A CHERN-SIMONS E_8 GAUGE THEORY OF GRAVITY IN D = 15, GRAND UNIFICATION AND GENERALIZED GRAVITY IN CLIFFORD SPACES

Carlos Castro
Center for Theoretical Studies of Physical Systems
Clark Atlanta University, Atlanta, GA. 30314, castro@ctsps.cau.edu

March, 2007

Abstract

A novel Chern-Simons E_8 gauge theory of Gravity in D=15 based on an $octic\ E_8$ invariant expression in D=16 (recently constructed by Cederwall and Palmkvist) is developed. A grand unification model of gravity with the other forces is very plausible within the framework of a supersymmetric extension (to incorporate spacetime fermions) of this Chern-Simons E_8 gauge theory. We review the construction showing why the ordinary 11D Chern-Simons Gravity theory (based on the Anti de Sitter group) can be embedded into a Clifford-algebra valued gauge theory and that an E_8 Yang-Mills field theory is a small sector of a $Clifford\ (16)$ algebra gauge theory. An E_8 gauge bundle formulation was instrumental in the understanding the topological part of the 11-dim M-theory partition function. The nature of this 11-dim E_8 gauge theory remains unknown. We hope that the Chern-Simons E_8 gauge theory of Gravity in D=15 advanced in this work may shed some light into solving this problem after a dimensional reduction.

1 INTRODUCTION

Exceptional, Jordan, Division and Clifford algebras are deeply related and essential tools in many aspects in Physics [3,5,8,9,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]. Ever since the discovery [1] that 11D supergravity, when dimensionally reduced to an n-dim torus led to maximal supergravity theories with hidden exceptional symmetries E_n for $n \leq 8$, it has prompted intensive research to explain the higher dimensional origins of these hidden exceptional E_n symmetries [2, 6]. More recently, there has been a lot of interest in the infinite-dim hyperbolic Kac-Moody E_{10} and non-linearly realized E_{11} algebras arising in the asymptotic chaotic oscillatory solutions of Supergravity fields close to cosmological singularities [1,2].

The classification of symmetric spaces associated with the scalars of N extended Supergravity theories, emerging from compactifications of 11D supergravity to lower dimensions, and the construction of the U-duality groups as spectrum-generating symmetries for four-dimensional BPS black-holes [6] also involved exceptional symmetries associated with the exceptional magic Jordan algebras $J_3[R,C,H,O]$. The discovery of the anomaly free 10-dim heterotic string for the algebra $E_8 \times E_8$ was another hallmark of the importance of Exceptional Lie groups in Physics.

The E_8 group was proposed long ago [24] as a candidate for a grand unification model building in D=4. An extensive review of the E_6 grand unified models may be found in [26]. The supersymmetric E_8 model has more recently been studied as a fermion family and grand unification model [25] under the assumption that there is a vacuum gluino condensate but this condensate is *not* accompanied by a dynamical generation of a mass gap in the pure E_8 gauge sector. Clifford algebras and E_8 are key ingredients in Smith's $D_4 - D_5 - E_6 - E_7 - E_8$ grand unified model in D=8 [6].

An E_8 gauge bundle was instrumental in the understanding the topological part of the M-theory partition function [27, 32]. A mysterious E_8 bundle which restricts from 12-dim to the 11-dim bulk of M theory can be compatible with 11-dim supersymmetry. The nature of this 11-dim E_8 gauge theory remains unknown. We hope that the Chern-Simons E_8 gauge theory of gravity in D=15 advanced in this work may shed some light into solving this question.

 E_8 Yang-Mills theory can naturally be embedded into a Cl(16) algebra Gauge Theory [33] and the 11D Chern-Simons (Super) Gravity [4] is a very small sector of a more fundamental polyvector-valued gauge theory in Clifford spaces. Polyvector-valued Supersymmetries [11] in Clifford-spaces [3] turned out to be more fundamental than the supersymmetries associated with M, F theory superalgebras [7,10]. For this reason we believe that Clifford structures may shed some light into the origins behind the hidden E_8 symmetry of 11D Supergravity and reveal more important features underlying M, F theory.

The main purpose of this work is to develop a Chern-Simons E_8 gauge theory of Gravity in D=15 based on an octic E_8 invariant expression in D=16 recently constructed by [23], and to propose a grand unification of gravity with all the other forces within the framework of a Supersymmetric extension (to incorporate spacetime fermions) of the Chern-Simons E_8 gauge theory. Our octic E_8 invariant action has 37 terms and contains: (i) the Lanczos-Lovelock Gravitational action associated with the 15-dim boundary $\partial \mathcal{M}^{16}$ of the 16-dim manifold.; (ii) 5 terms with the same structure as the Pontryagin $p_4(F^{IJ})$ 16-form associated with the SO(16) spin connection Ω^{IJ}_{μ} where the indices I, J run from 1, 2,, 16; (iii) the fourth power of the standard quadratic E_8 invariant $[I_2]^4$; (iv) plus 30 additional terms involving powers of the E_8 -valued $F^{IJ}_{\mu\nu}$ and $F^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$ field-strength (2-forms).

In the final section we explain how a Clifford algebra gauge theory (that includes the Chern-Simons gravity action) can itself be embedded into a more fundamental polyvector-valued gauge theory in Clifford spaces involving tensorial coordinates $x^{\mu_1\mu_2}, x^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}, \ldots$ in addition to antisymmetric tensor gauge fields $A_{\mu_1\mu_2}, A_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}, \ldots$. The polyvector-valued supersymmetric extension of this polyvector valued bosonic gauge theory in Clifford spaces may reveal more important features of a Clifford-algebraic structure underlying M, F, S theory in D = 11, 12, 13 dimensions. An overview of the basic features of the Extended Relativity in Clifford spaces can be found in [3] and a polyvector valued generalized supersymmetry algebra in Clifford spaces was presented in [11].

2. A CHERN-SIMONS E_8 GAUGE THEORY OF GRAVITY

2.1 E_8 Yang-Mills in D=4 and Clifford-algebra-valued gauge theories

It is well known among the experts that the E_8 algebra admits the SO(16) decomposition $\mathbf{248} \to \mathbf{120} \oplus \mathbf{128}$. The E_8 admits also a SL(8,R) decomposition [6]. Due to the triality property, the SO(8) admits the vector $\mathbf{8}_v$ and spinor representations $\mathbf{8}_s, \mathbf{8}_c$. After a triality rotation, the SO(16) vector and spinor representations decompose as [6]

$$\mathbf{16} \to \mathbf{8}_s \oplus \mathbf{8}_c. \tag{2.1a}$$

$$\mathbf{128}_s \to \mathbf{8}_v \oplus \mathbf{56}_v \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{28} \oplus \mathbf{35}_v. \tag{2.1b}$$

$$\mathbf{128}_c \to \mathbf{8}_s \oplus \mathbf{56}_s \oplus \mathbf{8}_c \oplus \mathbf{56}_c. \tag{2.1c}$$

To connect with (real) Clifford algebras [8], i.e. how to fit E_8 into a Clifford structure, start with the 248-dim fundamental representation E_8 that admits a SO(16) decomposition given by the 120-dim bivector representation plus the 128-dim chiral-spinor representations of SO(16). From the modulo 8 periodicity of Clifford algebras over the reals one has $Cl(16) = Cl(2 \times 8) = Cl(8) \otimes Cl(8)$, meaning, roughly, that the $2^{16} = 256 \times 256$ Cl(16)-algebra matrices can be obtained effectively by replacing each single one of the entries of the $2^8 = 256 = 16 \times 16$ Cl(8)-algebra matrices by the 16×16 matrices of the second copy of the Cl(8) algebra. In particular, $120 = 1 \times 28 + 8 \times 8 + 28 \times 1$ and 128 = 8 + 56 + 8 + 56, hence the 248-dim E_8 algebra decomposes into a 120 + 128 dim structure such that E_8 can be represented indeed within a tensor product of Cl(8) algebras.

At the E_8 Lie algebra level, the E_8 gauge connection decomposes into the SO(16) vector I, J = 1, 2, ... 16 and (chiral) spinor A = 1, 2, ... 128 indices as follows

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu} = \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{IJ} X_{IJ} + \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{A} Y_{A}. \quad X_{IJ} = -X_{JI}. \quad I, J = 1, 2, 3,, 16. \quad A = 1, 2,, 128.$$
 (2.3)

where X_{IJ}, Y_A are the E_8 generators. The Clifford algebra $(Cl(8) \otimes Cl(8))$ structure behind the SO(16) decomposition of the E_8 gauge field $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{IJ}X_{IJ} + \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{A}Y_{A}$ can be deduced from the expansion of the generators X_{IJ}, Y_A in terms of the Cl(16) algebra generators. The Cl(16) bivector basis admits the decomposition

$$X^{IJ} = a_{ij}^{IJ} (\gamma_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{1}) + b_{ij}^{IJ} (\mathbf{1} \otimes \gamma_{ij}) + c_{ij}^{IJ} (\gamma_{i} \otimes \gamma_{j}).$$
 (2.4)

where γ_i , are the Clifford algebra generators of the Cl(8) algebra present in $Cl(16) = Cl(8) \otimes Cl(8)$; 1 is the unit Cl(8) algebra element that can be represented by a unit 16×16 diagonal matrix. The tensor products

 \otimes of the 16×16 Cl(8)-algebra matrices, like $\gamma_i \otimes 1$, $\gamma_i \otimes \gamma_j$, furnish a 256×256 Cl(16)-algebra matrix, as expected. Therefore, the decomposition in (2.4) yields the $28 + 28 + 8 \times 8 = 56 + 64 = 120$ -dim bivector representation of SO(16); i.e. for each fixed values of IJ there are 120 terms in the r.h.s of (2.4), that match the number of independent components of the E_8 generators $X^{IJ} = -X^{JI}$, given by $\frac{1}{2}(16 \times 15) = 120$. The decomposition of Y_A is more subtle. A spinor Ψ in 16D has $2^8 = 256$ components and can be decomposed into a 128 component left-handed spinor Ψ^A and a 128 component right-handed spinor $\Psi^{\dot{A}}$; The 256 spinor indices are $\alpha = A, \dot{A}$; $\beta = B, \dot{B}, \ldots$ with $A, B = 1, 2, \ldots 128$ and $\dot{A}.\dot{B} = 1, 2, \ldots 128$, respectively.

Spinors are elements of right (left) ideals of the Cl(16) algebra and admit the expansion $\Psi = \Psi_{\alpha} \xi^{\alpha}$ in a 256-dim spinor basis ξ^{α} which in turn can be expanded as sums of Clifford polyvectors of mixed grade; i.e. into a sum of scalars, vectors, bivectors, trivectors,Minimal left/right ideals elements of Clifford algebras may be systematically constructed by means of idempotents $e^2 = e$ such that the geometric product of Cl(p,q)e generates the ideal [22].

The commutation relations of E_8 are [6]

$$[X^{IJ}, X^{KL}] = 4 \left(\delta^{IK} X^{LJ} - \delta^{IL} X^{KJ} + \delta^{JK} X^{IL} - \delta^{JL} X^{IK} \right).$$

$$[X_{IJ}, Y^{\alpha}] = -\frac{1}{2} \Gamma^{\alpha\beta}_{IJ} Y_{\beta}; \quad [Y^{\alpha}, Y^{\beta}] = \frac{1}{4} \Gamma^{\alpha\beta}_{IJ} X^{IJ}, \ \Gamma^{\alpha\beta}_{IJ} = [\Gamma_{I}, \Gamma_{J}]^{\alpha\beta}. \tag{2.10}$$

The combined E_8 indices are denoted by $\mathcal{A} \equiv [IJ]$, α (120 + 128 = 248 indices in total) that yield the Killing metric and the structure constants

$$\eta^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = \frac{1}{60} Tr T^{\mathcal{A}}T^{\mathcal{B}} = -\frac{1}{60} f_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{A}} f^{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}$$
 (2.11a)

.

$$f^{IJ,KL,MN} = -8\delta^{IK} \delta^{LJ}_{MN} + permutations; \quad f^{IJ}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2}\Gamma^{IJ}_{\alpha\beta}; \quad \eta^{IJKL} = -\frac{1}{60}f^{IJ}_{\mathcal{CD}} f^{KL,\mathcal{CD}}$$
 (2.11b)

We shall proceed with the Cl(16) gauge theory that encodes the exceptional Lie algebra E_8 symmetry from the start. The E_8 gauge theory in D=4 is based on the E_8 -valued field strengths

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{IJ}X_{IJ} = (\partial_{\mu}\mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{IJ} - \partial_{\nu}\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{IJ}) X_{IJ} + \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{KL} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{MN} [X_{KL}, X_{MN}] + \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{\beta} [Y_{\alpha}, Y_{\beta}]. \tag{2.12}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{A}Y_{\alpha} = (\partial_{\mu}\mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{\alpha} - \partial_{\nu}\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\alpha})Y_{\alpha} + \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\alpha}\mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{IJ}[Y_{\alpha}, X_{IJ}]. \tag{2.13}$$

The E_8 actions are

$$S_{Topological}[E_8] = \int d^4x \, \frac{1}{60} Tr \left[F_{\mu\nu}^{\mathcal{A}} F_{\rho\tau}^{\mathcal{B}} T_{\mathcal{A}} T_{\mathcal{B}} \right] \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\tau} = \int d^4x \, F_{\mu\nu}^{\mathcal{A}} F_{\rho\tau}^{\mathcal{B}} \eta_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\tau} =$$

$$\int d^4x \left[F_{\mu\nu}^{IJ} F_{\rho\tau}^{KL} \eta_{IJKL} + F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} F_{\rho\tau}^{\beta} \eta_{\alpha\beta} + 2F_{\mu\nu}^{IJ} F_{\rho\tau}^{\beta} \eta_{IJ\beta} \right] \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\tau}. \tag{2.14}$$

where $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\tau}$ is the covariantized permutation symbol and

$$S_{YM}[E_8] = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \frac{1}{60} Tr \left[F_{\mu\nu}^{\mathcal{A}} F_{\rho\tau}^{\mathcal{B}} T_{\mathcal{A}} T_{\mathcal{B}} \right] g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\tau} = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} F_{\mu\nu}^{\mathcal{A}} F_{\rho\tau}^{\mathcal{B}} \eta_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\tau} = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[F_{\mu\nu}^{IJ} F_{\rho\tau}^{KL} \eta_{IJKL} + F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} F_{\rho\tau}^{\beta} \eta_{\alpha\beta} + 2 F_{\mu\nu}^{IJ} F_{\rho\tau}^{\beta} \eta_{IJ\beta} \right] g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\tau}.$$
 (2.15)

The above E_8 actions (are part of) can be embedded onto more general Cl(16) actions with a much larger number of terms given by

$$S_{Topological}[Cl(16)] = \int d^4x < F_{\mu\nu}^{\mathcal{M}} F_{\rho\tau}^{\mathcal{N}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{N}} > \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\tau} = \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}^{\mathcal{M}} F_{\rho\tau}^{\mathcal{N}} G_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\tau}.$$
 (2.16)

and

$$S_{YM}[Cl(16)] = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} < F_{\mu\nu}^{\mathcal{M}} F_{\rho\tau}^{\mathcal{N}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{N}} > g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\tau} = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} F_{\mu\nu}^{\mathcal{M}} F_{\rho\tau}^{\mathcal{N}} G_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\tau}. \quad (2.17)$$

where $\langle \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{N}} \rangle = G_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}} \mathbf{1}$ denotes the scalar part of the Clifford geometric product of the gammas. Notice that there are a total of 65536 terms in

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{\mathcal{M}} F_{\rho\tau}^{\mathcal{N}} G_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}} = F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\tau} + F_{\mu\nu}^{I} F_{\rho\tau}^{I} + F_{\mu\nu}^{I_{1}I_{2}} F_{\rho\tau}^{I_{1}I_{2}} + \dots + F_{\mu\nu}^{I_{1}I_{2}\dots\dots I_{16}} F_{\rho\tau}^{I_{1}I_{2}\dots\dots I_{16}}.$$
 (2.18)

where the indices run as $I = 1, 2, \dots 16$. The Clifford algebra Cl(16) has the graded structure (scalars, bivectors, trivectors,...., pseudoscalar) given by

$$11440\ 8008\ 4368\ 1820\ 560\ 120\ 16\ 1.$$
 (2.19)

consistent with the dimension of the Cl(16) algebra $2^{16} = 256 \times 256 = 65536$.

The possibility that one can acommodate another copy of the E_8 algebra within the Cl(16) algebraic structure warrants further investigation by working with the duals of the bivectors X_{IJ} and recurring to the remaining Y_A generators. The motivation is to understand the full symmetry of the $E_8 \times E_8$ heterotic string from this Clifford algebraic perspective. A clear embedding is, of course, the following

$$E_8 \times E_8 \subset Cl(8) \otimes Cl(8) \otimes Cl(8) \otimes Cl(8) \subset Cl(16) \otimes Cl(16) = Cl(32). \tag{2.20}$$

where $SO(32) \subset Cl(32)$ and SO(32) is also an anomaly free group of the heterotic string that has the same dimension and rank as $E_8 \times E_8$.

2.2. An E_8 gauge theory of Gravity based on an Octic Invariant

The action that defines a Chern-Simons E_8 gauge theory of Gravity in 15-dim is

$$S = \int_{\mathcal{M}^{16}} \langle F F \dots F \rangle_{E_8} = \int_{\mathcal{M}^{16}} (F^{M_1} \wedge F^{M_2} \wedge \dots \wedge F^{M_8}) \Upsilon_{M_1 M_2 M_3 \dots M_8} = \int_{\partial \mathcal{M}^{16}} \mathcal{L}_{CS}^{(15)}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{F})$$
(2.20)

The E_8 Lie-algebra valued 16-form $< F^8 >$ is $closed: d (< F^{M_1}T_{M_1} \wedge F^{M_2}T_{M_2} \wedge \wedge F^{M_8}T_{M_8} >) = 0$ and locally can always be written as an exact form in terms of an E_8 -valued Chern-Simons 15-form as $I_{16} = d\mathcal{L}_{CS}^{(15)}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{F})$. For instance, when $\mathcal{M}^{16} = S^{16}$ the 15-dim boundary integral (2.20) is evaluated in the two coordinate patches of the equator $S^{15} = \partial \mathcal{M}^{16}$ of S^{16} leading to the integral of $tr(\mathbf{g}^{-1}d\mathbf{g})^{15}$ (up to numerical factors) when the gauge potential **A** is written locally as $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{g}^{-1}d\mathbf{g}$ and **g** belongs to the E_8 Lie-algebra. The integral is characterized by the elements of the homotopy group $\pi_{15}(E_8)$. S^{16} can also be represented in terms of quaternionic and octonionic projectives spaces as HP^4 , OP^2 respectively.

In order to evaluate the operation $< \dots >_{E_8}$ in the action it involves the existence of an octic E_8 group invariant tensor $\Upsilon_{M_1M_2...M_8}$ that was recently constructed by Cederwall and Palmkvist [23] using the Mathematica package GAMMA based on the full machinery of the Fierz identities. The entire octic E_8 invariant contains powers of the SO(16) bivector X^{IJ} and spinorial Y^{α} generators $X^{8}, X^{6}Y^{2}, X^{4}Y^{4}, X^{2}Y^{6}, Y^{8}$. The corresponding number of terms is 6, 11, 12, 5, 2 respectively giving a total of **36** terms for the octic E_8 invariant involving 36 numerical coefficients multiplying the corresponding powers of the E_8 generators. There is an extra term (giving a total of 37 terms) with an arbitrary constant multiplying the fourth power of the quadratic invariant $I_2 = -\frac{1}{2}tr[\ (F_{\mu\nu}^{IJ}X_J)^2 + (F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}Y_{\alpha})^2\]$.

The Euler-density in 16D corresponds to the Pfaffian associated with the 16 × 16 antisymmetric matrix F^{IJ} where the components F^{IJ} can be read from eq-(2.12). The Euler (Born-Infled) action density is

$$Pfaffian(\mathbf{F}) \equiv \sqrt{\det \mathbf{F}} = L_{Euler} = F^{I_1J_1} F^{I_2J_2} F^{I_3J_3} \dots F^{I_8J_8} \epsilon_{I_1J_1I_2J_2\dots I_8J_8}.$$
 (2.21)

such that the exterior derivative of the gravitational 15-dim Lanczos-Lovelock (LL) action $\mathcal{L}_{LL}^{(15)}$ corresponding to the 15-dim boundary $\Sigma = \partial \mathcal{M}^{16}$ yields the Euler-density 16-form $d \mathcal{L}_{LL} = L_{Euler}$. Upon inserting the spacetime indices $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{16}$, the Euler characteristic class invariant $e(\mathcal{T}\mathcal{M})$ of the SO(16) tangent bundle associated with \mathcal{M}^{16} is given by

$$S_{Euler} = \int_{\mathcal{M}^{16}} \epsilon^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{16}} F_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{I_1 J_1} F_{\mu_3 \mu_4}^{I_2 J_2} \dots F_{\mu_{15} \mu_{16}}^{I_8 J_8} \epsilon_{I_1 J_1 I_2 J_2 \dots I_8 J_8} = \int_{\mathcal{M}^{16}} d\mathcal{L}_{LL}^{(15)} = \int_{\Sigma = \partial \mathcal{M}^{16}} \mathcal{L}_{LL}^{(15)}.$$

$$(2.22)$$

Despite the higher powers of the curvature (after eliminating the spin connection ω_{μ}^{ab} in terms of the e_{μ}^{a} field) the $\mathcal{L}_{Lovelock}^{(15)}$ furnishes equations of motion for the e_{μ}^{a} field containing at most derivatives of second order, and not higher, due to the Topological property of the Lovelock terms

$$d \; (\mathcal{L}_{Lovelock}^{(15)}) = \epsilon_{a_1 a_2....a_{16}} (R^{a_1 a_2} + \frac{e^{a_1}e^{a_2}}{l^2}).....(R^{a_{13}a_{14}} + \frac{e^{a_{13}}e^{a_{14}}}{l^2}) \; T^{a_{15}} = Euler \; density \; in \; 16D. \quad (2.23)$$

The exterior derivative of the Lovelock terms can be rewritten compactly as

$$d\left(\mathcal{L}_{Lovelock}^{15}\right) = \epsilon_{I_1 I_2 \dots I_{16}} F^{I_1 I_2} \dots F^{I_{15} I_{16}} \tag{2.24}$$

where $F^{I_1I_2}$ is the curvature field strength associated with the SO(14,2) connection $\Omega_{\mu}^{I_1I_2}$ in 16D and which can be decomposed in terms of the fields $e_{\mu}^a, \omega_{\mu}^{ab}, \ a,b=1,2,....,15$ by identifying $\Omega_{\mu}^{aD}=\frac{1}{l}e_{\mu}^a$ and $\Omega_{\mu}^{ab}=\omega_{\mu}^{ab}$ so that the Torsion and Lorenz curvature 2-forms are

$$T^{a}(\omega, e) = F^{aD} = d\Omega^{aD} + \Omega^{a}_{b} \wedge \Omega^{bD} = \frac{1}{I}(de^{a} - \omega^{a}_{b} \wedge e^{b}).$$

$$F^{ab} = (d\Omega^{ab} + \Omega^a_c \wedge \Omega^{cb}) + (\Omega^a_D \wedge \Omega^{Db}) = R^{ab}(\omega) + \frac{1}{l^2} e^a \wedge e^b. \quad R^{ab}(\omega) = d\omega^{ab} + \omega^a_c \wedge \omega^{cb}$$
 (2.25)

where a length parameter l must be introduced to match dimensions since the connection has units of 1/l. This l parameter is related to the cosmological constant.

Another invariant is the $\mathcal{L}_{CS}^{15}(\Omega_{\mu}^{IJ})$ Chern-Simons 15-form associated with the SO(16) spin connection whose exterior derivative

$$d\left(\mathcal{L}_{CS}\right)(\Omega_{\mu}^{IJ}) = F_{I_{2}}^{I_{1}} F_{I_{3}}^{I_{2}} \dots F_{I_{8}}^{I_{7}} F_{I_{1}}^{I_{8}} \Rightarrow \int_{\partial \mathcal{M}^{16}} \left(\mathcal{L}_{CS}\right)(\Omega_{\mu}^{IJ}) = \int_{\mathcal{M}^{16}} F_{I_{2}}^{I_{1}} F_{I_{3}}^{I_{2}} \dots F_{I_{8}}^{I_{7}} F_{I_{1}}^{I_{8}}$$
(2.26)

is one of the 5 terms contained in the definition of the Pontryagin $p_4(F^{IJ})$ invariant 16-form (up to numerical factors) for the SO(14,2) gauge connection in 16D. As mentioned above, the SO(14,2) connection Ω_{μ}^{IJ} can be broken into the e_{μ}^a field which gauges translations along the 15-dim boundary ∂M^{16} and the SO(14,1) spin connection ω_{μ}^{ab} which gauges the Lorentz group SO(14,1) associated with the tangent space of the 15-dim boundary ∂M^{16} and such that the net number of components is $15 + \frac{1}{2}(15 \times 14) = 120 = \frac{1}{2}(16 \times 15)$.

The relevant 5 terms contained in the octic E_8 invariant found by [2 $\bar{3}$] and related to the 5 terms comprising the Pontryagin $p_4(F^{IJ})$ invariant 16-form (but with different numerical factors) are of the form

$$tr \left[(F^{IJ}X_{IJ})^8 \right] \Rightarrow \epsilon^{\mu_1\mu_2....\mu_{16}} F^{I_1I_2}_{\mu_1\mu_2} F^{I_2I_3}_{\mu_3\mu_4} F^{I_3I_4}_{\mu_5\mu_6} F^{I_4I_5}_{\mu_7\mu_8} F^{I_5I_6}_{\mu_9\mu_{10}} F^{I_6I_7}_{\mu_{11}\mu_{12}} F^{I_7I_8}_{\mu_{13}\mu_{14}} F^{I_8I_1}_{\mu_{15}\mu_{16}}. \tag{2.27}$$

which is the same term as (2.26), plus the other terms of the Pontryagin $p_4(F^{IJ})$ invariant 16-form given by

$$tr \left[(F^{IJ}X_{IJ})^2 \right]^4 \ \Rightarrow \ \epsilon^{\mu_1\mu_2....\mu_{16}} \left(F^{I_1I_2}_{\mu_1\mu_2} \ F^{I_2I_1}_{\mu_3\mu_4} \right) \left(F^{J_1J_2}_{\mu_5\mu_6} \ F^{J_2J_1}_{\mu_7\mu_8} \right) \left(F^{K_1K_2}_{\mu_9\mu_{10}} \ F^{K_2K_1}_{\mu_{11}\mu_{12}} \right) \left(F^{L_1L_2}_{\mu_{13}\mu_{14}} \ F^{L_2L_1}_{\mu_{15}\mu_{16}} \right). \ \ (2.28)$$

$$tr \; [\; (F^{IJ}X_{IJ})^4 \;]^2 \; \Rightarrow \; \epsilon^{\mu_1\mu_2....\mu_{16}} \; (F^{I_1I_2}_{\mu_1\mu_2} \; F^{I_2I_3}_{\mu_3\mu_4} \; F^{I_3I_4}_{\mu_5\mu_6} \; F^{I_4I_1}_{\mu_7\mu_8}) \; (F^{J_1J_2}_{\mu_9\mu_{10}} \; F^{J_2J_3}_{\mu_{11}\mu_{12}} \; F^{J_3J_4}_{\mu_{15}\mu_{16}}). \eqno(2.29)$$

and similar expressions for the remaining two terms

$$tr [(F^{IJ}X_{IJ})^6] tr [(F^{IJ}X_{IJ})^2], tr [(F^{IJ}X_{IJ})^4] tr [(F^{IJ}X_{IJ})^2]^2.$$

The terms involving the fermionic generators $F^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$ (where the components $F^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu}$ are given by eq-(2.13)) in the octic E_8 invariant are

$$tr \; [\; (F^{\alpha}Y_{\alpha})^{8} \;] \; \Rightarrow \; \epsilon^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}....\mu_{16}} \; \epsilon^{I_{1}I_{2}....I_{16}} \; (F^{\alpha_{1}}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} \; \Gamma^{\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}}_{I_{1}I_{2}I_{3}I_{4}} \; F^{\beta_{1}}_{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}) \; \; (F^{\alpha_{4}}_{\mu_{13}\mu_{14}} \; \Gamma^{\alpha_{4}\beta_{4}}_{I_{13}I_{14}I_{15}I_{16}} \; F^{\beta_{4}}_{\mu_{15}\mu_{16}}). \; (2.30)$$

$$tr \left[(F^{\alpha}Y_{\alpha})^{2} \right]^{4} \Rightarrow \epsilon^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}....\mu_{16}} \left(F_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}^{\alpha_{1}} F_{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}^{\alpha_{1}} \right) \left(F_{\mu_{13}\mu_{14}}^{\alpha_{4}} F_{\mu_{15}\mu_{16}}^{\alpha_{4}} \right).$$
 (2.31)

etc.....

The terms involving both fermionic and bivector generators in the octic E_8 invariant are

$$tr \left[(F^{IJ}X_{IJ})^{6} (F^{\alpha}Y_{\alpha})^{2} \right] \Rightarrow$$

$$\epsilon^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}....\mu_{16}} (F^{I_{1}J_{1}}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} F^{I_{2}J_{2}}_{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}} F^{I_{6}J_{6}}_{\mu_{11}\mu_{12}}) (F^{\alpha}_{\mu_{13}\mu_{14}} \Gamma^{\alpha\beta}_{I_{1}J_{1}I_{2}J_{2}....I_{6}J_{6}} F^{\beta}_{\mu_{15}\mu_{16}}). \tag{2.32}$$

$$tr \left[(F^{IJ}X_{IJ})^4 (F^{\alpha}Y_{\alpha})^4 \right] \Rightarrow$$

$$\epsilon^{\mu_1\mu_2....\mu_{16}} \left(F_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{I_1J_1} \ F_{\mu_3\mu_4}^{I_2J_2} \ F_{\mu_5\mu_6}^{I_3J_4} \ F_{\mu_7\mu_8}^{I_4J_4} \right) \left(F_{\mu_9\mu_{10}}^{\alpha_1} \ \Gamma_{I_1J_1I_2J_2}^{\alpha_1\beta_1} \ F_{\mu_{11}\mu_{12}}^{\beta_1} \right) \left(F_{\mu_{13}\mu_{14}}^{\alpha_2} \ \Gamma_{I_3J_3I_4J_4}^{\alpha_2\beta_2} \ F_{\mu_{15}\mu_{16}}^{\beta_2} \right); \tag{2.33}$$

$$\epsilon^{\mu_1\mu_2....\mu_{16}} \left(F_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{I_1J_1} F_{\mu_3\mu_4}^{I_2J_2} F_{\mu_5\mu_6}^{I_3J_4} F_{\mu_7\mu_8}^{I_4J_4} \right) \left(F_{\mu_9\mu_{10}}^{\alpha_1} \Gamma_{I_1J_1I_2J_2I_3J_3I_4J_4}^{\alpha_1\beta_1} F_{\mu_{11}\mu_{12}}^{\beta_1} \right) \left(F_{\mu_13\mu_14}^{\alpha_2} F_{\mu_{15}\mu_{16}}^{\alpha_2} \right); \tag{2.34}$$

etc.....

$$tr \left[(F^{IJ}X_{IJ})^{6} \right] tr \left[(F^{\alpha}Y_{\alpha})^{2} \right] \Rightarrow$$

$$\epsilon^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}....\mu_{16}} (F^{I_{1}I_{2}}_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} F^{I_{2}I_{3}}_{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}} F^{I_{3}I_{4}}_{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}} F^{I_{4}I_{5}}_{\mu_{7}\mu_{8}} F^{I_{5}I_{6}}_{\mu_{9}\mu_{10}} F^{I_{6}I_{1}}_{\mu_{11}\mu_{12}}) (F^{\alpha_{1}}_{\mu_{13}\mu_{14}} F^{\alpha_{1}}_{\mu_{15}\mu_{16}}). \tag{2.35}$$

$$tr \left[(F^{IJ}X_{IJ})^4 \right] tr \left[(F^{\alpha}Y_{\alpha})^4 \right] \Rightarrow$$

$$\epsilon^{\mu_1\mu_2.....\mu_{16}} \left(F^{I_1I_2}_{\mu_1\mu_2} \ F^{I_2I_3}_{\mu_3\mu_4} \ F^{I_3I_4}_{\mu_5\mu_6} \ F^{I_4I_1}_{\mu_7\mu_8} \right) \left(F^{\alpha_1}_{\mu_9\mu_{10}} \ \Gamma^{\alpha_1\beta_1}_{J_1J_2J_3J_4} \ F^{\beta_1}_{\mu_{11}\mu_{12}} \right) \left(F^{\alpha_2}_{\mu_{13}\mu_{14}} \ \Gamma^{\alpha_2\beta_2}_{J_3J_4J_1J_2} \ F^{\beta_2}_{\mu_{15}\mu_{16}} \right). \tag{2.36}$$

$$tr [(F^{IJ}X_{IJ})^2] tr [(F^{\alpha}Y_{\alpha})^6] \Rightarrow$$

$$\epsilon^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}....\mu_{16}} \left(F_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}^{I_{1}I_{2}} F_{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}}^{I_{2}I_{1}}\right) \left(F_{\mu_{5}\mu_{6}}^{\alpha_{1}} \Gamma_{J_{1}J_{2}J_{3}J_{4}}^{\alpha_{1}\beta_{1}} F_{\mu_{7}\mu_{8}}^{\beta_{1}}\right) \left(F_{\mu_{9}\mu_{10}}^{\alpha_{2}} \Gamma_{J_{3}J_{4}J_{5}J_{6}}^{\alpha_{2}\beta_{2}} F_{\mu_{11}\mu_{12}}^{\beta_{2}}\right) \left(F_{\mu_{13}\mu_{14}}^{\alpha_{3}} \Gamma_{J_{5}J_{6}J_{1}J_{2}}^{\alpha_{3}\beta_{3}} F_{\mu_{15}\mu_{16}}^{\beta_{3}}\right). \tag{2.37}$$

etc.....

Therefore, the E_8 invariant octic action in 16D given by eq-(2.20) with 36+1=37 terms contains: (i) the Lanczos-Lovelock gravitational action (2.22,2.23) associated with the 15-dim boundary $\partial \mathcal{M}^{16}$; (ii) 5 terms with the same structure as the Pontryagin $p_4(F^{IJ})$ 16-form associated with the SO(16) spin connection Ω_{μ}^{IJ} ; (iii) the fourth power of the quadratic invariant $[I_2]^4$; (iv) plus 30 additional terms involving powers of the E_8 -valued $F_{\mu\nu}^{IJ}$ and $F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}$ field-strength (2-forms) as shown in eqs-(2.27-2.37). The impending project is the supersymmetric version of the octic E_8 invariant action (2.20). A vec-

The impending project is the supersymmetric version of the octic E_8 invariant action (2.20). A vector supermultiplet [24, 25] involves A_{μ}^m , λ^m with 248 spacetime fermions λ^m in the fundamental 248-dim representation of E_8 (m=1,2,....248) and 248 spacetime vectors (gluons) A_{μ}^m in the 248-dim adjoint representation. The fermions are the gluinos in this very special case because the 248-dim fundamental and 248-dim adjoint representations of the exceptional E_8 group coincide. The exceptional group E_8 is unique in this respect. In ordinary supersymmetric Yang-Mills the superpartners of the fermions are scalars, however, in the supersymmetric E_8 Yang-Mills case, the fermions λ^m (gluinos) and the vectors A_{μ}^m (gluons) comprise the vector supermultiplet. For a thorough discussion of the unique phenomenological features of the E_8

group as a candidate for a (supersymmetric) grand unification model of all fermion families in D=4 see [24,25]. An extensive review of the E_6 grand unified models may be found in [26].

A Generalized Yang-Mills action in D=16 involving the E_8 -valued 2-form field strength ${\bf F}=F^{IJ}X_{IJ}+F^{\alpha}Y_{\alpha}$ is

$$S_{GYM}(E_8) = \int_{\mathcal{M}^{16}} tr \left[\left(\mathbf{F} \wedge \mathbf{F} \wedge \mathbf{F} \wedge \mathbf{F} \right) \wedge *(\mathbf{F} \wedge \mathbf{F} \wedge \mathbf{F} \wedge \mathbf{F}) \right]. \tag{2.38}$$

The analog of a theta term in D = 16 is

$$S_{theta}(E_8) = \int_{\mathcal{M}^{16}} tr [\mathbf{F}^8].$$
 (2.39)

Self dual configurations, E_8 instantons in D=16 obey $G_{(8)}={}^*G_{(8)}$ and turn the action (2.38) into (2.39) when the self dual 8-form is defined by $G_{(8)}=\mathbf{F}\wedge\mathbf{F}\wedge\mathbf{F}$.

Related to the construction of instantons in higher dimensions, a $SO(8) \times SO(7) \subset SO(16)$ invariant self-duality equation for a 3-form in D=16 was studied by [29] who built Topological QFT on 8-dim manifolds with holonomy group smaller than or equal to Spin(7) after a dimensional reduction from D=16 to D=8. A further dimensional reduction to D=4 furnished new supersymmetric theories in D=4. The inclusion of gravitational interactions in D=8 allowed the construction of a D=8 Topological Gravity and its correspondence with Supergravity via an octonionic self duality equation for the spin connection [29].

A topologically non-trivial gauging of N=16 Supergravity in D=3 based on an N=16 supersymmetric 3-dim non-linear sigma model valued on the exceptional coset $E_8/SO(16)$ (128-dimensional) including a combination of a BF and Chern-Simons term for an SO(16) gauge field was provided by [30] . It remains an open problem to see if the supersymmetric version of the octic E_8 invariant action (2.20) upon dimensional reduction to D=3 bears a relationship to the topological gauging of N=16 Supergravity in D=3. The 128 scalars parametrizing the coset $E_8/SO(16)$ fit into 16 copies of 128 scalars resulting from the decomposition of the E_8 -valued gauge field $A^{\alpha}_{\mu}Y_{\alpha}$, $\mu=1,2,....16$ and $\alpha=1,2,....128$ where Y_{α} are the the SO(16) chiral spinorial generators of the E_8 algebra.

Another dimensional reduction that is warranted to study is from D=16 to D=11 because D=11 Supergravity with a local SO(16) invariance permits the bosonic fields to be assigned to a representation of E_8 [31]. The D=11 Supergravity 4-form determines an E_8 gauge bundle which was instrumental in understanding the topological part of the M-theory partition function [27, 32]. A mysterious E_8 bundle which restricts from 12-dim to the 11-dim bulk of M theory can be compatible with 11-dim supersymmetry. When M theory is compactified on a manifold with boundary the anomalies caused by the chiral gauginos and gravitinos on each 10-dim boundary component cancels the anomalies in the 11-dim bulk if each 10-dim boundary component supports 248 vector multiplets transforming in the adjoint representation of E_8 . The Casimir effect between the M-theory analog of a D-brane /anti-D-brane system exhibiting an $E_8 \times E_8$ symmetry living at the 10-dim boundaries of the 11-dim bulk has been studied by [28]. The nature of this bulk 11-dim E_8 gauge theory remains unknown. We hope that the Chern-Simons E_8 gauge theory of gravity in D=15 advanced in this work may shed some light into solving this question. Another interpretation is to view the 10-dim boundary component of the 11-dim bulk of M-theory as a topological defect in 12-dimensions.

The action for D=4 Einstein Gravity has been attained from a generalized dimensional reduction of a Chern-Simons gravity action in higher D=2n+1 dimensions by [34], hence we may follow such generalized dimensional reduction of our D=15 Lanczos-Lovelock gravitational action (2.22, 2.23) to lower dimensions. For example, the reduction of the D=6 action (integral of the Euler density in D=6)

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}^6} d \left(\mathcal{L}_{Lovelock}^{(5)} \right) = \int_{\mathcal{M}^6} \epsilon_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_6} \left(R^{a_1 a_2} + \frac{e^{a_1} e^{a_2}}{l^2} \right) \left(R^{a_3 a_4} + \frac{e^{a_3} e^{a_4}}{l^2} \right) T^{a_5}. \tag{2.40}$$

to D=4 leads to the standard action for Einstein gravity with the cosmological constant ($1/l^2$) plus the Gauss-Bonnet topological invariant in D=4 that coincides with the MacDowell-Mansouri-Chamseddine-West (Anti de Sitter group) SO(3,2) gauge formulation of Gravity :

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}^4} \epsilon_{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4} \left(R^{a_1 a_2} + \frac{e^{a_1} e^{a_2}}{l^2} \right) \left(R^{a_3 a_4} + \frac{e^{a_3} e^{a_4}}{l^2} \right). \tag{2.41}$$

D=4 Einstein gravity was shown by [35] to arise from a 6-dim gauge theory of the conformal group SO(4,2) where the four-dim spacetime was interpreted as a 4-dim Topological Defect in D=6 and obtained from a topological dimensional reduction of the Euler density in D=6 (2.40). In view of these latest findings of how to perform generalized and topological dimensional reductions [34], [35], it is no longer implausible to propose a grand unification of gravity with all the other forces within the framework of a Supersymmetric extension (to incorporate the 248 spacetime fermions λ^m) of our Chern-Simons E_8 gauge theory in D=15 based on the octic E_8 invariant action (2.20) after a judicious dimensional reduction. Working in particular with S^{16} and whose equator is S^{15} is very appealing since it allows to accomodate quaternions and octonions into the picture $HP^4 \sim OP^2 \sim S^{16}$; $HP^2 \sim OP^1 \sim S^8$ and $HP^1 \sim S^4$. The four non-associative (not Lie) superconformal algebras with N=5,6,7,8 supersymmetries all share interesting properties with the Cayley (octonions), covariant derivation of spinors on round and squashed S^7 and torsion on supercoset manifolds [36].

To finalize this section we simply recall that in odd dimensions D=2n-1, the Lanczos-Lovelock gravitational Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_{Lovelock}^{D} = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} a_p \ L_p(D). \quad a_p = \kappa \frac{(\pm 1)^{p+1} l^{2p-D}}{(D-2p)} \ C_p^{n-1}; \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$
 (2.42)

 C_p^{m-1} is the binomial coefficient. The constants κ, l are related to the Newton's constant G and to the cosmological constant Λ through $\kappa^{-1} = 2(D-2)\Omega_{D-2}G$ where Ω_{D-2} is the area of the D-2-dim unit sphere and $\Lambda = \pm (D-1)(D-2)/2l^2$ for de Sitter (Anti de Sitter) spaces [4].

The terms inside the summand of (2.42) are

$$L_p(D) = \epsilon_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_D} R^{a_1 a_2} R^{a_3 a_4} \dots R^{a_{2p-1} a_{2p}} e^{a_{2p+1}} \dots e^{a_D}$$
(2.43)

where we have omitted the space-time indices μ_1, μ_2, \dots Despite the higher powers of the curvature (after eliminating the spin connection ω_{μ}^{ab} in terms of the e_{μ}^{a} field) the $\mathcal{L}_{Lovelock}^{D}$ furnishes equations of motion for the e_{μ}^{a} field containing at most derivatives of second order, and not higher, due to the Topological property of the Lovelock terms

$$d\left(\mathcal{L}_{Lovelock}^{2n-1}\right) = \epsilon_{a_1 a_2....a_{2n}} \left(R^{a_1 a_2} + \frac{e^{a_1}e^{a_2}}{l^2}\right).....\left(R^{a_{2n-3}a_{2n-2}} + \frac{e^{a_{2n-3}}e^{a_{2n-2}}}{l^2}\right) T^{a_{2n-1}} = Euler\ density \quad (2.44)$$

Therefore, the exterior derivative of the Lovelock terms can be rewritten compactly as

$$d\left(\mathcal{L}_{Lovelock}^{2n-1}\right) = \epsilon_{I_{1}I_{2}...I_{2n}} F^{I_{1}I_{2}}....F^{I_{2n-1}I_{2n}}$$
(2.45)

where $F^{I_1I_2}$ is the curvature field strength associated with the SO(2n-2,2) connection $\Omega_{\mu}^{I_1I_2}$ in 2n-dim and which can be decomposed in terms of the fields $e_{\mu}^a, \omega_{\mu}^{ab}, \ a,b=1,2,...,2n-1$ as shown in eqs-(2.24, 2.25). Gauge theories based on the Anti de Sitter group allowed us to derive the vacuum energy density of Anti de Sitter space (de Sitter) as the geometric mean between an upper and lower scale [17] based on a BF-Chern-Simons-Higgs theory. Upon setting the lower scale to the Planck scale L_P and the upper scale to the Hubble radius (today) R_H , it yields the observed value of the cosmological constant $\rho = L_P^{-2} R_H^{-2} = L_P^{-4} (L_P/R_H)^2 \sim 10^{-120} M_{Planck}^4$.

3. ON CHERN-SIMONS-CLIFFORD GRAVITY

We end this work by reviewing Chern-Simons gravitational actions in Clifford spaces [33] in order to point its relevance to future research related to E_8 gauge theores of gravity. The 11D Chern-Simons Supergravity action is based on the smallest Anti de Sitter OSp(32|1) superalgebra. The Anti de Sitter group SO(10,2) must be embedded into a larger group Sp(32,R) to accommodate the fermionic degrees of freedom associated with the superalgebra OSp(32|1). The bosonic sector involves the connection [4]

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mu} = A_{\mu}^{a} \Gamma_{a} + A_{\mu}^{ab} \Gamma_{ab} + A_{\mu}^{a_{1}a_{2}...a_{5}} \Gamma_{a_{1}a_{2}...a_{5}} = e_{\mu}^{a} \Gamma_{a} + \omega_{\mu}^{ab} \Gamma_{ab} + A_{\mu}^{a_{1}a_{2}...a_{5}} \Gamma_{a_{1}a_{2}...a_{5}}$$
(3.1)

with 11 + 55 + 462 = 528 generators. A Hermitian complex 32×32 matrix has a total of $32 + 2(\frac{32 \times 31}{2}) = 992 + 32 = 1024 = 32^2 = 2^{10}$ independent real components (parameters), the same number as the real parameters of the anti-symmetric and symmetric real 32×32 matrices, respectively, 496 + 528 = 1024. The dimension of $Sp(32) = (1/2)(32 \times 33) = 528$. Notice that $2^{10} = 1024$ is also the number of independent generators of the Cl(11) algebra since out of the 2^{11} generators, only half of them 2^{10} , are truly independent due to the duality conditions valid in odd dimensions only:

$$\epsilon^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2n+1}} \Gamma_{a_1} \wedge \Gamma_{a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \Gamma_{a_n} \sim \Gamma^{a_{p+1}} \wedge \Gamma^{a_{p+2}} \wedge \dots \wedge \Gamma^{a_{2n+1}}. \tag{3.2}$$

This counting of components is the underlying reason why the Cl(11) algebra appears in this section. The generators of the Cl(11) algebra $\{\Gamma^a, \Gamma^b\} = 2\eta^{ab}\mathbf{1}$ and the unit element $\mathbf{1}$ generate the Clifford polyvectors (including a scalar, pseudoscalar) of different grading

$$\Gamma^{A} = \mathbf{1}, \ \Gamma^{a}, \ \Gamma^{a_1} \wedge \Gamma^{a_2}, \ \Gamma^{a_1} \wedge \Gamma^{a_2} \wedge \Gamma^{a_3}, \dots, \Gamma^{a_1} \wedge \Gamma^{a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \Gamma^{a_{11}}. \tag{3.3}$$

obeying the conditions (3.2). The commutation relations (see eqs-(3.4) below) involving the generators $\Gamma_a, \Gamma_{ab}, \Gamma_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_5}$ do in fact *close* due to the duality conditions (3.2). The Cl(11) algebra commutators, up to numerical factors, are

$$[\Gamma^a, \Gamma^b] = \Gamma^{ab}. \quad [\Gamma^a, \Gamma^{bc}] = 2\eta^{ab}\Gamma^c - 2\eta^{ac}\Gamma^b \tag{3.4a}$$

$$[\Gamma^{a_1 a_2}, \Gamma^{b_1 b_2}] = -\eta^{a_1 b_1} \Gamma^{a_2 b_2} + \eta^{a_1 b_2} \Gamma^{a_2 b_1} - \dots$$
(3.4b)

$$[\Gamma^{a_1 a_2 a_3}, \Gamma^{b_1 b_2 b_3}] = \Gamma^{a_1 a_2 a_3 b_1 b_2 b_3} - (\eta^{a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2} \Gamma^{a_3 b_3} + \dots). \tag{3.4c}$$

$$[\Gamma^{a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4}, \Gamma^{b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4}] = -(\eta^{a_1 b_1} \Gamma^{a_2 a_3 a_4 b_2 b_3 b_4} + \dots) - (\eta^{a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 a_3 b_3} \Gamma^{a_4 b_4} + \dots). \tag{3.4d}$$

$$[\Gamma^{a_1 a_2}, \Gamma^{b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4}] = -\eta^{a_1 b_1} \Gamma^{a_2 b_2 b_3 b_4} + \dots$$
(3.4e)

$$[\Gamma^{a_1}, \Gamma^{b_1 b_2 b_3}] = \Gamma^{a_1 b_1 b_2 b_3}. \quad [\Gamma^{a_1 a_2}, \Gamma^{b_1 b_2 b_3}] = -2\eta^{a_1 b_1} \Gamma^{a_2 b_2 b_3} + \dots$$
(3.4f)

$$[\Gamma^{a_1}, \Gamma^{b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4}] = -\eta^{a_1 b_1} \Gamma^{b_2 b_3 b_4} + \dots$$
(3.4*q*)

$$[\Gamma^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_5}, \Gamma^{b_1 b_2 \dots b_5}] = \Gamma^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_5 b_1 b_2 \dots b_5} + (\eta^{a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2} \Gamma^{a_3 a_4 a_5 b_3 b_4 b_5} + \dots) + (\eta^{a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 a_3 b_3 a_4 b_4} \Gamma^{a_5 b_5} + \dots) = (\eta^{a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 a_3 b_3 a_4 b_4} \Gamma^{a_5 b_5} + \dots)$$

$$\epsilon^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_5 b_1 b_2 \dots b_5 c} \Gamma_c + (\eta^{a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2} \epsilon^{a_3 a_4 a_5 b_3 b_4 b_5 c_1 c_2 \dots c_5} \Gamma_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_5} + \dots) + (\eta^{a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 a_3 b_3 a_4 b_4} \Gamma^{a_5 b_5} + \dots). \quad (3.4h)$$

etc..... with

$$\eta_{a_1b_1a_2b_2} = \eta_{a_1b_1}\eta_{a_2b_2} - \eta_{a_2b_1}\eta_{a_1b_2} \tag{3.5a}$$

$$\eta_{a_1b_1a_2b_2a_3b_3} = \eta_{a_1b_1}\eta_{a_2b_2}\eta_{a_3b_3} - \eta_{a_1b_2}\eta_{a_2b_1}\eta_{a_3b_3} + \dots$$

$$(3.5b)$$

$$\eta_{a_1b_1a_2b_2.....a_nb_n} = \frac{1}{n!} \epsilon_{i_1i_2.....i_n} \epsilon_{j_1j_2.....j_n} \eta_{a_{i_1}b_{j_1}} \eta_{a_{i_2}b_{j_2}}...... \eta_{a_{i_n}b_{j_n}}.$$
(3.5c)

The Cl(11) algebra gauge field is

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mu} = \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\mu} \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{a} \Gamma_{a} + \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{a_{1}a_{2}} \Gamma_{a_{1}a_{2}} + \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}} \Gamma_{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}} + \dots + \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{a_{1}a_{2}\dots a_{11}} \Gamma_{a_{1}a_{2}\dots a_{11}}. \tag{3.6}$$

and the Cl(11)-algebra-valued field strength

$$\mathcal{F}^{A}_{\mu\nu} \ \Gamma_{A} = \partial_{[\mu}A_{\nu]} \ \mathbf{1} \ + \ [\ \partial_{[\mu}A^{a}_{\nu]} + A^{b_{2}}_{[\mu}A^{b_{1}a}_{\nu]}\eta_{b_{1}b_{2}} \ + \] \ \Gamma_{a} \ +$$

The Chern-Simons actions corresponding to the Clifford group rely on Stokes theorem

$$\int_{M^{12}} d \left(\mathcal{L}_{Clifford} \right) = \int_{\partial M^{12} = \Sigma^{11}} \left(\mathcal{L}_{Clifford} \right). \tag{3.8}$$

which in our case reads

$$d\left(\mathcal{L}_{Clifford}\right) = \langle \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{F} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}^{A_1} \wedge \mathcal{F}^{A_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{F}^{A_6} \Gamma_{A_1} \Gamma_{A_2} \dots \Gamma_{A_6} \rangle$$
(3.9)

where the bracket < > means taking the scalar part of the Clifford geometric product among the gammas. It involves products of the d_{ABC} , f_{ABC} structure constants corresponding to the (anti) commutators $\{\Gamma_A, \Gamma_B\} = d_{ABC}\Gamma^C$ and $[\Gamma_A, \Gamma_B] = f_{ABC}\Gamma^C$.

One of the main results of [33] was that the Cl(11) algebra based action (3.9) contains a vast number of terms among which is the Chern-Simons action of [4] $\mathcal{L}_{CS}^{11}(e,\omega,A_5)$

$$\mathcal{L}_{Clifford}(\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{A}\Gamma_{A}) = \mathcal{L}_{CS}^{11}(\omega, e, A_{5}) + EXTRA TERMS. \tag{3.10}$$

$$S_{CS}(\omega, e, A_5) = \int_{\partial M^{12}} \mathcal{L}_{CS}^{11} = \int_{\Sigma^{11}} \mathcal{L}_{CS}^{11}.$$
 (3.11)

The Cl(11) algebra based action (3.9, 3.10) can in turn be embedded into a more general expression in C-space (Clifford Space) which is a generalized tensorial spacetime of coordinates $\mathbf{X} = \sigma, x^{\mu}, x^{\mu\nu}, x^{\mu\nu\rho}$ [3] involving a scalar $\Phi(\mathbf{X})$ and antisymetric tensor gauge fields $A_{\mu}(\mathbf{X}), A_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{X}), A_{\mu\nu\rho}(\mathbf{X})$ of higher rank (higher spin theories) [13]. The most general action onto which the action (3.9,3.10) itself can be embedded requires a tensorial gauge field theory [13] (Generalized Yang-Mills theories) and an integration w.r.t all the Clifford-valued coordinates $\mathbf{X} = X^M \Gamma_M$ corresponding to the 2^D -dim C-space associated with the underlying Cl(2n)-algebra in D = 2n dimensions

$$S = \int \left[d^{2^n} X \right] < (\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{F}) > \cdot \left[d^{2^n} X \right] = (d\sigma)(dx^{\mu})(dx^{\mu\nu})(dx^{\mu\nu\rho})\dots$$
(3.12)

A different sort of Generalized Yang-Mills theories have been studied by [12] without the Clifford algebraic structure. Given a Lie algebra \mathbf{G} like E_8 with generators T_a for a=1,2,3,...dim \mathbf{G} , it has for commutators $[T_a,T_b]=f_{ab}^cT_c$ and whose structure constants f_{abc} are fully antisymmetric in their indices. The Lie-algebra valued one-form is $\mathbf{A}=(A_M^a(\mathbf{X})T_a)dX^M$ and its generalized Lie-algebra valued field strength

$$\mathbf{F} = [F_{MN}^{c}(X) \ T_{c}] \ dX^{M} \wedge dX^{N} =$$

$$[\ \partial_{[M} A_{N]}^{c}(X) T_{c} + g \ A_{M}^{a}(X) A_{N}^{b}(X) \ f_{ab}^{c} \ T_{c}] \ dX^{M} \wedge dX^{N}.$$
(3.13)

has for components

$$F^{c}_{[\ [\mu_{1}\mu_{2}...\mu_{m}]\ [\nu_{1}\nu_{2}....\nu_{n}]\]}=$$

$$\partial_{x^{[\mu_1\mu_2...\mu_m]}} A^c_{[\nu_1\nu_2...\nu_n]} - \partial_{x^{[\nu_1\nu_2...\nu_n]}} A^c_{[\mu_1\mu_2...\mu_m]} + g A^a_{[\mu_1\mu_2...\mu_m]} A^b_{[\nu_1\nu_2...\nu_n]} f^c_{ab}.$$
 (3.14)

The remaining components are of the form

$$F^{c}_{[0N]} = F^{c}_{[0 \ [\nu_{1}\nu_{2}....\nu_{n}]]} = \partial_{\sigma} A^{c}_{[\nu_{1}\nu_{2}...\nu_{n}]} - \partial_{x^{[\nu_{1}\nu_{2}...\nu_{n}]}} A^{c}_{0} + g A^{a}_{0} A^{b}_{[\nu_{1}\nu_{2}...\nu_{n}]} f^{c}_{ab} . \tag{3.15}$$

where A_0^c is the Clifford-scalar part $\Phi(\mathbf{X})$ of the Lie-algebra valued Clifford-polyvector and in general we must consider the m=n and $m\neq n$ cases resulting from the mixing of different grades (ranks). The antisymmetry with respect the collective indices MN is explicit.

In order to raise, lower and contract polyvector indices in C-space it requires a generalized metric G^{MN} . In flat C-space it is defined by the components:

$$G^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu}. \quad G^{\mu_1\mu_2 \ \nu_1\nu_2} = \eta^{\mu_1\nu_1} \ \eta^{\mu_2\nu_2} \ - \ \eta^{\mu_1\nu_2} \ \eta^{\mu_2\nu_1} \quad etc.. \tag{4.5}$$

in addition to the scalar-scalar component $G^{\sigma\sigma}=1.$ It can be recast as :

$$G^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_m \ \nu_1 \nu_2 \dots \nu_m} = \det \mathbf{G}^{\mu_I \nu_J} = \frac{1}{m!} \epsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} \epsilon_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_m} \eta^{\mu_{i_1} \nu_{j_1}} \eta^{\mu_{i_2} \nu_{j_2}} \dots \eta^{\mu_{i_m} \nu_{j_m}}. \tag{3.16}$$

where $\mathbf{G}^{\mu_I\nu_J}$ is an $m \times m$ matrix whose entries are $\eta^{\mu_i\nu_j}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3,m \leq D$ and $\mu, \nu = 1, 2, 3,D$. As a result of the expression for the flat C-space metric, given by sums of antisymmetrized products of $\eta^{\mu\nu}$, the Clifford-space generalized Yang-Mills action is of the form

$$S_{YM} = -\frac{1}{2} \int [\mathcal{D}X] \sum trace \left[F^{a}_{[\mu_{1}\mu_{2}...\mu_{m}]} [\nu_{1}\nu_{2}....\nu_{m}] \right] F^{[\mu_{1}\mu_{2}...\mu_{m}]} [\nu_{1}\nu_{2}....\nu_{m}] b T_{a}T_{b} + \frac{1}{2} \int [\mathcal{D}X] \sum trace \left[F^{a}_{[0\nu_{1}\nu_{2}....\nu_{m}]} F^{[\nu_{1}\nu_{2}...\nu_{m}]} F^{[\nu_{1}\nu_{2}...\nu_{m}]} b T_{a}T_{b} \right]$$

$$(3.17)$$

where the C-space 2^{D} -dim measure associated with a Clifford algebra in D-dim is

$$[\mathcal{D}X] = [d\sigma] [\Pi \ dx^{\mu}] [\Pi \ dx^{\mu_1 \mu_2}] [\Pi \ dx^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}] \dots [dx^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_d}]$$
(3.18)

and the indices are ordered as $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \mu_3...... < \mu_m$, etc...

The action (3.17) is invariant under the infinitesimal gauge transformations

$$\delta_{\xi} A_{M}^{c} = \partial_{M} \xi^{c} + g f_{ab}^{c} A_{M}^{a} \xi^{b}; \quad \delta_{\xi} A_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \dots \mu_{n}}^{c} = \partial_{x_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \dots \mu_{n}}} \xi^{c} + g f_{ab}^{c} A_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \dots \mu_{n}}^{a} \xi^{b}. \tag{3.19}$$

associated with a Lie-algebra valued Clifford-scalar parameter $\xi(\mathbf{X}) = \xi^a(\mathbf{X})T_a$.

In [3] it was explained why another alternative to define the transformations in C-space was by writing the generators of polyrotations as $R = \exp\left(\Omega^{AB}[E_A, E_B]\right)$ where the commutator $[E_A, E_B] = F_{AB}^C E_C$ is the C-space analog of the $i[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]$ commutator which is the generator of the Lorentz algebra, and the parameters Ω^{AB} are the C-space analogs of the rotation/boots parameters. This last alternative seems to be more physical because a polyrotation should map the E_A direction into the E_B direction in C-spaces, hence the meaning of the generator $[E_A, E_B]$ which is the generalization of the ordinary $i[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]$ Lorentz generator.

Therefore, when we recast the generators of polyrotations as $\mathcal{J}_{AB} = [\Gamma_A, \Gamma_B]$, an action of the form

$$S(C_{space}) = \int \left[\mathcal{D}X \right] \, F_{M_1N_1}^{A_1B_1} \, F_{M_2N_2}^{A_2B_2} \, \dots \, F_{M_{2^{d-1}}N_{2^{d-1}}}^{A_{2^{d-1}}B_{2^{d-1}}} \, \epsilon_{A_1B_1A_2B_2....A_{2^{d-1}}B_{2^{d-1}}} \, \epsilon^{M_1N_1M_2N_2....M_{2^{d-1}}N_{2^{d-1}}}. \tag{3.20}$$

is the natural generalization of the Euler density types of the D-dim (D = 2n) actions in C-space. In particular, when D = 16, the action (4.10) is the C-space generalization of the action (2.22).

This action $S(C_{space})$ (3.20) is more general than the action $S_{Clifford}(\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{A}\Gamma_{A})$ of eq-(3.10), and which in turn, is more general than the Chern-Simons gravitational action $S_{CS}(\omega, e, A_5)$ given by eq-(3.12). Therefore, we have the inclusions

$$S_{CS}(\omega, e, A_5) \subset S_{Cl(11)} [\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{A}(x^{\mu}) \Gamma_{A}] \subset S(C_{space}) [\mathcal{A}_{M}^{AB}(\sigma, x^{\mu}, x^{\mu_1 \mu_2}, x^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}, ...) \mathcal{J}_{AB}].$$
 (3.21)

and similarly one would expect the Cl(16) algebra gauge theory case in C-spaces to includes the E_8 Chern-Simons gauge theory formulated in the previous section

$$S_{CS}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{F}) \subset S_{Cl(16)} [\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{A}(x^{\mu}) \Gamma_{A}] \subset S(C_{space}) [\mathcal{A}_{M}^{AB}(\sigma, x^{\mu}, x^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}, x^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}\mu_{3}}, ...) \mathcal{J}_{AB}].$$
 (3.22)

which should be very relevant in future developments of M, F theory upon the introduction of Polyvector-valued Supersymmetries in C-spaces [11]. These generalized supersymmetries deserve to be investigated further since they are more fundamental than the supersymmetries associated with M, F theory superalgebras and also span well beyond the N-extended Supersymmetric Field Theories involving super-algebras, like OSp(32|N) for example, which are related to a SO(N) gauge theory coupled to matter fermions (besides the gravitinos). It is these Polyvector-valued Supersymmetries in C-spaces [11] that will permit the supersymmetrization of the most general action in C-spaces $S(C_{space})$ given by (3.20).

Finally, the results of this work may shed some light into the origins behind the hidden E_8 symmetry of 11D Supergravity, the hyperbolic Kac-Moody algebra E_{10} and the non-linearly realized E_{11} algebra related to Chaos in M theory and oscillatory solutions close to cosmological singularities [1,2,6].

Acknowledgments

We are indebted to Frank (Tony) Smith for numerous discussions and to M. Bowers for her hospitality.

REFERENCES

- 1-E. Cremmer, B. Julia and J. Scherk, Phys. Letts **B 76** (1978) 409. T. Damour, A. Kleinschmidt and H. Nicolai, "Hidden Symmetries and the Fermionic Sector of 11-dim Supergravity" hep-th/0512163. K. Koepsell, H.Nicolai and H. Samtleben, "An Exceptional Geometry for D=11 Supergravity?" hep-th/0006034. H. Nicolai and H. Samtleben, "Compact and Noncompact Gauged Maximal Supergravities in Three Dimensions".
- 2- S. de Buyl, M. Henneaux and L. Paulot, "Extended E_8 invariance of 11-dim Supergravity" hep-th/0512292. P. West, Class. Quan. Grav **18** (2001) 4443. V. A. Belinksy, I. M Khalatnikov and E. M. Lifshitz, Adv. Phys. **19** (1970) 525.
- 3-C. Castro, M. Pavsic, Progress in Physics **1** (2005) 31. Phys. Letts **B 559** (2003) 74. Int. J. Theor. Phys **42** (2003) 1693. C. Castro, Foundations of Physics **35**, no. 6 (2005) 971. Progress in Physics **1** (2005) 20.
- 4-J. Zanelli, "Lectures Notes on Chern-Simons (Super) Gravities", hep-th/0502193. R. Troncoso and J. Zanelli, "Gauge Supergravities for all Odd Dimensions" hep-th/9807029 M. Hassaine, R. Troncoso and J. Zanelli, "Poincare invariant Gravity with local Supersymmetry as a gauge theory for the M algebra", hep-th/0306258. F. Izaurieta, E. Rodriguez and P. Salgado, "Euler Chern Simons Gravity from Lovelock Born Infeld Gravity" hep-th/0402208.
- 5- M.Pavsic, "The Landscape of Theoretical Physics: A Global View" Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 2001. J. Schray and C. Manogue, Foundations of Physics **26** (1996) 17.
- 6-M. Gunaydin, K. Koepsell and H. Nicolai, "The Minimal Unitary Representation of $E_{8(8)}$ " hep-th/0109005. M. Gunaydin, "Unitary Realizations of U-duality Groups as Conformal and Quasi Conformal Groups and Extremal Black Holes of Supergravity Theories" hep-th/0502235. M. Gunaydin and O. Pavlyk, "Generalized spacetimes defined by cubic forms and the minimal unitary realizations of their quasi-conformal groups" hep-th/0506010. M. Gunaydin, K. Koepsell, and H. Nicolai, Comm. Math. Phys **221** (2001) 57. Adv. Teor. Math. Phys **5** (2002) 923. M. Gunaydin, A. Neitzke, B. Pioline and A. Waldron, "BPS black holes, Quantum Attractor Flows and Automorphic Forms" hep-th/0512296.
- 7-F. Toppan, "Hermitian versus Holomorphic complex and quaternionic generalized supersymmetries of M theory, a classification" hep-th/0406022.
- 8-F.D. Smith Jr, " E_6 , Strings, Branes and the Standard Model" [CERN CDS EXT-2004-031]. Int. J. Theor. Phys **24**, 155 (1985); Int. J. Theor. Phys **25**, 355 (1985). "From Sets to Quarks" [arXiv: hep-ph/9708379]. "The $D_4-D_5-E_6-E_7-E_8$ Model" [CERN CDS EXT-2003-087].
- 9-G. Trayling, "A geometric approach to the Standard Model" hep-th/9912231.
- 10-D. Alekseevsky, V. Cortes, C. Devchand and A. Van Proeyen "Polyvector Super-Poincare Algebras" hep-th/0311107. I. Rudychev, E. Sezgin, "Superparticles, p-form Coordinates and the BPS Condition" hep-th/9711128. I. Bars, C. Kounnas, "A new supersymmetry" hep-th/9612119. I. Bandos, J. Lukierski, "Generalized Superconformal Symmetries and Supertwistor Dynamics" hep-th/9912264.

- 11-C. Castro, "Polyvector Super Poincare Algebras, M, F theory algebras and Generalized Supersymmetry in Clifford Spaces" Int. Journal of Mod. Phys. **A 21**, no. 10 (2006) 2149.
- 12-G. Saviddy, "Generalizations of Yang-Mills Theory" hep-th/0505033.
- 13-C. Castro, "On Generalized Yang-Mills and Extensions of the Standard Model in Clifford (Tensorial) Spaces "Annals of Physics **321**, no.4 (2006) 813. Mod. Phys Letters **A 19** (2004) 14.
- 14-F. Gursey, Mod. Phys. Letts **A 3** (1988) 115. F. Gursey, Proc. Conf. on Group theorertical methods in Physics 1978.
- 15-J. Adams, "Lectures on Exceptional Lie Groups" Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, Univ. of Chicago Press 1996.
- 16-C. H Tze and F. Gursey, "On the role of Divison, Jordan and Related Algebras in Particle Physics" World Scientific 1996. S. Okubo, Introduction to Octonion and other Nonassociative Algebras in Physics" Cambridge Univ. Press R. Schafer, "An introduction to Nonassociative Algebras" Academic Press, New York 1966. G. Dixon, "Division Algebras, Octonions, Quaternions, Complex Numbers, and the Algebraic Design of Physics" (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1994). J. Math. Phys 45, no 10 (2004) 3678. P. Ramond, Exceptional Groups and Physics, hep-th/0301050. J. Baez, "Bull. Amer. Math. Soc (N. S) 39 no. 2 (2002) 145.
- 17-C.Castro, Mod. Phys. A 17 (2002) 2095-2103.
- 18-Y. Ohwashi, " E_6 Matrix Model" hep-th/0110106. " $Sp(4,H)/Z_2$ Pair Universe in E_6 Matrix Models" hep-th/0510252. L. Smolin, "The exceptional Jordan Algebra and the Matrix String" hep-th/0104050 M. Rios, "The Geometry of Jordan Matrix Models" hep-th/0503015.
- 19-C. Castro, "The large N limit of Exceptional Jordan Matrix Models and M, F Theory" to appear in the Jour. of Geometry and Physics (2007).
- 20-P. Jordan, J von Neumann and E. Wigner, Ann. Math **35** (1934) 2964. K. MacCrimmon, "A Taste of Jordan Algebras" H. Freudenthal, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser **57 A** (1954) 218. J. Tits, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser **65 A** (1962) 530. T. Springer, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser **65 A** (1962) 259.-
- 21- A. Aurilia, A. Smailagic , E. Spallucci, Phys. Rev. $\bf D$ 47 (1993) 2536. A. Aurilia, E. Spallucci: Class. Quant. Gravity $\bf 10$ (1993) 1217.
- 22-I. R. Porteous Clifford algebras and Classical Groups Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- 23- M. Cederwall and J. Palmkvist, "The octic E_8 invariant" hep-th/0702024.
- 24- S. Adler, "Further thoughts on Supersymmetric E_8 as family and grand unification theory" hep-ph/0401212.
- 25- N. Baaklini, Phys. Lett $\bf 91~B~$ (1980) 376. I. Bars and M. Gunaydin, Phys. Rev. Lett $\bf 45~$ (1980) 859. S. Konshtein and E. Fradkin, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz $\bf 42~$ (1980) 575. M. Koca, Phys. Lett $\bf 107~B~$ (1981) 73. R. Slansky, Phys. Reports $\bf 79~$ (1981) 1
- 26-J. Hewett and T. Rizzo, Phys. Reports 183 (1989) 193.
- 27- P. Horava abd E. Witten, "11-dim Supergravity on a Manifold with Boundary" Nucl. Phys. **B 475** (1996) 94. hep-th/9603142. E. Witten, "On Flux quantization in M Theory and the Effective action" J. Geom. Phys **22** (1997) 1. E. Diaconescu, G. Moore and E. Witten, " E_8 Gauge Theory and a derivation of K theory from M Theory" hep-th/0005090.
- 28- M. Fabinger and P.Horava, "Casimir Effect between world-branes in Heterotic M-theory" hep-th/0002073.
- 29-. L. Baulieu, "Going down from a 3-form in 16 dimensions" hep-th/0207184. L. Baulieu, M. Bellon and A. Tanzini, "Eight-dimensional Topological Gravity and its correspondence with Supergravity" hep-th/0207020. L. Baulieu, H. Kannao and I. M. Singer, "Special Quantum Feld Theories in D=8 and other dimensions" hep-th/9704167.
- 30-H. Nishino and S. Rajippot, "Topological Gauging of N=16 Supergravity in Three dimensions" hep-th/0209106.
- 31-H.Nicolai, Phys. Lett B 187 (1987) 316.

- 32- J. Evslin, "From E_8 to F theory via T-duality" hep-th/0311235. J. Evslin and H. Sati, "SUSY vs E_8 Gauge theory in 11 dimensions" hep-th/0210090.
- 33-C. Castro, "On Chern-Simons (super) Gravity, E_8 Yang-Mills and polyvector-valued gauge theories in Clifford Spaces" J. Math. Phys **47**, no.1 (2006) 112301.
- 34- H. Nastase, "D=4 Einstein Gravity from higher dimensional Chern-Simons Born-Infeld Gravity and an alternative to dimensional reduction" hep-th/0703034.
- 35-A. Anabalon, S. Wilson and J. Zanelli, "The Universe as a Topological Defect" hep-th/0702192.
- 36- Z. Hasiewicz, F. Defever and W. Troost, J. Math. Phys 32, no. 9 (1991) 2285