

# PRIMALITY TEST FOR FERMAT NUMBERS USING QUARTIC RECURRENCE EQUATION

PREDRAG TERZICH

ABSTRACT. We present deterministic primality test for Fermat numbers ,  $F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1$  , where  $n \geq 2$  . Essentially this test is similar to the Lucas-Lehmer primality test for Mersenne numbers.

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

Fermat numbers were first studied by Pierre de Fermat , who conjectured that all Fermat numbers are prime. This conjecture was refuted by Leonhard Euler in 1732 when he showed that  $F_5$  is composite . It is known that  $F_n$  is composite for  $5 \leq n \leq 32$  . Question,are there infinitely many Fermat primes is still an open problem . In 1856 Edouard Lucas has developed primality test for Mersenne numbers . Test was improved by Lucas in 1878 and Derrick Lehmer in 1930 s. The test uses a sequence  $S_i$  defined by  $S_0 = 4$  and  $S_{i+1} = S_i^2 - 2$  for  $i \geq 1$  . Mersenne number  $M_p$  is prime if and only if  $M_p$  divides  $S_{p-2}$  .

In this paper we give primality test for Fermat numbers using quartic recursive equation :  $S_i = S_{i-1}^4 - 4S_{i-1}^2 + 2$  . The test uses a sequence defined by this recursion .

## 2. THE TEST AND PROOF OF CORRECTNESS

2.1. **The test.** Let  $F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1$  with  $n \geq 2$  . In pseudocode the test might be written :

```
//Determine if  $F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1$  is prime
FermatPrime( $n$ )
var  $S = 8$ 
var  $F = 2^{2^n} + 1$ 
repeat  $2^{n-1} - 1$  times :
 $S = (((S \times S) - 2) \times ((S \times S) - 2) - 2) \pmod{F}$ 
if  $S = 0$  return PRIME else return COMPOSITE
```

---

*Date:* January 11 , 2012.

**2.2. Proof of correctness.** Let us define sequence  $S_i$  as :

$$S_i = \begin{cases} 8 & \text{if } i = 0; \\ (S_{i-1}^2 - 2)^2 - 2 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 2.1.**  $F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1, (n \geq 2)$  is a prime if and only if  $F_n$  divides  $S_{2^{n-1}-1}$  .

*Proof.* Let us define  $\omega = 4 + \sqrt{15}$  and  $\bar{\omega} = 4 - \sqrt{15}$  and then define

$$\begin{aligned} L_n & \text{ to be } \omega^{2^{2^n}} + \bar{\omega}^{2^{2^n}}, \text{ we get } L_0 = \omega + \bar{\omega} = 8, \text{ and} \\ L_{n+1} & = \omega^{2^{2^{n+2}}} + \bar{\omega}^{2^{2^{n+2}}} = (\omega^{2^{2^{n+1}}})^2 + (\bar{\omega}^{2^{2^{n+1}}})^2 = \\ & = (\omega^{2^{2^{n+1}}} + \bar{\omega}^{2^{2^{n+1}}})^2 - 2 \cdot \omega^{2^{2^{n+1}}} \cdot \bar{\omega}^{2^{2^{n+1}}} = \\ & = ((\omega^{2^{2^n}} + \bar{\omega}^{2^{2^n}})^2 - 2 \cdot \omega^{2^{2^n}} \cdot \bar{\omega}^{2^{2^n}})^2 - 2 \cdot \omega^{2^{2^{n+1}}} \cdot \bar{\omega}^{2^{2^{n+1}}} = \\ & = ((\omega^{2^{2^n}} + \bar{\omega}^{2^{2^n}})^2 - 2 \cdot (\omega \cdot \bar{\omega})^{2^{2^n}})^2 - 2 \cdot (\omega \cdot \bar{\omega})^{2^{2^{n+1}}} \end{aligned}$$

and since  $\omega \cdot \bar{\omega} = 1$  we get :

$$L_{n+1} = (L_n^2 - 2)^2 - 2$$

Because the  $L_n$  satisfy the same inductive definition as the sequence  $S_i$  , the two sequences must be the same .

**Proof of necessity :**

If  $2^{2^n} + 1$  is prime then  $S_{2^{n-1}-1}$  is divisible by  $2^{2^n} + 1$

We rely on simplification of the proof of Lucas-Lehmer test by Oystein J. R. Odseth , see [1]. First notice that 3 is quadratic non-residue (mod  $F_n$ ) and that 5 is quadratic non-residue (mod  $F_n$ ) . Euler's criterion then gives us :

$$3^{\frac{F_n-1}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{F_n} \text{ and } 5^{\frac{F_n-1}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{F_n}$$

On the other hand 2 is a quadratic-residue (mod  $F_n$ ) , Euler's criterion gives:

$$2^{\frac{F_n-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{F_n}$$

Next define  $\sigma = 2\sqrt{15}$  , and define  $X$  as the multiplicative group of  $\{a + b\sqrt{15} | a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{F_n}\}$  . We will use following lemmas :

**Lemma 2.1. :**  $(x + y)^{F_n} = x^{F_n} + y^{F_n} \pmod{F_n}$

**Lemma 2.2. :**  $a^{F_n} \equiv a \pmod{F_n}$  (Fermat little theorem)

Then in group  $X$  we have :

$$\begin{aligned} (6 + \sigma)^{F_n} & \equiv (6)^{F_n} + (\sigma)^{F_n} \pmod{F_n} = \\ & = 6 + (2\sqrt{15})^{F_n} \pmod{F_n} = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 6 + 2^{F_n} \cdot 15^{\frac{F_n-1}{2}} \cdot \sqrt{15} \pmod{F_n} = \\
&= 6 + 2 \cdot 3^{\frac{F_n-1}{2}} \cdot 5^{\frac{F_n-1}{2}} \cdot \sqrt{15} \pmod{F_n} = \\
&= 6 + 2 \cdot (-1) \cdot (-1) \cdot \sqrt{15} \pmod{F_n} = \\
&= 6 + 2\sqrt{15} \pmod{F_n} = (6 + \sigma) \pmod{F_n}
\end{aligned}$$

We chose  $\sigma$  such that  $\omega = \frac{(6+\sigma)^2}{24}$ . We can use this to compute  $\omega^{\frac{F_n-1}{2}}$  in the group  $X$  :

$$\omega^{\frac{F_n-1}{2}} = \frac{(6+\sigma)^{F_n-1}}{24^{\frac{F_n-1}{2}}} = \frac{(6+\sigma)^{F_n}}{(6+\sigma) \cdot 24^{\frac{F_n-1}{2}}} \equiv \frac{(6+\sigma)}{(6+\sigma) \cdot (-1)} \pmod{F_n} = -1 \pmod{F_n}$$

where we use fact that :

$$24^{\frac{F_n-1}{2}} = (2^{\frac{F_n-1}{2}})^3 \cdot (3^{\frac{F_n-1}{2}}) \equiv (1^3) \cdot (-1) \pmod{F_n} = -1 \pmod{F_n}$$

So we have shown that :

$$\omega^{\frac{F_n-1}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{F_n}$$

If we write this as  $\omega^{\frac{2^{2^n}+1-1}{2}} = \omega^{2^{2^n-1}} = \omega^{2^{2^n-2}} \cdot \omega^{2^{2^n-2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{F_n}$ , multiply both sides by  $\bar{\omega}^{2^{2^n-2}}$ , and put both terms on the left hand side to write this as :  
 $\omega^{2^{2^n-2}} + \bar{\omega}^{2^{2^n-2}} \equiv 0 \pmod{F_n}$   
 $\omega^{2^{2(2^{n-1}-1)}} + \bar{\omega}^{2^{2(2^{n-1}-1)}} \equiv 0 \pmod{F_n} \Rightarrow S_{2^{n-1}-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{F_n}$

Since the left hand side is an integer this means therefore that  $S_{2^{n-1}-1}$  must be divisible by  $2^{2^n} + 1$ .

### Proof of sufficiency :

If  $S_{2^{n-1}-1}$  is divisible by  $2^{2^n} + 1$ , then  $2^{2^n} + 1$  is prime

We rely on simplification of the proof of Lucas-Lehmer test by J. W. Bruce, see [2]. If  $2^{2^n} + 1$  is not prime then it must be divisible by some prime factor  $F$  less than or equal to the square root of  $2^{2^n} + 1$ . From the hypothesis  $S_{2^{n-1}-1}$  is divisible by  $2^{2^n} + 1$  so  $S_{2^{n-1}-1}$  is also multiple of  $F$ , so we can write :

$\omega^{2^{2(2^{n-1}-1)}} + \bar{\omega}^{2^{2(2^{n-1}-1)}} = K \cdot F$ , for some integer  $K$ . We can write this equality as :

$$\omega^{2^{2^n-2}} + \bar{\omega}^{2^{2^n-2}} = K \cdot F$$

Note that  $\omega \cdot \bar{\omega} = 1$  so we can multiply both sides by  $\omega^{2^{2^n-2}}$  and rewrite

this relation as :

$$\omega^{2^{2^n-1}} = K \cdot F \cdot \omega^{2^{2^n-2}} - 1 . \text{ If we square both sides we get :}$$

$$\omega^{2^{2^n}} = (K \cdot F \cdot \omega^{2^{2^n-2}} - 1)^2$$

Now consider the set of numbers  $a + b\sqrt{15}$  for integers  $a$  and  $b$  where  $a + b\sqrt{15}$  and  $c + d\sqrt{15}$  are considered equivalent if  $a$  and  $c$  differ by a multiple of  $F$  , and the same is true for  $b$  and  $d$  . There are  $F^2$  of these numbers , and addition and multiplication can be verified to be well-defined on sets of equivalent numbers. Given the element  $\omega$  (considered as representative of an equivalence class) , the associative law allows us to use exponential notation for repeated products :  $\omega^n = \omega \cdot \omega \cdots \omega$  , where the product contains  $n$  factors and the usual rules for exponents can be justified . Consider the sequence of elements  $\omega, \omega^2, \omega^3 \dots$  . Because  $\omega$  has the inverse  $\bar{\omega}$  every element in this sequence has an inverse . So there can be at most  $F^2 - 1$  different elements of this sequence. Thus there must be at least two different exponents where  $\omega^j = \omega^k$  with  $j < k \leq F^2$  . Multiply  $j$  times by inverse of  $\omega$  to get that  $\omega^{k-j} = 1$  with  $1 \leq k - j \leq F^2 - 1$  .

So we have proven that  $\omega$  satisfies  $\omega^n = 1$  for some positive exponent  $n$  less than or equal to  $F^2 - 1$  . Define the order of  $\omega$  to be smallest positive integer  $d$  such that  $\omega^d = 1$  . So if  $n$  is any other positive integer satisfying  $\omega^n = 1$  then  $n$  must be multiple of  $d$  . Write  $n = q \cdot d + r$  with  $r < d$  . Then if  $r \neq 0$  we have  $1 = \omega^n = \omega^{q \cdot d + r} = (\omega^d)^q \cdot \omega^r = 1^q \cdot \omega^r = \omega^r$  contradicting the minimality of  $d$  so  $r = 0$  and  $n$  is multiple of  $d$  .

The relation  $\omega^{2^{2^n}} = (K \cdot F \cdot \omega^{2^{2^n-2}} - 1)^2$  shows that  $\omega^{2^{2^n}} \equiv 1 \pmod{F}$  . So that  $2^{2^n}$  must be multiple of the order of  $\omega$  . But the relation  $\omega^{2^{2^n-1}} = K \cdot F \cdot \omega^{2^{2^n-2}} - 1$  shows that  $\omega^{2^{2^n-1}} \equiv -1 \pmod{F}$  so the order cannot be any proper factor of  $2^{2^n}$  , therefore the order must be  $2^{2^n}$  . Since this order is less than or equal to  $F^2 - 1$  and  $F$  is less or equal to the square root of  $2^{2^n} + 1$  we have relation :  $2^{2^n} \leq F^2 - 1 \leq 2^{2^n}$  . This is true only if  $2^{2^n} = F^2 - 1 \Rightarrow 2^{2^n} + 1 = F^2$  . We will show that Fermat number cannot be square of prime factor .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Any prime divisor  $p$  of  $F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1$  is of the form  $k \cdot 2^{n+2} + 1$  whenever  $n$  is greater than one .*

*Proof.* For proof see [3]

□

So prime factor  $F$  must be of the form  $k \cdot 2^{n+2} + 1$  , therefore we can write :

$$2^{2^n} + 1 = (k \cdot 2^{n+2} + 1)^2$$

$$2^{2^n} + 1 = k^2 \cdot 2^{2n+4} + 2 \cdot k \cdot 2^{n+2} + 1$$

$$2^{2^n} = k \cdot 2^{n+3} \cdot (k \cdot 2^{n+1} + 1)$$

The last equality cannot be true since  $k \cdot 2^{n+1} + 1$  is an odd number and  $2^{2^n}$  has no odd prime factors so  $2^{2^n} + 1 \neq F^2$  and therefore we have relation  $2^{2^n} < F^2 - 1 < 2^{2^n}$  which is contradiction so therefore  $2^{2^n} + 1$  must be prime .

□

### 3. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to express my gratitude to Bojan Terzich for grammatical improvement of the text .

### REFERENCES

1. Proof of necessity by Oystein J. R. Odseth available at :  
*[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lucas-Lehmer\\_primality\\_test](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lucas-Lehmer_primality_test)*
2. Proof of sufficiency by J. W. Bruce available at :  
*[http://www.mersennewiki.org/index.php/Lucas-Lehmer\\_Test](http://www.mersennewiki.org/index.php/Lucas-Lehmer_Test)*
3. Proof of Edouard Lucas theorem available at :  
*[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fermat\\_number](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fermat_number)*  
*E-mail address: tersit26@gmail.com*