

# A Comment On arXiv:1110.2685

Armando V.D.B. Assis  
*Departamento de Física,*  
*Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina,*  
*88040-900 Florianópolis, SC, Brazil*

(Dated: October 15, 2011)

This brief paper traces comments on the article arXiv:1110.2685. It seems there is an intrinsic misconception within its claimed solution, since an intrinsic proper time reasoning leads to the assumption the OPERA collaboration interprets a time variation as a proper time when correcting time intervals between a GPS frame and the grounded baseline frame.

## AN INTRINSICAL PROPER TIME REASONING, MISCONCEPTED BY THE OPERA COLLABORATION?

The author of the article arXiv:1110.2685 uses the designation: *from the perspective of the clock...* Within the approach used by the author, via special relativity, the GPS frame of reference must use **two** distinct but synchronized clocks to tag the instants at  $A$  and  $B$ . The Eq. (2) in arXiv:1110.2685 should be obtained via the Lorentz transformation for the neutrino events of departure from  $A$  and arrival to  $B$ . Let  $(x_A, t_A)$  and  $(x_B, t_B)$  be the spacetime events of departure and arrival of the neutrino in the baseline reference frame  $K$ , respectively. The time interval spent by the neutrino to accomplish the travel in the arXiv:1110.2685 GPS reference frame  $K'$  is:

$$\delta t' = (1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2} \left[ (t_B - t_A) - \frac{v}{c^2} (x_B - x_A) \right], \quad (1)$$

in virtue of the canonical Lorentz transformation for time in  $K'$  as a function of the spacetime coordinates in  $K$ , where  $v$  is the assumed boost of  $K'$  in relation to  $K$  in the baseline direction  $AB$ ,  $c$  the speed of light in the empty space. With  $\delta t = t_B - t_A$ ,  $\delta x = x_B - x_A = S_{baseline}$ ,  $\delta x = v_\nu \delta t$ , where  $v_\nu$  is the neutrino velocity along the  $AB$  direction, the eq. (1) reads:

$$\delta t' = (1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2} S_{baseline} \left( \frac{1}{v_\nu} - \frac{v}{c^2} \right). \quad (2)$$

With  $v_\nu = c$ ,  $\gamma = \sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$ ,  $\delta t' \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{clock}$ , as defined in arXiv:1110.2685, the Eq. (2) here becomes the Eq. (2)

in arXiv:1110.2685:

$$\tau_{clock} = \frac{\gamma S_{baseline}}{c + v} \Rightarrow c\tau_{clock} + v\tau_{clock} = \gamma S_{baseline}. \quad (3)$$

**But:**

- $\delta t' \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{clock}$  is not a proper time (it is a time interval measured by distinct clocks at different spatial positions in  $K'$ ); hence: why would the OPERA collaboration correct  $\delta t' \stackrel{!}{=} \tau_{clock}$  via  $\delta t = \delta t' / \gamma$ , as claimed via the Eq. (5) in arXiv:1110.2685?
- Such correction would be plausible if the events of departure and arrival of the neutrino had the same spatial coordinate  $x'_A = x'_B$  in the GPS  $K'$  frame of reference, but it is not the case.

Concluding, it seems unlikely that the OPERA collaboration has misinterpreted a GPS time interval.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A.V.D.B.A is grateful to Y.H.V.H and CNPq for financial support.

