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Analysis of covariant derivatives of vectors in quaternion (Q-) spaces performed using Q-unit spinor-splitting technique and use of SL(2C)-invariance of quaternion multiplication reveals close connexion of Q-geometry objects and Yang-Mills (YM) field principle characteristics. In particular, it is shown that Q-connexion (with quaternion non-metricity) and related curvature of 4 dimensional (4D) space-times with 3D Q-space sections are formally equivalent to respectively YM-field potential and strength, traditionally emerging from the minimal action assumption. Plausible links between YM field equation and Klein-Gordon equation, in particular via its known isomorphism with Duffin-Kemmer equation, are also discussed.

1 Introduction

Traditionally YM field is treated as a gauge, “auxiliary”, field involved to compensate local transformations of a ‘main’ (e.g. spinor) field to keep invariance of respective action functional. Anyway there are a number of works where YM-field features are found related to some geometric properties of space-times of different types, mainly in connexion with contemporary gravity theories.

Thus in paper [1] violation of SO(3,1)-covariance in gauge gravitation theory caused by distinguishing time direction from normal space-like hyper-surfaces is regarded as spontaneous symmetry violation analogous to introduction of mass in YM theory. Paper [2] shows a generic approach to formulation of a physical field evolution based on description of differential manifold and its mapping onto “model” spaces defined by characteristic groups; the group choice leads to gravity or YM theory equations. Furthermore it can be shown [2b] that it is possible to describe altogether gravitation in a space with torsion, and electroweak interactions on 4D real spacetime C₂, so we have in usual spacetime with torsion a unified theory (modulo the non treatment of the strong forces).

Somewhat different approach is suggested in paper [3] where gauge potentials and tensions are related respectively to connexion and curvature of principle bundle, whose base and gauge group choice allows arriving either to YM or to gravitation theory. Paper [4] dealing with gravity in Riemann-Cartan space and Lagrangian quadratic in connexion and curvature shows possibility to interpret connexion as a mediator of YM interaction.

In paper [5] a unified theory of gravity and electroweak forces is built with Lagrangian as a scalar curvature of spacetime with torsion; if trace and axial part of the torsion vanish the Lagrangian is shown to separate into Gilbert and YM parts. Regardless of somehow artificial character of used models, these observations nonetheless hint that there may exist a deep link between supposedly really physical object, YM field and pure math constructions. A surprising analogy between main characteristics of YM field and mathematical objects is found hidden within geometry induced by quaternion (Q-) numbers.

It is long ago noticed that Q-math (algebra, calculus and related geometry) naturally comprise many features attributed to physical systems and laws. It is known that quaternions describe three “imaginary” Q-units as unit vectors directing axes of a Cartesian system of coordinates (it was initially developed to represent subsequent telescope motions in astronomical observation). Maxwell used the fact to write his
equations in the most convenient Q-form. Decades later Fueter discovered a formidable coincidence: a pure math Cauchy-Riemann type condition endowing functions of Q-variable with analytical properties turned out to be identical in shape to vacuum equations of electrodynamics [9].

Later on another surprising Q-math — physics coincidences were found. Among them: “automatic” appearance of Pauli magnetic field-spin term with Bohr magneton as a coefficient when Hamiltonian for charged quantum mechanical particle was built with the help of Q-based metric [10]; possibility to endow “imaginary” vector Q-units with properties of not only stationary but movable triad of Cartan type and use it for a very simple description of Newtonian mechanics in rotating frame of reference [11]; discovery of inherited in Q-math variant of relativity theory permitting to describe motion of non-inertial frames [12]. Preliminary study shows that YM field components are also formally present in Q-math.

In Section 2 notion of Q-space is given in necessary detail. Section 3 discussed neat analogy between Q-geometric objects and YM field potential and strength. In Section 4 YM field and Klein-Gordon correspondence is discussed. Concluding remarks can be found in Section 5.

Part of our motivation for writing this paper was to explicate the hidden electromagnetic field origin of YM fields. It is known that the Standard Model of elementary particles lack systematic description for the mechanism of quark charges. (Let alone the question of whether quarks do exist or they are mere algebraic tools, as Heisenberg once puts forth: If quarks exist, then we have redefined the word “exist”.) On the other side, as described above, Maxwell described his theory in quaternionic language, therefore it seems natural to ask whether it is possible to find neat link between quaternion language and YM-fields, and by doing so provide one step toward describing mechanism behind quark charges.

Further experimental observation is of course recommended in order to verify or refute our propositions as described herein.

2 Quaternion spaces

Detailed description of Q-space is given in [13]; shortly but with necessary strictness its notion can be presented as following.

Let $U_N$ be a manifold, a geometric object consisting of points $M \in U_N$ each reciprocally and uniquely corresponding to a set of $N$ numbers-coordinates $(y^A) : M \leftrightarrow \{y^A\}$, $(A = 1, 2, \ldots N)$. Also let the sets of coordinates be transformed so that the map becomes a homeomorphism of a class $C_C$. It is known that $U_N$ may be endowed with a proper tangent manifold $T_N$ described by sets of orthogonal unite vectors $e^{(A)}$ generating in $T_N$ families of coordinate lines $M \rightarrow \{X^{(A)}\}$, indices in brackets being numbers of frames’ vectors. Differentials of coordinates in $U_N$ and $T_N$ are tied as $dX^{(A)} = g^{(A)}_{\alpha} dy^\alpha$, with Lamé coefficients $g^{(A)}_{\alpha}$, functions of $y^\alpha$, so that $X^{(A)}$ are generally non-holonomic. Irrespective of properties of $U_N$ each its point may be attached to the origin of a frame, in particular presented by “imaginary” Q-units $q$, this attachment accompanied by a rule tying values of coordinates of this point with the triad orientation $M \leftrightarrow \{y^A, \Psi^j\}$. All triads $\{q\}$ so defined on $U_N$ form a sort of “tangent” manifold $T(U, q)$, (really tangent only for the base $U$). Due to presence of frame vectors $q_k(y)$ existence of metric and at least proper (quaternionic) connexion $\omega_{jk} = -\omega_{kj}$, $\partial_j q_k = \omega_{jk} q_n$, is implied, hence one can tell of $T(U, q)$ as of a Q-tangent space on the base $U_N$. Coordinates $x_k$ defined along triad vectors $q_k$ in $T(U, q)$ are tied with non-holonomic coordinates $X^{(A)}$ in proper tangent space $T_N$ by the transformation $dx_k = h_k^{(A)} dX^{(A)}$ with $h_k^{(A)}$ being locally depending matrices (and generally not square) of relative $e^{(A)} \leftrightarrow q_k$ rotation. Consider a special case of unification $U \oplus T(U, q)$ with 3-dimensional base space $U = U_3$. Moreover, let quaternion specificity of $T_3$ reflects property of the base itself, i.e. metric structure of $U_3$ inevitably requires involvement of Q-triads to initiate Cartanian coordinates in its tangent space. Such 3-dimensional space generating sets of tangent quaternionian frames in each its point is named here “quaternion space” (or simply Q-space).

Main distinguishing feature of a Q-space is non-symmetric form of its metric tensor $g_{kn} \equiv q_k q_n = -\delta_{kn} + \varepsilon_{k}^{\alpha} q_{\alpha}$ being in fact multiplication rule of “imaginary” Q-units. It is easy to understand that all tangent spaces constructed on arbitrary bases as designed above are Q-spaces themselves. In most general case a Q-space can be treated as a space of affine connexion $\Omega_{j} = \Gamma_{j} + Q_{j} + \omega_{jnk} + \sigma_{jkn}$ comprising respectively Riemann connexion $\Gamma_{j}$, Cartan torsion $Q_{j}$, segmentary curvature (or ordinary non-metricity) $\omega_{jnk}$, and Q-non-metricity $\sigma_{jkn}$, curvature tensor is given by standard expression $R_{knjm} = \partial_j \Omega_{km} - \partial_m \Omega_{kn} + \Omega_{kjm} \Omega_{nm} - \Omega_{j} \Omega_{km} \Omega_{nm} - \Omega_{j} \Omega_{mn} \Omega_{nm}$.

3 Yang-Mills field from Q-space geometry

Usually Yang-Mills field $A_{\mu}$ is introduced as a gauge field in procedure of localized transformations of certain field, e.g. spiner field [14, 15],

$$\psi_{\alpha} \rightarrow U(y^\beta) \psi_{\alpha}.$$  

If in the Lagrangian of the field partial derivative of $\psi_{\alpha}$ is changed to “covariant” one

$$\partial_\beta \rightarrow D_\beta \equiv \partial_\beta - g_{\beta\alpha} \partial_\alpha,$$

*Latin indices are 3D, Greek indices are 4D; $\delta_{kn}$, $\varepsilon_{k}^{\alpha}$ are Kronecker and Levi-Civita symbols; summation convention is valid.
\[ A_\beta \equiv iA_{C\beta}T_C, \]  
\[ \text{with structure constants } f_{BCD}, \text{ then} \]
\[ D_\beta U \equiv (\partial_\beta - gA_\beta) U = 0, \]
\[ \text{and the Lagrangian keeps invariant under the transformations (1). The theory becomes "self consistent" if the gauge field terms are added to Lagrangian} \]
\[ L_{YM} \sim F^{\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta}, \]
\[ F_{\alpha\beta} \equiv F_{C\alpha\beta}T_C. \]

The gauge field intensity \( F_{\mu\nu} \) expressed through potentials \( A_{B\nu} \) and structure constants as
\[ F_{C\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha A_{C\beta} - \partial_\beta A_{C\alpha} + f_{C\alpha\beta\gamma}A_{D\alpha}A_{E\beta}. \]

Vacuum equations of the gauge field
\[ \partial_\alpha F^{\alpha\beta} + [A_\alpha, F^{\alpha\beta}] = 0 \]
are of variation procedure of action built from Lagrangian (6).

Group Lie, e.g. SU(2) generators in particular can be represented by “imaginary” quaternion units given by e.g. traceless \( 2 \times 2 \)-matrices in special representation (Pauli-type) \( iT_B \to q_\xi = -i\sigma_k \) (\( \sigma_k \) are Pauli matrices).

Then the structure constants are Levi-Civita tensor components \( f_{BCD} \to \epsilon_{kmn}, \) and expressions for potential and intensity (strength) of the gauge field are written as:
\[ A_\beta = g \frac{1}{2} A_{\kappa\beta} q_\kappa, \]
\[ F_{k\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha A_{k\beta} - \partial_\beta A_{k\alpha} + \epsilon_{kmn} A_{m\alpha} A_{n\beta}. \]

It is worth noting that this conventional method of introduction of a Yang-Mills field type essentially exploits heuristic base of theoretical physics, first of all the postulate of minimal action and formalism of Lagrangian functions construction. But since description of the field optionally uses quaternion units one can assume that some of the above relations are appropriate for Q-spaces theory and may have geometric analogues. To verify this assumption we will use an example of 4D space-time model with 3D spatial quaternion section.

Begin with the problem of 4D space-time with 3D spatial section in the form of Q-space containing only one geometric object: proper quaternion connexion. Q-covariant derivative of the basic (frame) vectors \( q_m \) identically vanish in this space:
\[ \tilde{D}_\alpha q_\kappa \equiv (\delta_m k \partial_\alpha + \omega_{\alpha m k}) q_m = 0. \]
so that altogether lhs of Eq. (18) comprises \(-4\partial_\alpha U\) while right-hand-side (rhs) is

\[\omega_{\alpha kn} U q_\alpha q_k = -\varepsilon_{\kappa mn} \omega_{\alpha kn} U q_\kappa; \quad (22)\]

then Eq. (18) yields

\[\partial_\alpha U - \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_{\kappa mn} \omega_{\alpha kn} U q_\kappa = 0. \quad (23)\]

If now one makes the following notations

\[A_k \equiv \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\kappa mn} \omega_{\alpha kn}, \quad (24)\]

\[A_\alpha \equiv \frac{1}{2} A_n q_\kappa, \quad (25)\]

then notation (25) exactly coincides with the definition (10) (provided \(g = 1\)), and Eq. (23) turns out equivalent to Eq. (5)

\[U \hat{D}_\alpha \equiv U(\hat{D}_\alpha - A_\alpha) = 0. \quad (26)\]

Expression for “covariant derivative” of inverse matrix follows from the identity:

\[\partial_\alpha U U^{-1} = -U \partial_\alpha U^{-1}. \quad (27)\]

Using Eq. (23) one easily computes

\[-\partial_\alpha U^{-1} - \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_{\kappa mn} \omega_{\alpha kn} q_\kappa U^{-1} = 0 \quad (28)\]

or

\[D_\alpha U^{-1} \equiv (\partial_\alpha + A_\alpha) U^{-1} = 0. \quad (29)\]

Direction of action of the derivative operator is not essential here, since the substitution \(U^{-1} \rightarrow U\) \(U \rightarrow U^{-1}\) is always possible, and then Eq. (29) exactly coincides with Eq. (5).

Now let us summarize first results. We have a remarkable fact: form-invariance of Q-multiplication has as a corollary “covariant constancy” of matrices of spinor transformations of vector Q-units; moreover one notes that proper Q-connexion (contrasted in skew indices by Levi-Civita tensor) plays the role of “gauge potential” of some Yang-Mills-type field. By the way the Q-connexion is easily expressed from Eq. (24)

\[\omega_{\alpha kn} = \varepsilon_{\kappa mn} A_{\kappa \alpha}. \quad (30)\]

Using Eq. (25) one finds expression for the gauge field intensity (11) (contrasted by Levi-Civita tensor for convenience) through Q-connexion

\[\varepsilon_{\kappa mn} F_{k \alpha \beta} = \varepsilon_{\kappa mn}(\partial_\alpha A_k \beta \beta - \partial_\beta A_k \alpha \alpha) + \varepsilon_{\kappa mn} \varepsilon_{\mu \lambda j} A_\lambda A_j \beta = \delta_{\kappa \alpha} A_m \beta - \partial_\beta \omega_{\alpha mn} + A_{\alpha m} A_\alpha \beta - A_{m \beta} A_{m \alpha}. \quad (31)\]

If identically vanishing sum

\[-\delta_{\kappa \alpha} A_j \alpha A_j \beta + \delta_{\kappa \alpha} A_j \beta A_j \alpha = 0 \quad (32)\]

is added to rhs of (31) then all quadratic terms in the right hand side can be given in the form

\[A_m \alpha A_n \beta - A_m \beta A_n \alpha - \delta_m \alpha A_j \beta + \delta_m \beta A_j \alpha = \varepsilon_{\kappa q m} q k \partial_\alpha A_{q k} \beta - A_{q k} \beta A_{q k} \alpha = \varepsilon_{\kappa q m} \varepsilon_{\kappa q n} (A_{q m} A_{q n} - A_{q n} A_{q m}). \quad (33)\]

Substitution of the last expression into Eq. (31) accompanied with new notation

\[R_{mn \alpha \beta} \equiv \varepsilon_{\kappa mn} F_{k \alpha \beta} \quad (33)\]

leads to well-known formula:

\[R_{mn \alpha \beta} = \delta_\alpha \omega_{\kappa mn} - \delta_\beta \omega_{\kappa mn} + \omega_\alpha \kappa \omega_\beta \kappa - \omega_\beta \kappa \omega_\alpha \kappa = \sigma_{\kappa \alpha} \sigma_{\kappa \beta}. \quad (34)\]

This is nothing else but curvature tensor of Q-space built out of proper Q-connexion components (in their turn being functions of 4D coordinates). By other words, Yang-Mills field strength is mathematically (geometrically) identical to quaternion space curvature tensor. But in the considered case of Q-space comprising only proper Q-connexion, all components of the curvature tensor are identically zero. So Yang-Mills field in this case has potential but no intensity.

The picture absolutely changes for the case of quaternion space with Q-connexion containing a proper part \(\omega_\beta \kappa \kappa\) and also Q-non-metricity \(\sigma_{\kappa \alpha}\)

\[
\Omega_{\beta \kappa \kappa}(q^\alpha) = \omega_\beta \kappa + \sigma_{\beta \kappa} \quad (35)
\]

so that Q-covariant derivative of a unitary Q-vector with connexion (35) does not vanish, its result is namely the Q-non-metricity

\[
\bar{D}_\alpha q_k \equiv (\delta_m \kappa \partial_\alpha + \Omega_{m \kappa}) q_m = \sigma_{\kappa m} q_k. \quad (36)
\]

For this case “covariant derivatives” of transformation spinor matrices may be defined analogously to previous case definitions (26) and (29)

\[U \bar{D}_\alpha \equiv U(\bar{D}_\alpha - \bar{A}_\alpha), \quad \bar{D}_\alpha U^{-1} \equiv (\partial_\alpha + \bar{A}_\alpha) U. \quad (37)
\]

But here the “gauge field” is built from Q-connexion (35)

\[\bar{A}_k \alpha \equiv \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\kappa mn} \bar{\Omega}_{\kappa mn}, \quad \bar{A}_k \equiv \frac{1}{2} \bar{A}_n q_\alpha. \quad (38)
\]

It is not difficult to verify whether the definitions (37) are consistent with non-metricity condition (36). Action of the “covariant derivatives” (37) onto a spinor-transformed unite Q-vector

\[
\bar{D}_\alpha q_k \rightarrow (\bar{D}_\alpha U) q_k \delta_\alpha U^{-1} + U q_k (\bar{D}_\alpha U^{-1}) = (U \bar{D}_\alpha - \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_{\kappa mn} \Omega_{\kappa mn} U q_j q_k) U^{-1} + U q_k (D_\alpha U^{-1} + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_{\kappa mn} \Omega_{\kappa mn} U q_j U^{-1}).
\]
together with Eqs. (26) and (29) demand:

\[ U \hat{D}_\alpha = D_\alpha U^{-1} = 0 \]  

(39)

leads to the expected results

\[ \hat{D}_\alpha q_k \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{jnm} \sigma_{\alpha nm} U \varepsilon_{jkl} q_l U^{-1} = \sigma_{\alpha kl} U q_l U^{-1} = \sigma_{\alpha kl} q_l \]

i.e. “gauge covariant” derivative of any Q-unit results in Q-
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Furthermore, sometime ago it has been shown that four-
dimensional coordinates may be combined into a quaternion,
and this could be useful in describing supersymmetric exten-
sion of Yang-Mills field [18]. This plausible near link be-
tween Klein-Gordon equation, Duffin-Kemmer equation and
Yang-Mills field via quaternion number may be found useful,
because both Duffin-Kemmer equation and Yang-Mills field
play some kind of significant role in description of standard
model of particles [16].

In this regards, it has been argued recently that one
can derive standard model using Klein-Gordon equation, in
particular using Yukawa method, without having to introduce
a Higgs mass [19, 20]. Considering a notorious fact that
Higgs particle has not been observed despite more than three
decades of extensive experiments, it seems to suggest that
an alternative route to standard model of particles using
(quaternion) Klein-Gordon deserves further consideration.

In this section we will discuss a number of approaches
by different authors to describe the (quaternion) extension
of Klein-Gordon equation and its implications. First we will
review quaternion quantum mechanics of Adler. And then
we discuss how Klein-Gordon equation leads to hypothetical
imaginary mass. Thereafter we discuss an alternative route
for quaternionic modification of Klein-Gordon equation, and
implications to meson physics.

4.1 Quaternion Quantum Mechanics

Adler’s method of quaternionizing Quantum Mechanics grew
out of his interest in the Harari-Shupe’s rishon model for
composite quarks and leptons [21]. In a preceding paper [22]
he describes that in quaternionic quantum mechanics (QQM),
the Dirac transition amplitudes are quaternion valued, i.e.
they have the form

\[ q = r_0 + r_1 i + r_2 j + r_3 k \]  

(46)

where \( r_0, r_1, r_2, r_3 \) are real numbers, and \( i, j, k \) are
quaternion imaginary units obeying

\[ i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1, \quad ij = -ji = k, \quad jk = -kj = i, \quad ki = -ik = j. \]  

(47)

Using this QQM method, he described composite fermion
states identified with the quaternion real components [23].

4.2 Hypothetical imaginary mass problem in Klein-

Gordon equation

It is argued that dynamical origin of Higgs mass implies
that the mass of W must always be pure imaginary [19,
20]. Therefore one may conclude that a real description for
(composite) quarks and leptons shall avoid this problem, i.e.
by not including the problematic Higgs mass.

Nonetheless, in this section we can reveal that perhaps
the problem of imaginary mass in Klein-Gordon equation is
not completely avoidable. First we will describe an elemen-
tary derivation of Klein-Gordon from electromagnetic wave equation, and then by using Bakhoum’s assertion of total energy we derive alternative expression of Klein-Gordon implying the imaginary mass. We can start with 1D-classical wave equation as derived from Maxwell equations [24, p.4]:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial t^2} = 0. \tag{48}
\]

This equation has plane wave solutions:

\[
E(x, t) = E_0 e^{i(kx - \omega t)} \tag{49}
\]

which yields the relativistic total energy:

\[
e^2 = p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4. \tag{50}
\]

Therefore we can rewrite (48) for non-zero mass particles as follows [24]:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} \right) \Psi e^{i(px - E t)} = 0. \tag{51}
\]

Rearranging this equation (51) we get the Klein-Gordon equation for a free particle in 3-dimensional condition:

\[
\left( \nabla - \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} \right) \Psi = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial t^2}. \tag{52}
\]

It seems worth noting here that it is more proper to use total energy definition according to Noether’s theorem in lieu of standard definition of relativistic total energy. According to Noether’s theorem [25], the total energy of the system corresponding to the time translation invariance is given by:

\[
E = mc^2 + \frac{cu}{2} \int_0^\infty (\gamma^2 4\pi r^2 dr) = kmc^2 \tag{53}
\]

where \( k \) is dimensionless. It could be shown, that for low-energy state the total energy could be far less than \( E = mc^2 \). Interestingly Bakhoum [25] has also argued in favor of using \( E = mv^2 \) for expression of total energy, which expression could be traced back to Leibniz. Therefore it seems possible to argue that expression \( E = mv^2 \) is more generalized than the standard expression of special relativity, in particular because the total energy now depends on actual velocity [25].

From this new expression, it is possible to rederive Klein-Gordon equation. We start with Bakhoum’s assertion that it is more appropriate to use \( E = mv^2 \), instead of more convenient form \( E = mc^2 \). This assertion would imply [25]:

\[
H^2 = p^2 c^2 - m^2 c^2 v^2. \tag{54}
\]

A bit remark concerning Bakhoum’s expression, it does not mean to imply or to interpret \( E = mv^2 \) as an assertion that it implies zero energy for a rest mass. Actually the problem comes from “mixed” interpretation of what we mean with “velocity”. In original Einstein’s paper (1905) it is defined as “kinetic velocity”, which can be measured when standard “steel rod” has velocity approximates the speed of light. But in quantum mechanics, we are accustomed to make use it deliberately to express “photon speed” = \( c \). Therefore, in special relativity 1905 paper, it should be better to interpret it as “speed of free electron”, which approximates \( c \). For hydrogen atom with 1 electron, the electron occupies the first excitation (quantum number \( n = 1 \)), which implies that their speed also approximate \( c \), which then it is quite safe to assume \( E \sim mc^2 \). But for atoms with large number of electrons occupying large quantum numbers, as Bakhoum showed that electron speed could be far less than \( c \), therefore it will be more exact to use \( E = mv^2 \), where here \( v \) should be defined as “average electron speed” [25].

In the first approximation of relativistic wave equation, we could derive Klein-Gordon-type relativistic equation from equation (54), as follows. By introducing a new parameter:

\[
\zeta = \frac{v}{c}, \tag{55}
\]

then we can use equation (55) in the known procedure to derive Klein-Gordon equation:

\[
E^2 = p^2 c^2 + \zeta^2 m^2 c^4, \tag{56}
\]

where \( E = mv^2 \). By using known substitution:

\[
E = ih \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad p = \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla, \tag{57}
\]

and dividing by \((hc)^2\), we get Klein-Gordon-type relativistic equation [25]:

\[
- c^{-2} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial t^2} + \nabla^2 \Psi = k_0^2 \Psi, \tag{58}
\]

where

\[
k_0 = \frac{\zeta m_0 c}{\hbar}. \tag{59}
\]

Therefore we can conclude that imaginary mass term appears in the definition of coefficient \( k_0 \) of this new Klein-Gordon equation.

### 4.3 Modified Klein-Gordon equation and meson observation

As described before, quaternionic Klein-Gordon equation has neat link with Yang-Mills field. Therefore it seems worth to discuss here how to quaternionize Klein-Gordon equation. It can be shown that the resulting modified Klein-Gordon equation also exhibits imaginary mass term.

Equation (52) is normally rewritten in simpler form (by asserting \( c = 1 \)):

\[
\left( \nabla - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) \Psi = \frac{m^2}{\hbar^2}. \tag{60}
\]
Interestingly, one can write the Nabla-operator above in quaternionic form, as follows:

A. Define quaternion-Nabla-operator as analog to quaternion number definition above (46), as follows [25]:

\[
\nabla^q = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + e_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + e_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + e_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \tag{61}
\]

where \( e_1, e_2, e_3 \) are quaternion imaginary units. Note that equation (61) has included partial time-differentiation.

B. Its quaternion conjugate is defined as follows:

\[
\bar{\nabla}^q = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - e_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - e_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - e_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}. \tag{62}
\]

C. Quaternion multiplication rule yields:

\[
\nabla^q \bar{\nabla}^q = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}. \tag{63}
\]

D. Then equation (63) permits us to rewrite equation (60) in quaternionic form as follows:

\[
\nabla^q \bar{\nabla}^q \psi = \frac{m^2}{\hbar^2}. \tag{64}
\]

Alternatively, one used to assign standard value \( c = 1 \) and also \( h = 1 \), therefore equation (60) may be written as:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 + m^2 \right) \varphi(x, t) = 0, \tag{65}
\]

where the first two terms are often written in the form of square Nabla operator. One simplest version of this equation [26]:

\[
- \left( \frac{\partial S_0}{\partial t} \right)^2 + m^2 = 0 \tag{66}
\]

yields the known solution [26]:

\[
S_0 = \pm m t + \text{constant}. \tag{67}
\]

The equation (66) yields wave equation which describes a particle at rest with positive energy (lower sign) or with negative energy (upper sign). Radial solution of equation (66) yields Yukawa potential which predicts meson as observables.

It is interesting to note here, however, that numerical 1-D solution of equation (65), (66) and (67) each yields slightly different result, as follows. (All numerical computation was performed using Mathematica [28].)

- For equation (65) we get:

\[
(-D[#1,x] + m^2 + D[#1,t]) & [y[x,t]] = =
\]

\[
m^2 + y^{(0,2)}[x, t] - y^{(2,0)}[x, t] = 0
\]

DSolve[%, y[x,t], {x,t}]

\[
\left\{ \left\{ y[x,t] \to \frac{m^2 x^2}{2} + C[1][t-x] + C[2][t+x] \right\} \right\}
\]

- For equation (66) we get:

\[
( m^2 - D[#1,t]) & [y[x,t]] = =
\]

\[
m^2 + y^{(0,2)}[x, t] = 0
\]

DSolve[%, y[x,t], {x,t}]

\[
\left\{ \left\{ y[x,t] \to \frac{m^2 t^2}{2} + C[1][x] + C[2][x] \right\} \right\}
\]

One may note that this numerical solution is in quadratic form \( m^2 t^2 \) + constant, therefore it is rather different from equation (67) in [26].

In the context of possible supersymetrization of Klein-Gordon equation (and also PT-symmetric extension of Klein-Gordon equation [27, 29]), one can make use biquaternion number instead of quaternion number in order to generalize further the differential operator in equation (61):

E. Define a new “diamond operator” to extend quaternion-Nabla-operator to its biquaternion counterpart, according to the study [25]:

\[
\diamond = \nabla^q + i \bar{\nabla}^q = \left( -i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + e_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + e_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + e_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) +
\]

\[
+ i \left( -i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + e_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + e_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} + e_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \right), \tag{68}
\]

where \( e_1, e_2, e_3 \) are quaternion imaginary units. Its conjugate can be defined in the same way as before.

To generalize Klein-Gordon equation, one can generalize its differential operator to become:

\[
\left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \nabla^2 \right] \varphi(x, t) = -m^2 \varphi(x, t), \tag{69}
\]

or by using our definition in (68), one can rewrite equation (69) in compact form:

\[
(\diamond \diamond + m^2) \varphi(x, t) = 0, \tag{70}
\]

and in lieu of equation (66), now we get:

\[
\left[ \left( \frac{\partial S_0}{\partial t} \right)^2 + i \left( \frac{\partial S_0}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right] = m^2. \tag{71}
\]

Numerical solutions for these equations were obtained in similar way with the previous equations:
The potential corresponding to this biquaternionic KGE is neither Coulomb, Yukawa, nor Hulthen potential, then one can expect to observe a new type of matter. Further observation is recommended in order to support or refute this proposition.
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