

((In the name of God))

Author: Arman.V.Zadeh

Esfahan,Iran

13.mrch

((Gravity))

Abstract

In this article we want to say about the gravity between the atoms to the new and special way. We know that the atom and the gravity between them are stable by moving the electron on the circuits around the nucleus(from the past articles) and know in this article we want to point to some interesting and new methods (at the new theory) to explain the events happened between the atoms and some materials in the gravity system. For this calculate some equation about the gravity.

From the past articles we know that we can explain many events to system about moving the very small materials around a big matter. And also we considered that when the electron or another classical particle is moving around the nucleus or the central mass further more that it self has a acceleration to out of the circuit an other acceleration is making from the particle and then we put this ,the fundament and origin of many events for explaining(like electromagnetism or...). Now we want use from another property of this theory. When the particle makes a velocity or sometimes an acceleration, exactly it had made a force to out of the atom or any special circuit. Now we ask us that how we can explain this force .The answer is look like the before answer that we said the gravity force has created by the moving and....it means that we go into the system of the particles and again...(of course we should consider that it isn't necessary to consider the stationary or statistics circuit. If we consider the gravity force to this way we can explain many events. We can write it mathematically :( for the electron and the circle circuit)

$$E = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 - Gm_p m_e \left( \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 = \frac{1}{2}(0.5eV)v^2 \quad (1)$$

Here we inferred that the total energy depended to the (v) and the (a) also depended to the (v). so we can infer that the ( $E_{tot}$ ) depended to the (a). It is a simply

picture of the gravity for calculation that we arrive to the acceleration. Also we saw that the total energy for a system like atom or a particle in depended to the potential energy and it means that almost say that the different electrons in the different circuits have an energy that is the kinematic energy. In the past articles we inferred that when we want to speak about the atom or the light or the other electromagnetism waves we should consider two constants for calculating for example the angular momentum or.... That they are:

$$\text{The const } (\omega) \rightarrow 456603773.9 \text{ (cm}^2/\text{t)} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{The const } (\partial\tau) \rightarrow 0.0031521562 \text{ (1/Mev)} \quad (3)$$

For remember should say that the  $(\omega)$  is the angular velocity for the atom that we can calculate it from the easily calculation that we calculated that (with the  $\partial\tau$  that is the total torque of the atom) in the past article and we inferred that we should calculate them in almost all of the calculation about the atom or some other things about the classical mechanics for the electromagnetism fields. Here because we said that the gravity between the materials has the essence like this that has come from moving the electron, so we should calculate the gravity field with the  $(\omega)$  and  $(\partial\tau)$ . Also we should pay attention that we must calculate them in the angular momentum system because we took it from the angular momentum of the electrons (for example) around the nucleus and the torque of that. So we write:

$$E = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \frac{\partial\tau}{\partial r} - (F \cdot dr)d\omega = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \frac{\partial \left( \frac{dL}{dt} \right)}{\partial r} - F \cdot \left( \hat{i} \frac{dx}{dt} + \hat{j} \frac{dy}{dt} + \hat{k} \frac{dz}{dt} \right) \quad (4)$$

And we'll infer that: (here we should consider the slight derivative of the L)

$$E = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial r^2} - F \cdot \nabla V \quad (5)$$

Here we product F to V in the scalar way but it isn't completely right because for example the torque of the electron has direction and we should do vector product about them. So we have:

$$F \times \nabla V = \begin{vmatrix} i & j & k \\ F_x & F_y & F_z \\ V \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & V \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & V \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \end{vmatrix} = \hat{i} \left( V \left( \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial y} \right) \right) + \hat{j} \left( V \left( \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial z} \right) \right) + \hat{k} \left( V \left( \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F_y}{\partial x} \right) \right) \quad (6)$$

(Here because the force was important for us we should take V constant and take the slight derivative of the force.)

Now we can get calculate the total energy with numbers and scalar way. So we write: (we should consider the constant number of  $\partial\tau$  to multiple of the L)

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{1}{2} m v^2 \partial\tau \frac{\partial(r \times p)}{\partial r} - E_{pot} = \frac{1}{2} m v^2 \partial\tau \left( p \times \left( \frac{\partial r}{\partial r} \right) + r \times \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \right) \right) - E_{pot} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} m v^2 \partial\tau \left( 0 + r \times m \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{dr}{dt} \right) \right) - E_{pot} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} m v^2 \partial\tau \left( r \times \frac{m}{\partial t} \right) - E_{pot} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

As we see the mass of electron (or m) is changing on the time but it doesn't mean that the mass of that is decreasing or increasing. It means that because there are many particles that are moving in the atom, they are beating so fast to each other and when we consider a part of the atom or society of the electrons the masses of the electrons are exiting or entering in that part and at the all we can say that the mass is changing but not at the little times because we are saying about the  $\partial t$ . in the quantum mechanics and in the uncertainty principle this subject proof to other way but here we should consider that there are the statistic electrons circuits and differ to now. Now we write:

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{1}{2} (0.5)(1.2)^2 (0.0031521562) \left( 1 \text{ fermi} \cdot \frac{m}{\partial t} \right) - \omega F = \\ &(0.001134776232) \left( 10^{-15} \cdot \frac{m}{\partial t} \right) - (456603773.9) F \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Now because we want to take it easy we take the  $\partial t=1s$  and take  $F=ma=\frac{mv^2}{r}$  and write:



And we can write (I) to tensor way because there lots of position for a system that we want to round it so we write the diagonal tensor and we have:

$$I = \begin{vmatrix} I_x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_z \end{vmatrix} \rightarrow (\text{or for the complex axes}) \begin{vmatrix} I_{xx} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{yy} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{zz} \end{vmatrix} \quad (14)$$

Here because the  $(I_{xy}, I_{xz}, I_{yx}, I_{yz}, I_{zx}, I_{zy})$  were zero we didn't consider them. And we cant write:

$$I = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & I_{xz} \\ 0 & I_{yy} & 0 \\ I_{zx} & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \quad (15)$$

So we can notice them and take that the equations that we're writing is correct about the first system from first frame and when we want to go to the other frame we should add another number or prim to the letters and we write for example the  $(I_{xx})$  to  $(I_{xx}')$  and then calculate the equations for energy or the force. When the (I) is changing for stabling the physics laws (stable of momentum) we should change the  $(\omega)$  to the other frame likely the (I). so we'll have  $(\omega \Rightarrow \omega')$  and after that we should calculate the equations. Now we want to calculate the gravitational constant between two materials (G):

$$|F| = \frac{Gm_p m_e}{r^2} \Rightarrow G = \frac{|F|r^2}{m_p m_e} \quad \& \quad F = ma \quad \& \quad \frac{dL}{dt} = \tau = r \times F = r \times ma \quad (16)$$

$$\int dL = \int r \times mdv \Rightarrow mr^2 \dot{\theta} = mrv \Rightarrow mr\omega = mv = |p| \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = F \Rightarrow m\omega \dot{r} = F \Rightarrow G = \frac{m_e \omega r^2 \dot{r}}{m_p m_e} \Rightarrow G = \frac{\omega r^2 \dot{r}}{m_p} =$$

$$\frac{(456603773.9)(10^{-15})^2 \dot{r}}{m_p} \quad (18)$$

$$\dot{r} = \frac{dr}{dt} = v_r \quad \& \quad \text{spin of electron is } 0.5 \Rightarrow L = 0.5 = mrv_r \Rightarrow v_r = \frac{1}{2mr} \quad (19)$$

$$\dot{r} = \frac{1}{2m_e 10^{-15}} = \frac{1}{2(0.511)10^{-15}} = 978473581213307.24070450097847358 \quad (20)$$

Here we didn't use the ( $\dot{r}$ ) because we didn't enter the time because the period time from the different circuits is different. Now we try this way:

$$G = \frac{|F|r^2}{m_p m_e} = \frac{\alpha r^2}{m_p} = \frac{d\omega}{dt} \frac{r^2}{m_p} \quad (21)$$

$$\int G dt = \int \frac{r^2}{m_p} d\omega \quad (\text{at the const } r) \Rightarrow Gt = \frac{rv}{m_p} \quad (22)$$

$$|L| = mr^2\dot{\theta} = mr^2\omega = mrv \Rightarrow G = \frac{L}{m_e m_p t} \quad (23)$$

As you saw we arrived to the time and it means that on the different circuits there are there are different times for turning or in the other word the different particles or small materials like electrons on the different circuits have different period times. But all of them at the end will arrive to a unit product.

In the uncertainly principle we say that at the all of the time we cant define the electron's or particle's place on their circuits and we should consider the statistic of for example this place that: is there any electron here or no?(in their special circuits.)so we can see we should add another correctly sentence that correct eq.23 that we should export that sentence from uncertainly principle. In the wavy method we'll have (we know that for a volume):

$$\psi^2 dx = \psi_m^2 \sin^2 \frac{n\pi x}{l} x \cos^2 \omega t dx \quad (24)$$

That ( $\psi^2 dx$ ) is for calculating the volume and the other statement are for moving the electron. For take the average we should take the  $(\cos^2 \omega t) = \frac{1}{2}$  so we'll have:

$$\bar{\psi}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \psi_m^2 \sin^2 \frac{n\pi x}{l} \quad (25)$$

But on the other hand we know that when we want to take the average of a function we should:

$$f(x)_{ave} = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau f(x) dx$$

And we'll have in the eq.23 :(here we took the  $G=f(x)$  because we want get it for the different circuits

$$G_{ave} = \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{|L_{ave}|}{(m_e m_p)_{ave}} \quad (26)$$

That:

$$(m_e m_p)_{ave} = \frac{\sum_i m_{ei} \cdot m_{pi}}{\sum_i m_{ei} + m_{pi}} \quad (27)$$

So we have:

$$\int_0^\tau f(x) dx = \frac{\sum_i m_{ei} + m_{pi}}{\sum_i m_{ei} \cdot m_{pi}} |L_{ave}| \quad \& \quad |L_{ave}| = |L_{e,ave}| + |L_{p,ave}| \quad (28)$$

That almost we have:

$$|L_{ave}| = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1 \quad \text{because the spin of the electron and proton is } \frac{1}{2}$$

But here we didn't calculate these equations to the vector way and if we want to enter the vectors we'll have:

$$\overrightarrow{L_{ave}} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0 \Rightarrow \int_0^\tau f(x) dx = 0 \quad (29)$$

That it means the  $(x)$  is constant or the sum of the  $(f(x))$ s are constant or the sum of the  $f(x)$ s are zero that it gives us the  $(G_{ave}=0)$  but it is wrong answer because we know that the  $G$  isn't zero. If we want to say a reason that we can say when we say that  $(L_{ave}=0)$  it is possible we said it for a unit of the coordinates like  $(i, j, k)$  and as we have told about it in this article we can write:

$$L_{ave} = (mr^2\dot{\theta})_{ave} = (mr^2\omega)_{ave} \quad \text{And when we say } (L_{ave}=0) \text{ we write:}$$

$$[(L_{ave})_x \quad \& \quad (L_{ave})_y \quad \& \quad (L_{ave})_z] = 0 \quad (30)$$

And we write for example:

$$L_{ave} = \begin{vmatrix} i & j & k \\ mr_x^2 \omega_x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & mr_y^2 \omega_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & mr_z^2 \omega_z \end{vmatrix} \quad (\text{diagonal matrix}) \quad (\text{tensor}) \Rightarrow$$

$$L_{ave} = \begin{vmatrix} I_x \omega_x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_y \omega_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_z \omega_z \end{vmatrix} \quad (31)$$

Or for the other unit vectors we can use from this method to the other ways and we get an vectorial answer. Now from eq.28 we have:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{\sum_i m_{ei} + m_{pi}}{\sum_i m_{ei} \cdot m_{pi}} \right) \quad (32)$$

Know we want to enter the  $\psi$  in these equations: (because the  $f(x)$  depend to the  $\psi$ ):

$$\bar{\psi}^2 f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^2 \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{\sum_i m_{ei} + m_{pi}}{\sum_i m_{ei} \cdot m_{pi}} \right) \quad (33)$$

We know that the  $(\bar{\psi}^2)$  is a correctly sentence. So because we want to take this equation from easily way, we take  $(\bar{\psi}^2 f(x) = (f(x))_\psi)$  and we have:

$$(f(x))_\psi = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^2 \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{\sum_i m_{ei} + m_{pi}}{\sum_i m_{ei} \cdot m_{pi}} \right)$$

And we know that:

$$(f(x))_\psi = \frac{1}{4} \psi_m^2 \sin^2 \frac{n\pi x}{l} \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{\sum_i m_{ei} + m_{pi}}{\sum_i m_{ei} \cdot m_{pi}} \right) \quad (34)$$

From the Fourier theorem that  $(x=f(t))$  now we take  $f(x)$  instead of the  $f(t)$  because we have:

$$\int_0^\tau f(x) dx \quad \text{and we'll have} \quad \int f(\tau) dx - \int f(0) dx = \int f(\tau) dx \quad (35)$$



And we find  $f(\tau)$  or  $f(t)$  so we write  $f(x)$  and write  $:($ because the particles have a period for turning)

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 \cos \omega t + a_2 \cos 2\omega t + a_3 \cos 3\omega t + \dots + a_n \cos n\omega t + \dots \\ + b_1 \sin \omega t + b_2 \sin 2\omega t + b_3 \sin 3\omega t + \dots + b_n \sin n\omega t \quad (36)$$

For the particles. We get the  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  from this method:

$$a_n = \frac{2}{\tau} \int_0^\tau f(x) \cos n\omega t dx \quad (37)$$

$$b_n = \frac{2}{\tau} \int_0^\tau f(x) \sin n\omega t dx \quad (38)$$

And we have:

$$G_{ave} = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau f(x) dx \Rightarrow G = \frac{1}{\tau} \int \frac{1}{4} \psi_m^2 \sin^2 \frac{n\pi x}{l} d \left( \frac{\sum_i m_{ei} + m_{pi}}{\sum_i m_{ei} \cdot m_{pi}} \right) \quad (39)$$

$$G_{ave} = \frac{1}{\tau} \int a_0 dx + a_1 \cos \omega t dx + a_2 \cos 2\omega t dx + a_3 \cos 3\omega t dx + \dots \\ + a_n \cos n\omega t dx + \dots + b_1 \sin \omega t dx + b_2 \sin 2\omega t dx \\ + b_3 \sin 3\omega t dx + \dots + b_n \sin n\omega t dx \quad (40)$$

And from this method we can get  $G$  or  $G_{ave}$  or correctly  $G$  in the atom between the particles and nucleus. But we should put numbers in the parameters of these equations. For example we want to calculate these equations for  $n$ th circuit or on the  $n$ th circuit. In the quantum mechanics we should get the  $\psi$  also in the other equations that the original is:

$$\psi = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi a^3}} e^{-\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)} \cos \omega t$$

That:

$$a = \frac{h^2 \epsilon_0}{\pi m e^2}$$

But here(in this article) we didn't use from them because we didn't need them.

Gmail:avasighza@gmail.com

## References:

Physic Haliday (4)

Physic Haliday (1)

Fundamental University Physics, Vol. 1, Mechanics,

Marcelo Alonso & Edward J.Finn

Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1967

Analytical mechanics (Fawls)