specify and prove the theorem making use of these functions.

**Theorem 1. (The FSC Theorem.)** Let

$$j(y) = h(x)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

satisfying

$$M = \frac{N^3}{3} + 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

Then at

$$x = \frac{1}{M}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

we get

$$\frac{dy}{dx} \approx \frac{1}{M^3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

**Proof.** Equation (2.1) gives

$$\left( \frac{M - y}{N} \right)^3 + (M - y)^2 = \frac{M^3 - x^3}{N^3} + M^2 - x^3,$$

which expands and simplifies to

$$- \frac{3M^2y}{N^3} + \frac{3My^2}{N^3} - \frac{y^3}{N^3} - 2My + y^2 = - x^3$$

or

$$3M^2y - 3My^2 + y^3 + 2MN^3y - N^3y^2 = (N^3 + 1)x^3.$$  

It follows that

$$(3M^2 - 6My + 3y^2 + 2MN^3 - 2N^3)y dy = 3(N^3 + 1)x^2 dx,$$

so that

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{3(N^3 + 1)x^2}{3M^2 - 6My + 3y^2 + 2MN^3 - 2N^3y}.$$  

We now want to identify and remove the smallest terms from the denominator. As Eq. (2.2) requires that

$$N^3 = 3M - 3,$$
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substituting for \(N^3\) gives
\[
\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{3(3M - 3 + 1)x^2}{3M^2 - 6My + 3y^2 + 2M(3M - 3) - 2(3M - 3)y} = \frac{3M^2 - 6My + 3y^2 + 6M^2 - 6M - 6M + 6y}{3M(3M - 2)x^2} = \frac{9M^2 - 12My + 3y^2 - 6M + 6y}{(3M - 2)x^2} = \frac{3M^2 - 2M - 4My + y^2 + 2y}{(3M - 2)x^2} = \frac{3M - 2M - 4My + y^2 + 2y}{(3M - 2)M - 4My + y^2 + 2y} \quad (2.5)
\]
But by Eq. (1.1) \(y \leq 0.1\) and by Eq. (1.2) \(M \geq 10\), so that \(4M, y, y\), and \(2y\) are small compared to \((3M - 2)M\). Hence,
\[
\frac{dy}{dx} \approx \frac{3M - 2}{3M - 2} \times \frac{x^2}{M} = \frac{x^2}{M}.
\]
Accordingly,
\[
\frac{dy}{dx} \approx \frac{1}{M^3}.
\]

**III. THE FINE STRUCTURE CONSTANT INVERSE**

Now inspection reveals that \(M = 10\) and \(N = 3\) are the smallest positive integers fulfilling Eq. (2.2). For this **minimal case** Eq. (2.1) gives
\[
\left(\frac{10 - y}{3}\right)^3 + (10 - y)^2 = \frac{10^3 - x^3}{3^3} + 10^2 - x^3.
\]
Then, by Theorem \[\|\] at
\[
x = \frac{1}{M} = 0.1
\]
we get
\[
\frac{dy}{dx} \approx \frac{1}{M^3} = 0.001.
\]

where Eq. (2.1) gives
\[
y = 0.00003333340873.
\]
Moreover, substituting \(M = 10\) into Eq. (2.5) gives
\[
\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{28x^2}{(28 - y)(10 - y)} \approx 0.001 000 004 524,
\]
which shows the approximation’s excellent accuracy.

The key point, however, is that this solution to Eq. (2.1) simultaneously produces
\[
h(x) = \frac{M^3 - x^3}{N^3} + M^2 - x^3 = \frac{10^3 - 0.1^3}{3^3} + 10^3 - 0.1^3 = 137.036,
\]
the fine structure constant inverse approximation promised at the outset, fit to within seven parts per billion \[\|\]. Hence, Theorem \[\|\] will be termed The FSC Theorem, and Eq. (2.1) The FSC Equation. In this way this excellent approximation occurs as the natural and uniquely minimal result of the analysis of the above pair of closely-related functions, showing that 137.036 is relevant to pure mathematics independent of its role as a constant well known to physicists.

**IV. THE QUARK AND LEPTON MIXING ANGLES**

But the above solution also gives
\[
j(y) = \left(\frac{M - y}{3}\right)^3 + (M - y)^2 \approx \left(\frac{10}{3} - 0.0000333334\right)^3 + (10 - 0.0000333334)^2 = 137.036,
\]
whose four terms can be replicated, within the limits of experimental error, by the sines squared of the six quark and lepton mixing angles \[\|\|\|\|\|:\]
\[
M/3 = 1/\sin^2 L12 \quad 1/\sin^2 L12
\]
\[
y/3 = \sin^2 Q13 \quad \sin^2 Q13
\]
\[
M = \sin^2 L23 \times 1/\sin^2 Q12 \quad \sin^2 L23 \times 1/\sin^2 Q12
\]
\[
y = \sin^2 Q23 \times \sin^2 L13.
\]
Accordingly,
\[
10/3 = 1/\sin^2 L12 \quad (4.1a)
\]
\[
0.0000333334/3 \approx \sin^2 Q13 \quad (4.1b)
\]
\[
10 = \sin^2 L23 \times 1/\sin^2 Q12 \quad (4.1c)
\]
\[
0.0000333334 \approx \sin^2 Q23 \times \sin^2 L13 \quad (4.1d).
\]
TABLE I: Quark mixing data compared against predictions.

|                  | $|V_{ub}|$   | $|V_{cd}|$   |
|------------------|------------|------------|
| Prediction       | 0.2236     | 0.003333   |
| 2012 $^a$        | 0.22534 $^{+0.00015}_{-0.00015}$ | 0.00351 $^{+0.00014}_{-0.00014}$ |
| Error in SD     | 2.7        | 1.3        |

$^a$Ref. 3. Particle Data Group 1σ global fit.

TABLE II: Lepton mixing data compared against predictions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\sin^2 L_{12}$</th>
<th>$\sin^2 L_{23}$</th>
<th>$\sin^2 L_{13}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prediction</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0198$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 (Aug.)$^b$</td>
<td>$0.320^{+0.016}_{-0.017}$</td>
<td>$0.427^{+0.034}_{-0.027}$</td>
<td>$0.0246^{+0.0029}_{-0.0028}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error in SD</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$This prediction rests on the assumption that $Q_{23} = 2.35^\circ$, which derives from [3]. See [5] for a mixing model predicting all six mixing angles, where $Q_{23} \approx 2.367442^\circ$, making $L_{13} \approx 8.034394^\circ$ and $\sin^2 L_{13} \approx 0.01953$.

$^b$Ref. 4. A 1σ global fit that assumes the normal hierarchy.

V. CONCLUSION

Consider that Theorem 1 is purely mathematical: it possesses neither constants nor equations chosen for physical reasons, which means that whatever physical predictivity it achieves has not been superimposed by a succession of expedient choices. And yet its minimal case gives values that reproduce four of the six mixing angles, as well as eight decimal digits of the precisely-measured fine structure constant. For this theorem to generate closely either the above angles or the fine structure constant would, by itself, be powerful evidence that it has physical significance; as it is, it closely reproduces both.

Moreover, [7] offers an alternative to Theorem 1 that employs even simpler initial assumptions, while still managing to produce the same empirical constants, a remarkable result given the greater economy of this more fundamental approach.

And, finally, the author has shown that rotation matrices can be used to impose three additional, entirely independent constraints on the mixing angles, where these constraints mesh neatly with the framework described in this article and make possible a model fitting all six mixing angles [3]. Such convergence of independent approaches is likewise unlikely to occur purely coincidentally.


