

# How to Define Generalized Feynman Diagrams?

M. Pitkänen

Email: [matpitka@luukku.com](mailto:matpitka@luukku.com).

[http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public\\_html/](http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public_html/).

June 15, 2010

## Abstract

Generalized Feynman diagrams have become the central notion of quantum TGD and one might even say that space-time surfaces can be identified as generalized Feynman diagrams. The challenge is to assign a precise mathematical content for this notion, show their mathematical existence, and develop a machinery for calculating them. Zero energy ontology has led to a dramatic progress in the understanding of generalized Feynman diagrams at the level of fermionic degrees of freedom. In particular, manifest finiteness in these degrees of freedom follows trivially from the basic identifications as does also unitarity and non-trivial coupling constant evolution.

There are however several formidable looking challenges left.

1. One should perform the functional integral over WCW degrees of freedom for fixed values of on mass shell momenta appearing in the internal lines. After this one must perform integral or summation over loop momenta.
2. One must define the functional integral also in the p-adic context. p-Adic Fourier analysis relying on algebraic continuation raises hopes in this respect. p-Adicity suggests strongly that the loop momenta are discretized and ZEO predicts this kind of discretization naturally.

In this article a proposal giving excellent hopes for achieving these challenges is discussed.

**Keywords:** Feynman diagram, functional integral, symmetric space, p-adic numbers, algebraic universality.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Questions</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	What does finite measurement resolution mean? . . . . .	3
2.2	How to define integration in WCW degrees of freedom? . . . . .	4
2.3	How to define generalized Feynman diagrams? . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>Generalized Feynman diagrams at fermionic and momentum space level</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Virtual particles as pairs of on mass shell particles in ZEO . . . . .	6
3.2	Loop integrals are manifestly finite . . . . .	6
3.3	Taking into account magnetic confinement . . . . .	7
<b>4</b>	<b>How to define integration, p-adic Fourier analysis and p-adic counterpart of geometric objects?</b>	<b>8</b>
4.1	Tentative conclusions . . . . .	10
<b>5</b>	<b>Harmonic analysis in WCW as a manner to calculate WCW functional integrals</b>	<b>11</b>
5.1	Conditions guaranteing the reduction to harmonic analysis . . . . .	11
5.2	Generalization of WCW Hamiltonians . . . . .	12
5.2.1	Does the expansion in terms of partial harmonics converge? . . . . .	14
5.3	Summary . . . . .	14

# 1 Introduction

S-matrix codes to a high degree the predictions of quantum theories. The longstanding challenge of TGD has been to construct or at least demonstrate the mathematical existence of S-matrix- or actually M-matrix which generalizes this notion in zero energy ontology (ZEO) [2]. This work has led to the notion of generalized Feynman diagram and the challenge is to give a precise mathematical meaning for this object. The attempt to understand the counterpart of twistors in TGD framework [9] has inspired several key ideas in this respect but it turned out that twistors themselves need not be absolutely necessary in TGD framework.

1. The notion of generalized Feynman diagram defined by replacing lines of ordinary Feynman diagram with light-like 3-surfaces (elementary particle sized wormhole contacts with throats carrying quantum numbers) and vertices identified as their 2-D ends - I call them partonic 2-surfaces is central. Speaking somewhat loosely, generalized Feynman diagrams (plus background space-time sheets) define the "world of classical worlds" (WCW). These diagrams involve the analogs of stringy diagrams but the interpretation is different: the analogs of stringy loop diagrams have interpretation in terms of particle propagating via two different routes simultaneously (as in the classical double slit experiment) rather than as a decay of particle to two particles. For stringy diagrams the counterparts of vertices are singular as manifolds whereas the entire diagrams are smooth. For generalized Feynman diagrams vertices are smooth but entire diagrams represent singular manifolds just like ordinary Feynman diagrams do. String like objects however emerge in TGD and even ordinary elementary particles are predicted to be magnetic flux tubes of length of order weak gauge boson Compton length with monopoles at their ends as shown in accompanying article. This stringy character should become visible at LHC energies.
2. Zero energy ontology (ZEO) and causal diamonds (intersections of future and past directed lightcones) is second key ingredient [7]. The crucial observation is that in ZEO it is possible to identify off mass shell particles as pairs of on mass shell particles at throats of wormhole contact since both positive and negative signs of energy are possible. The propagator defined by modified Dirac action does not diverge (except for incoming lines) although the fermions at throats are on mass shell. In other words, the generalized eigenvalue of the modified Dirac operator containing a term linear in momentum is non-vanishing and propagator reduces to  $G = i/\lambda\gamma$ , where  $\gamma$  is so called modified gamma matrix in the direction of stringy coordinate [7]. This means opening of the black box of the off mass shell particle-something which for some reason has not occurred to anyone fighting with the divergences of quantum field theories.
3. A powerful constraint is number theoretic universality requiring the existence of Feynman amplitudes in all number fields when one allows suitable algebraic extensions: roots of unity are certainly required in order to realize p-adic counterparts of plane waves. Also imbedding space, partonic 2-surfaces and WCW must exist in all number fields and their extensions. These constraints are enormously powerful and the attempts to realize this vision have dominated quantum TGD for last two decades.
4. Representation of 8-D gamma matrices in terms of octonionic units and 2-D sigma matrices is a further important element as far as twistors are considered [9]. Modified gamma matrices at space-time surfaces are quaternionic/associative and allow a genuine matrix representation. As a matter fact, TGD and WCW can be formulated as study of associative local sub-algebras of the local Clifford algebra of 8-D imbedding space parameterized by quaternionic space-time surfaces. Central conjecture is that quaternionic 4-surfaces correspond to preferred extremals of Kähler action [7] identified as critical ones (second variation of Kähler action vanishes for infinite number of deformations defining super-conformal algebra) and allow a slicing to string worldsheets parametrized by points of partonic 2-surfaces.
5. As far as twistors are considered, the first key element is the reduction of the octonionic twistor structure to quaternionic one at space-time surfaces and giving effectively 4-D spinor and twistor structure for quaternionic surfaces.

Quite recently quite a dramatic progress took place in this approach [9, 7].

1. The progress was stimulated by the simple observation that on mass shell property puts enormously strong kinematic restrictions on the loop integrations. With mild restrictions on the number of parallel fermion lines appearing in vertices (there can be several since fermionic oscillator operator algebra defining SUSY algebra generates the parton states)- all loops are manifestly finite and if particles has always mass -say small p-adic thermal mass also in case of massless particles and due to IR cutoff due to the presence largest CD- the number of diagrams is finite. Unitarity reduces to Cutkosky rules [15] automatically satisfied as in the case of ordinary Feynman diagrams.
2. Ironically, twistors which stimulated all these development do not seem to be absolutely necessary in this approach although they are of course possible. Situation changes if one does not assume small p-adically thermal mass due to the presence of massless particles and one must sum infinite number of diagrams. Here a potential problem is whether the infinite sum respects the algebraic extension in question.

This is about fermionic and momentum space aspects of Feynman diagrams but not yet about the functional (not path-) integral over small deformations of the partonic 2-surfaces. The basic challenges are following.

1. One should perform the functional integral over WCW degrees of freedom for fixed values of on mass shell momenta appearing in the internal lines. After this one must perform integral or summation over loop momenta. Note that the order is important since the space-time surface assigned to the line carries information about the quantum numbers associated with the line by quantum classical correspondence realized in terms of modified Dirac operator.
2. One must define the functional integral also in the p-adic context. p-Adic Fourier analysis relying on algebraic continuation raises hopes in this respect. p-Adicity suggests strongly that the loop momenta are discretized and ZEO predicts this kind of discretization naturally.

It indeed seems that the functional integrals over WCW could be carried out at general level both in real and p-adic context. This is due to the symmetric space property (maximal number of isometries) of WCW required by the mere mathematical existence of Kähler geometry [17] in infinite-dimensional context already in the case of much simpler loop spaces [18].

1. The p-adic generalization of Fourier analysis allows to algebraize integration- the horrible looking technical challenge of p-adic physics- for symmetric spaces for functions allowing the analog of discrete Fourier decomposition. Symmetric space property is indeed essential also for the existence of Kähler geometry for infinite-D spaces as was learned already from the case of loop spaces. Plane waves and exponential functions expressible as roots of unity and powers of p multiplied by the direct analogs of corresponding exponent functions are the basic building bricks and key functions in harmonic analysis in symmetric spaces. The physically unavoidable finite measurement resolution corresponds to algebraically unavoidable finite algebraic dimension of algebraic extension of p-adics (at least some roots of unity are needed). The cutoff in roots of unity is very reminiscent to that occurring for the representations of quantum groups and is certainly very closely related to these as also to the inclusions of hyper-finite factors of type II<sub>sub*l*</sub> defining the finite measurement resolution.
2. WCW geometrization reduces to that for a single line of the generalized Feynman diagram defining the basic building brick for WCW. Kähler function decomposes to a sum of "kinetic" terms associated with its ends and interaction term associated with the line itself. p-Adicization boils down to the condition that Kähler function, matrix elements of Kähler form, WCW Hamiltonians and their super counterparts, are rational functions of complex WCW coordinates just as they are for those symmetric spaces that I know of. This allows straightforward continuation to p-adic context.
3. As far as diagrams are considered, everything is manifestly finite as the general arguments (non-locality of Kähler function as functional of 3-surface) developed two decades ago indeed allow to expect. General conditions on the holomorphy properties of the generalized eigenvalues  $\lambda$  of the modified Dirac operator can be deduced from the conditions that propagator decomposes to a

sum of products of harmonics associated with the ends of the line and that similar decomposition takes place for exponent of Kähler action identified as Dirac determinant. This guarantees that the convolutions of propagators and vertices give rise to products of harmonic functions which can be Glebsch-Gordanized to harmonics and only the singlet contributes to the WCW integral in given vertex. The still unproven central conjecture is that Dirac determinant equals the exponent of Kähler function.

In the following this vision about generalized Feynman diagrams is discussed in more detail.

## 2 Questions

The goal is a proposal for how to perform the integral over WCW for generalized Feynman digrams and the best manner to proceed to to this goal is by making questions.

### 2.1 What does finite measurement resolution mean?

The first question is what finite measurement resolution means.

1. One expects that the algebraic continuation makes sense only for a finite measurement resolution in which case one obtains only finite sums of what one might hope to be algebraic functions. The finiteness of the algebraic extension would be in fact equivalent with the finite measurement resolution.
2. Finite measurement resolution means a discretization in terms of number theoretic braids. p-Adicization condition suggests that that one must allow only the number theoretic braids. For these the ends of braid at boundary of  $CD$  are algebraic points of the imbedding space. This would be true at least in the intersection of real and p-adic worlds.
3. The question is whether one can localize the points of the braid. The necessity to use momentum eigenstates to achieve quantum classical correspondence in the modified Dirac action [6] suggests however a delocalization of braid points, that is wave function in space of braid points. In real context one could allow all possible choices for braid points but in p-adic context only algebraic points are possible if one wants to replace integrals with sums. This implies finite measurement resolution analogous to that in lattice. This is also the only possibility in the intersection of real and p-adic worlds.

A non-trivial prediction giving a strong correlation between the geometry of the partonic 2-surface and quantum numbers is that the total number  $n_F + n_{\bar{F}}$  of fermions and antifermions is bounded above by the number  $n_{alg}$  of algebraic points for a given partonic 2-surface:  $n_F + n_{\bar{F}} \leq n_{alg}$ . Outside the intersection of real and p-adic worlds the problematic aspect of this definition is that small deformations of the partonic 2-surface can radically change the number of algebraic points unless one assumes that the finite measurement resolution means restriction of WCW to a sub-space of algebraic partonic surfaces.

4. One has also a discretization of loop momenta if one assumes that virtual particle momentum corresponds to ZEO defining rest frame for it and from the discretization of the relative position of the second tip of  $CD$  at the hyperboloid isometric with mass shell. Only the number of braid points and their momenta would matter, not their positions. The measurement interaction term in the modified Dirac action gives coupling to the space-time geometry and Kähler function through generalized eigenvalues of the modified Dirac operator with measurement interaction term linear in momentum and in the color quantum numbers assignable to fermions [6].

### 2.2 How to define integration in WCW degrees of freedom?

The basic question is how to define the integration over WCW degrees of freedom.

1. What comes mind first is Gaussian perturbation theory around the maxima of Kähler function. Gaussian and metric determinants cancel each other and only algebraic expressions remain. Finiteness is not a problem since the Kähler function is non-local functional of 3-surface so that

no local interaction vertices are present. One should however assume the vanishing of loops required also by algebraic universality and this assumption look unrealistic when one considers more general functional integrals than that of vacuum functional since free field theory is not in question. The construction of the inverse of the WCW metric defining the propagator is also a very difficult challenge. Duistermaat-Hecke theorem states that something like this known as localization might be possible and one can also argue that something analogous to localization results from a generalization of mean value theorem.

2. Symmetric space property is more promising since it might reduce the integrations to group theory using the generalization of Fourier analysis for group representations so that there would be no need for perturbation theory in the proposed sense. In finite measurement resolution the symmetric spaces involved would be finite-dimensional. Symmetric space structure of WCW could also allow to define p-adic integration in terms of p-adic Fourier analysis for symmetric spaces. Essentially algebraic continuation of the integration from the real case would be in question with additional constraints coming from the fact that only phase factors corresponding to finite algebraic extensions of rationals are used. Cutoff would emerge automatically from the cutoff for the dimension of the algebraic extension.

### 2.3 How to define generalized Feynman diagrams?

Integration in symmetric spaces could serve as a model at the level of WCW and allow both the understanding of WCW integration and p-adicization as algebraic continuation. In order to get a more realistic view about the problem one must define more precisely what the calculation of the generalized Feynman diagrams means.

1. WCW integration must be carried out separately for all values of the momenta associated with the internal lines. The reason is that the spectrum of eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of the modified Dirac operator  $D$  depends on the momentum of line and momentum conservation in vertices translates to a correlation of the spectra of  $D$  at internal lines.
2. For tree diagrams algebraic continuation to the p-adic context if the expression involves only the replacement of the generalized eigenvalues of  $D$  as functions of momenta with their p-adic counterparts besides vertices. If these functions are algebraically universal and expressible in terms of harmonics of symmetric space, there should be no problems.
3. If loops are involved, one must integrate/sum over loop momenta. In p-adic context difficulties are encountered if the spectrum of the momenta is continuous. The integration over on mass shell loop momenta is analogous to the integration over sub-CDs, which suggests that internal line corresponds to a *sub-CD* in which it is at rest. There are excellent reasons to believe that the moduli space for the positions of the upper tip is a discrete subset of hyperboloid of future light-cone. If this is the case, the loop integration indeed reduces to a sum over discrete positions of the tip. p-Adicization would thus give a further good reason why for zero energy ontology.
4. Propagator is expressible in terms of the inverse of generalized eigenvalue and there is a sum over these for each propagator line. At vertices one has products of WCW harmonics assignable to the incoming lines. The product must have vanishing quantum numbers associated with the phase angle variables of WCW. Non-trivial quantum numbers of the WCW harmonic correspond to WCW quantum numbers assignable to excitations of ordinary elementary particles. WCW harmonics are products of functions depending on the "radial" coordinates and phase factors and the integral over the angles leaves the product of the first ones analogous to Legendre polynomials  $P_{l,m}$ . These functions are expected to be rational functions or at least algebraic functions involving only square roots.
5. In ordinary QFT incoming and outgoing lines correspond to propagator poles. In the recent case this would mean that the generalized eigenvalues  $\lambda = 0$  characterize them. Internal lines coming as pairs of throats of wormhole contacts would be on mass shell with respect to momentum but off shell with respect to  $\lambda$ .

### 3 Generalized Feynman diagrams at fermionic and momentum space level

Negative energy ontology has already led to the idea of interpreting the virtual particles as pairs of positive and negative energy wormhole throats. Hitherto I have taken it as granted that ordinary Feynman diagrammatics generalizes more or less as such. It is however far from clear what really happens in the vertices of the generalized Feynmann diagrams. The safest approach relies on the requirement that unitarity realized in terms of Cutkosky rules in ordinary Feynman diagrammatics allows a generalization. This requires loop diagrams. In particular, photon-photon scattering can take place only via a fermionic square loop so that it seems that loops must be present at least in the topological sense.

One must be however ready for the possibility that something unexpectedly simple might emerge. For instance, the vision about algebraic physics allows naturally only finite sums for diagrams and does not favor infinite perturbative expansions. Hence the true believer on algebraic physics might dream about finite number of diagrams for a given reaction type. For simplicity generalized Feynman diagrams without the complications brought by the magnetic confinement since by the previous arguments the generalization need not bring in anything essentially new.

The basic idea of duality in early hadronic models was that the lines of the dual diagram representing particles are only re-arranged in the vertices. This however does not allow to get rid of off mass shell momenta. Zero energy ontology encourages to consider a stronger form of this principle in the sense that the virtual momenta of particles could correspond to pairs of on mass shell momenta of particles. If also interacting fermions are pairs of positive and negative energy throats in the interaction region the idea about reducing the construction of Feynman diagrams to some kind of lego rules might work.

#### 3.1 Virtual particles as pairs of on mass shell particles in ZEO

The first thing is to try to define more precisely what generalized Feynman diagrams are. The direct generalization of Feynman diagrams implies that both wormhole throats and wormhole contacts join at vertices.

1. A simple intuitive picture about what happens is provided by diagrams obtained by replacing the points of Feynman diagrams (wormhole contacts) with short lines and imagining that the throats correspond to the ends of the line. At vertices where the lines meet the incoming on mass shell quantum numbers would sum up to zero. This approach leads to a straightforward generalization of Feynman diagrams with virtual particles replaced with pairs of on mass shell throat states of type  $++$ ,  $--$ , and  $+-$ . Incoming lines correspond to  $++$  type lines and outgoing ones to  $--$  type lines. The first two line pairs allow only time like net momenta whereas  $+-$  line pairs allow also space-like virtual momenta. The sign assigned to a given throat is dictated by the the sign of the on mass shell momentum on the line. The condition that Cutkosky rules generalize as such requires  $++$  and  $--$  type virtual lines since the cut of the diagram in Cutkosky rules corresponds to on mass shell outgoing or incoming states and must therefore correspond to  $++$  or  $--$  type lines.
2. The basic difference as compared to the ordinary Feynman diagrammatics is that loop integrals are integrals over mass shell momenta and that all throats carry on mass shell momenta. In each vertex of the loop mass incoming on mass shell momenta must sum up to on mass shell momentum. These constraints improve the behavior of loop integrals dramatically and give excellent hopes about finiteness. It does not however seem that only a finite number of diagrams contribute to the scattering amplitude besides tree diagrams. The point is that if a the reactions  $N_1 \rightarrow N_2$  and  $N_2 \rightarrow N_3$ , where  $N_i$  denote particle numbers, are possible in a common kinematical region for  $N_2$ -particle states then also the diagrams  $N_1 \rightarrow N_2 \rightarrow N_2 \rightarrow N_3$  are possible. The virtual states  $N_2$  include all all states in the intersection of kinematically allow regions for  $N_1 \rightarrow N_2$  and  $N_2 \rightarrow N_3$ . Hence the dream about finite number possible diagrams is not fulfilled if one allows massless particles. If all particles are massive then the particle number  $N_2$  for given  $N_1$  is limited from above and the dream is realized.

3. For instance, loops are not possible in the massless case or are highly singular (bringing in mind twistor diagrams) since the conservation laws at vertices imply that the momenta are parallel. In the massive case and allowing mass spectrum the situation is not so simple. As a first example one can consider a loop with three vertices and thus three internal lines. Three on mass shell conditions are present so that the four-momentum can vary in 1-D subspace only. For a loop involving four vertices there are four internal lines and four mass shell conditions so that loop integrals would reduce to discrete sums. Loops involving more than four vertices are expected to be impossible.
4. The proposed replacement of the elementary fermions with bound states of elementary fermions and monopoles  $X_{\pm}$  brings in the analog of stringy diagrammatics. The 2-particle wave functions in the momentum degrees of freedom of fermions and  $X_{\pm}$  might allow more flexibility and allow more loops. Note however that there are excellent hopes about the finiteness of the theory also in this case.

### 3.2 Loop integrals are manifestly finite

One can make also more detailed observations about loops.

1. The simplest situation is obtained if only 3-vertices are allowed. In this case conservation of momentum however allows only collinear momenta although the signs of energy need not be the same. Particle creation and annihilation is possible and momentum exchange is possible but is always light-like in the massless case. The scattering matrices of supersymmetric YM theories would suggest something less trivial and this raises the question whether something is missing. Magnetic monopoles are an essential element of also these theories as also massivation and symmetry breaking and this encourages to think that the formation of massive states as fermion  $X_{\pm}$  pairs is needed. Of course, in TGD framework one has also high mass excitations of the massless states making the scattering matrix non-trivial.
2. In YM theories on mass shell lines would be singular. In TGD framework this is not the case since the propagator is defined as the inverse of the 3-D dimensional reduction of the modified Dirac operator  $D$  containing also coupling to four-momentum (this is required by quantum classical correspondence and guarantees stringy propagators),

$$\begin{aligned} D &= i\hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha}p_{\alpha} + \hat{\Gamma}^{\alpha}D_{\alpha} \ , \\ p_{\alpha} &= p_k\partial_{\alpha}h^k \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

The propagator does not diverge for on mass shell massless momenta and the propagator lines are well-defined. This is of course of essential importance also in general case. Only for the incoming lines one can consider the possibility that 3-D Dirac operator annihilates the induced spinor fields. All lines correspond to generalized eigenstates of the propagator in the sense that one has  $D_3\Psi = \lambda\gamma\Psi$ , where  $\gamma$  is modified gamma matrix in the direction of the stringy coordinate emanating from light-like surface and  $D_3$  is the 3-dimensional dimensional reduction of the 4-D modified Dirac operator. The eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is analogous to energy. Note that the eigenvalue spectrum depends on 4-momentum as a parameter.

3. Massless incoming momenta can decay to massless momenta with both signs of energy. The integration measure  $d^2k/2E$  reduces to  $dx/x$  where  $x \geq 0$  is the scaling factor of massless momentum. Only light-like momentum exchanges are however possible and scattering matrix is essentially trivial. The loop integrals are finite apart from the possible delicacies related to poles since the loop integrands for given massless wormhole contact are proportional to  $dx/x^3$  for large values of  $x$ .
4. Irrespective of whether the particles are massless or not, the divergences are obtained only if one allows too high vertices as self energy loops for which the number of momentum degrees of freedom is  $3N - 4$  for  $N$ -vertex. The construction of SUSY limit of TGD in [10] led to the conclusion that the parallelly propagating  $N$  fermions for given wormhole throat correspond to a

product of  $N$  fermion propagators with same four-momentum so that for fermions and ordinary bosons one has the standard behavior but for  $N > 2$  non-standard so that these excitations are not seen as ordinary particles. Higher vertices are finite only if the total number  $N_F$  of fermions propagating in the loop satisfies  $N_F > 3N - 4$ . For instance, a 4-vertex from which  $N = 2$  states emanate is finite.

### 3.3 Taking into account magnetic confinement

What has been said above is not quite enough. As shown in the accompanying article and in [7] the weak form of electric-magnetic duality [14] leads to the picture about elementary particles as pairs of magnetic monopoles inspiring the notions of weak confinement based on magnetic monopole force. Also color confinement would have magnetic counterpart. This means that elementary particles would behave like string like objects in weak boson length scale. Therefore one must also consider the stringy case with wormhole throats replaced with fermion- $X_{\pm}$  pairs ( $X_{\pm}$  is electromagnetically neutral and  $\pm$  refers to the sign of the weak isospin opposite to that of fermion) and their super partners.

1. The simplest assumption in the stringy case is that fermion- $X_{\pm}$  pairs behave as coherent objects, that is scatter elastically. In more general case only their higher excitations identifiable in terms of stringy degrees of freedom would be created in vertices. The massivation of these states makes possible non-collinear vertices. An open question is how the massivation fermion- $X_{\pm}$  pairs relates to the existing TGD based description of massivation in terms of Higgs mechanism and modified Dirac operator.
2. Mass renormalization could come from self energy loops with negative energy lines as also vertex normalization. By very general arguments supersymmetry implies the cancellation of the self energy loops but would allow non-trivial vertex renormalization [10].
3. If only 3-vertices are allowed, the loops containing only positive energy lines are possible if on mass shell fermion- $X_{\pm}$  pair (or its superpartner) can decay to a pair of positive energy pair particles of same kind. Whether this is possible depends on the masses involved. For ordinary particles these decays are not kinematically possible below intermediate boson mass scale (the decays  $F_1 \rightarrow F_2 + \gamma$  are forbidden kinematically or by the absence of flavor changing neutral currents whereas intermediate gauge bosons can decay to on mass shell fermion-antifermion pair).
4. The introduction of IR cutoff for 3-momentum in the rest system associated with the largest  $CD$  (causal diamond) looks natural as scale parameter of coupling constant evolution and p-adic length scale hypothesis favors the inverse of the size scale of  $CD$  coming in powers of two. This parameter would define the momentum resolution as a discrete parameter of the p-adic coupling constant evolution. This scale does not have any counterpart in standard physics. For electron,  $d$  quark, and  $u$  quark the proper time distance between the tips of  $CD$  corresponds to frequency of 10 Hz, 1280 Hz, and 160 Hz: all these frequencies define fundamental bio-rhythms [12].

These considerations have left completely untouched one important aspect of generalized Feynman diagrams: the necessity to perform a functional integral over the deformations of the partonic 2-surfaces at the ends of the lines- that is integration over WCW. Number theoretical universality requires that WCW and these integrals make sense also p-adically and in the following these aspects of generalized Feynman diagrams are discussed.

## 4 How to define integration, p-adic Fourier analysis and p-adic counterpart of geometric objects?

The following considerations support the view that the p-adic variant of a geometric objects, integration and p-adic Fourier analysis exists but only when one considers highly symmetric geometric objects such as symmetric spaces.

Consider first circle with emphasis on symmetries and Fourier analysis.



1. In this case angle coordinate  $\phi$  is the natural coordinate. It however does not make sense as such p-adically and one must consider either trigonometric functions or the phase  $\exp(i\phi)$  instead. If one wants to do Fourier analysis on circle one must introduce roots  $U_{n,N} = \exp(in2\pi/N)$  of unity. This means discretization of the circle. Introducing all roots  $U_{n,p} = \exp(i2\pi n/p)$ , such that  $p$  divides  $N$ , one can represent all  $U_{k,n}$ , up to  $n = N$ . Integration is naturally replaced with sum by using discrete Fourier analysis on circle.
2. This finding would suggests that p-adic geometries -in particular the p-adic counterpart of  $CP_2$ , are discrete. Variables which have the character of a radial coordinate are in natural manner p-adically continuous whereas phase angles are naturally discrete and described in terms of algebraic extensions. The conclusion is disappoing since one can quite well argue that the discrete structures can be regarded as real. Is there any manner to escape this conclusion?
  - (a) Exponential function  $\exp(ix)$  exists p-adically for  $|x|_p \leq 1/p$  but is not periodic. Could one consider a generalization of phases as products  $Exp_p(N, n2\pi/N+x) = \exp(in2\pi n/N)\exp(ix)$  of roots of unity and exponent functions with an imaginary exponent. This would assign to each root of unity p-adic continuum interpreted as the analog of the interval between two subsequent roots of unity at circle.
  - (b) p-Adic integration would involve summation plus possibly also an integration over each p-adic variant of discretization interval. The summation over the roots of unity implies that the integral of  $\int \exp(inx)dx$  would appear for  $n = 0$ . Whatever the value of this integral is, it is compensated by a normalization factor guaranteing orthonormality.
  - (c) If one interprets the p-adic coordinate as p-adic integer without the identification of points differing by a multiple of  $n$  as different points the question whether one should require p-adic continuity arises. Continuity is obtained if  $U_n(x + mp^m) = U_n(x)$  for large values of  $m$ . This is obtained if one has  $n = p^k$ . In the spherical geometry this condition is not needed and would mean quantization of angular momentum as  $L = p^k$ , which does not look natural. If representations of translation group are considered the condition is natural and conforms with the spirit of the p-adic length scale hypothesis.
3. The hyperbolic counterpart of circle corresponds to the orbit of point under Lorentz group in two 2-D Minkowski space. Plane waves are replaced with exponentially decaying functions of the coordinate  $\eta$  replacing phase angle. Ordinary exponent function  $\exp(x)$  has unit p-adic norm when it exists so that it is not a suitable choice. The powers  $p^n$  existing for p-adic integers however approach to zero for large values of  $x = n$ . This forces discretization of  $\eta$  or rather the hyperbolic phase as powers of  $p^x$ ,  $x = n$ . Also now one could introduce products of  $Exp_p(n\log(p) + z) = p^n \exp(x)$  to achieve a p-adic continuum. Also now the integral over the discretization interval is compensated by orthonormalization and can be forgotten. The integral of exponential function would reduce to a sum  $\int Exp_p dx = \sum_k p^k = 1/(1-p)$ . One can also introduce finite-dimensional but non-algebraic extensions of p-adic numbers allowing  $e$  and its roots  $e^{1/n}$  since  $e^p$  exists p-adically.
4. A natural question concerns the possibility of identifying the points of real and p-adic variants of phase angles with each in one-one manner rather than along discrete set of common points defined by the algebraic extension. One could of course argue that this kind of correspondence is useless because of the finiteness of the measurement resolution and if this correspondence exists it cannot be unique. In fact, the impossibility to well-order the points of real axis caused by the finite measurement resolution could be seen as the basic reason for the possibility to apply p-adic topology as an effective topology. If the correspondence however exist it should map the p-adic integration interval defined by p-adic numbers of norm smaller than one to its real counterpart  $(0, 2\pi/N)$ . This kind of map would be defined as  $x \rightarrow I(x) \times 2\pi/N$ , where  $I(x) = \sum x_n p^n \rightarrow \sum x_n p^{-n}$  is the standard form of canonical identification. Quite generally, the canonical identification would apply inside the interval defining finite measurement resolution. The possibility to modify this correspondence by introducing some other coordinates on both real and p-adic sides could be seen as a reflection of the finite measurement resolution.

Consider nex the case of plane and take first translational symmetries as a starting point. In this case Cartesian coordinates are natural and Fourier analysis based on plane waves is what one wants

to define. As in the previous case, this can be done using roots of unity and one can also introduce p-adic continuum by using the p-adic variant of the exponent function. This would effectively reduce the plane to a box. As already noticed, in this case the quantization of wave vectors as multiples of  $1/p^k$  is required by continuity.

One can take also rotational symmetries as a starting point. In this case cylindrical coordinates  $(\rho, \phi)$  are natural.

1. Radial coordinate can have arbitrary values. If one wants to keep the connection  $\rho = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$  with the Cartesian picture square root allowing extension is natural. Also the values of radial coordinate proportional to odd power of  $p$  are problematic since one should introduce  $\sqrt{p}$ : is this extension internally consistent? Does this mean that the points  $\rho \propto p^{2n+1}$  are excluded so that the plane decomposes to annuli?
2. As already found, angular momentum eigen states can be described in terms of roots of unity and one could obtain continuum by allowing also phases defined by p-adic exponent functions.
3. In radial direction one should define the p-adic variants for the integrals of Bessel functions and they indeed might make sense by algebraic continuation if one consistently defines all functions as Fourier expansions. Delta-function renormalization causes technical problems for a continuum of radial wave vectors. One could avoid the problem by using exponentially decaying variants of Bessel function in the regions far from origin, and here the already proposed description of the hyperbolic counterparts of plane waves is suggestive.
4. One could try to understand the situation also using Cartesian coordinates. In the case of sphere this is achieved by introducing two coordinate patches with Cartesian coordinates. Pythagorean phases are rational phases (orthogonal triangles for which all sides are integer valued) and form a dense set on circle. Complex rationals (orthogonal triangles with integer valued short sides) define a more general dense subset of circle. In both cases it is difficult to imagine a discretized version of integration over angles since discretization with constant angle increment is not possible.

In the case of sphere spherical coordinates are favored by symmetry considerations. For spherical coordinates  $\sin(\theta)$  is analogous to the radial coordinate of plane. Legendre polynomials expressible as polynomials of  $\sin(\theta)$  and  $\cos(\theta)$  are expressible in terms of phases and the integration measure  $\sin^2(\theta)d\theta d\phi$  reduces the integral of  $S^2$  to summation. As before one can introduce also p-adic continuum. Algebraic cutoffs in both angular momentum  $l$  and  $m$  appear naturally. Similar cutoffs appear in the representations of quantum groups and there are good reasons to expect that these phenomena are correlated.

Exponent of Kähler function appears in the integration over configuration space. From the expression of Kähler gauge potential given by  $A_\alpha = J_\alpha^\theta \partial_\theta K$  one obtains using  $A_\alpha = \cos(\theta)\delta_{\alpha,\phi}$  and  $J_{\theta\phi} = \sin(\theta)$  the expression  $\exp(K) = \sin(\theta)$ . Hence the exponent of Kähler function is expressible in terms of spherical harmonics.

## 4.1 Tentative conclusions

These findings suggest following conclusions.

1. Exponent functions play a key role in the proposed p-adicization. This is not an accident since exponent functions play a fundamental role in group theory and p-adic variants of real geometries exist only under symmetries- possibly maximal possible symmetries- since otherwise the notion of Fourier analysis making possible integration does not exist. The inner product defined in terms of integration reduce for functions representable in Fourier basis to sums and can be carried out by using orthogonality conditions. Convolution involving integration reduces to a product for Fourier components. In the case of imbedding space and WCW these conditions are satisfied but for space-time surfaces this is not possible.
2. There are several manners to choose the Cartan algebra already in the case of sphere. In the case of plane one can consider either translations or rotations and this leads to different p-adic variants of plane. Also the realization of the hierarchy of Planck constants leads to the conclusion

that the extended imbedding space and therefore also WCW contains sectors corresponding to different choices of quantization axes meaning that quantum measurement has a direct geometric correlate.

3. The above described 2-D examples represent symplectic geometries for which one has natural decomposition of coordinates to canonical pairs of cyclic coordinate (phase angle) and corresponding canonical conjugate coordinate. p-Adicization depends on whether the conjugate corresponds to an angle or noncompact coordinate. In both cases it is however possible to define integration. For instance, in the case of  $CP_2$  one would have two canonically conjugate pairs and one can define the p-adic counterparts of  $CP_2$  partial waves by generalizing the procedure applied to spherical harmonics. Products of functions expressible using partial waves can be decomposed by tensor product decomposition to spherical harmonics and can be integrated. In particular inner products can be defined as integrals. The Hamiltonians generating isometries are rational functions of phases: this inspires the hope that also WCW Hamiltonians also rational functions of preferred WCW coordinates and thus allow p-adic variants.
4. Discretization by introducing algebraic extensions is unavoidable in the p-adicization of geometrical objects but one can have p-adic continuum as the analog of the discretization interval and in the function basis expressible in terms of phase factors and p-adic counterparts of exponent functions. This would give a precise meaning for the p-adic counterparts of the imbedding space and WCW if the latter is a symmetric space allowing coordinatization in terms of phase angles and conjugate coordinates.
5. The intersection of p-adic and real worlds would be unique and correspond to the points defining the discretization.

## 5 Harmonic analysis in WCW as a manner to calculate WCW functional integrals

Previous examples suggest that symmetric space property, Kähler and symplectic structure and the use of symplectic coordinates consisting of canonically conjugate pairs of phase angles and corresponding "radial" coordinates are essential for WCW integration and p-adicization. Kähler function, the components of the metric, and therefore also metric determinant and Kähler function depend on the "radial" coordinates only and the possible generalization involves the identification the counterparts of the "radial" coordinates in the case of WCW.

### 5.1 Conditions guaranteing the reduction to harmonic analysis

The basic idea is that harmonic analysis in symmetric space allows to calculate the functional integral over WCW.

1. Each propagator line corresponds to a symmetric space defined as a coset space  $G/H$  of the symplectic group and Kac-Moody group and one might hope that the proposed p-adicization works for it- at least when one considers the hierarchy of measurement resolutions forced by the finiteness of algebraic extensions. This coset space is as a manifold Cartesian product  $(G/H) \times (G/H)$  of symmetric spaces  $G/H$  associated with ends of the line. Kähler metric contains also an interaction term between the factors of the Cartesian product so that Kähler function can be said to reduce to a sum of "kinetic" terms and interaction term.
2. Effective 2-dimensionality and ZEO allow to treat the ends of the propagator line independently. This means an enormous simplification. Each line contributes besides propagator a piece to the exponent of Kähler action identifiable as interaction term in action and depending on the propagator momentum. This contribution should be expressible in terms of generalized spherical harmonics. Essentially a sum over the products of pairs of harmonics associated with the ends of the line multiplied by coefficients analogous to  $1/(p^2 - m^2)$  in the case of the ordinary propagator would be in question. The optimal situation is that the pairs are harmonics and their conjugates appear so that one has invariance under  $G$  analogous to momentum conservation for the lines of ordinary Feynman diagrams.

3. Momentum conservation correlates the eigenvalue spectra of the modified Dirac operator  $D$  at propagator lines [6].  $G$ -invariance at vertex dictates the vertex as the singlet part of the product of WCW harmonics associated with the vertex and one sums over the harmonics for each internal line. p-Adicization means only the algebraic continuation to real formulas to p-adic context.
4. The exponent of Kähler function depends on both ends of the line and this means that the geometries at the ends are correlated in the sense that that Kähler form contains interaction terms between the line ends. It is however not quite clear whether it contains separate "kinetic" or self interaction terms assignable to the line ends. For Kähler function the kinetic and interaction terms should have the following general expressions as functions of complex WCW coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{kin,i} &= \sum_n f_{i,n}(Z_i) \overline{f_{i,n}(Z_i)} + c.c. , \\ K_{int} &= \sum_n g_{1,n}(Z_1) \overline{g_{2,n}(Z_2)} + c.c. , i = 1, 2 . \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Here  $K_{kin,i}$  define "kinetic" terms and  $K_{int}$  defines interaction term. One would have what might be called holomorphic factorization suggesting a connection with conformal field theories.

Symmetric space property -that is isometry invariance- suggests that one has

$$f_{i,n} = f_{2,n} \equiv f_n , \quad g_{1,n} = g_{2,n} \equiv g_n \quad (5.2)$$

such that the products are invariant under the group  $H$  appearing in  $G/H$  and therefore have opposite  $H$  quantum numbers. The exponent of Kähler function does not factorize although the terms in its Taylor expansion factorize to products whose factors are products of holomorphic and antiholomorphic functions.

5. If one assumes that the exponent of Kähler function reduces to a product of eigenvalues of the modified Dirac operator eigenvalues must have the decomposition

$$\lambda_k = \prod_{i=1,2} \exp \left[ \sum_n c_{k,n} g_n(Z_i) \overline{g_n(Z_i)} + c.c. \right] \times \exp \left[ \sum_n d_{k,n} g_n(Z_1) \overline{g_n(Z_2)} + c.c. \right] . \quad (5.3)$$

Hence also the eigenvalues coming from the Dirac propagators have also expansion in terms of  $G/H$  harmonics so that in principle WCW integration would reduce to Fourier analysis in symmetric space.

## 5.2 Generalization of WCW Hamiltonians

This picture requires a generalization of the view about configuration space Hamiltonians since also the interaction term between the ends of the line is present not taken into account in the previous approach.

1. The proposed representation of WCW Hamiltonians as flux Hamiltonians [5, 6]

$$\begin{aligned} Q(H_A) &= \int H_A (1 + K) J d^2 x , \\ J &= \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} J_{\alpha\beta} , \quad J^{03} \sqrt{g_4} = K J_{12} . \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

works for the kinetic terms only since  $J$  cannot be the same at the ends of the line. The formula defining  $K$  assumes weak form of self-duality (<sup>03</sup> refers to the coordinates in the complement of  $X^2$  tangent plane in the 4-D tangent plane).  $K$  is assumed to be symplectic invariant and

constant for given  $X^2$ . The condition that the flux of  $F^{03} = (\hbar/g_K)J^{03}$  defining the counterpart of Kähler electric field equals to the Kähler charge  $g_K$  gives the condition  $K = g_K^2/\hbar$ , where  $g_K$  is Kähler coupling constant. Within experimental uncertainties one has  $\alpha_K = g_K^4 4\pi\hbar_0 = \alpha_{em} \simeq 1/137$ , where  $\alpha_{em}$  is finite structure constant in electron length scale and  $\hbar_0$  is the standard value of Planck constant.

The assumption that Poisson bracket of WCW Hamiltonians reduces to the level of imbedding space - in other words  $\{Q(H_A), Q(H_B)\} = Q(\{H_A, H_B\})$  - can be justified. One starts from the representation in terms of say flux Hamiltonians  $Q(H_A)$  and defines  $J_{A,B}$  as  $J_{A,B} \equiv Q(\{H_A, H_B\})$ . One has  $\partial H_A/\partial t_B = \{H_B, H_A\}$ , where  $t_B$  is the parameter associated with the exponentiation of  $H_B$ . The inverse  $J^{A,B}$  of  $J_{A,B} = \partial H_B/\partial t_A$  is expressible as  $J^{A,B} = \partial t_A/\partial H_B$ . From these formulas one can deduce by using chain rule that the bracket  $\{Q(H_A), Q(H_B)\} = \partial t_C Q(H_A) J^{CD} \partial t_D Q(H_B)$  of flux Hamiltonians equals to the flux Hamiltonian  $Q(\{H_A, H_B\})$ .

2. One should be able to assign to WCW Hamiltonians also a part corresponding to the interaction term. The symplectic conjugation associated with the interaction term permutes the WCW coordinates assignable to the ends of the line. One should reduce this apparently non-local symplectic conjugation (if one thinks the ends of line as separate objects) to a non-local symplectic conjugation for  $\delta CD \times CP_2$  by identifying the points of lower and upper end of  $CD$  related by time reflection and assuming that conjugation corresponds to time reflection. Formally this gives a well defined generalization of the local Poisson brackets between time reflected points at the boundaries of  $CD$ . The connection of Hermitian conjugation and time reflection in quantum field theories is in accordance with this picture.
3. The only manner to proceed is to assign to the flux Hamiltonian also a part obtained by the replacement of the flux integral over  $X^2$  with an integral over the projection of  $X^2$  to a sphere  $S^2$  assignable to the light-cone boundary or to a geodesic sphere of  $CP_2$ , which come as two varieties corresponding to homologically trivial and non-trivial spheres. The projection is defined as by the geodesic line orthogonal to  $S^2$  and going through the point of  $X^2$ . The hierarchy of Planck constants assigns to  $CD$  a preferred geodesic sphere of  $CP_2$  as well as a unique sphere  $S^2$  as a sphere for which the radial coordinate  $r_M$  or the light-cone boundary defined uniquely is constant: this radial coordinate corresponds to spherical coordinate in the rest system defined by the time-like vector connecting the tips of  $CD$ . Either spheres or possibly both of them could be relevant.

Recall that also the construction of number theoretic braids and symplectic QFT [8] led to the proposal that braid diagrams and symplectic triangulations could be defined in terms of projections of braid strands to one of these spheres. One could also consider a weakening for the condition that the points of the number theoretic braid are algebraic by requiring only that the  $S^2$  coordinates of the projection are algebraic and that these coordinates correspond to the discretization of  $S^2$  in terms of the phase angles associated with  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ .

This gives for the corresponding contribution of the WCW Hamiltonian the expression

$$Q(H_A)_{int} = \int_{S^2_{\pm}} H_A X \delta^2(s_+, s_-) d^2 s_{\pm} = \int_{P(X^2_{\pm}) \cap P(X^2_{\pm})} \frac{\partial(s^1, s^2)}{\partial(x^1_{\pm}, x^2_{\pm})} d^2 x_{\pm} . \quad (5.5)$$

Here the Poisson brackets between ends of the line using the rules involve delta function  $\delta^2(s_+, s_-)$  at  $S^2$  and the resulting Hamiltonians can be expressed as a similar integral of  $H_{[A,B]}$  over the upper or lower end since the integral is over the intersection of  $S^2$  projections.

The expression must vanish when the induced Kähler form vanishes for either end. This is achieved by identifying the scalar  $X$  in the following manner:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= J_+^{kl} J_{kl}^- , \\ J_{\pm}^{kl} &= (1 + K_{\pm}) \partial_{\alpha} s^k \partial_{\beta} s^l J_{\pm}^{\alpha\beta} . \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

The tensors are lifts of the induced Kähler form of  $X^2_{\pm}$  to  $S^2$  (not  $CP_2$ ).

4. One could of course ask why these Hamiltonians could not contribute also to the kinetic terms and why the brackets with flux Hamiltonians should vanish. This relate to how one *defines* the Kähler form. It was shown above that in case of flux Hamiltonians the definition of Kähler form as brackets gives the basic formula  $\{Q(H_A), Q(H_B)\} = Q(\{H_A, H_B\})$  and same should hold true now. In the recent case  $J_{A,B}$  would contain an interaction term defined in terms of flux Hamiltonians and the previous argument should go through also now by identifying Hamiltonians as sums of two contributions and by introducing the doubling of the coordinates  $t_A$ .
5. The quantization of the modified Dirac operator must be reconsidered. It would seem that one must add to the super-Hamiltonian completely analogous term obtained by replacing  $(1 + K)J$  with  $X\partial(s^1, s^2)/\partial(x_\pm^1, x_\pm^2)$ . Besides the anticommutation relations defining correct anticommutators to flux Hamiltonians, one should pose anticommutation relations consistent with the anticommutation relations of super Hamiltonians. In these anticommutation relations  $(1 + K)J\delta^2(x, y)$  would be replaced with  $X\delta^2(s^+, s^-)$ . This would guarantee that the oscillator operators at the ends of the line are not independent and that the resulting Hamiltonian reduces to integral over either end for  $H_{[A,B]}$ .
6. In the case of  $CP_2$  the Hamiltonians generating isometries are rational functions. This should hold true also now so that p-adic variants of Hamiltonians as functions in WCW would make sense. This in turn would imply that the components of the WCW Kähler form are rational functions. Also the exponentiation of Hamiltonians make sense p-adically if one allows the exponents of group parameters to be functions  $Exp_p(t)$ .

### 5.2.1 Does the expansion in terms of partial harmonics converge?

The individual terms in the partial wave expansion seem to be finite but it is not at all clear whether the expansion in powers of  $K$  actually converges.

1. In the proposed scenario one performs the expansion of the vacuum functional  $exp(K)$  in powers of  $K$  and therefore in negative powers of  $\alpha_K$ . In principle an infinite number of terms can be present. This is analogous to the perturbative expansion based on using magnetic monopoles as basic objects whereas the expansion using the contravariant Kähler metric as a propagator would be in positive powers of  $\alpha_K$  and analogous to the expansion in terms of magnetically bound states of wormhole throats with vanishing net value of magnetic charge. At this moment one can only suggest various approaches to how one could understand the situation.
2. Weak form of self-duality and magnetic confinement could change the situation. Performing the perturbation around magnetic flux tubes together with the assumed slicing of the space-time sheet by stringy world sheets and partonic 2-surfaces could mean that the perturbation corresponds to the action assignable to the electric part of Kähler form proportional to  $\alpha_K$  by the weak self-duality. Hence by  $K = 4\pi\alpha_K$  relating Kähler electric field to Kähler magnetic field the expansion would come in powers of a term containing sum of terms proportional to  $\alpha_K^0$  and  $\alpha_K$ . This would leave to the scattering amplitudes the exponents of Kähler function at the maximum of Kähler function so that the non-analytic dependence on  $\alpha_K$  would not disappear.

A further reason to be worried about is that the expansion containing infinite number of terms proportional to  $\alpha_K^0$  could fail to converge.

1. This could be also seen as a reason for why magnetic singlets are unavoidable except perhaps for  $\hbar < \hbar_0$ . By the holomorphic factorization the powers of the interaction part of Kähler action in powers of  $1/\alpha_K$  would naturally correspond to increasing and opposite net values of the quantum numbers assignable to the WCW phase coordinates at the ends of the propagator line. The magnetic bound states could have similar expansion in powers of  $\alpha_K$  as pairs of states with arbitrarily high but opposite values of quantum numbers. In the functional integral these quantum numbers would compensate each other. The functional integral would leave only an expansion containing powers of  $\alpha_K$  starting from some finite possibly negative (unless one assumes the weak form of self-duality) power. Various gauge coupling strengths are expected to be proportional to  $\alpha_K$  and these expansions should reduce to those in powers of  $\alpha_K$ .

2. Since the number of terms in the fermionic propagator expansion is finite, one might hope on basis of super-symmetry that the same is true in the case of the functional integral expansion. By the holomorphic factorization the expansion in powers of  $K$  means the appearance of terms with increasingly higher quantum numbers. Quantum number conservation at vertices would leave only a finite number of terms to tree diagrams. In the case of loop diagrams pairs of particles with opposite and arbitrarily high values of quantum numbers could be generated at the vertex and magnetic confinement might be necessary to guarantee the convergence. Also super-symmetry could imply cancellations in loops.

### 5.3 Summary

The discussion suggests that one must treat the entire Feynman graph as single geometric object with Kähler geometry in which the symmetric space is defined as product of what could be regarded as analogs of symmetric spaces with interaction terms of the metric coming from the propagator lines. The exponent of Kähler function would be the product of exponents associated with all lines and contributions to lines depend on quantum numbers (momentum and color quantum numbers) propagating in line via the coupling to the modified Dirac operator. The conformal factorization would allow the reduction of integrations to Fourier analysis in symmetric space. What is of decisive importance is that the entire Feynman diagrammatics at WCW level would reduce to the construction of WCW geometry for a single propagator line as a function of quantum numbers propagating on the line.

#### Acknowledgements

I want to thank my friend Dainis Zeps for generating the pressure to write an article series about TGD. This process stimulated also the argument series discussed above.

### References

- [1] M. Pitkänen (2006), *Quantum Physics as Infinite-Dimensional Geometry*.  
[http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public\\_html/tgdgeom/tgdgeom.html](http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public_html/tgdgeom/tgdgeom.html).
- [2] M. Pitkänen (2006), *Quantum TGD*.  
[http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public\\_html/tgdquant/tgdquant.html](http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public_html/tgdquant/tgdquant.html).
- [3] M. Pitkänen (2006), *p-Adic length Scale Hypothesis and Dark Matter Hierarchy*.  
[http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public\\_html/paddark/paddark.html](http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public_html/paddark/paddark.html).
- [4] M. Pitkänen (2006), *TGD and EEG*.  
[http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public\\_html/tgdeeg/tgdeeg.html](http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public_html/tgdeeg/tgdeeg.html).
- [5] The chapter *Construction of Configuration Space Kähler Geometry from Symmetry Principles*.  
[http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public\\_html/tgdgeom/tgdgeom.html#comp11](http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public_html/tgdgeom/tgdgeom.html#comp11).
- [6] The chapter *Configuration Space Spinor Structure* of [1].  
[http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public\\_html/tgdgeom/tgdgeom.html#cspin](http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public_html/tgdgeom/tgdgeom.html#cspin).
- [7] The chapter *Does the Modified Dirac Equation Define the Fundamental Action Principle?* of [1].  
[http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public\\_html/tgdgeom/tgdgeom.html#Dirac](http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public_html/tgdgeom/tgdgeom.html#Dirac).
- [8] The chapter *Construction of Quantum Theory: S-matrix* of [2].  
[http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public\\_html/tgdquant/tgdquant.html#towards](http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public_html/tgdquant/tgdquant.html#towards).
- [9] The chapter *Twistors, N=4 Super-Conformal Symmetry, and Quantum TGD* of [2].  
[http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public\\_html/tgdquant/tgdquant.html#twistor](http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public_html/tgdquant/tgdquant.html#twistor).
- [10] The chapter *Does the QFT Limit of TGD Have Space-Time Super-Symmetry?* of [2].  
[http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public\\_html/tgdquant/tgdquant.html#susy](http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public_html/tgdquant/tgdquant.html#susy).
- [11] The chapter *p-Adic Particle Massivation: New Physics* of [3].  
[http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public\\_html/paddark/paddark.html#padmass4](http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public_html/paddark/paddark.html#padmass4).

- 
- [12] The chapter *Dark Matter Hierarchy and Hierarchy of EEGs* of [4].  
[http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public\\_html/tgdeeg/tgdeeg.html#eegdark](http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public_html/tgdeeg/tgdeeg.html#eegdark).
- [13] The chapter *Appendix B* of [2].  
[http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public\\_html/tgdquant/tgdquant.html#appendb](http://tgd.wippiespace.com/public_html/tgdquant/tgdquant.html#appendb).
- [14] *Montonen-Olive duality*. [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MontonenOlive\\_duality](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MontonenOlive_duality).
- [15] R. E. Cutkosky (1960), *J. Math. Phys.* 1:429-433.
- [16] *Symmetric space*. [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Symmetric\\_space](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Symmetric_space).
- [17] *Kähler manifold*. [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kähler\\_manifold](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kähler_manifold).
- [18] D. S. Freed (1985): *The Geometry of Loop Groups* (Thesis). Berkeley: University of California.