

Poynting vector. Arithmetic mistake

R. I. Khrapko

Moscow Aviation Institute, 125993, Moscow, Russia

Expressions for a polarized light beam must be corrected to correspond to results of the papers

All physicists, which consider polarized light beam, start from an expression for magnetic potential \mathbf{A}

$$\mathbf{A} = \exp(ikz - i\omega t)(\alpha\mathbf{x} + \beta\mathbf{y})u(x, y, z), \quad (1)$$

(see, e.g., Loudon [1] (2.1), Allen et al. [2] (2.9), Zambrini et al. [3] (2)). $i(\alpha\beta^* - \alpha^*\beta) = \sigma$ is usually identified with the spin in the z -direction (see, e.g., [1] (2.11), [2] p. 299), and

$$|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \quad (2)$$

(see, e.g., [3] p. 1046). Eqn (1) leads to

$$\mathbf{E} = \omega \exp(ikz - i\omega t) [i\alpha\mathbf{x} + i\beta\mathbf{y} - \frac{1}{k}\mathbf{z}(\alpha\partial_x + \beta\partial_y)]u, \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \exp(ikz - i\omega t) [-ik\beta\mathbf{x} + ik\alpha\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}(\beta\partial_x - \alpha\partial_y)]u. \quad (4)$$

(see, e.g., [1] (2.17), [2] (2.10), (2.11)). It is cozily to set, accordingly to (2), $\alpha = -i/\sqrt{2}$, $\beta = 1/\sqrt{2}$ for a right-circularly polarized beam. Then we arrive to

$$\mathbf{E} = \omega \exp(ikz - i\omega t) [\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y} + \frac{1}{k}\mathbf{z}(i\partial_x - \partial_y)]u/\sqrt{2}, \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \exp(ikz - i\omega t) [-ik\mathbf{x} + k\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}(\partial_x + i\partial_y)]u/\sqrt{2}. \quad (6)$$

These coincide with the Jackson's expressions [4] (p. 350)

$$\mathbf{E} = \exp(ikz - i\omega t) [\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y} + \frac{1}{k}\mathbf{z}(i\partial_x - \partial_y)]E_0, \quad \mathbf{B} = -i\frac{k}{\omega}\mathbf{E} \quad (7)$$

if $E_0 = \omega u/\sqrt{2}$.

Now let us calculate a time-average flux of energy in the z -direction given by the z -component of the real part of the complex Poynting vector [4] (6.132)

$$\mathbf{S} = (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}^*)/2 \quad (8)$$

(we set $\mu = 1$). Inserting (5) and (6) into

$$\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle = \Re(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}^*)/2 \quad (9)$$

yields

$$\langle S_z \rangle = \Re(E_x B_y^* - E_y B_x^*)/2 = \omega k |u|^2 / 2. \quad (10)$$

Meanwhile all authors write a double quantity : $\langle S_z \rangle = \omega k |u|^2$ (see, e.g., [1] (3.24), [2] (2.16), [5] (4)), [6] (6), (9)).

The authors' mistake is obvious. They ignore the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ in eqn (8). Instead of (9), they write

$$\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle = (\mathbf{E}^* \times \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}^*)/2 \quad (11)$$

(see, e.g., [1] (3.8), [2] (2.5), [6] (6), (9)).

$\langle S_z \rangle = \omega k |u|^2$ is a handy expression. So, if authors intend to use α, β -factors in eqns (1), (3), (4), they have to change $u \rightarrow u\sqrt{2}$ in these eqns.

I submit these Comments to PRA as a response to a report of Referee G of my submission **LG10066 "Calculation of absorbed spin contradicts electrodynamics and an experiment"**. The Referee considers that I must explain which expression for the fields is the correct one and why other authors use the wrong expression for the Poynting vector.

- [1] R. Loudon, Phys. Rev. A68, 013806 (2003)
- [2] L. Allen, M. J. Padgett, M. Babiker, *Progress in Optics XXXIX* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1999).
- [3] R. Zambrini, S. M. Barnett, J. Mod. Optics **52** 1045 (2005)
- [4] J D Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics* (Wiley, 1999).
- [5] L. Allen, M. J. Padgett, Opt. Commun. 184, 67 (2000).
- [6] L. Allen, M. W. Beijersbergen, R. J. C. Spreeuw, and J. P. Woerdman, Phys. Rev. A45, 8185 (1992)