

# THE PIONEER EFFECT: A NEW PHYSICS WITH A NEW PRINCIPLE

Russell Bagdoo

December 8, 2008

## Abstract

Radio metric data from the Pioneer 10/11, Galileo and Ulysses spacecraft indicated an anomalous, constant acceleration acting on them, directed toward the Sun, and a gradual growth of the radio signal frequency emitted by the receding transmitter. The reported odd acceleration of Pioneer 10 with a magnitude  $\sim 8.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m/s}^2$  can be explained by an induced gravitational interaction on the S-band signals traveling between the probe and the Earth, arising from the electromagnetic properties of the outer Solar System vacuum zero-point radiation interacting with matter. Their nature is of quantum vacuum origin, and these induced forces act in addition to ordinary gravitational forces, violating the principle of Equivalence. We suggest a new physical theory based on a new principle called Compensation as a thinkable explanation for the non-conventional Pioneer effect. The theory of Relation, which is an alternative to the inflationist model, postulates that our Universe is made of two antagonistic but complementary structures. The principle of Compensation contradicts Relativity theory, predicts such acceleration and is for the electromagnetic spacetime metric what the principle of Equivalence is for the gravific spacetime metric.

## 1 Introduction

First published in 1998 (PRL 81, 2858), results from an almost twenty years study of radiometric data from Pioneer 10/11, Galileo and Ulysses spacecraft have been continuously reported by Anderson et al. (1998, 1999, 2002) [1,2,3]. Detailed analyses of these data indicated existence of an apparent anomalous, constant, acceleration acting on the spacecraft, directed toward the Sun [4]. The spacecraft ranging and Doppler velocity measurements are performed by sending a radio signal from the Earth to the spacecraft, from which an active transponder returns it back. The time of the signal travel is used for the position calculation verification and the Doppler effect of frequency change with its high accuracy provides an additional verification of the relative velocity. Consider  $\nu_{\text{model}}$  [5], the predicted frequency of the re-transmitted signal observed by a DSN antennae, and  $\nu_0$  the reference frequency. The observed, two-way normal effect can be expressed to first order in  $v/c$  as

$$\nu_{\text{model}} = \nu_0 [1 - 2v_{\text{model}}(t)/c]. \quad (1)$$

The factor 2 is because they use two-and three-way data.  $v_{\text{model}}$  is the modeled velocity of the spacecraft due to the gravitational and other large forces. This velocity is outwards and hence produces a red shift. The measurements with the use of special programs permitted to observe a steady increment of frequency of the radio signal sent off from the Earth and actively retransmitted by the spacecraft, i.e. on the background of the usual Doppler effect the drift of the measured frequency toward its growth displayed itself and allowed to conclude that Pioneer 10 slows down. Therefore, the acceleration  $a_p$  produces a slight blue shift on top of the larger red shift

$$[\nu_{\text{obs}}(t) - \nu_{\text{model}}(t)]_{\text{DSN}} = -\nu_0 (2a_p t)/c. \quad (2)$$

$\nu_{\text{obs}}$  is the frequency of the re-transmitted signal observed by a DSN antennae.

Anderson et al concluded that there was an anomalous, weak, long-range acceleration of the Pioneer spacecraft in the outer regions of the solar system toward the Sun of  $\sim 8.65 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m/s}^2$ . The sign - shows that  $a_p$  is inwards [5]. It shows a steady frequency drift of about  $-6 \times 10^{-9} \text{ Hz/s}$ , or 1.5 Hz over 8 years (one-way only). This equates to a clock acceleration,  $-a_t$ , of  $-2.8 \times 10^{-18} \text{ s/s}^2$ . The identity with the apparent Pioneer acceleration is

$$-a_t = a_p / c = -8.65 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m/s}^2 / c = -2.88 \times 10^{-18} \text{ s/s}^2. \quad (3)$$

The S-band carrier radio signal frequency is  $\nu_o \approx 2.29 \text{ GHz}$

$$\begin{aligned} -a_t \nu &= \nu / -t_{\text{cosm}} = -a_p \nu / c \\ -(2.88 \times 10^{-18} \text{ s/s}^2) (2.29 \times 10^9 \text{ Hz}) &= (2.29 \times 10^9 \text{ Hz}) / (-3.472 \times 10^{17} \text{ s}) \\ &= -(8.65 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m/s}^2) (2.29 \times 10^9 \text{ Hz}) / c = -6.6 \times 10^{-9} \text{ Hz/s}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Besides, an independent analysis by Markwardt [6] of radio Doppler tracking data from the Pioneer 10 spacecraft for the time period 1987-1994 confirms the previous observations. The anomalous accelerations observed are constant and persistent. None of the claimed conventional effects can account for the magnitude and direction of the anomaly.

We think that the reported anomalous acceleration of the Pioneer 10 spacecraft of  $\sim -8.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m/s}^2$  (i.e. toward the Sun) and the gradual growth of the radio signal frequency emitted by the receding transmitter can be explained by a gravitational interaction on the S-band signals traveling between Pioneer 10 and the Earth, and by the properties of the interplanetary vacuum. Pioneer anomaly cannot be explained within standard physics and its origin must be found in new principles. An explanation will have astronomical consequences; cosmology, starting from its foundation [7], will have to rebuild; standard physics will undergo major renovations, and it will be an opportunity for discovering new science of physics.

In this paper we study the consistency of the data of the Pioneer anomaly with the new theory of Relation. Firstly, in Sec. 2, we introduce the initial conditions of the theory and its new principle. In Part 1 Sec. 3 we show how Pioneer effect is a violation of the equivalence principle. In Sec. 3.1 we postulate the principle of Compensation (CP) which explains the Pioneer anomaly of the outwards redshift in relation with the inwards blueshift and find the deep meaning of this principle in the existence of two structures going in opposite directions. In Sec. 3.2 we suggest that the loss of energy of the photon in the farther interplanetary vacuum induces a gravitational effect like a macroscopic Casimir effect. In 3.3 we understand the vacuum by a possible effect from unknown physics: a gravitational frequency shift of the radio signals proportional to the distance to the spacecraft and a loss of density energy in the intermediate medium. We argue that the gravitational interaction of the S-band radio with a growing empty vacuum might be responsible of the anomalous acceleration of the Pioneer spacecraft. In 3.4 we construe bond between the gravitational photon of the structure of condensation and the cosmological photon of the structure of expansion according to CP: energy lost by the latter is retrieved by the former. We discern, in Sec.3.5, gravific spacetime and EM spacetime, and explain in 3.6 the relation between the acceleration of Pioneer inwards and its deceleration outwards. Predictions in Sec.3.7.

In Part 11 Sec. 4 the not accepted concept of «tired light» seems consistent with data of the anomaly and is integrated within the framework of the theory of Relation which claims, in 4.1, that gravitation and electromagnetism are two sides of one force. In Sec. 4.2, with the intention of dispelling a huge discrepancy and to be reconciled with anomalous data, we propose a negative cosmological constant with a varying density of energy through time which implies a positive pressure. In Sec. 4.3 we discuss of links between CP, zero point fluctuations, induction of gravity and inertia. Along a different line in 4.4 we concentrate on connections between theory of Relation and quantum gravity through Pioneer effect. With correlations and assumptions concerning the graviton, we write down some characterizations of a quantum gravity to be constructed. Finally the conclusion in Sec.5.

## 2 Relativity, Theory of Relation and principle of Compensation

Special Relativity (SR) concerns isolated system, free of outside influence, in a completely empty and homogeneous space in which the speed of light is an absolute constant. General Relativity (GR) is SR looking at the influence of gravitation. It is based on the principle of Equivalence (EP) considering the equality everywhere between gravitational mass and inertial mass. Matter, which is gravitation, curves gravific spacetime.

The new theory called ‘Theory of Relation’ regards that not only matter but also vacuum interact with the system. Vacuum is not empty space but ocean of interacting negative and positive energies. The reported anomalous acceleration of the Pioneer 10 spacecraft is a consequence of this misunderstood part of our physics. This theory gives an interaction between the electromagnetic ( $EM$ ) spacetime of expansion and the gravitational matter of condensation. This new cosmological model initially assumed that

- (I) Our Universe is made of two complementary and interpenetrated structures, one for condensation with a gravific spacetime and  $EM$  matter (Einstein), the other for expansion with a flat  $EM$  spacetime and ordinary matter (Lorentz-Maxwell).
- (II) Since the Big Bang, the  $EM$  structure of expansion is decreasing giving up its energy to the positive gravitational increasing structure of condensation. A perpetual annihilation of the negative energy-mass is transformed into a continual creation of positive energy-mass. The first structure of condensation represents the positive solution of Dirac’s equation of energy while the second structure of expansion express its negative energy solution which was eliminated by a mathematical trick [8].
- (III) The  $EM$  wave of spacetime is supported by an inhomogeneous vacuum filled of «minimal» negative energy perpetually in interaction with positive matter. The speed of light is a constant but there is an anisotropy making it not absolute.
- (IV) Ether exists. There is no precise definition for it and it is a lumber room for scientists. In our theory, it is close to the Lorentz’s ether theory: a negative  $EM$  spacetime wave in a quantum void, which is a *plenum*.
- (V) Electromagnetism in macrocosm is the negative side of gravitation [8-9]. If gravitation is the only force between planets in the structure of condensation, electromagnetism is the driving force of the structure of expansion. Gravitation of the first structure is ‘positive’ relatively to the ‘negative’ electromagnetism of the second structure.
- (VI) The theory is in a framework of ‘cyclic universe theory’. Our model combines the cosmology of the big bang with the continual creation of matter. Our big bang starts by the big crunch of a pre-big bang universe. This pre-compression resolves the problems of causality, conservation, flatness, horizon, without being committed to the cosmological standard model of inflation, strings, higher dimensions, or to branes and orbifolds. The

concepts that the universe ‘comes back full of negative energy-mass’, and the «normalization» of the negative energy solution of the classic equations, are central ideas of this cyclic model.

Our complex Universe is dual: positive and negative. The negative part of the universe, which is a universe by itself, is disintegrating, «creating» our actual positive universe. The compensation principle asserts that the permanent loss of negative energy of the expanding  $EM$  wavelength of spacetime induces the positive gravific spacetime matter. Flat  $EM$  spacetime can yield induced gravity to ordinary matter. Gravific spacetime matter produced by the expansion can flatten the  $EM$  spacetime.

Note on matter and antimatter: in the theory of Relation, our dual universe contains two opposite structures of energy-mass, each has two roots for both positive and negative energy, each containing its own particles and antiparticles, being dependant of Dirac’s equation on energy

$$\pm E = (m^2c^4 + p^2c^2)^{1/2}. \quad (5)$$

In each structure, antimatter is matter that is composed of the antiparticles of those that constitute normal matter. In a larger sense, antimatter is the extension of the structure of expansion while our normal matter constitutes almost entirely the structure of condensation. This asymmetry of matter and antimatter would come from a kind of continual transmutation attributed to the disintegration, all along the cosmic expansion, of the negative antimatter-antienergy into the creation of positive matter-energy of the observable universe.

## PART 1 TWO STRUCTURES AND TWO PRINCIPLES

### 3 Violation of the principle of Equivalence in empty inertial space

In empty space of GR, a supposed observer inside the Pioneer spacecraft will theoretically undergo a force equal to «inertial mass x acceleration», also equivalent to a force equal to «gravitational mass x intensity of the gravitational field» [10]

$$F_i = M_i \cdot a = F_g = M_g \cdot g. \quad (6)$$

This equivalence is corroborated by experiences on Earth. But observation on Pioneer gives

$$(F_i = M_i \cdot a) \neq (F_g = M_g \cdot g). \quad (7)$$

Precisely  $(F_i = M_i \cdot a) < (F_g = M_g \cdot g).$  (8)

Keeping the equality between the masses,  $a$  decreases while  $g$  increases. Anomalous deceleration outwards is interpreted like anomalous acceleration toward the Sun [5]

$$\Delta g = -a_t c = a_p = -8.65 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m/s}^2. \quad (9)$$

This anomalous acceleration, which signifies an extra measurable gravitational potential, counteracts the EP saying « In a freely falling local frame (even including self-gravitating bodies) no influence is measurable of the gravitational potential which may cause an acceleration of the frame as a whole»[11].

Radio-signal interval is shorter than expected meaning linear radius (Newtonian) is shorter (range). With GR, shorter distance wants to say a greater curvature due to an excess of sunward gravity [12-13]. Let us suppose a clock situated on a probe in a fieldless region of space. With GR, if we take the origin of the space coordinates in the center of an atom, we can put  $\xi(x) = \eta(y) = \zeta(z) = 0$ , and have

$$\begin{aligned} s^2 &= -c^2 T^2 = g_{44}t^2 \\ t &= T c / (-g_{44})^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

It is only in a fieldless space that we have  $g_{44} = -c^2$ , thus  $t = T$ .

Suppose a clock situated on Pioneer 10 in the same ‘fieldless’ region of space. An anomalous acceleration is observed: we say that a downward trend of minimal energy with distance in the vacuum induces gravity. So the gravitational field  $g_{44}$  in this false fieldless is different from  $-c^2$ , say  $g_{44} = -c^2(1-\gamma)$ . Thus the time of vibration is altered to

$$t = T / (1-\gamma)^{1/2} \quad (11)$$

or, as the deviation  $\gamma$  is small, approximately

$$t = T (1 + \gamma/2) \quad [\gamma = 2\Phi/c^2 = 2GM/Rc^2 = 2v^2/c^2]. \quad (12)$$

For GR and the EP, a clock in the vacuum undergoes no gravity. The CP says there is a difference in the beating of the same clock for which the difference of the induced gravitational field in the vacuum given by  $g_{44}$  has the relative value  $\gamma$ .

Pioneer effect is a clear violation of EP, an anomaly in the redshift of GR. It is as if the loss of density in the vacuum was turned into gravitational energy, like if a negative energy was converted into a positive energy. Energy of both systems is *conserved* if what constitutes a non-standard channel of energy-loss by  $a$  is recuperated by  $g$ . A new principle is necessary to explain this anomalous effect and maintain this conservation.

### 3.1 Principle of Compensation in empty EM space

The principle of compensation says that the decreasing of the negative EM energy-mass during the expansion induces a proportional and opposite increasing positive gravitational energy-mass. Considering that further distance from matter lowered minimal density energy in vacuum, assuming that a long-range negative scalar field interacts with matter inducing gravity, the CP permits the transformation of negative EM energy from the increasing EM wavelength of spacetime into positive gravitational matter. According to this principle, the negative EM energy of vacuum slowly lessens with distance inducing the lost into positive gravitational energy. The acceleration of the spacecraft outwards interpreted as a constant

sunward acceleration is related to the deep general idea that the scalar field of the vacuum with an appropriate potential of negative energy is continuously able to transform its energy into a positive gravitational energy. It explains the anomaly of the outwards redshift in relation with the inwards blueshift.

In a *general* sense, the  $EM$  wavelength of spacetime is assimilated with the cosmological constant interpreted as dark energy and corresponding in our theory to the negative mass-energy containing the baryons at speed under  $c$  and the bosons at speed  $c$ . In a *special* sense, the  $EM$  wavelength of spacetime is associated with the energy and with the bosons at the speed of light.

The situation of the cosmologic  $EM$  photons streaming from this *special*  $EM$  wave of spacetime, related by CP to the gravitational photon of the structure of condensation, is illustrated in a sort of double vacuum wells which forms a triangle ◀ with  $A$  at left,  $E$  down and  $G$  up.  $A$  has a double role: it is the  $EM$  charge source for the  $EM$  cosmologic photon on the structure of expansion (similar to the metric of special relativity) and it is the attractive mass for the gravitational photon on the structure of condensation (similar to the metric of GR). The branch  $AG$  is of a gravitational well. The branch  $AE$  belongs to an empty quantum well. Both wells are different but related.

When cosmological  $EM$  photons in space fall from  $A$  to  $E$  into the steady slowly growing vacuum which forms a «quantum well», they lose energy (redshift). The energy lost at point  $E$  induces energy in gravitational photons on the structure of condensation at point  $G$  falling down toward  $A$  into a «matter well». So the gravitational photons rolling down the potential well will have an extra energy (blueshift) coming from the vacuum energy, adding the kinetic energy to a standard gravitational field obeying the EP. We can also say that the  $EM$  photon tumbling from  $A$  to  $E$  into a vacuum well becomes less energetic and slightly colder by  $(v/c)T^\circ$ , where the inferred velocity is  $v < c$ . The gravitational photon at  $G$  recovers the energy lost by the opposite photon  $E$ , is hotter by  $(v/c)T^\circ$ , and is more energetic before he falls into this kind of gravitational well than the conventional gravitational photon gaining energy like a ball rolling down a hill.

When cosmological photons climb back out from  $E$  to  $A$ , they are blueshifted, they gain  $EM$  energy. On the contrary, gravitational photons rolling up a matter well lose energy, are redshifted. It is like if the lost gravitational energy was transformed into  $EM$  energy.

The deep meaning of CP is that when there is less  $EM$  mass-charge repulsive force in the structure of expansion going forward with the arrow of cosmological time, there is more mass-matter attractive force in the other structure. When there is more  $EM$  energy in the structure of expansion going backward against the arrow of cosmological time, there is more negative  $EM$  energy-mass, more quantum gravity, less classical gravitational energy-mass-matter, an antigravity. The conservation of mass-energy law is basically respected because there are two structures with two incompatible energies: the negative  $EM$  energy and the positive energy of rest mass. CP allowed their conversion in each other. The annihilation of one form of matter-energy is the creation of the other. The present universal current is the disintegration of the negative  $EM$  energy-mass converted into the positive gravitational energy-mass.

With the theory of Relation, the cosmological photon is bound up with the gravitational photon. Thus, in the same proportion that the cosmological photon will be stretched and

redshifted to a longer wavelength in the deepening potential well of the fabric of spacetime itself, the gravitational photon will be contracted and blueshifted to a shorter wavelength in a gravific potential well. This paradoxical situation gives the connexion between the compensation and the equivalence principles. Note that inflation or cyclic brane cosmology models, with the dark energy causing universal expansion to accelerate toward a big rip, are pure violation of EP.

### 3.2 Macroscopic Casimir effect: induction of gravity

Photons of the interplanetary vacuum simply lose energy by traveling through space toward the infinity. In deep space there is an increase of wavelength of photon proportionally to the time/distance while passing through the space undisturbed [14]. The loss of energy induces a gravitational effect like a macroscopic Casimir effect [8, 9]. Gravity is induced when the  $E_{EM}$  energy of space is lowered between two plates. It implies a mechanical action which is equivalent to the *ad hoc* explanation saying that shorter external waves pushed plates one toward the other. It seems likely that redshifts may be due to a decelerating expanding  $E_{EM}$  spacetime transforming its loss of energy into the condensed matter of the gravitational structure. The observed excess redshift express both a steady decrease of the minimal energy of vacuum proportional to the distance and an induced gravity of matter. The observed excess blueshift express both a shorter distance and a higher frequency from positive quanta of energy.

Quantum vacuum field induced a constant gravity in fieldless space which is like the action of a constant gravitational field such as occurs at the immediate surface of a celestial body which, in accordance with GR, can be replaced by an acceleration of the observer with the same value  $g$  directed opposite to the attraction. Pioneer effect is related to energy. Induced gravity by quantum vacuum directed toward Sun means mass enlargement and lower gravitational potential [15] (negative gravitational potential energy becomes smaller when bodies come closer). This anomalous lower potential energy from sun is amount to an increase of mass (if  $c$  is the speed of gravity and light, and if the distance is anomalously shorter,  $M_s$  becomes more massive in  $GM_s/c^2 = r$ ). In fact, the CP is the underlying mechanism bringing a perpetual creation of positive energy-matter. Does it say there is no more conservation of energy and mass? Absolutely not, but this law must be enlarged to the notion of negative energy and mass in agreement with  $\pm E = \pm (mc^2)$ .

### 3.3 Regarding a lower density of the vacuum

Anderson and al [5] reported D.F.Crawford [16] suggesting a possible effect from applications of known physics: a gravitational frequency shift of the radio signals that is proportional to the distance to the spacecraft and the density of dust in the intermediate medium. In particular, he has argued that the gravitational interaction of the S-band radio signals with the interplanetary dust may be responsible for producing an anomalous acceleration similar to that seen by the Pioneer spacecraft. The effect of this interaction is a frequency shift that is proportional to the distance and the square root of the density of the medium in which it travels. Didon, Perchoux, and Courten [17] proposed similarly that the effect comes from resistance of the spacecraft antennae as they transverse the interplanetary dust. This is related to more general ideas that an asteroid or comet belt, with its associated dust, might cause the effect by gravitational interactions or resistance to dust particles [18]. «However, these ideas have problems with known properties of the interplanetary medium: infrared observations rule out more than 0.3 Earth mass from Kuiper Belt dust in the trans-Neptunian region. Ulysses and Galileo measurements in the inner solar system find very few

dust grains in the  $10^{-18}$  -  $10^{-12}$  kg.m<sup>-3</sup> range. The density varies greatly, up and down, within the belt (which precludes a constant force) and, in any event, the density is not large enough to produce a gravitational acceleration on the order of  $a_p \gg [5]$ .

We think that the effect does not come from resistance of the spacecraft antennae as they transverse the interplanetary dust but on the contrary from a void of density. This is related to the more general idea that emptiness might cause the effect by gravitational interactions. It is not the resistance to ‘positive’ dust particles but their absence which is generating gravity. Induced gravity in Casimir effect is not caused by presence but by absence of energy.

We suggest a possible effect from applications of unknown physics: a gravitational frequency shift of the radio signals that is proportional to the distance to the spacecraft and the lost of density energy (dust...etc) in the intermediate medium. In particular, we argue that the gravitational interaction of the S-band radio signals with the growing empty space of the interplanetary vacuum may be responsible for producing drag acceleration similar to that seen by the Pioneer spacecraft. The effect of this interaction could be a frequency shift that is proportional to the distance and the square root of the ‘lack’ of density of the medium in which it travels (not, as expected, proportional to the square root of the density of the interplanetary dust) [16].

It is assumed that the density of the vacuum  $p_{\text{vacuum}} = p_{\text{solar system}} / n$  differs from the density in solar system (ecliptic) significantly. Index  $n < 1$  is the averaged characteristic of the negative energy layer thickness of which decreases by the law  $l$  (distance) =  $vt$  (outwards) between the probe-transmitter and the Earth-receiver [19]. The Pioneer effect comes from resistance of the spacecraft antennae as they go through the interplanetary medium. This resistance, like associated gelatine, which is not real dust particles, is the negative pressure of a negative energy, which is the scalar medium of the vacuum. It induces a gravity which has a real mechanical effect similar to the Casimir effect. It is also presumed by the CP that this index ( $n$  toward 1) is likewise the averaged characteristic of the positive gravitational energy layer thickness of which increases by the law  $l = vt$  (inwards).

Having said this, we do not exclude the presence of dark matter as associated gelatine forming the resistance. Without elaborating on the nature of dark matter it is, in the theory of Relation, an intermediate state between negative and positive energy-matter. The creation of this exotic matter is always accompanied with a creation of an exotic space, and both produces a *gravitational flux density*, both concerns non-baryons and non-bosons originating from the structure of expansion on a very slow way to become baryons and bosons in the structure of condensation. The specific case of Pioneer relates to bosons and «dark space» (void).

### 3.4 Gravitational and cosmological photons

The measurements allowed to observe an increment of frequency of the radio signal sent off from the Earth and retransmitted by Pioneer 10. Light of frequency  $\nu$  can be regarded, according to quantum theory, as consisting of quanta of energy  $E = h\nu$ . These have an inertial mass  $m = E / c^2 = h\nu / c^2$  and this is, according to the EP, equal to its gravitational mass. When light quanta  $h\nu$  have travelled the distance  $l$  toward the field of gravitation  $g$ , their energy has increased by  $g/m$ . The receiver on Earth detects a frequency

$$h\nu' = h\nu + g/h\nu / c^2. \quad (13)$$



According to the CP in the deep space, we must add an extra induced gravity links up to vacuum. The gravitational interaction is caused by the focusing of the signal photons in curved space where in this case the curvature is related by an anomalous induced gravity characterized by the *lack* of density of the interplanetary *positive* dust. When light quanta  $h\nu$  have travelled the distance  $l$  toward the field of gravitation  $g$ , their energy has increased by  $g/m + \Delta g/m$ . Hence at the end of this journey the energy of quantum is:

$$h\nu'' = h\nu + (g/h\nu / c^2 + \Delta g/h\nu / c^2). \quad (14)$$

The receiver on Earth detects a frequency slightly higher than the one detected according to the EP ( $h\nu' = h\nu + g/h\nu / c^2$ ). It is a blueshift for the «gravitational» photons on the structure of condensation. Radio-signals intervals are shorter. The spacecraft in deep space undergoes more frequency which is not increment of speed because there is no recession: data proved Pioneer 10 is slowing down going outwards. On the standpoint of the spacecraft going outwards, the frequency observed on Pioneer ( $\nu''$ ) is anomalously more diminished than the expected frequency ( $\nu'$ ).

$$\nu'' = \nu' - \Delta \nu' = \nu [1 - (\nu + \Delta \nu)^2 / c^2] = \nu [1 - (g + \Delta g)l / c^2]. \quad (15)$$

The observed redshift is greater than the calculated one. So the extra  $g$  from  $gl / c^2$  is an outwards deceleration interpreted, with a change of sign, like an acceleration toward Earth – Sun, which is an application of CP.

What about «cosmological» photons on the expansion structure? In deep space they follow the EM expansionist arrow of Time. Their sources are the negative matter-energy at the beginning of our cosmological time. They are in a position of a gravitational (emitted by star or planet) photon going against the field of gravitation  $g$  and losing energy with outwards distance. With regard to the EP and Doppler effect, when light quanta  $h\nu$  have travelled the distance  $l$  with an expansionist EM field, their energy has decreased by  $g/m$  giving the frequency  $h\nu' = h\nu - g/h\nu / c^2$ . In empty space, the photon loses also an additional energy because a downward trend of minimal energy with distance. Hence at the end of this journey, according to the CP, there is an anomalous redshift and the receiver on Earth should detect a frequency slightly lower than the one expected [12,13]

$$h\nu'' = h\nu - (g/h\nu / c^2 + \Delta g/h\nu / c^2). \quad (16)$$

Another way to say this is that in the deep space out of our solar system the probe follows an increasing EM wave of spacetime. We postulate that with distance in vacuum the minimal negative EM energy of expansion is slowly diminished. It is a real effect in addition to the usual «Doppler effect». There is an anomalous lost of energy in the vacuum against the EM source giving an anomalous redshift. The energy of a quantum alongside with Pioneer going outwards is only

$$h\nu'' = h\nu' - \Delta h\nu' = h\nu [1 - (\nu + \Delta \nu)^2 / c^2] = h\nu [1 - (g + \Delta g)l / c^2]. \quad (17)$$

This equation without  $h$  is like (15), where an anomalous acceleration toward the gravitational source gives the same redshift. It is like if the EM energy was converted into gravitational resistance or acceleration toward matter. Pioneer 10 in the deep space of expansion slows down going outwards, the cosmological photon loses energy increasing a gravitational potential. According to the CP: energy lost by the cosmological photon

( $-g/h\nu / c^2$ ) is retrieved by the gravitational photon ( $+g/h\nu / c^2$ ). The observed redshift on Earth is higher than predicted but the real effect is a blueshift. In the vacuum, «lost energy» is equivalent to «gain of gravity», «anomalous redshift» from light quanta is equivalent to «anomalous blueshift» from anomalous acceleration (induced gravity).

### 3.5 About time and metric

Theory of Relation discerns two real spacetimes: the gravific spacetime, or einsteinian spacetime, associated with positive energy and condensation, and the  $EM$  spacetime or Lorentz-Maxwell time associated with negative energy and expansion. And two distinctive times going from past to future: cosmic time for the gravific spacetime (cosmic time of GR); and the cosmological time for the  $EM$  spacetime. Cosmologic time goes along with macroscopic thermodynamic time (entropy). Considering the two structures, electromagnetism becomes the counterpart of gravitation in the macrocosm [20-21].

Usually we consider cosmic time, atomic time and ephemeris time. Cosmic time is the time of GR: dilatation of time when space contracts with greater curvature. Atomic time is also called «proper time». Ephemeris time is associated with Kepler-Newton time. It is the uniform time of Solar system; planets around the Sun. In a standard GR where empty space is without energy there is an agreement between those times. Non-conventional Pioneer effect contradicts relativity theory.

There is equality between atomic time of DNS antenna, cosmic time, ephemeris time but not with cosmological time on which they are depending.  $EM$  time (link with absolute time of our Universe) is still ignored because not only the positive energy but also the negative energy of the vacuum is not considered. The origin of the anomalous signals is related to this cosmologic  $EM$  time. Cosmological time of a clock in the scalar field of vacuum (negative scalar) is longer because the  $EM$  wave of spacetime is longer with the distance and loses some negative energy (tired-light).

Atomic time of a clock on probe Pioneer in vacuum is also longer because matter gains the same negative energy converted into positive energy. Atomic time of DNS antenna takes the coincidental point-time of a time clock accelerating toward Sun (blueshift) corresponding to an anomalous decelerating Pioneer outwards (redshift). This shorter atomic time is not the same as the longer «proper time» of the atoms of Pioneer in deep space. In this regard, there is two «atomic times».

The radio signal sent off from the Earth and actively retransmitted by the spacecraft constitutes a «clock» which is not in a constant relation with an atomic clock on Earth. Perturbed shorter intervals affect the «temporal length» of the wavelength of the spectral narrow color lines even if it does not change the type of atom emitting the light. This is a violation of the relativistic  $ds^2$  based on Minkowski metric in space which embodies an imaginary time and directly no  $EM$  field. Our interpretation is that «temporal length» of the atom depends of distance and of a Lorentz-Maxwell metric with an  $EM$  wave of spacetime producing the cosmological time of our Universe.

Note that, on Earth, only the atomic clock in relation with Pioneer going outwards with an anomalous deceleration is affected by the change of frequency. It reflects an anomalous and constant modification of the state of the vacuum surrounding Pioneer. The usual atomic clocks on Earth and on the planets are influenced just by the physical or mechanical local

conditions in the near limited domain in which is this clock [22]. The irregular deceleration outwards interpreted as an anomalous acceleration inwards is not seen on planets and there is no perceived change in the frequency of the usual atomic clocks because orbital planets are in a *finite and stationary movement*.

This being said, ephemeris time apparently does not change. But beyond Neptune, Pioneer 10/11 radio metric data indicated a constant skewing between predicted and observed Doppler shifts [23]. It is a blueshift: radial distance become shorter because an excess of gravity makes the range of newtonian radius shorter (greater curve with GR). The time of ephemeris, which is Newtonian time, is shorter and so is the range disturbance of the proportional newtonian radius.

### 3.6 Relation, not coincidence; ether and two structures

There is a relation between, on one hand, the anomalous acceleration of the ship toward the Sun ( $-a_p$ ) and the acceleration of the clock ( $a_t = a_p / c$ ), and, on the other hand, an anomalous decrease in acceleration outwards and the increase of  $\lambda_{EM}$  wavelength in deep space.

We have postulated the existence of two structures going from past to future but oppositely directed in space: condensation with the positive energy of gravity and expansion with the negative energy of electromagnetism. In this respect,  $\lambda_{EM}$  force on cosmic scale is a counterpart comparable to gravitation.

GR is a local structure of condensation with space as a gravific ether and matter as an  $\lambda_{EM}$  field. Gravitation is associated by GR to properties of spacetime. With Einstein, the field of electromagnetism in space is not determined by the gravific ether and its links in the ether are secondary, almost nonexistent [24]. SR associated Maxwell's electromagnetism to properties of spacetime and, in our view, this association constitutes the second structure, the one of the global expansion, initiated by Lorentz-Maxwell with an  $\lambda_{EM}$  ether field as space and the ordinary matter. This second structure, inspired by Dirac, is a negative ocean where the negative matter-energy is perpetually transformed into the positive energy-matter of our world. Searching a physical meaning for the negative energy in his equations, Dirac uses above all the passage of positive energy toward the ocean of negative energy. Relation theory considers the ocean of negative matter-energy as the matrix of our world and its 'evaporation' into the space-time-matter of our positive world follows the recession motion with the arrow of time from past to future. Both structures are existing and necessary to our Universe but properties of each structure are of different nature. The so-called greatest blunder of Einstein was not about the cosmological constant but the denial of a second structure in our world. It was more a limitation curbing unification than a mistake since 'ether' was set aside.

We assume that Pioneers follows the Lorentz-Maxwell structure in which the arrow of cosmologic and thermodynamic times is going outwards toward expansion and entropy. It gives a deceleration of clock time because longer cosmological time corresponds to a deceleration outwards and an increase of  $\lambda_{EM}$  wavelength in deep space. Pioneer going outwards was under steady lower frequency drift of about  $6 \times 10^{-9}$  Hz/s which equates to a clock deceleration of  $2.8 \times 10^{-18}$  s/s<sup>2</sup>. The identity with Pioneer deceleration in deep space is  $+ a_t = + a_p / c$  (sign + means  $a_p$  is outwards). This corresponds to the observed anomaly using the Doppler effect: the redshift is more than the calculated and verified by the frequent position measurements using the time of travel of the radio signal.

In fact, the location and the motion of the space vehicles point out less velocity [14]. Analysis of Pioneer's radio-metric tracking data has consistently indicated that at heliocentric distances the presence of a small Doppler frequency drift which is a blueshift. Anderson et al interpreted it as a constant sunward acceleration of spacecraft [25].

The radio-signal known as the Pioneer anomaly follows the structure of Einstein-Newton with the positive energy of gravity. This structure of condensation and the cosmologic time are going toward the future with the same arrow of time ( $\rightarrow$ ) but both structures have opposite *spatial* direction. Cosmic time follows the spatial direction of condensation ( $\leftarrow$ ). In this respect, let's say that our Universe is a spacetime with a spatio-temporal dynamics. Spacetime of the expansion structure is *temporally* flat (not completely) with a positive or negative curvature while the spacetime of the condensation structure is *spatially* curve.

Pioneer going sunward under steady higher frequency drift of about  $-6 \times 10^{-9}$  Hz/s which equates to a clock acceleration  $-a_t = -a_p / c = -2.8 \times 10^{-18}$  s/s<sup>2</sup> (sign - means inwards). The acceleration of Pioneer, the acceleration of clock and the frequency are higher. It's a blueshift.

There is an interpenetration of both structures giving opposite results and connected by the CP. Expansion is the dominant structure with cosmological  $EM$  time and negative energy begetting the condensation structure with gravific space and positive energy. Lets take  $-a_t = +a_p / c$  (negative and positive signs mean inwards and outwards). Pioneer going outwards slows down by following the way of spacetime  $EM$  in growth. The inverse of a growing universal time gives  $-a_t$  which is a lower drift, or lower clock acceleration, sunward<sup>†</sup> (see 3.7).

The underlying mechanism is that the energy of the negative  $EM$  spacetime wave of expansion loses of its energy with the distance so generating the positive energy of the gravity. Longer cosmological time gives a diminishing frequency with distance because the negative energy density of the vacuum scalar field is slowly decreasing by generating an induced gravity, like a Casimir effect. The negative energy lost by space wave is converted into positive energy or, we would say, quantum vacuum is inducing gravity. Equivalently, it gives an anomalous acceleration of clock time, a blueshift going inwards. Blueshift means a shorter wave of cosmic time on the condensation structure. The velocity of Pioneer outwards slows down, the distance and the time of travel of the radio signal are shorter.

This relation is not coincidence but an obliged natural link between both structures, an everlasting exchange between negative and positive energies. They are related by the CP: lost energy of expansion is recuperated into positive energy of condensation. There is more gravity-energy in condensation because with distance there is less energy in the  $EM$  wave of expansion. This anomalous gravity-energy is equivalent to the anomalous shorter distance. More than a simple coincidence,  $-a_t = +a_p / c$  express a perpetual relation between condensation and expansion, between both energies.

### 3.7 Predictions

The observations made by WMAP, as well as the high redshift supernovae data, are usually explained by an accelerating expansion of the present universe. However, with the present quality of the supernovae Ia data, the allowed parameter space is wide enough to accommodate the decelerating models as well [26]. Moreover, European Space Agency's X-ray observatory, XMM-Newton has returned data about the nature of the Universe indicating that the Universe must be a high-density environment, in clear contradiction to the 'concordance model' relying on the theory of inflation. In a survey of distant clusters of galaxies, result of XMM-Newton found that today's clusters of galaxies are superior to those present in the Universe around seven thousand million years ago. Such a measure goes also toward a decelerated expansion [27].

At this stage we can wonder if we do not make wrong road from the initial conditions, if we really understand the relation between masses and gravitation, if the positive cosmological constant, made official in 1998 from observations of Type Ia supernovae, was not premature and misinterpreted. We foresee a rectification in the future. We think, for reasons else than those required by the classic Big Bang and by the decelerating Einstein de Sitter model which used to be the favoured model before these observations, that the global expansion decelerates since the beginning. The deceleration of Pioneer in the deep space where the wavelength of spacetime grows is in itself an experimental proof of a decelerated world.

In the future there will be inwards a *predictable* less acceleration for the probe, less clock acceleration, less blueshift, less shorter time interval between signal pulsations<sup>†</sup> (see 3.6). In other words, with distance and time outwards the anomalous acceleration inwards will be dimmer, unless there is a sudden attractive body or an unexpected pocket of lower vacuum level. A longer cosmological time corresponds to a declining anomalous acceleration toward Sun. What we call acceleration of time toward Sun is a deceleration of time toward infinity; the acceleration of Pioneer toward Sun is a deceleration outwards, being astride upon a slowed down expansion associated with the EM wave of spacetime.

Notice that a number of people have pointed out

$$a_H = c H \rightarrow a_p = a_t c \rightarrow \sim 8 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m/s}^2 \quad (18)$$

depending on the value of H (inverse of the Universal Time) or  $a_p$  [5]. The use of the time of travel of the radio signal gives an additional redshift going outwards: lower frequency, longer wavelength. It showed that there is here no Hubble effect (recession: faster speed of the galaxies with distance) corresponding to Doppler effect. They are prone to conclude that there is no recession at all and no expanding universe because the Hubble redshift is distance caused.

Our view is that the redshift is linked with the EM wave of spacetime of the second structure. A longer spacetime wavelength, or 'tired-light' in immediate deep space, points out a deceleration of expansion going toward the future. There is no contradiction with Hubble discovered nebular redshift versus distance explained through recession as long as it is toward the past on the structure of cosmological time: to see weakly the distant galaxies implicates see earlier in the past and a faster speed toward the point of origin. This means that the universe does not accelerate toward the future, and that its speed at the beginning was almost the speed of light.

## PART 11

## THE TIRED-LIGHT MECHANISM: THE ZPF THEORY AND THE PRINCIPLE OF COMPENSATION

### 4 Tired Light integrated into theory of Relation

Till now, relativity and Doppler effects went along very well to explain the shifting of spectral lines. When astronomers look at the spectrum of light from a star or galaxy they see the precise wavelength of the spectral narrow color lines telling scientists what type of atom emitted the light. Doppler Effect is the apparent change in wavelength of sound or light caused by the motion of the source, of the observer, or both. Waves emitted by a moving

object as received by an observer will be blueshifted if approaching, redshifted if receding. How much the frequency changes depend on how fast the object is moving toward or away from the receiver [24]. With relativity, if we suppose two stars  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  link up by a rigid stick, a monochromatic light sent from  $S_1$  to  $S_2$  and reflected from  $S_2$  toward  $S_1$  would have the same frequency than the one measured by a clock in  $S_1$ : the number of wavelengths along the stick will be the same.

With Pioneer 10, a radio signal sent from Earth to Pioneer and retransmitted from Pioneer to Earth would not have the same frequency than the one initially measured by a clock on Earth: an observed abnormal blueshift indicates missing wavelength along supposed stick between them. On the other hand, there is a background redshift ruling out Hubble redshift's ratio to the distance to the source, interpreted as recession of the galaxies. With distance, light around Pioneer seems as tired as its speed.

Pioneer effect is the first support to explain it by the concept of «tired light». One of the difficulties before was that there was no consistent mechanism known that could give a tired light effect: it was not related to transmission in a transparent medium. Data from Pioneer 10 give evidence that there is plasma of space slowing down the photons.

Tired light is a class of hypothetical redshift mechanisms that were proposed as an alternative explanation for the redshift-distance relationship. It was first proposed in 1929 by Fritz Zwicky [28] who suggested that photons might slowly lose energy as they travel vast distances through a static universe by interaction with matter or other photons, or by some novel physical mechanism. Since a decline of energy corresponds to an increase in light's wavelength, this effect would produce a redshift in spectral lines that increase proportionally with the distance of the source. The term "tired light" was coined by Richard Tolman in the early 1930s [29].

Tired light theory is considered as a very speculative model even if it was a realistic hypothesis during the past. It was one of the proposed alternatives to the Big Bang and the Steady State cosmologies that both proposed Hubble's law was associated with a metric expansion of space. In the theory of Relation, tired light becomes a mixing of the Big Bang theory and the Steady State cosmologies. The redshift is due to recessional motion and the photons emitted by a nebula lose energy on their journey to the observer.

Pioneer 10 going outwards loses some frequency. The Pioneer effect is important because it demonstrates that light in space cannot be explained purely as a wave phenomenon. Relativity

and the Maxwellian theory of EM wave cannot explain any real scattered energy and any shift in wavelength. Light must behave as a stream of particles whose energy is proportional to the frequency in order to explain what seems a Compton scattering for the space. This dispersion of energy, like a Compton effect in space, implies discontinuity in space instead of continuity. If photons can impair energy through some interaction with the medium (a plasma) located between the source and the observer, it requires a different metric than the one used by relativity [30].

#### 4.1 Gravitation and electromagnetism: two sides of one force

The EM force in the vacuum, shown above, arises from a proposal of Sakharov [31] based on the work of Zeldovich [32] in which a connection is drawn between Hilbert-Einstein action and the quantum vacuum [33]. This leads to a view of gravity as "a metric elasticity of space". There were some early pioneering attempts, inspired by Sakharov's conjecture, to link gravity to the vacuum from a quantum-field-theoretical viewpoint [33,34,35]. The first step in developing Sakharov's conjecture in any detail was the work of Puthoff (1989, 1993). Gravity is treated as a residuum force in the manner of the van der Waals forces. Unlike the Coulomb force, which, classically viewed, acts directly between charged particles, this interaction is mediated by extremely minute propagating secondary fields created by the zero-point fluctuations (ZPF)-driven oscillations, and so is enormously weaker than the Coulomb force. Puthoff's attempt to derive a Newtonian inverse square force of gravity proves to be unsuccessful [41].

Our approach, based on the theory of Relation, considers our whole universe as a white hole estimated as around  $10^{53}$  kg. The radius for the positive energy-mass ( $G M^0/c^2 = GE/c^4 = R_U$ ), as long as it is a Schwarzschild radius, is also a gravific wave  $t_0c$ . The same radius is the cosmological EM wave of the negative-energy mass of the vacuum ( $t_0c_{EM} = h/mc = hc/mc^2$ ). The meaning is that during the expansion, starting with the Big Bang, the negative mass-energy of a negative universe was transforming itself into a positive universe with positive energy-mass. Our Universe would be part of a cycle universe. Dark matter would be negative-mass energy waiting to be transformed into positive mass-energy.

In classical physics, empty spacetime is called the vacuum. In quantum mechanics, the vacuum is the state of minimum energy, the "ground state" full of fluctuating quantum fields. These quantum fluctuations, ZPF- a zero-point energy associated with any quantum field- are supposedly no real particles involved, only virtual particle-antiparticle pairs continually materialised out of the vacuum, propagated for a short time (allowed by the Uncertainty principle) and then annihilated [36]. Since there is infinite number of harmonic oscillators per unit volume, the total zero-point energy density is, in fact, infinite. Through the process of renormalisation some sense can be made of infinite quantities. As it is usually implemented, this yields a zero energy density for the standard quantum vacuum.

Relation theory postulates that the zero-point energy yields a non-zero energy density for the quantum vacuum. It is extremely difficult to observe these vacuum vibrations, since there is no state of lower energy with which the vacuum can be compared. However, the "Casimir effect" is one situation in which its effects can be seen indirectly.

In 1948, the Dutch physicist H.B.G. Casimir predicted that two clean, neutral, parallel, microscopically flat metals attract each other by a very weak force that varies inversely as the

fourth power of the distance between them. It was experimentally verified in 1958. It can be understood in the following way. The zero-point energy filling the vacuum exerts pressure on everything. In most circumstances, this pressure is not noticeable, since it acts in all directions and the effect cancels. However, the quantum vacuum has different properties between the two plates. Some of the zero-point vibrations of the EM field are suppressed namely, those with wavelengths too long to fit between the plates. So, the zero-point energy density between the plates is *less* than that of the standard vacuum, i.e. it is negative. From this it follows that the pressure outside is greater and hence the plates feel an attractive force.

We think that it is precisely because the zero-point energy density between the plates is *less* than that of the standard vacuum that this negative energy induces (allowed by the CP) more positive energy, which is attractive. Since the beginning, the ground state of the going on expansion loses constantly some energy, and real fluctuations transform negative energy into positive energy. Pretend there is only virtual vibrations is a quantum way to corroborate the classical empty spacetime and deny physical signification to the states of negative energy.

The vacuum EM zero-point radiation is very dim, and theorists concluded that gravitation appears to be a long-range interaction akin to the van der Waals force. We prefer to declare it similar to the electromagnetism, which is less restrictive and includes both forces Coulomb and van der Waals. Roughly speaking, all the forces involved in interactions between atoms can be traced to the EM force acting on the electrically charged protons and electrons inside the atoms. This contains the intermolecular forces between the individual molecules.

On one hand, at the ZPF of the vacuum, the negative EM force of the vacuum appears to be itself a long-range interaction such as the van der Waals force because it has been diluted since the beginning: the EM charge weakens during expansion and looks like a residuum force. And, if gravity is treated as a residuum force, it is because inertial and gravitational forces alike arise due to an interaction between vacuum EM zero-point radiation and negative subatomic particles giving birth to ordinary matter [35].

On the other hand, Coulomb force, which, classically viewed, acts directly between charged particles, is enormously stronger than the gravitational force. We calculate a difference of about  $10^{36}$  orders of magnitude between the EM and gravitational forces between two protons in the atom like if, in microcosm, electromagnetism was the only force existing. Suppose two protons on a surface of a collapsing star due to gravity, so that at the end a little bit more than a Fermi separated them. Then the difference of strength between gravity and electromagnetism would be of the order of about 1 rather than  $10^{36}$ . Gravitation, in this view, appears to be a short-range interaction akin to the Coulomb force. Then gravity could be construed as a form of attractive side of the EM force. We already said that since the beginning of our Universe the EM negative-energy transforms itself into positive gravitational energy. To be consistent with our theory, if we take the EM force of the vacuum and go back (from present to past) to the Big Bang and, on the other hand, if the whole universe collapses gravitationally (going from present to future), we will have the same magnitude of force. Like if electromagnetism in the vacuum and gravitation between stars were the repulsive and attractive sides of one force with EM origin. This said for the order of magnitude, we do not allege that gravity is exactly the opposite replica of electromagnetism.

## 4.2 Cosmological constant



In recent years observational advances have led to the conclusion that the present universe is dominated by an energy density with negative pressure. Cosmologists call it dark energy and made it a version of Einstein's cosmological constant – a constant, unchanging energy that pushes space to expand.

Microwave background experiments as well as supernova results strongly support the proposition coming from inflationary models that space is flat. To equal the critical density in a spatially flat universe it requires the total mass/energy density. Observations of galaxy clusters tells us that the matter contribution (baryonic and nonbaryonic) to the total energy density can amount to only 20-30% of the needed critical density. Dark energy, which composes roughly three-quarter of the energy density of the universe, acts to accelerate the cosmic expansion. With inflationary cosmology, the cosmological constant is driving this accelerated expansion only for a tiny fraction of a second. With quintessence model there is a slower and steady accelerated phase of spatial expansion. Whatever the model, a non-vanishing cosmological constant is expected, on the basis of quantum theory, to describe the astronomical observations [37].

Cosmologists are then confronted with two problems. First, since all sorts of vacuum energies contribute to the effective cosmological constant, why is the total vacuum energy density so small by all particle physics standards? Second, since the vacuum energy density is supposed to be constant in time while the matter energy density decreases as the universe expands, what can explain this cosmic coincidence that both densities are comparable just at the present time, while their ratio has been tiny in the early universe and will become very large in the distant future? [38]

Theory of Relation is not an inflationist cosmology and claims that the energy density of vacuum is negative with an associated positive pressure driving a decelerated expansion of empty space. It assimilates dark energy and dark matter with the cosmological constant, but also with the negative energy, while it is generally perceived as 'exotic' positive energy and matter. Even if it is right the assumption, that a spatially flat universe needs about 30 percent of ordinary and dark matter and about 70 percent of dark energy to obtain the total mass/energy density equaling the critical density, we disagree with the interpretation that the expansion of the universe is accelerated. We think the observations – supernova and CDM- are construed in a very speculative inflationist cosmology. We conclude that the percent of positive matter will grow and that the percent of expansionist dark energy will wane until equality, then it will be the way toward a Big Crunch.

Cosmologists are not satisfied with identifying the cosmological constant with dark energy because the expected sea level for the quantum vacuum is much higher than we observe: naively one should indeed have a characterless universe, with matter drowned by ~122 orders of magnitude below the energy density of the cosmological constant. But the cosmic concordance measures only a factor of a few difference. Furthermore, the matter and radiation we see in the universe evolves with the expansion of the universe, while the cosmological constant does not [61]. What is the explanation? Theory of relation assumes that there is more a causal relation than a scheming coincidence enclosed in the question «why both densities without apparent relation are today about the same order»? Its approach is to change the initial conditions: the energy density of the cosmological constant was big at the beginning and composed of baryons and bosons which gave up their energy to become actual 'tired matter' and 'tired light'. On this temporal mode, the primordial vacuum energy was ~122 orders of magnitude greater than the present nearly zero of the cosmological constant. The matter and light of our

Universe came from the transformation of the negative energy-matter into positive energy-matter throughout expansion. The energy of the vacuum is dynamic, its density varies in time: that is why the density of the global positive matter diluted into the expansionist void coincides with the density of the vacuum [39-40].

All this negative energy is now recycled into positive matter in the framework of GR with four dimensions, with matter-galaxies attached to the fixed grid on the expanding fabric of spacetime, like dots on the surface of a balloon. Density of matter of the whole universe is very weak – nearly zero- but would be as big as initial negative energy if the mass distributed throughout the surface was concentrated in a prerelativistic, Newtonian centre. On this spatial mode, the small, dense vacuum would produce a higher energy of 122 orders of magnitude. Then, the *spatial* radius of the universe would have the same variation as the *temporal* wave of spacetime expansion:  $R_u = t_0 c_{em}$

About 4 percent of matter is ordinary (baryonic: ~0.4% visible, ~3.6% not visible), and we speculate that dark matter is a negative matter which still waits to be transformed into positive common matter. The very low dark energy, taking the form of a cosmological constant, is like a cold radiative surface on a featureless negative Dirac's ocean. It will not stop to evaporate a dim vacuum energy, decreasing the negative EM energy of our second structure, until the growing positive energy of the gravitational charge gets the upper hand. It is the deep explanation of the tired-light theory.

Note that «quintessence» is dynamic, and has a density of energy that varies through time, like the negative EM spacetime wave. Quintessence is a hypothetical form of dark energy postulated as an explanation for the observations concluding an accelerated universe; it is a positive vacuum energy density resulting from a positive cosmological constant and it implies a negative pressure. By contrast, the negative EM spacetime wave of Relation theory has a negative vacuum energy density resulting from a negative cosmological constant in decline, which implies a positive pressure driving a decelerated expansion of the universe.

### 4.3 Principle of Compensation and the zero-point fluctuations

In order to solve the "unsolved mystery in modern physics", known as inertia (the instantaneous opposition to acceleration of all material objects), Haisch and Rueda related EP to Sakharov's conjecture of a connection between Einstein action and the vacuum [41, 42]. They said that the properties of the EM quantum vacuum as experienced in a Rindler constant acceleration frame were investigated, and the existence of an energy-momentum flux was discovered and with its relative radiation, both stem from event-horizon effects in accelerating reference frames. The force of radiation pressure produced by the energy-momentum flux proves to be proportional to the acceleration of the reference frames, which leads to the *quantum vacuum inertia hypothesis* meaning that the inertia of matter could be interpreted at least in part as a reaction force originating in interactions between the EM zero-point field and the elementary charged constituents (quarks and electrons) of matter. They tried to demonstrate that this approach to inertia is consistent with GR and it appeared also that Newton's equation of motion ( $f = ma$ ) could be inferred from Maxwell's equations as applied to the ZPF, i.e. the stochastic electrodynamics (SED) version of the quantum vacuum [43].

Their goal was to show that a ZPF-EM reaction force will prove to be the exact opposite of this, and can therefore reasonably be interpreted as the inertia of the object, i.e. that in general [41-44]

$$f^{zp} = f_r = -f. \quad (19)$$

While we view their approach as promising we propose that the link of inertia of material objects with the hidden structure of the vacuum pass by both EP and CP. To illustrate this let us take a simple and gross comparison from the theory of Relation with two structures.

Imagine that the negative and expansionist EM wave of spacetime in the second hidden structure of the vacuum is represented by a rocket A going toward infinity. The two fuels of the rocket are mixed in the combustion chamber, where they undergo a violent chemical reaction. The products of this reaction are hot, high pressure gases that escape through the exhaust nozzle with a high velocity and thereby acquire a large backward momentum. The total momentum of the system must remain constant and so the backward momentum acquired by the ejected gases must be compensated by an equal and opposite forward momentum given to the rocket and unspent fuel:  $p_{r(A)} = -p_{(A)}$ . In terms of force:  $f_{r(A)} = -f_{(A)}$ . The reaction force  $f_{r(A)}$  is EM and it is the asymmetric energy and momentum flux at a non-zero-point-field ZPF, so this back-reaction of lost EM energy is also a zero-point force ZPF called the inertial reaction:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{r(A)} &= f^{zpf}_{(A)}. \\ -(-f_{(A)} = f_{r(A)} = f^{zpf}_{(A)}). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Imagine also that the first structure of positive gravific spacetime is represented by a similar rocket B in gravity-free space going in opposite direction, that a kind of pipe or wormhole can collect the backward negative energy and momentum flux of A, transforms it in a positive energy which is conveyed to B. With this momentum, B will accelerate ( $f = ma$ ) toward star and will have an equal and opposite reaction in direction of A:  $-f_{(B)} = f_{r(B)}$  [ $f = ma$ ;  $f = -f_r = -(-ma)$ ;  $f_r = -ma = -f$ ]. In this case we can say that the back momentum of B in direction of A is equal to the back momentum of A in direction of B:  $f_{r(B)} = f_{r(A)}$ , giving

$$+(-f_{(B)} = f_{r(B)} = f^{zpf}_{(B)}). \quad (21)$$

This equivalence of reactions gives apparently a connection between Einstein's EP and the inertia of the vacuum based on Sakharov's conjecture.

In the case of *stationary* orbit, there seems no difference between positive or negative energy, like if there was just one structure with no vacuum energy

$$- [ (-f_{(A)} = \overset{\rightarrow}{f_{r(A)}} = f^{zpf}_{(A)}) ] = + [ (-f_{(B)} = \overset{\leftarrow}{f_{r(B)}} = f^{zpf}_{(B)}) ]. \quad (22)$$

There is a balance between  $F_g$  and  $F_i$ , and the ZPF which is usually perceived as isotropic, symmetric, stationary or uniform-motion frame, without resistance. The EP is safe but does not explain the lost energy of A gained by B.

In deep space, A and B are in a *dynamical system*. With time and distance there is a kind of resistance in front of A, which is not positive energy particles, making unexpected lost of energy and decreasing its speed. Then B receives extra energy and has anomalous acceleration.

After a while, the deceleration of A became smaller and so is the acceleration of B. The EP explains neither this anomaly nor the change of sign of the energy.

We consider that Pioneer in deep space is a material object in a dynamical system undergoing acceleration on both interpenetrated structures. The tired-light EM wave of spacetime of the quantum vacuum causes an asymmetric non-zero-point field which decelerates the probe going forward. Tired-light phenomenon is like rocket A losing energy going toward infinity.

According to the strong equivalence principle (SEP), in a zero homogeneous background of ordinary classical electrodynamics, inertial mass is equal to gravitational active and passive mass ( $m_i = m_{g-a} = m_{g-p}$ ). Ordinary classical electrodynamics presupposes positive energy solutions and, accordingly, negative energy is merely Dirac's artifice, a virtual particles background that not changes the laws of physics when exchanging one inertial reference system for another. In a non-zero background SEP could be satisfied if

$$\overset{\leftarrow}{m_g} a = m_i \overset{\rightarrow}{a} = m_{zpf} \overset{\rightarrow}{a}. \quad (23)$$

It would be operational if at least there was equality between the coefficients of resistance  $m_g$  and  $m_{zpf}$ . This would required more dust with positive energy in deeper space which is not the case ( $m_{zpf} \neq +E_{zpf}/c^2$ ).

On a contrary, there is less positive density of empty space. If the ZPF force represents the system of inertia (positive energy resistance), then there is less inertia than gravity, which is construed by Relation theory as decreasing negative EM energy converted into positive gravitational energy. At point  $F_{ZPF}$ , the declining negative energy flux ( $-F_{ZPF} = -E_{zpf}/c^2$ ), characterized by more empty space, is interacting with quarks and electrons in the physical probe, adding a growing positive energy ( $+E_{zpf}/c^2$ ), generating an inertia reaction force in the opposite direction. The theory includes the real effects, not only virtual, of the EM quantum vacuum in physics, and CP allowed conversion of energies inducing weight. The anomalous deceleration of Pioneer outwards is interpreted like an anomalous acceleration toward the Sun, giving

$$f_{(P)} = -f_{r(P)} = -f^{zpf}_{(P)}. \quad (24)$$

There is an anomalous acceleration toward the Sun in relation with an equal deceleration outwards, an imbalance between  $m_{g-a} \leftarrow$  and  $m_i \rightarrow$ , with  $m_{g-a} > m_i$ . Considering Pioneer like a freely falling local frame in a flat spacetime, an «anomalous» influence *is measurable* of the gravitational potential which causes an acceleration of the frame as a whole. The outcome of a local nongravitational test experiment is *dependent* of the velocity of the freely falling apparatus [45-46-47].

Relatively to the third law of Newton, requiring that the motive force defined in the second law be counterbalanced by a reaction force traditionally called the inertia of matter [44], the meaning is that the anomalous acceleration of Pioneer toward Sun is counter-balanced by the deceleration of the expansion in the opposite direction.

The Pioneer's anomaly brings an argument against the equality (or proportionality) of inertial mass to gravitational mass within the standard theoretical framework of GR. The energy flux at point  $F_{ZPF}$  causes asymmetry in the metric: the gravitational *system* toward Sun gains

energy (+ $f_{(B)}^{zpf}$ ) whereas the inertial system outwards loses the same energy(-  $f_{(A)}^{zpf}$ ). Equation (22) becomes an inequality

$$[- (- f_{(A)} = f_{r(A)} = f_{(A)}^{zpf} ) - \Delta f_{(A)}^{zpf}] \neq [+ (- f_{(B)} = f_{r(B)} = f_{(B)}^{zpf} ) + \Delta f_{(B)}^{zpf}]. \quad (25)$$

It also exhibits two opposite systems, like two structures going in the opposite infinity but in close relation, one springing from the other.

In virtue of the CP there is an induced gravitation at the point ZPF: negative  $EM$  energy of the expansion converts its energy into positive gravitational condensable energy. This is translated by compensation into the requirement that the  $EM$  background has a Lorentz variant energy density spectrum and real vacuum interactions. In that sense, both energies are equivalent to give rise to inertia.

Both principles are complementary and are necessary to understand our dual Universe: EP for the global positive matter; CP for the universal negative expansion. An observer on the first structure (positive) will have the trend to adopt Mach proposition saying that inertia, the instantaneous opposition to acceleration of all objects, somehow originated in a global linkage of all matter in the universe. An observer on the second structure will adopt the view of Newton that inertia is an inherent property of matter for which a further explanation possible is a link with an absolute space. For Mach, matter is inertia. For Newton, space is inertia. Mach's explanation is for the positive energy while the one of Newton is for the negative energy. Theory of Relation embraces both propositions: absolute space of Newton is the negative  $EM$  energy of the expansion converted into the positive energy of matter.

#### 4.4 Pioneer effect and theory of quantum gravity

If anomalies in various stellar and galactic red shifts, commonly interpreted as Doppler shifts in an expanding universe, are in fact the result of loss of energy by observed photons traversing a radiation field (Erwin Finlay-Freundlich) ), where does the energy of redshifted photon go? No generally accepted physical mechanism has been proposed for this loss, which seems against the conservation of energy, and some conclude that the assumption of a universal expansion is erroneous [48].

Theory of Relation says that photons lose energy slowly across an expansive world creating an  $EM$  spacetime. Since a fall of energy corresponds to an increase in cosmological wavelength of light, this effect would produce a redshift in the spectral lines which would augment proportionately with the distance of the source. The energy lost by the photons of the  $EM$  cosmological wave of spacetime reappears in gravific spacetime. If we state that there is an  $EM$  negative structure of the expansion directly connected with the positive gravitational structure, then by which unknown physical mechanism in the vacuum the lost negative energy could be converted into positive energy?

The theory of Relation is in the line of those who support the ZPF proposal seeking to ascribe gravitation entirely to interaction with zero-point radiation. Puttoff's gravity [49], suggesting that gravitation is a residual effect of the ZPF of the vacuum  $EM$  field, is positive-energy «pushing together» gravitation, similar to Lesage's «ultramundane corpuscles». We prefer a model proposed by Hotson [8], which also utilizes a residual effect of electromagnetism, whereas negative-energy forces act by «pulling together»[35]. This attraction suggests the

zero-point energy making itself felt by the Casimir effect. Two metal plates brought sufficiently close together, allowing only small, high-frequency  $E_M$  "mode" of the vacuum energy to squeeze in between, will attract each other very slightly [50]. The lower frequency  $E_M$  mode out of the plates creates low pressure knocking the plates toward each other. Our explanation is to say that empty space with longer wavelengths induces gravity. There is attraction when the energy is thrown out between the plates, being fewer than in standard vacuum. We assimilate this phenomenon to a kind of gravity illustrating the CP: the less there is energy in empty space the more there is gravity.

If gravity pulls the plates together, how come? If negative-energy acts, which mechanism? It would take a quantum theory of gravity involving the idea of the «graviton» as the quantum of the gravitational field to answer these questions. Unfortunately, it is not easy to construct theories of physics *in vacuo*. Physicists feel that attempt to formulate a theory of quantum gravity to incorporate GR and quantum mechanics in a fundamental way must require the input of radically new concepts and quantic language of gravitation. In spite of a variety of *a priori* assumptions, arguments and disagreements, not only there is no experience to test a theory of quantum gravity but there is not even a reasonable existing theory [51]. The absence of any experimental input open up a Pandora's box of possibilities. One approach, which remains elusive, is to regard gravity as 'just another field', and to treat it in the way that has been successful with the  $E_M$  interactions. Our view is that Pioneer effects could be a kind of experimental input that might provide a consistent theory of quantum gravity.

In 1937, Louis de Broglie wrote: «the neutrino would be a kind of half-photon. Isolated, that is to say without an antineutrino, it would have no  $E_M$  field since it could not annihilate by photoelectric effect. But link to an antineutrino, it would form a photon and would possess an  $E_M$  field of maxwellian type» [52,53]. Gamow [54] and Niels Bohr emitted the possibility of a link between neutrino and graviton. In the early 1950s, Erwin Finlay-Freundlich proposed a redshift as "the result of loss of energy by observed photons traversing a radiation field" [55]. R.A. Alpher noted "No generally accepted physical mechanism has been proposed for this loss", though P.F. Brown proposed that the energy lost reappears as neutrino pairs resulting from the exchange of a graviton between two photons.

For our part, we think that the empty space created by the evacuated energy between the Casimir plates is filled with gravitons which can be created by neutrinos. We suggest that *«the neutrino would be a kind of half-graviton. Isolated, that is to say without an antineutrino, it would have no gravitational field since it could interact just with weak nuclear force. But link to an antineutrino, it would form a graviton and would possess a gravitational field of Casimir type»* (The square root of a negative number provides a negative solution and, in this case, a real negative energy solution)

$$\begin{array}{rclcl}
 \text{neutrino} & \& \text{antineutrino} & \rightarrow & \text{graviton} & (26) \\
 10^{-34} \text{ kg} & \times & 10^{-34} \text{ kg} & \rightarrow & 10^{-68} \text{ kg} \\
 (10^{-68} \text{ kg})^{1/2} & \times & (10^{-68} \text{ kg})^{1/2} & \rightarrow & 10^{-68} \text{ kg} \\
 \frac{1}{2} \text{ graviton} & & \frac{1}{2} \text{ graviton.} & & 
 \end{array}$$

This assumption of a graviton composed of two half neutrinos is based on a connexion between Casimir experience, the Hubble constant, the Pioneer effect, and also with the age and the radius of the universe.

Firstly, about the Casimir experience, S.K.Lamoreaux, while at the University of Washington, conducted the most precise measurement of the Casimir effect [50]. Helped by his student Dev Sen, he used gold-coated quartz surfaces as his plates. One plate was attached to the end of a sensitive torsion pendulum; if that plate moved toward the other, the pendulum would twist. A laser could measure the twisting of the pendulum down to 0.01 -micron accuracy. A current applied to a stack of piezoelectric components moved one Casimir plate; an electronic feedback system countered that movement, keeping the pendulum still. Zero-point energy effects showed up as changes in the amount of current needed to maintain the pendulum's position. Lamoreaux found that the plates generated about 100 microdynes (one nanonewton:  $10^{-9}\text{N}$ ) of force. The result falls within 5 percent of Casimir's prediction for that particular plate separation and geometry. The strength generated by the plates is

$$h c / \lambda^2 = 10^{-9} \text{N}, \quad (27)$$

so  $\lambda = 1,41 \times 10^{-8} \text{m}$ . Energy is  $F \lambda = (10^{-9} \text{N}) (1.41 \times 10^{-8} \text{m}) = \sim 1.4 \times 10^{-17} \text{j} = \sim 88 \text{eV}$ . The force of the Casimir experience moving a plate toward the other comes into existence from the energy of quantum fluctuations, from physical properties of the vacuum [56].

Secondly, if we suppose that the configuration of all the mass in the universe, estimated  $\sim 2 \times 10^{53} \text{kg}$ , is concentrated at the center of a sphere with radius  $\sim 10^{26} \text{m}$ , we note about the same Casimir strength. The force between the global mass and a peripheral body of 1 kg would be  $\sim 10^{-9} \text{N}$ :

$$F = GMm/R^2 = (G 2 \times 10^{53} \times 1) / (10^{26})^2. \quad (28)$$

The gravitational acceleration toward the center would be  $\sim 10^{-9} \text{m/s}^2$ .

Thirdly, the cosmological acceleration  $\sim 8 \times 10^{-10} \text{m.s}^2$ , noted before (18), is also the reported Sunwards anomalous acceleration of Pioneer 10 [5]. There is a correlation between *a*) the acceleration of expansion with Hubble constant based on the age of the universe ( $H = 1/t$ ), *b*) the anomalous acceleration of the spacecraft, and *c*) the acceleration of time [57]. On one hand, *b* and *c* have the same acceleration and force in common, and are roughly on the same 'cosmological' scale. On the other hand, even if the three items have the same force ( $10^{-9} \text{N}$ ), the microscopic scale of Casimir force and the macroscopic scale of the universe have apparently no rational classical link. With the theory of Relation there is a deep and strong link. Both effects, Pioneer and Casimir, happen in empty space, at the zero-point energy. Concerning Pioneer effect, we have already put a link between the negative  $EM$  cosmologic wave of spacetime losing energy and the gravity induced on matter. In the case of Casimir, the effect happens after a void is created between the plates. We suppose that the expulsion of positive energy creating a vacuum leaves the place for the negative scalar  $EM$  cosmologic wave of spacetime which is on the same scale as *b* and *c* (i.e. the  $EM$  cosmological wave equivalent to the radius of our Universe.). Since there is almost the same strength between the anomalous acceleration of the Pioneer effect and the Casimir effect in empty space, we presume that the void in Casimir experience is about the same magnitude as that of the vacuum enveloping the spacecraft. At this zero-point energy, there is an ocean of photon and electrons and, also, of gravitons and neutrinos.

The force  $\sim 10^{-9} \text{N}$  corresponds to a particle  $\sim 10^{-34} \text{kg}$  ( $\sim 88 \text{eV}$ ) considered as a possible mass for the neutrinos. Our proposition for the neutrino to be half a graviton gives for the graviton

$$(\sim 10^{-34} \text{ kg})^2 = \sim 10^{-68} \text{ kg} (\sim 10^{-33} \text{ eV}). \quad (29)$$

This weight matches with the one assessed for the graviton particle [58], if we assimilate the negative  $_{EM}$  cosmological wave with the radius of the universe (based on Schwarzschild:  $R_{sch} = t_0 c = GM/c^2$ );  $t_0 c$  is then like a Compton wavelength of the quantum graviton for the radius of the universe

$$\lambda_g = h/m_g c = c/H = t_0 c = R_U = \sim 10^{26} \text{ m}. \quad (30)$$

The value  $\sim 10^{-68} \text{ kg}$  for  $m_g$  could also be for a Compton wavelength of the quantum photon for the radius of the universe

$$\lambda_\gamma = h/m_\gamma c = c/H = t_0 c_{EM} = R_U = \sim 10^{26} \text{ m}. \quad (31)$$

Note that  $m = \sim 10^{-68} \text{ kg} (\sim 10^{-33} \text{ eV})$  could be a quantum graviton, or photon, and the dimensionless coupling constant for the universe could be  $GM^2_{\text{graviton (or photon)}}/\hbar c = \sim 10^{-122}$ . The inverse is the cosmological constant:  $\sim 10^{122}$  [59].

Pioneer effect, tired-light, and the postulated graviton resulting from neutrinos pair in the framework of the theory of Relation, could provide a basic idea of what we simply do not know.

## 5 Conclusion

General Relativity considers the interaction between a system in inertial space and gravity-matter based on the principle of Equivalence. The theory of Relation considers it like the first structure of «condensation» with positive mass-energy with empty space generally ascribed without gravitation and energy. This structure is directly counterbalanced by a second one with a negative mass-energy driving the expansion. Since the so-called Big Bang, a negative electromagnetic mass-energy transforms itself into the positive gravitational mass-energy. We live in a *dualverse* rather than a universe, where the parameters of both structures are not independent but linked by a communicating vessels system, such as all that is earned by one is lost by the other one. One of the consequences is a deceleration of the expansion in phase with a local increment of gravity of the galaxies. Since 1998, a logic and over-simple line of reasoning based on a positive energy of empty space, interprets wrongly, in our opinion, the global expansion as accelerated with a positive cosmological constant.

Pioneer anomaly in deep space is the first to reveal a decreasing negative electromagnetic wave of tired-light inducing positive gravity in the vacuum, in virtue of the postulated principle of Compensation. We think that other anomalies, like ‘Allais effect’ [60] or ‘galaxy rotation curves’, can also be explained by the theory of Relation, which is in the frame of ‘cyclic universe theory’.

In the deep space out of our solar system, the probe is in a flat space (not into a Hubble space with recession) pursuing an expansible electromagnetic wavelength of spacetime. With the distance in vacuum, the minimal negative electromagnetic energy slowly lowers which induces the positive gravitational energy. In this energy induced process, cosmological photons gradually lost energy heightening a gravitational potential. It is like if the electromagnetic energy was converted into gravitational resistance or acceleration toward matter. Pioneer 10 slows down going outwards and the observed frequency of the redshift on



Earth is lower than predicted. Pioneer effect is an experimental «violation» of the principle of Equivalence. Such a violation shakes the foundation of physics. That does not mean that Einstein's theory is fundamentally «wrong» but incomplete, that the gravitational interaction is more complex than previously assumed, and contains, in addition to the basic Einsteinian spin-2 interaction, the effect of another long-range field, which is the one of the second structure considering an electromagnetic spacetime. This new vision of the universe is based on the Compensation principle allowing interaction between material system in deep space and vacuum electromagnetic-zero-point fluctuations inducing gravity. The equivalence principle and the compensation principle coexist and are complementary.

## References

- [1] J.D. Anderson, P.A. Laing, E.L. Lau, A.S. Liu, M.M. Nieto and S.G. Turyshev, *Indication, from Pioneer 10/11, Galileo, and Ulysses Data, of an Apparent Anomalous, Weak, Long-Range Acceleration*, Phys. Rev.Lett. 81 (1998) 2858. arXiv: gr-qc/9808081.
- [2] S.G. Turyshev, J.D. Anderson, P.A. Laing, E.L. Lau, A.S. Liu, M.M. Nieto, *The Apparent Anomalous, Weak, Long-Range Acceleration of Pioneer 10 and 11*, arXiv:gr-qc/9903024 v2 (1999).
- [3] J.D. Anderson, E.L. Lau, S.G. Turyshev, P.A. Laing, M.M. Nieto, *Search for a standard explanation of the Pioneer anomaly*, arXiv:gr-qc/0107022 v 3 (2002).
- [4] J.P. Mbelek, *The Pioneer anomaly: a bulk scalar field ?* Service d'Astrophysique, C.E. Saclay F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France, p. 13 (2003).
- [5] J.D. Anderson, P.A. Laing, E.L. Lau, A.S. Liu, M. M. Nieto, S.G. Turyshev, *Study of the anomalous acceleration of Pioneer 10 and 11*, arXiv:gr-qc/0104064 v5, p.1, 2, 8, 18-20, 43- 46, 50, 126, Phys.Rev. D65 (2002) 082004.
- [6] Craig B. Markwardt, *Independent Confirmation of the Pioneer 10 Anomalous Acceleration*, Phys Rev D; arXiv:gr-qc/0208046 v1 (2002).
- [7] H. Dittus, S.G. Turyshev, C. Lämmerzahl, S. Theil, R. Foerstner, U.Johann, W. Ertmer,...; *A Mission to Explore the Pioneer Anomaly; The Pioneer Explorer Collaboration*, arXiv:gr-qc/0506139 v1, 3-10, ESA Spec.Publ. 588 (2005)
- [8] D.L. Hotson, *Dirac's Equation and the Sea of Negative Energy*, Infinite Energy, issue 44, Part 1, p.10-12 & Part 11, p.2, 6, 19-22 (2002).
- [9] Ronald R. Hatch, *Gravitation: Revising both Einstein and Newton*, Galilean Electrodynamics, Vol.10, No 4, pp 69-75 (1999).
- [10] Albert Einstein, *La Relativité*, P-B-Payot, (1956).
- [11] Peter Ostermann, *Relativity Theory and a Real Pioneer Effect*, arXiv: gr-qc/0212004 v3 (2003).
- [12] Max Born, *Einstein's Theory of Relativity*, Dover Publications, Inc, p.121-125, 216, 339, 352-3 (1962).
- [13] J.-M. Rocard, *Newton et la Relativité*, Que sais-je? Presse universitaire de France p.103 (1986).
- [14] Aladar Stolmar, *Pioneer 10 Anomalous Acceleration is Distance Caused Hubble Red-shift*; 2001.
- [15] K.R. Atkins, *Physics*, J.Wiley & Sons, Inc, p.130 (1970)
- [16] David F. Crawford, *A possible explanation for the anomalous acceleration of Pioneer 10*, eprint arXiv: astro-ph/9904150 (1999).
- [17] N. Didon, j. Perchoux, and E. Courtens, Université de Montpellier preprint (1999).

- [18] M.M. Nieto, S.G. Turyshev, J.D. Anderson, (2005), *Directly Measured Limit on the Interplanetary Matter Density from Pioneer 10 and 1*, arXiv: astro-ph/0501626 v4.
- [19] O.A. Tcherepanov, *Doppler-Mikhelson's Principle and a Pseudacceleration of the Nasa's Spacecrafts: Pioneer 10 and Pioneer 11 have discovered in the Perihelion Space the faintly Refractive Medium* (2002).
- [20] H. Ratcliffe, *The First Crisis in Cosmology Conference*, Progress in Physics, V.3 (2005).
- [21] Trinh Xuan Thuan, *Un Astrophysicien*, Champs Flammarion, p.76-83 (1995)
- [22] Pierre Dive, *Les Interprétations Physiques de la Théorie d'Einstein*, Paris, Dunod, 52 (1945).
- [23] C.E.Renshaw, W.L. Kallfelz, *Review of the Anomalous Doppler Data from Pioneer 10 and 11*, Tele-Consultant, Inc (1998).
- [24] A. Einstein, *Réflexions sur l'électrodynamique, l'éther, la géométrie et la relativité*, Gauthier-Villars Éditeur, p.73, 113-4 (1972).
- [25] H. Dittus, S.G. Turyshev, C.Lämmerzahl, S.Theil, R.Roerstner at al, *A Mission to Explore the Pioneer Anomaly*, ESA Spec. Publ.588 (2005) 3-10, arXiv:gr-qc/0506139v1.
- [26] F. Zwicky, *On the Red Shift of Spectral Lines through Interstellar Space*. PNAS 15:773-779 (1929).
- [27] Hubble, E. & Tolman, R. C., *Two Methods of Investigating the Nature of the Nebular Redshift*, Astrophysical Journal, vol. 82, p.302 (1935).
- [28] Pecker, J.-C.; Vigier, J.-P., *A Possible Tired-light Mechanism* (1987) Observational Cosmology; Proceedings of the IAU Symposium, Beijing, People's Republic of China, Aug. 25-30
- [29] A.D. Sakharov, Doklady Akad. Nauk S.S.S.R. 177 70-71 (1967) (English translation in Sov. Phys. Doklady 12, 1040-1041 (1968))
- [30] Yu. B. Zeldovich. Zh. Eksp. & Teor. Fiz. Pis'ma 6, 883-884 (1967) (English translation in Sov. Phys. - JETP Lett. 6, 316-317 (1967))
- [31] A. Rueda, B. Haisch, R.Tung, *Gravity and the Quantum Vacuum Inertia Hypothesis I. Formalized Groundwork for Extension to Gravity*, gr-qc/0108026 v1 (2001).
- [32] C. Misner, K. Thorne, J.A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, Freeman, New York, pp 426-428 (1971).
- [35] Charles T. Ridgely, *Gravitation and Forces Induced by Zero-Point Phenomena*, Physics/0103078 (2001).
- [36] G.D. Coughlan & J.E. Dodd, *The quantum vacuum*, The Ideas of particle physics, Cambridge University Press, 33-34 (1991).
- [37] Brian Greene, *The Fabric of the Cosmos*, Vintage, 434-5 (2005).
- [38] N. Straumann, *On the Cosmological Constant Problem and the Astronomical Evidence for a Homogeneous Energy Density with Negative Pressure*, p.2, 3, arXiv: Astro-ph/0203330 v1 (2002)

- [39] S. Jodra, *La face obscure de l'expansion cosmique*, Science & Vie, Hors-Série # 221, p. 130 (2002).
- [40] M. Lachièze-Rey, *La constante cosmologique*, Pour la Science, Dossier, p. 98, déc. 2004
- [41] A. Rueda and B. Haisch, *Inertia as Reaction of the Vacuum to Accelerated Motion*, Physics Letters A, 28, 1057 (1998), physics/9802030.
- [42] A. Rueda and B. Haisch, *Inertial Mass as Reaction of the Vacuum to Accelerated Motion*, Physics Letters A 240, 3, pp. 115-126 (1998).
- [43] Hiroki Sunahata, *Interaction of the Quantum Vacuum with an Accelerated Object and its Contribution to Inertia Reaction Force*, (2006).
- [44] A.Rueda, B.Haisch, *Contribution to Inertial mass by reaction of the vacuum to accelerated motion*, Foundations of Physics, p.9, 19 (1998).
- [45] C. Will, *The renaissance of general relativity*, The New Physics, Cambridge University Press, pp 7-33, Cambridge (1989-1998).
- [46] P. Barry, *Testing the Equivalence Principle [‘Mr. Galileo was right.’]*, Physorg, may 21, 2007. Quotation of C. Will saying that EP could fail at subtle level.
- [47] M.T. Jaekel, S. Reynaud, *Gravity tests in the solar system and the Pioneer anomaly*, arXiv: gr-qc/0410148 v2 (2005).
- [48] Roger Y. Gouin, *An Astrophysical Origin to Anomalous Spacecraft Accelerations*, (2004).
- [49] B. Haisch, A. Rueda, *Reply to Michel’s ‘Comment on Zero-Point Fluctuation and the Cosmological Constant’*, (1998).
- [50] Philip Yam, *Exploiting Zero-Point Energy*, American Scientific, Vol 277, No 6, p.83, Dec 1997.
- [51] Richard Feynman, *Lumière et matière*, InterÉditions Points-Sciences,195-6 (1987).
- [52] Louis de Broglie, *Matière et Lumière*, Edition Albin Michel, 109 (1937).
- [53] Hilare Cluny, *La Science Nucléaire*, Buchet/Chastel, 84 (1959).
- [54] Georges Gamow, *Gravitation*, P-B Payot, 142-3 (1962).
- [55] R.A. Alpher, *Laboratory Test of the Finlay-Freundlich Red Shift Hypothesis*, Letter to Nature, Nature 196, 367-368 (1962), doi:10.1038/196367b0.
- [56] Science & Avenir, No 112, *L'énergie du Vide*, S. Reynaud, p.45 (nov 1997).
- [57] A.F. Ranada, *The Pioneer anomaly as acceleration of the clocks*, Foundations of Physics (2004), arXiv:gr-qc/0410084 v2
- [58] Dimitar Valev, *Neutrino and graviton rest mass estimations by a phenomenological approach*, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, p. 8.
- [59] J. Demaret, *Univers*, Le Mail, p.138, ISBN 2-903951-23-3 (1991).
- [60] Maurice Allais, *L'Anisotropie de l'Espace*, Edition Clément Juglar (1997).