

Could the dynamics of Kähler action predict the hierarchy of Planck constants?

M. Pitkänen

Email: matpitka@luukku.com.

http://tgdtheory.com/public_html/.

January 18, 2012

Abstract

The original justification for the hierarchy of Planck constants came from the indications that Planck constant could have large values in both astrophysical systems involving dark matter and also in biology. The realization of the hierarchy in terms of the singular coverings and possibly also factor spaces of CD and CP_2 emerged from consistency conditions. It however seems that TGD actually predicts this hierarchy of covering spaces. The extreme non-linearity of the field equations defined by Kähler action means that the correspondence between canonical momentum densities and time derivatives of the imbedding space coordinates is 1-to-many. This leads naturally to the introduction of the covering space of $CD \times CP_2$, where CD denotes causal diamond defined as intersection of future and past directed light-cones.

Keywords: TGD, hierarchy of Planck constants, covering spaces, Kähler action, quantum criticality.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	1-1 correspondence between canonical momentum densities and time derivatives fails for Kähler action	2
3	Do the coverings forces by the many-valuedness of $\partial_0 h^k$ correspond to the coverings associated with the hierarchy of Planck constants?	3
4	Connection with the criticality of preferred extremals	4

1 Introduction

The original justification for the hierarchy of Planck constants came from the indications that Planck constant could have large values in both astrophysical systems involving dark matter and also in biology. The realization of the hierarchy in terms of the singular coverings and possibly also factor spaces of CD and CP_2 emerged from consistency conditions (CD denotes causal diamond defined as intersection of future and past directed light-cones [3]). The formula for the Planck constant involves heuristic guess work and physical plausibility arguments. There are good arguments in favor of the hypothesis that only coverings are possible. Only a finite number of pages of the Big Book correspond to a given value of Planck constant, biological evolution corresponds to a gradual dispersion to the pages of the Big Book with larger Planck constant, and a connection with the hierarchy of infinite primes and p-adicization program based on the mathematical realization of finite measurement resolution emerges.

One can however ask whether this hierarchy could emerge directly from the basic quantum TGD rather than as a separate hypothesis. The following arguments suggest that this might be possible. One finds also a precise geometric interpretation of preferred extremal property interpreted as criticality in zero energy ontology.

2 1-1 correspondence between canonical momentum densities and time derivatives fails for Kähler action

The basic motivation for the geometrization program was the observation that canonical quantization for TGD fails. To see what is involved let us try to perform a canonical quantization in zero energy ontology at the 3-D surfaces located at the light-like boundaries of $CD \times CP_2$.

1. In canonical quantization canonical momentum densities $\pi_k^0 \equiv \pi_k = \partial L_K / \partial(\partial_0 h^k)$, where $\partial_0 h^k$ denotes the time derivative of imbedding space coordinate, are the physically natural quantities in terms of which to fix the initial values: once their value distribution is fixed also conserved charges are fixed. Also the weak form of electric-magnetic duality given by $J^{03} \sqrt{g_4} = 4\pi \alpha_K J_{12}$ and a mild generalization of this condition to be discussed below can be interpreted as a manner to fix the values of conserved gauge charges (not Noether charges) to their quantized values since Kähler magnetic flux equals to the integer giving the homology class of the (wormhole) throat. This condition alone need not characterize criticality, which requires an infinite number of deformations of X^4 for which the second variation of the Kähler action vanishes and implies infinite number conserved charges. This in fact gives hopes of replacing π_k with these conserved Noether charges.
2. Canonical quantization requires that $\partial_0 h^k$ in the energy is expressed in terms of π_k . The equation defining π_k in terms of $\partial_0 h^k$ is however highly non-linear although algebraic. By taking squares the equations reduces to equations for rational functions of $\partial_0 h^k$. $\partial_0 h^k$ appears in contravariant and covariant metric at most quadratically and in the induced Kähler electric field linearly and by multiplying the equations by $\det(g_4)^3$ one can transform the equations to a polynomial form so that in principle $\partial_0 h^k$ can be obtained as a solution of polynomial equations.
3. One can always eliminate one half of the coordinates by choosing 4 imbedding space coordinates as the coordinates of the spacetime surface so that the initial value conditions reduce to those for the canonical momentum densities associated with the remaining four coordinates. For instance, for space-time surfaces representable as map $M^4 \rightarrow CP_2$ M^4 coordinates are natural and the time derivatives $\partial_0 s^k$ of CP_2 coordinates are multivalued. One would obtain four polynomial equations with $\partial_0 s^k$ as unknowns. In regions where CP_2 projection is 4-dimensional -in particular for the deformations of CP_2 vacuum extremals the natural coordinates are CP_2 coordinates and one can regard $\partial_0 m^k$ as unknowns. For the deformations of cosmic strings, which are of form $X^4 = X^2 \times Y^2 \subset M^4 \times CP_2$, one can use coordinates of $M^2 \times S^2$, where S^2 is geodesic sphere as natural coordinates and regard as unknowns E^2 coordinates and remaining CP_2 coordinates.
4. One can imagine solving one of the four polynomials equations for time derivatives in terms of other obtaining N roots. Then one would substitute these roots to the remaining 3 conditions to obtain algebraic equations from which one solves then second variable. Obviously situation is very complex without additional symmetries. The criticality of the preferred extremals might however give additional conditions allowing simplifications. The reasons for giving up the canonical quantization program was following. For the vacuum extremals of Kähler action π_k are however identically vanishing and this means that there is an infinite number of value distributions for $\partial_0 h^k$. For small deformations of vacuum extremals one might however hope a finite number of solutions to the conditions and thus finite number of space-time surfaces carrying same conserved charges.

If one assumes that physics is characterized by the values of the conserved charges one must treat the the many-valuedness of $\partial_0 h^k$. The most obvious guess is that one should replace the space of space-like 4-surfaces corresponding to different roots $\partial_0 h^k = F^k(\pi_l)$ with four-surfaces in the covering space of $CD \times CP_2$ corresponding to different branches of the many-valued function $\partial_0 h^k = F(\pi_l)$ co-inciding at the ends of CD .

3 Do the coverings forced by the many-valuedness of $\partial_0 h^k$ correspond to the coverings associated with the hierarchy of Planck constants?

The obvious question is whether this covering space actually corresponds to the covering spaces associated with the hierarchy of Planck constants. This would conform with quantum classical correspondence. The hierarchy of Planck constants and hierarchy of covering spaces was introduced to cure the failure of the perturbation theory at quantum level. At classical level the multivaluedness of $\partial_0 h^k$ means a failure of perturbative canonical quantization and forces the introduction of the covering spaces. The interpretation would be that when the density of matter becomes critical the space-time surface splits to several branches so that the density at each branches is sub-critical. It is of course not at all obvious whether the proposed structure of the Big Book is really consistent with this hypothesis and one also consider modifications of this structure if necessary. The manner to proceed is by making questions.

1. The proposed picture would give only single integer characterizing the covering. Two integers assignable to CD and CP_2 degrees of freedom are however needed. How these two coverings could emerge?
 - (a) One should fix also the values of $\pi_k^n = \partial L_K / \partial h_n^k$, where n refers to space-like normal coordinate at the wormhole throats. If one requires that charges do not flow between regions with different signatures of the metric the natural condition is $\pi_k^n = 0$ and allows also multi-valued solution. Since wormhole throats carry magnetic charge and since weak form of electric-magnetic duality is assumed, one can assume that CP_2 projection is four-dimensional so that one can use CP_2 coordinates and regard $\partial_0 m^k$ as unknowns. The basic idea about topological condensation in turn suggests that M^4 projection can be assumed to be 4-D inside space-like 3-surfaces so that here $\partial_0 s^k$ are the unknowns. At partonic 2-surfaces one would have conditions for both π_k^0 and π_k^n . One might hope that the numbers of solutions are finite for preferred extremals because of their symmetries and given by n_a for $\partial_0 m^k$ and by n_b for $\partial_0 s^k$. The optimistic guess is that n_a and n_b corresponds to the numbers of sheets for singular coverings of CD and CP_2 . The covering could be visualized as replacement of space-time surfaces with space-time surfaces which have $n_a n_b$ branches. n_b branches would degenerate to single branch at the ends of diagrams of the generated Feynman graph and n_a branches would degenerate to single one at wormhole throats.
 - (b) This picture is not quite correct yet. The fixing of π_k^0 and π_k^n should relate closely to the effective 2-dimensionality as an additional condition perhaps crucial for criticality. One could argue that both π_k^0 and π_k^n must be fixed at X^3 and X_l^3 in order to effectively bring in dynamics in two directions so that X^3 could be interpreted as an orbit of partonic 2-surface in space-like direction and X_l^3 as its orbit in light-like direction. The additional conditions could be seen as gauge conditions made possible by symplectic and Kac-Moody type conformal symmetries. The conditions for π_k^0 would give n_b branches in CP_2 degrees of freedom and the conditions for π_k^n would split each of these branches to n_a branches.
 - (c) The existence of these two kinds of conserved charges (possibly vanishing for π_k^n) could relate also very closely to the slicing of the space-time sheets by string world sheets and partonic 2-surfaces.
2. Should one then treat these branches as separate space-time surfaces or as a single space-time surface? The treatment as a single surface seems to be the correct thing to do. Classically the conserved charges would be $n_a n_b$ times larger than for single branch. Kähler action need not (but could!) be same for different branches but the total action is $n_a n_b$ times the average action and this effectively corresponds to the replacement of the \hbar_0 / g_K^2 factor of the action with \hbar / g_K^2 , $r \equiv \hbar / \hbar_0 = n_a n_b$. Since the conserved quantum charges are proportional to \hbar one could argue that $r = n_a n_b$ tells only that the charge conserved charge is $n_a n_b$ times larger than without multi-valuedness. \hbar would be only effectively $n_a n_b$ fold. This is of course poor man's argument but might catch something essential about the situation.

3. How could one interpret the condition $J^{03}\sqrt{g_4} = 4\pi\alpha_K J_{12}$ and its generalization to be discussed below in this framework? The first observation is that the total Kähler electric charge is by $\alpha_K \propto 1/(n_a n_b)$ same always. The interpretation would be in terms of charge fractionization meaning that each branch would carry Kähler electric charge $Q_K = ng_K/n_a n_b$. I have indeed suggested explanation of charge fractionization and quantum Hall effect based on this picture [6].
4. The vision about the hierarchy of Planck constants involves also assumptions about imbedding space metric. The assumption that the M^4 covariant metric is proportional to \hbar^2 follows from the physical idea about \hbar scaling of quantum lengths as what Compton length is. One can always introduce scaled M^4 coordinates bringing M^4 metric into the standard form by scaling up the M^4 size of CD . It is not clear whether the scaling up of CD size follows automatically from the proposed scenario. The basic question is why the M^4 size scale of the critical extremals must scale like $n_a n_b$? This should somehow relate to the weak self-duality conditions implying that Kähler field at each branch is reduced by a factor $1/r$ at each branch. Field equations should possess a dynamical symmetry involving the scaling of CD by integer k and $J^{0\beta}\sqrt{g_4}$ and $J^{n\beta}\sqrt{g_4}$ by $1/k$. The scaling of CD should be due to the scaling up of the M^4 time interval during which the branched light-like 3-surface returns back to a non-branched one.
5. The proposed view about hierarchy of Planck constants is that the singular coverings reduce to single-sheeted coverings at $M^2 \subset M^4$ for CD and to $S^2 \subset CP_2$ for CP_2 . Here S^2 is any homologically trivial geodesic sphere of CP_2 and has vanishing Kähler form. Weak self-duality condition is indeed consistent with any value of \hbar and implies that the vacuum property for the partonic 2-surface implies vacuum property for the entire space-time sheet as holography indeed requires. This condition however generalizes. In weak self-duality conditions the value of \hbar is free for any 2-D Lagrangian sub-manifold of CP_2 .

The branching along M^2 would mean that the branches of preferred extremals always collapse to single branch when their M^4 projection belongs to M^2 . Magnetically charged light-light-like throats cannot have M^4 projection in M^2 so that self-duality conditions for different values of \hbar do not lead to inconsistencies. For spacelike 3-surfaces at the boundaries of CD the condition would mean that the M^4 projection becomes light-like geodesic. Straight cosmic strings would have M^2 as M^4 projection. Also CP_2 type vacuum extremals for which the random light-like projection in M^4 belongs to M^2 would represent this of situation. One can ask whether the degeneration of branches actually takes place along any string like object $X^2 \times Y^2$, where X^2 defines a minimal surface in M^4 . For these the weak self-duality condition would imply $\hbar = \infty$ at the ends of the string. It is very plausible that string like objects feed their magnetic fluxes to larger space-times sheets through wormhole contacts so that these conditions are not encountered.

4 Connection with the criticality of preferred extremals

Also a connection with quantum criticality and the criticality of the preferred extremals [3, 4, 5] suggests itself. Criticality for the preferred extremals must be a property of space-like 3-surfaces and light-like 3-surfaces with degenerate 4-metric and the degeneration of the $n_a n_b$ branches of the space-time surface at the its ends and at wormhole throats is exactly what happens at criticality. For instance, in catastrophe theory roots of the polynomial equation giving extrema of a potential as function of control parameters co-incide at criticality. If this picture is correct the hierarchy of Planck constants would be an outcome of criticality and of preferred extremal property and preferred extremals would be just those multi-branched space-time surfaces for which branches co-incide at the boundaries of $CD \times CP_2$ and at the throats.

References

- [1] M. Pitkänen (2006), *Quantum Physics as Infinite-Dimensional Geometry*.
http://tgtheory.com/public_html/tgdgeom/tgdgeom.html.

- [2] M. Pitkänen (2006), *Quantum TGD*.
http://tgdtheory.com/public_html/tgdquant/tgdquant.html.
- [3] The chapter *Identification of the Configuration Space Kähler Function* of [1].
http://tgdtheory.com/public_html/tgdgeom/tgdgeom.html#kahler.
- [4] The chapter *Configuration Space Spinor Structure* of [1].
http://tgdtheory.com/public_html/tgdgeom/tgdgeom.html#cspin.
- [5] The chapter *Does the Modified Dirac Equation Define the Fundamental Action Principle?* of [1].
http://tgdtheory.com/public_html/tgdgeom/tgdgeom.html#Dirac.
- [6] The chapter *Does TGD Predict the Spectrum of Planck Constants?* of [2].
http://tgdtheory.com/public_html/tgdquant/tgdquant.html#Planck.