## Using gravitation to emulate electromagnetism

S. Halayka\*

August 20, 2010

## **Abstract**

The possibility of universe-scale black holes living in closed 3D space of constant positive curvature was briefly considered in previous work. Further consideration of this possibility is given here. A possible link between gravitation and electromagnetism is discussed.

## 1 Introduction

Where  $R_U$  is the Euclidean 4-radius of a universe that is bound by a closed 3D space of constant positive curvature [1–3], an equatorial-scale Schwarzschild black hole (e.g., where event horizon area is  $A = 4\pi R_U^2$ ) has a rest energy  $E_0$  of

$$E_0 = E_{\text{eq}} = \frac{E_p R_U}{2\ell_p}.\tag{1}$$

Consider black holes of all scales where  $A \neq 0$ . The black hole event horizon colatitude  $\Phi = (0, \pi)$  is

$$\begin{cases} & \text{if } E_{0} < E_{\text{eq}} \quad \text{then } \Phi = \arccos\sqrt{\frac{E_{p}^{2}R_{U}^{2} - 4(E_{0}^{2}\ell_{p}^{2})}{E_{p}^{2}R_{U}^{2}}}, \\ & \text{if } E_{0} = E_{\text{eq}} \quad \text{then } \Phi = \frac{1}{2}\pi, \\ & \text{if } E_{0} > E_{\text{eq}} \quad \text{then } \Phi = 1 - \arccos\sqrt{\frac{E_{p}^{2}R_{U}^{2} - 4([2E_{\text{eq}} - E_{0}]^{2}\ell_{p}^{2})}{E_{p}^{2}R_{U}^{2}}}, \end{cases}$$
(2)

and the black hole event horizon area  $A = (0, 4\pi R_U^2)$  is

$$A = 4\pi (R_U^2 - R_U^2 \cos^2 \Phi). \tag{3}$$

The black hole's entropy is

$$S = 4\pi \frac{E_0^2}{E_p^2}. (4)$$

<sup>\*</sup>shalayka@gmail.com

Where  $E_0 \le E_{eq}$ , the entropy to area ratio is constant

$$\frac{S}{A} \equiv \frac{1}{4\ell_p^2} \approx 10^{69}.\tag{5}$$

Else, where  $2E_{eq} > E_0 > E_{eq}$ , the entropy to area ratio is variable<sup>1</sup>

$$\frac{S}{A} = \left(\frac{1}{4\ell_p^2}, \infty\right). \tag{6}$$

The increase in entropy to area ratio is equivalent to an increase in interaction strength<sup>2</sup>

$$G' = \frac{4SG^2\hbar}{Ac^3} = (G, \infty). \tag{7}$$

For instance, by manually setting  $G' = G \times 10^{40} \approx 6.67 \times 10^{29}$  in an attempt to emulate the electromagnetic interaction, the result is that  $S/A \approx 10^{109}$ , and that the corresponding length scale

$$\ell' = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G'}{c^3}} \tag{8}$$

is  $\ell' \approx 10^{-15}$ .

Do these results imply that electromagnetically interacting fundamental particles are universe-scale black holes (e.g.,  $\Phi \approx \pi$ ,  $A \approx 0$ ,  $E_0 \approx 10^{69}$  where  $R_U \approx 10^{25}$ )? If so, then it can be seen why the Heisenberg uncertainty principle implies that a fundamental particle is everywhere at once. This is because the interior of a fundamental particle (e.g., a universe-scale black hole) would literally envelop everything else. As well, it seems likely that a fundamental particle's large surplus of hidden energy (e.g.,  $E_0 - 8 \times 10^{-14} \approx E_0$  Joules for an electron) would serve as the source of its "virtual" energy.

Is the concept of universe-scale black holes somehow related to the concept of dimensional compactification (e.g., the winding of energy)?<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The concept of the Landau pole can be avoided if  $A=16\pi\ell_p^2\approx 10^{-68}$  is taken to be the minimum event horizon area for both standard-scale (e.g.,  $\Phi\approx 0$ ) and universe-scale (e.g.,  $\Phi\approx \pi$ ) black holes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This relationship between entropy to area ratio and interaction strength also applies to standard-scale black holes (e.g.,  $\Phi \approx 0$ ). As an external test particle travels radially inward toward the black hole's event horizon, the "horizon" area at the particle's radial distance (e.g.,  $A_h = 4\pi r^2$ ) decreases over time while the black hole's entropy remains constant (e.g., barring Hawking radiation and test particle mass-energy). As such, the strength of gravitation increases alongside the entropy to area ratio as the test particle gets closer to the event horizon. At the event horizon of a standard black hole, the entropy to area ratio is equal to  $1/(4\ell_p^2)$  (e.g., the escape velocity is equal to c).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ A numerology: No compactification leads to one charge type (e.g., mass), and a characteristic hidden energy per particle of  $\sim$  0. A single compactification (e.g., North-South via the parameter  $\Phi$ , like discussed in this paper) leads to two charge types (e.g., -, +), and a hidden energy of  $\sim$   $2E_{eq}$ . A double compactification (e.g., North-South-East-West via the parameter set  $\{\Phi_{\rm NS}^{\rm weak}, \Phi_{\rm EW}^{\rm weak}\})$  leads to four charge types (e.g., manifested by the  $W^-$ ,  $W^+$ ,  $W^0$ ,  $B^0$  particles), and a hidden energy of  $\sim$   $4E_{eq}$ . A triple compactification (e.g., North-South-East-West-Up-Down via  $\{\Phi_{\rm NS}^{\rm strong}, \Phi_{\rm EW}^{\rm strong}\})$  leads to six charge types (e.g., RGB, CMY), and a hidden energy of  $\sim$   $6E_{eq}$ . By adding the 1 large time and 3 large spatial dimensions that are required by general relativity to the (1+2+3=6) compactified spatial dimensions that are required by the three Standard Model interactions, one arrives at the familiar 10 spacetime dimensions of Supersymmetric String Theory. However, if universe-scale black holes do indeed exist, then only the 4 spacetime dimensions of general relativity would be actual. The remaining 6 spatial dimensions would be entirely fictitious.

## References

- [1] Halayka S. Can the Edges of a Complete Graph Form a Radially Symmetric Field in Closed Space of Constant Positive Curvature? (2010) viXra:1007.0039
- [2] Halayka S. Closed space fitness test C++ code v1.1. (2010) http://code.google.com/p/completegraphcurved/downloads/list
- [3] Misner CW, Thorne KS, Wheeler JA. Gravitation. (1973) ISBN: 978-0716703440