### In this issue

### Sediments from the Carlsberg Ridge

The clay mineralogical, geochemical and U-Th isotopic studies show that the sediments from NW Carlsberg Ridge (CR) in the Indian Ocean have high accumulation rate (3 cm/kyr), and are characterized by very low magnetic susceptibility (MS), moderate TOC, high CaCO<sub>3</sub> content. The CR sediments have high illite (30-50%) and chlorite (18-35%) similar to the Arabian Sea sediments whereas, high smectite abundance (30-40%) in the axial valley of the CR point towards additional source from the south mainly by the northerly bound equatorial currents. The Si, Al and K down profiles suggest larger detrital input for the deeper samples. The CR sediments have no effect on CaCO<sub>3</sub> dissolution. Absence of Mn enrichment, distinct susceptibility peaks and volcanic tephra material indicates that the CR source is non-volcanic. The CR sediments do not hold any signature of hydrothermal activity, and the sharp peaks of Mn at 10, 25, 45, 60 and 80 cm depth appears to be typical of oxidation fronts in the diagnetic system. The down core profiles of MS, Fe, Mn and TOC, and high uranium further supports diagenetic influence in the CR sediments. The study shows that the major sediment sources are continental, biogenic and diagenetic. See page 1090.

## The first millisecond of protein folding

Living systems very often operate far from thermodynamic equilibrium. The timely execution of a variety of molecular processes is the key to survival of living forms. This is possible because proteins, the workhorses of living cells, fold to functional structures in times that are biologically relevant, regardless of the vast free energy landscape available for conformational sampling. An important part of the conformational search problem is solved during the initial stages of protein-folding reactions.

In this issue Kalyan Sinha and Jayant Udgaonkar review (**page 1053**) the current state of knowledge on events that occur early during protein folding, with emphasis on the sub-millisecond (MS) collapse reactions. The recent developments in ultra-fast methods to initiate folding reactions have resulted in a significant wealth of data on the sub-ms folding transitions. These data highlight two important features of the initial folding



events. First, the species involved, including the starting unfolded form, show high degrees of conformational heterogeneity. Second, the magnitudes of the energy barriers encountered may be marginal and approach about  $k_{\rm B}T$  in magnitude. The authors discuss the implications of these observations in terms of the existence of multiple folding pathways. They discuss the complications that may arise due to the common usage of transition state theory to analyse such complex reactions. An important emerging possibility that is supported by some recent kinetic data is that specific structure may form by gradual transitions, rather than via cooperative barrier-limited processes. Such

observations suggest that it may become possible to capture the exact sequence of events during the folding reaction of a protein right from the very beginning.

## Magnetic field generation in the solar system

When confronting the unknown in science, complexity and confusion first dominate. But, with subsequent discoveries and logical reasoning, that eventually passes, and in its place comes awareness and appreciation of the elegant simplicity which underlies nature. Three decades ago, Marvin Herndon began to confront the unknown, concerning the matter in the solar system, by placing seemingly unrelated observations into logical sequences so that causal relations became evident and understanding started to emerge. As he describes in this issue (page 1033), only three processes are responsible for the genesis of planetary matter and only one type of matter is responsible for bulk interiors of the massive-cored planets. The commonality of internal planetary compositions leads Herndon to propose that magnetic field generation in the planets and in their larger satellites results from the same type of energy source and magnetic field production mechanism which he has suggested generates and powers the earth's magnetic field: a nuclear fission reactor at the centre, called the georeactor (Curr. Sci., 2007, 93, 1485-1487). In the microgravity environment of planetary centres, the operating conditions are, as Herndon notes, remarkably similar and lead to feasible circumstances for long-term convection-driven dynamo action in the fluid nuclear reactor sub-shell of each planet and large satellite.

# Nature of planetary matter and magnetic field generation in the solar system

### J. Marvin Herndon

Understanding the nature of matter comprising the solar system is crucial for understanding the mechanism that generates the earth's geomagnetic field and magnetic fields of other planets and satellites. The commonality of matter in the solar system like that inside of earth, together with common nuclear reactor operating conditions, form the basis for generalizing the author's concept of nuclear georeactor geomagnetic field generation to planetary magnetic field generation by natural planetocentric nuclear fission reactors.

Keywords: Geomagnetism, geomagnetic field, georeactor, planetary magnetic field, solar system.

CURRENTLY active, internally generated magnetic fields have been detected in six planets (Mercury, Earth, Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus and Neptune) and in one satellite (Jupiter's moon Ganymede). Magnetized surface areas of Mars and the moon indicate the former existence of internally generated magnetic fields in those bodies. Here evidence is presented attesting to the commonality of matter in the solar system, which is similar to that in the deep-interior of the earth, and a suggestion is made that planetary magnetic fields generally arise from the same georeactortype mechanism which Herndon<sup>1</sup> has suggested generates and powers the earth's magnetic field.

There is clear evidence that certain planets contain internal energy sources. In 1969, astronomers discovered that Jupiter radiates into space more energy than it receives from the sun. Verification followed, indicating that not only Jupiter, but Saturn and Neptune as well, each radiate approximately twice as much energy they receive from the sun<sup>2,3</sup>. For two decades planetary scientists thought that they had considered and eliminated known planetary-scale energy sources, declaring 'by default' or 'by elimination' that the observed internal energy must be a relic, leftover energy from planetary formation about 4.5 billion years ago<sup>4,5</sup>.

Applying Fermi's nuclear reactor theory<sup>6</sup>, in 1992 Herndon<sup>7</sup> demonstrated the feasibility of planetocentric nuclear fission reactors as the internal energy sources for the giant gaseous outer planets. Initially, he considered only hydrogen-moderated thermal neutron reactors, but thereafter demonstrated the feasibility of fast neutron breeder reactors as well, which admitted the possibility of planetocentric nuclear reactors in planets like the earth<sup>8–10</sup>.

It is known that the earth has an internal energy source at or near its centre that powers the mechanism which generates and sustains the geomagnetic field. Applying Fermi's nuclear reactor theory<sup>6</sup>, Herndon<sup>8</sup> demonstrated the feasibility of a planetocentric nuclear fission reactor as the energy source for the geomagnetic field. Subsequent state-of-the-art numerical simulations made at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA, verified his conjecture that the georeactor could indeed function over the lifetime of the earth as a fast neutron breeder reactor and, significantly, would produce helium in the same range of isotopic composition observed in oceanic basalts<sup>11-13</sup>. At this point though, Herndon had considered planetocentric nuclear fission reactors as planetary energy sources, not as mechanisms for generating planetary magnetic fields. Recently, he has suggested that the georeactor is responsible not only for powering the geomagnetic field, but for also being the mechanism responsible for actually generating the geomagnetic field<sup>1</sup>.

A suggestion is herein made that the mechanisms for generating planetary and satellite magnetic fields and for providing their requisite energy are one and the same, planetocentric nuclear fission reactors, like the earth's georeactor<sup>1</sup>. This generalization is based upon fundamental considerations which demonstrate the commonality of highly-reduced, deep earth-type matter, particularly within massive-cored planets of our solar system, and the commanality of georeactor-like operating conditions.

### Nature of planetary matter

Only three processes that were in operation during the formation of the solar system are responsible for the diversity of matter in the solar system. These are directly responsible for planetary internal structures, including planetocentric nuclear fission reactors, and for dynamical

J. Marvin Herndon is in the Transdyne Corporation, 11044 Red Rock Drive, San Diego, CA 92131, USA. e-mail: mherndon@san.rr.com

processes, including and especially geodynamics. These processes are: (i) Low-pressure, low-temperature condensation from solar matter in the remote reaches of the solar system or in the interstellar medium, which leads to oxygenrich condensates; (ii) High-pressure, high-temperature condensation from solar matter associated with planetary formation by raining out from the interiors of giant, gaseous protoplanets, which leads to oxygen-starved planetary interiors, and, (iii) Stripping of the primordial volatile components from the inner portion of the solar system by super-intense solar wind associated with T-Tauri phase mass ejections, presumably during the thermonuclear ignition of the sun<sup>14</sup>.

The constancy in isotopic compositions of most of the elements of the earth, the moon, and meteorites indicates formation from primordial matter of common origin. Primordial elemental composition is yet evident to a great extent in the photosphere of the sun and, for the less volatile, rock-forming elements, in chondrite meteorites. There is, however, a fundamental degree of complexity which has posed an impediment to our understanding for more than half a century. Instead of just one type of chondrite there are three, with each type characterized by its own strikingly unique state of oxidation. Understanding the nature of the processes that yielded these three distinct types of matter from one common progenitor forms the basis for understanding much about planetary formation, their compositions, and the processes they manifest, including and especially magnetic field production.

Only five major elements (Fe, Mg, Si, O and S) comprise at least 95% of the mass of each chondrite and, by implication, each of the terrestrial planets and act as a buffer assemblage. Minor and trace elements are slaves to that buffer system and are insufficiently abundant to alter the oxidation state. For decades, the abundances of major rock-forming elements  $(E_i)$  in chondrites have been expressed in the literature as ratios, usually relative to silicon  $(E_i/S_i)$  and occasionally relative to magnesium  $(E_i/Mg)$ . By expressing Fe–Mg–Si elemental abundances as molar (atom) ratios relative to iron ( $E_i$ /Fe), Herndon<sup>15</sup> discovered a fundamental relationship bearing on the genesis of chondrite matter, which has implications on the nature of planetary processes in the solar system. The relationship obtained admits the possibility of ordinary chondrites having been derived from mixtures of two components, representative of the other two types of chondrite matter. One component appears to be a relatively undifferentiated carbonaceous chondrite-like primitive component, while the other, a partially differentiated enstatite chondrite-like planetary component, appears to have originated from a large reservoir. Herndon suggested that the partially differentiated planetary component may be comprised of matter stripped from the protoplanet of Mercury, presumably by the T-Tauri solar wind during thermonuclear ignition of the sun<sup>15</sup>. In other words, ordinary chondrite matter is not a primary building material for the planets, although it might contribute a veneer to the terrestrial planets, especially Mars.

Astronomical observations demonstrate conclusively that T-Tauri-type outbursts can be of sufficient magnitude to scour the terrestrial-planet region of the solar system. Figure 1 shows a Hubble Space Telescope image of such an outburst of the binary XZ-Tauri. The white crescent embedded in the plume marks the leading edge of that outburst five years before. In five years the leading edge of the plume progressed a distance equivalent to 130 times the distance from the sun to earth.

Much confusion has arisen from decades of generating computational models based upon erroneous assumptions that the mineral assemblage characteristic of ordinary chondrites formed in equilibrium in an atmosphere of solar composition at very low pressures, ca.  $10^{-4}$  to  $10^{-5}$  bar, and that ordinary chondrite-like matter comprises planetary interiors.

Herndon and Suess<sup>16</sup> have shown that ordinary chondrite formation necessitates, not an atmosphere of solar composition, but an atmosphere depleted in hydrogen by a factor of about 1000. Subsequently, Herndon<sup>17</sup> showed that it was not possible for ordinary chondrite matter to be in equilibrium with a gas of solar composition, and also showed the necessity of some oxygen depletion relative to the solar matter. Moreover, the ordinary chondrites appear, not as primary, but rather as a secondary mixture, leaving only two types of primary matter, the oxygen-rich carbonaceous chondrite-type matter and the oxygenstarved enstatite chondrite-type matter<sup>15</sup>.

As early as 1940 scientists, including the renowned Harvard geophysicist Francis Birch, built geophysics upon the premise that the earth is like an ordinary chondrite, one of the most common types of meteorites observed impacting earth, while totally ignoring another,



**Figure 1.** Hubble Space Telescope image of an outburst from the binary XZ-Tauri in 2000. The white crescent shows the position of the leading edge of that plume in 1995, indicating a leading-edge advance of 130 AU in five years.

albeit less abundant type, called enstatite chondrites. As Herndon<sup>18</sup> had discovered in 1980, if the earth is indeed like a chondrite meteorite as widely believed for good reasons, it is like an enstatite chondrite and not an ordinary chondrite. Imagine melting a chondrite in a gravitational field. At elevated temperatures, the iron metal and iron sulfide components will alloy together, forming a dense liquid that will settle beneath the silicates like steel on a steel-hearth. The earth is like a spherical steel-hearth with a fluid iron-alloy core surrounded by a silicate mantle.

The earth's core comprises about 32.5% of the planet's mass. Only the enstatite chondrites and not the ordinary chondrites have sufficiently high proportions of ironalloys as observed for the core of the earth, as shown in Figure 2. Moreover, components of the interior of the earth can be identified with corresponding components of an enstatite chondrite meteorite: (1) The inner core being nickel silicide; (2) Earth-core precipitates CaS and MgS at the core-mantle boundary; (3) The lower mantle consisting of essentially FeO-free MgSiO<sub>3</sub>; and (4) The boundary between the upper and lower mantle being a compositional boundary with matter below that boundary, the endo-Earth, being like an enstatite chondrite<sup>18-21</sup>. These discoveries and insights led to a fundamentally different view of the earth's formation, dynamics, energy production and energy transport process<sup>14,22,23</sup>.

In the 1940s and 1950s, the idea was generally discussed<sup>24–27</sup> about planets 'raining out' from the inside of giant gaseous protoplanets with hydrogen gas pressures of the order of  $10^2-10^3$  bar<sup>24–27</sup>. But, in the early 1960s, scientists began thinking of primordial matter, not forming dense protoplanets, but rather spread out into a lowdensity 'solar nebula' with hydrogen gas pressures of the



**Figure 2.** Per cent alloy (mainly iron metal plus iron sulfide) of 157 ordinary chondrites and nine enstatite chondrites plotted against a measure of oxygen content. The earth as a whole, especially the endoearth (core plus lower mantle) is like an enstatite chondrite and unlike an ordinary chondrite. For additional information see Herndon<sup>14,21</sup>.

CURRENT SCIENCE, VOL. 96, NO. 8, 25 APRIL 2009

order of  $10^{-4}-10^{-5}$  bar. The idea of low-density planetary formation, often referred to as the 'standard model', envisioned that dust would condense at fairly low temperatures, and then would gather into progressively larger grains, and become rocks, then planetesimals and ultimately planets<sup>28,29</sup>.

These two ideas about planetary formation embody fundamentally different condensation processes which are the underlying cause for the two unique, primary types of chondritic matter. The immediate implication is that both processes were operant during the formation of the solar system. The relative extent and region of each process can be ascertained to some certitude from thermodynamic considerations together with planetary data. Even within the present limitations, a consistent picture emerges that is quite unlike the so called 'standard model of solar system formation<sup>14</sup>.

From thermodynamic considerations it is possible to make some generalizations related to the condensation process in an atmosphere of solar composition. At the foundation, there are two dominant considerations, one essentially independent of pressure and one a strong function of pressure, which together are responsible for the formation of the two primary types of solar system matter.

In an atmosphere of solar composition, oxygen fugacity is dominated by the gas-phase reaction  $H_2 + 1/2O_2 =$  $H_2O$  which is a function of temperature but is essentially independent of pressure over a wide range of pressures where ideal gas behaviour is approached. Oxygen fugacity controls the condensate state of oxidation at a particular temperature. At high temperatures the state of oxidation is extremely reducing, while at low temperatures it is oxidizing. The state of oxidation of the condensate ultimately becomes fixed at the temperature at which reaction with the gas phase ceases and/or equilibrium is frozen-in by the separation of gases from the condensate.

Condensation of an element or compound is expected to occur when its partial pressure in the gas becomes greater than its vapour pressure. Generally, at high pressures in solar matter, condensation is expected to commence at high temperatures, while at low pressures, such as  $10^{-4}$ – $10^{-5}$  bar, condensation is expected to progress at relatively low temperatures at a fairly oxidizing range of oxygen fugacity. At low temperatures, all of the major elements in the condensate may be expected to be oxidized because of the great abundance of oxygen in solar matter relative to the other major condensable elements $^{30}$ . Beyond these generalizations, in this low-pressure regime, precise theoretical predictions of specific condensate compounds may be limited by kinetic nucleation dynamics and by gas-grain temperature differences arising because of the different mechanisms by which gases and condensate lose heat.

Among the thousands of known chondrites, only a few like the famous Orgueil carbonaceous chondrite, have a state of oxidation and mineral components with characteristics similar to those expected from solar matter at low pressures. Essentially all of the major elements in these few chondrites are oxidized, including sulphur.

The idea of planetary formation from a diffuse solar nebula, with hydrogen pressures of the order of  $10^{-4}$ – $10^{-5}$  bar, envisioned that dust would condense at fairly low temperatures, and then would gather into progressively larger grains, and become rocks, then planetesimals, and ultimately planets. In the main, that picturesque idea leads to the contradiction of the terrestrial planets having insufficiently massive cores, because the condensate would be far too oxidized for a high proportion of iron metal to exist. But as evidenced by Orgueil and similar meteorites, such low-temperature, low-pressure condensation did in fact occur, perhaps only in the evolution of matter of the outer regions of the solar system or in the interstellar space, and thus may contribute to terrestrial planet formation only as a component of late-addition planetary veneer.

On the basis of thermodynamic considerations in 1944 Eucken<sup>24</sup> suggested core formation in the earth as a volatility controlled consequence of successive condensation from solar matter in the central region of a hot, gaseous protoplanet with molten iron metal first raining out at the centre<sup>24</sup>. Except for a few investigations<sup>25,26,31,32</sup> initiated in the 1950s and early 1960s, the idea languished when interest was diverted to Cameron's low-pressure solar nebula models<sup>33</sup>.

On the basis of thermodynamic considerations, Herndon and Suess<sup>34</sup> showed that at high temperatures for condensation at high-pressures, solar matter is sufficiently reducing, i.e. it has a sufficiently low oxygen fugacity for the stability of some enstatite chondrite minerals, as shown in Figure 3. However, formation of enstatite chondrite-like condensate would necessitate thermodynamic equilibrium being frozen-in at near-formation temperatures. At present, there is no adequate published theoretical treatment of solar matter condensation from near the triple-point. But from thermodynamic and metal-



Figure 3. Phase boundary between iron gas and liquid iron metal as a function of temperature and pressure in an atmosphere of solar composition, calculated from thermodynamic data. Calculated oxygen fugacity values, independent of pressure, for selected temperatures are shown at the top.

lurgical considerations, some generalizations can be made. At the high temperatures at which condensation is possible at high pressures, nearly everything reacts with everything else and nearly everything dissolves in everything else. At such pressures, molten iron, together with the elements that dissolve in it, is the most refractory condensate.

Chondrite elemental abundances are nearly identical to solar element abundances for the relatively non-volatile rock-forming elements<sup>30</sup>. If the earth is like a chondrite, as is widely believed, then adding to the earth's mass the corresponding proportion of gaseous elements and those elements which form highly volatile compounds, calculated from solar abundances, yields an estimate of the mass of the protoplanetary earth being in the range 275- $305 m_{\rm E}$ , not different from the mass of Jupiter,  $318 m_{\rm E}$ . The formation of early-phase close-in gas giants in our own planetary system is consistent with observations and implications of near-to-star giant gaseous planets in other planetary systems 35-37. It is thus reasonable to expect that the giant planets possess interior rock-plus-alloy kernels of enstatite chondritic-like matter, as each of them possesses internally generated magnetic field.

In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the observed enstatite chondritic composition of the terrestrial planets, as indicated by their massive cores, permits the deduction that these planets formed by raining out from the central regions of hot, gaseous protoplanets<sup>14</sup>. With the possible exception of Mercury, the outer veneer of the terrestrial planets may contain other components derived from carbonaceous chondrite-like matter and from ordinary chondrite-like matter. Mars, for example, may have an extensive outer veneer, while for the earth it is  $\leq 18\%$ by mass. Satellites may possess an internal kernel of enstatite chondritic matter. The particular importance of enstatite-chondritic-matter derives from the highly reduced state of oxidation during formation, which forced certain oxyphile elements, such as uranium into the alloy portion rather than into the silicate.

## Planetary magnetic fields generated by nuclear fission reactors

Generation of magnetic fields in planets and satellites has long been conjectured to take place by the same mechanism responsible for generating the earth's magnetic field, a convecting fluid iron-alloy core dynamo. The commonality matter in the solar system like that inside the earth, suggested here, is the basis for generalizing the concept of geomagnetic field generation by georeactor nuclear fission to planetocentric nuclear fission magnetic field generation in other planets and satellites. To appreciate the implications on other planets, it is beneficial to understand the circumstances related to our own planet, about which there is more detailed information. Elsasser<sup>38–40</sup> and Bullard<sup>41</sup> first adapted Lamor's selfexciting solar-dynamo concept<sup>42</sup> to explain the generation of the earth's magnetic field. The mechanism is based upon the idea that convective motions in the earth's fluid, electrically conducting core, interacting with Coriolis forces produced by the earth's rotation, cause the fluid core to act like a dynamo, essentially a magnetic amplifier. Although being the subject of extensive investigations over more than half a century<sup>43</sup>, there are fundamental problems with that concept.

For decades, the interior of the earth was erroneously assumed to be like an ordinary chondrite meteorite which, as was known, would have been too highly oxidized for the occurrence of radioactive elements in the core, although there was much discussion of the possibility that <sup>40</sup>K might reside in the core. Realizing that the existence of the geomagnetic field demanded the presence of an energy source within the core, geophysicists often assumed, without corroborating evidence, that the earth's inner core was made of iron metal and that the inner core was growing, thus producing heat from the crystallization of iron supposedly to power the geomagnetic field.

Herndon<sup>18–21</sup> discovered that the interior of the earth is like an enstatite chondrite. As a consequence of the oxidation state, uranium in enstatite chondrites occurs in the alloy portion which corresponds to the earth's core<sup>44</sup>. This observation led Herndon to disclose the background, feasibility and evidence of a nuclear fission georeactor at the centre of the earth as the energy source for the geomagnetic field<sup>8-12,45</sup>. From fundamental considerations, as discussed above, there are only two types of primary, planet-building matter in the solar system of which only one type, like the deep interior of the earth, is capable of forming massive planetary cores. The commonality of planets with massive cores in the solar system is indicative of their bulk compositions being of enstatite chondrite-like matter and is the basis for generalizing the concept of planetocentric nuclear fission reactors as the energy source and as the mechanism for generating planetary magnetic fields.

The geomagnetic field has existed for at least 3.5 billion years, as is known from magnetic studies of rocks<sup>46</sup>. The almost universal belief that the geomagnetic field originates as a consequence of convection in the earth's fluid core has led to little thought having been given to the possibility that there might be fundamental errors in the underlying assumptions, especially that convection in the earth's alloy core can be sustained over extended times.

Nobel Laureate Chandrasekhar, an expert on convection<sup>47</sup>, described convection in the following way<sup>48</sup>: 'The simplest example of thermally induced convection arises when a horizontal layer of fluid is heated from below and an adverse temperature gradient is maintained. The adjective "adverse" is used to qualify the prevailing temperature gradient, since, on account of thermal expansion, the fluid at the bottom becomes lighter than the fluid at the top; and this is a top-heavy arrangement which is potentially unstable. Under these circumstances the fluid will try to redistribute itself to redress this weakness in its arrangement. This is how thermal convection originates: It represents the efforts of the fluid to restore to itself some degree of stability.' Understanding the clarity of Chandrasekhar's explanation has led to two reasons that convection in the earth's fluid core is physically impossible.

Recently, Herndon<sup>1</sup> pointed out that the earth's fluid core is wrapped in an insulating blanket, a rock shell, the mantle, that is about 2900 km thick, and which has a considerably lower heat capacity, lower thermal conductivity, and higher viscosity than the fluid core. Heat brought to the top of the core cannot be efficiently removed; so maintaining a significant difference in temperature between the top and bottom of the core for extended periods of time, a requisite condition for longterm convection, is not possible.

Furthermore, as noted here, because of the over-burden weight, the earth's core is about 23% more dense at the bottom than at the top<sup>49</sup>. Thermal expansion at the bottom cannot overcome such a great difference in density, indicating that the earth's core cannot become top-heavy, and thus cannot engage in convection. The implication is quite clear: Either the geomagnetic field is generated by a process other than the convection-driven dynamo-mechanism, or there exists another fluid region within the deep interior of the earth, which can sustain convection for extended periods of time.

Herndon has described the substructure of the earth's inner core (radius 1250 km) as having at its centre a georeactor, an actinide sub-core (radius 4 km) surrounded by a fluid or slurry sub-shell (radius 6 km) composed of fission products and products of radioactive decay<sup>10</sup>, shown for example in Figure 4. The georeactor dimensions were conservative estimates, and may in reality be several times greater. The whole georeactor assembly is expected to exist at the centre of earth in contact with, and surrounded by, the nickel silicide inner core.

Convection in the fission product sub-shell is expected to be a stable feature of georeactor-like planetocentric nuclear reactors, where nuclear fission-produced heat is supplied directly to the base of the fission-product subshell whose outer boundary is a major heat sink. In the earth's georeactor, the outer boundary of the fluid subshell maintains contact with the semi-metallic, nickel silicide inner core, which acts as a heat sink, a thermal ballast, with reasonably good thermal conductivity to transport excess heat to the fluid iron–sulphur core, another heat sink. This arrangement enables the sub-shell's fluid to restore to itself, and to maintain an adverse temperature gradient and an enduring degree of convection stability. This arrangement also assures nuclear reactor stability.

In the micro-gravity environment at the centre of the earth, georeactor heat production that is too energetic

### GENERAL ARTICLES

would be expected to cause actinide sub-core disassembly, mixing actinide elements with neutron-absorbers of the sub-shell, quenching the nuclear fission chain reaction. But as actinide elements begin to settle out of the mix, the chain reaction would restart, ultimately establishing a balance, an equilibrium between heat production and actinide settling-out, a self-regulating control mechanism.

A similar arrangement would be expected for planetocentric nuclear fission reactors in general. There is some question, however, as to what observable differences, if any, might arise if the outer boundary of a fluid fission product sub-shell were in contact with a fluid planetary core in the case of a yet unprecipitated inner core.

The dynamo mechanism, thought to be responsible for generating the geomagnetic field, operates as a magnetic amplifier wherein, beginning with a small magnetic field, the combined motions of an electrically conducting fluid, driven by convection in a rotating system, amplify and maintain a more or less stable, and larger magnetic field. Herndon<sup>1</sup> has suggested that geomagnetic field production for the earth occurs by the dynamo mechanism involving convection in the rotating, electrically conducting nuclear georeactor fission-product sub-shell, driven by



**Figure 4.** Cumulative yield fraction of  $^{238}$ U and  $^{235}$ U fast neutron fission product elements plotted vs ambient pressure melting point of each respective element. The dashed vertical line represents the ambient pressure melting temperature of nickel silicide, Ni<sub>2</sub>Si. Data from <u>http://www.nucleonica.net</u> and Herndon<sup>1</sup>.

nuclear fission energy produced in the georeactor subcore. This fundamentally different concept is generally applicable to magnetic field generation in planets and their satellites, and appears to obviate the seeming paradox of Mercury's magnetic field.

Mercury is composed of enstatite chondrite-like matter, as indicated by its massive core and by reflectance spectroscopic observations showing the regolith of Mercury to be virtually devoid of FeO, like the silicates of enstatite chondrites<sup>50</sup>. Paradoxically, Mercury's small size would appear to preclude the existence of a fluid iron-alloy core, even if convection were possible, as the origin of its magnetic field. But, with Mercury's magnetic field generated by its planetocentric nuclear fission reactor, there is no paradox.

Seven of the eight solar system planets either possess active, internally generated magnetic fields or, in the case of Mars, display evidence of having had such a magnetic field in the past. Currently, the exception, Venus, has no active, internally generated magnetic field for which there may be several possible reasons: (1) The planet's rotation may be too slow for dynamo action; (2) The uranium nuclear reactor fuel may be exhausted; or, (3) The nuclear reactor may be temporarily shut down. Because the planet's surface is so hot, its rocks likely may never have been able to record evidence of an internally generated magnetic field in its past, as in the case of Mars. The high surface temperature of Venus is thought by many to be the result of the greenhouse effect caused by its dense CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere; the relative contribution from nuclear reactor heat is not possible to estimate due to various unknowns.

There are universal, inherent aspects to the generalized planetary dynamo concept involving convection in the rotating, electrically conducting planetocentric nuclear fission-product sub-shell, driven by nuclear fission energy produced in the reactor sub-core. The power source and the magnetic field production mechanism are a single, self-contained unit that functions with the assurance of maintaining an adverse temperature gradient for sustained convection and nuclear reactor self-regulation. By virtue of its location, operating conditions are expected to be remarkably similar, e.g. the microgravity environment, despite major differences in other aspects of the planets. The presence of a seed-field is assured through the radioactive  $\beta^-$  decay of neutron-rich fission products and other ionizing radiations. The generality of the magnetic field generation in planets and satellites by natural nuclear fission reactors is related to the generality of enstatite chondrite-like matter as the primary planetbuilding material, as shown through the fundamental considerations presented here.

Herndon, J. M., Nuclear georeactor generation of earth's geomagnetic field. *Curr. Sci.*, 2007, 93, 1485–1487.

- Aumann, H. H., Gillespie, C. M. J. and Low, F. J., The internal powers and effective temperatures of Jupiter and Saturn. *Astrophys. J.*, 1969, **157**, L69.
- Conrath, B. J. et al., In Uranus (eds Bergstralh, J. T. et al.), University of Arizona Press, Tucson, 1991.
- Hubbard, W. B., Interiors of the giant planets. In *The New Solar System* (ed. Chaikin, J. K. B. A. A.), Sky Publishing Corp., Cambridge, MA, 1990, pp. 134–135.
- Stevenson, J. D., The outer planets and their satellites. In *The Origin of the Solar System* (ed. Dermott, S. F.), Wiley, New York, 1978, pp. 404–431.
- 6. Fermi, E., Elementary theory of the chain-reacting pile. *Science*, 1947, **105**, 27–32.
- 7. Herndon, J. M., Nuclear fission reactors as energy sources for the giant outer planets, *Naturwissenschaften*, 1992, **79**, 7–14.
- Herndon, J. M., Feasibility of a nuclear fission reactor at the center of the earth as the energy source for the geomagnetic field. *J. Geomagn. Geoelectr.*, 1993, 45, 423–437.
- 9. Herndon, J. M., Planetary and protostellar nuclear fission: implications for planetary change, stellar ignition and dark matter, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A*, 1994, **455**, 453–461.
- Herndon, J. M., Sub-structure of the inner core of the earth. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 1996, 93, 646–648.
- Herndon, J. M., Nuclear georeactor origin of oceanic basalt <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He, evidence, and implications. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2003, 100, 3047–3050.
- Hollenbach, D. F. and Herndon, J. M., Deep-earth reactor: nuclear fission, helium, and the geomagnetic field. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA, 2001, 98, 11085–11090.
- Rao, K. R., Nuclear reactor at the core of the Earth! A solution to the riddles of relative abundances of helium isotopes and geomagnetic field variability. *Curr. Sci.*, 2002, 82, 126–127.
- 14. Herndon, J. M., Solar system processes underlying planetary formation, geodynamics, and the georeactor. *Earth, Moon Planets*, 2006, **99**, 53–99.
- Herndon, J. M., Discovery of fundamental mass ratio relationships of whole-rock chondritic major elements: implications on ordinary chondrite formation and on planet Mercury's composition. *Curr. Sci.*, 2007, **93**, 394–399.
- Herndon, J. M. and Suess, H. E., Can the ordinary chondrites have condensed from a gas phase? *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 1977, 41, 233–236.
- Herndon, J. M., Reevapouration of condensed matter during the formation of the solar system. *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A*, 1978, 363, 283–288.
- 18. Herndon, J. M., The chemical composition of the interior shells of the Earth. *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A*, 1980, **372**, 149–154.
- Herndon, J. M., The nickel silicide inner core of the Earth. Proc. R. Soc. London Ser., 1979, 368, 495–500.
- Herndon, J. M., Composition of the deep interior of the Earth: divergent geophysical development with fundamentally different geophysical implications. *Phys. Earth Planet. Int.*, 1998, **105**, 1–4.
- Herndon, J. M., Scientific basis of knowledge on Earth's composition. *Curr. Sci.*, 2005, 88, 1034–1037.
- 22. Herndon, J. M., Whole-earth decompression dynamics. *Curr. Sci.*, 2005, **89**, 1937–1941.
- 23. Herndon, J. M., Energy for geodynamics: mantle decompression thermal tsunami. *Curr. Sci.*, 2006, **90**, 1605–1606.
- Eucken, A., Physikalisch-chemische Betrachtungen ueber die frueheste Entwicklungsgeschichte der Erde. Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Goettingen, Math.-Kl., 1944, 1–25.

- Kuiper, G. P., On the origin of the solar system. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 1951, 37, 1–14.
- Kuiper, G. P., On the evolution of the protoplanets. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 1951, 37, 383–393.
- 27. Urey, H. C., The origin and development of the earth and other terrestrial planets, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 1951, **1**, 36–82.
- Stevenson, D. J., Formation of the giant planets. *Planet. Space Sci.*, 1982, 30, 755–764.
- 29. Wetherill, G. W., Formation of the terrestrial planets. *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.*, 1980, **18**, 77–113.
- Anders, E. and Grevesse, N., Abundances of the elements: Meteoritic and solar. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 1989, 53, 197–214.
- Bainbridge, J., Gas imperfections and physical conditions in gaseous spheres of lunar mass. *Astrophys. J.*, 1962, 136, 202–210.
- 32. Urey, H. C., *The Planets*, Yale University Press, New Haven, 1952.
- Cameron, A. G. W., Formation of the solar nebula. *Icarus*, 1963, 1, 339–342.
- Herndon, J. M., and Suess, H. C., Can enstatite meteorites form from a nebula of solar composition? *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 1976, 40, 395–399.
- 35. Fischer, D. A. and Valenti, J., The planet–metallicty correlation. *Ap. J.*, 2005, **622**, 1102–1117.
- Santos, N. C. *et al.*, The HARPS survey for southern extra-solar planets II. A 14 earth-masses exoplanet around mu Arae. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 2003, 426, L19.
- Udry, S., Mayor, M. and Santos, N. C., Statistical properties of exoplanets I. The period distribution. Constraints for the migration scenario. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 2003, 407, 369.
- Elsasser, W. M., On the origin of the earth's magnetic field. *Phys. Rev.*, 1939, **55**, 489–498.
- Elsasser, W. M., Induction effects in terrestrial magnetism. *Phys. Rev.*, 1946, **69**, 106–116.
- Elsasser, W. M., The Earth's interior and geomagnetism. *Rev.* Mod. Phys., 1950, 22, 1–35.
- 41. Bullard, E. C., The magnetic flux within the earth. *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A*, 1949, **197**, 433–453.
- 42. Lamor, J., Re. Br. Assoc., 159, 1919.
- Buffett, B. A., Earth's core and the geodynamo. *Science*, 2000, 288, 2007–2013.
- Murrell, M. T. and Burnett, D. S., Actinide microdistributions in the enstatite meteorites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 1982, 46, 2453–2460.
- Herndon, J. M., Examining the overlooked implications of natural nuclear reactors. *Eos*, *Trans. Am. Geophys. Union*, 1998, **79**, 451– 456.
- Khramov, A. N., *Paleomagnetology*, Springer-Verlag, Heidleberg, 1982.
- Chandrasekhar, S., The onset of convection by thermal instability in spherical shells. *Philos. Mag.*, 1953, 44, 233–241.
- Chandrasekhar, S., Thermal convection. Proc. Am. Acad. Arts Sci., 1957, 86, 323–339.
- Dziewonski, A. M. and Anderson, D. A., Preliminary reference earth model. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, 1981, 25, 297–356.
- Vilas, F., Mercury: absence of crystalline Fe<sup>2+</sup> in the regolith. *Icarus*, 1985, 64, 133–138.

Received 12 March 2008; revised accepted 13 March 2009