Three Solar System Anomalies Indicating the Presence of Macroscopically Quantum Coherent Dark Matter in Solar System
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1 Introduction

Three anomalies associated with the solar system, namely Pioneer anomaly [3], the evidence for shrinking of planetary orbits [7, 8, 9], and flyby anomaly [4] are discussed. The first anomaly is explained by a universal $1/r$ distribution of dark matter, second anomaly finds a trivial explanation in TGD based quantum model for planetary orbits as Bohr orbits with Bohr quantization reflecting macroscopically quantum coherent character of dark matter with a gigantic value of Planck constant [11]. Fly-by anomaly can be understood if planetary orbits are surrounded by a flux tube containing quantum coherent dark matter. Also spherical shells can be considered.

2 Explanation of the Pioneer anomaly

The data gathered during one quarter of century ([2, 3]) seem to suggest that spacecrafts do not obey the laws of Newtonian gravitation. What has been observed is anomalous constant acceleration of order $(8 \pm 3) \times 10^{-11} g$ ($g = 9.81 \ m/s^2$ is gravitational acceleration at the surface of Earth) for the Pioneer/10/11, Galileo and Ulysses [3]. The acceleration is directed towards Sun and could have an explanation in terms of $1/r^2$ long range force if the density of charge carriers of the force has $1/r$ dependence on distance from the Sun. From the data in [2, 3], the anomalous acceleration of the spacecraft is of order
\[ \delta a \sim 0.8 \times 10^{-10} g , \]  

(1)

where \( g \simeq 9.81 \) m/s\(^2\) is gravitational acceleration at the surface of Earth. Using the values of Jupiter distance \( R_J \simeq 8 \times 10^{12} \) meters, radius of Earth \( R_E \simeq 6 \times 10^6 \) meters and the value Sun to Earth mass ratio \( M_S/M_E \simeq 3 \times 10^6 \), one can relate the gravitational acceleration

\[ a(R) = \frac{GM_S}{R^2} = \frac{M_S R_J^2}{M_E R_E^2} \]  

(2)

of the spacecraft at distance \( R = R_J \) from the Sun to \( g \), getting roughly \( a \simeq 1.6 \times 10^{-5} g \). One has also

\[ \frac{\delta a}{a} \simeq 1.3 \times 10^{-4}. \]  

(3)

The value of the anomalous acceleration has been found to be \( a_F = (8.744 \pm 1.33) \times 10^{-8} \) cm/s\(^2\) and given by Hubble constant: \( a_F = cH \). \( H = 82 \) km/s/Mpc gives \( a_F = 8 \times 10^{-8} \) cm/s\(^2\). It is very difficult to believe that this could be an accident. There are also diurnal and annual variations in the acceleration anomaly [4]. These variations should be due to the physics of Earth-Sun system. I do not know whether they can be understood in terms of a temporal variation of the Doppler shift due to the spinning and orbital motion of Earth with respect to Sun.

One model for the acceleration anomaly relies on the presence of dark matter increasing the effective solar mass. Since acceleration anomaly is constant, a dark matter density \( \rho_d = (3/4\pi)(H/Gr) \), where \( H \) is Hubble constant giving \( M(r) \propto r^2 \), is required. For instance, at the radius \( R_J \) of Jupiter the dark mass would be about \((\delta a/a)M(Sun) \simeq 1.3 \times 10^{-4}M(Sun)\) and would become comparable to \( M_{Sun} \) at about \( 100R_J = 520 \) AU. Note that the standard theory for the formation of planetary system assumes a solar nebula of radius of order 100AU having 2-3 solar masses. For Pluto at distance of 38 AU the dark mass would be about one per cent of solar mass. This model would suggest that planetary systems are formed around dark matter system with a universal mass density. The dependence of the primordial dark matter density on only Hubble constant is very natural if mass density perturbations are universal.

In [4] the possibility that the acceleration anomaly for Pioneer 10 (11) emerged only after the encounter with Jupiter (Saturn) is raised. The model
explaining Hubble constant as being due to a radial contraction compensating cosmic expansion would predict that the anomalous acceleration should be observed everywhere, not only outside Saturn. The model in which universal dark matter density produces the same effect would allow the required dark matter density $\rho_d = (3/4\pi)(H/Gr)$ be present only as a primordial density. The formation of dark matter structures could have modified this primordial density and visible matter would have condensed around these structures so that only the region outside Jupiter would contain this density.

3 Shrink radii of planetary orbits and Bohr quantization

There are two means of determining the positions of planets in the solar system [7, 8, 9, 10]. The first method is based on optical measurements and determines the position of planets with respect to the distant stars. Already thirty years ago [10] came the first indications that the planetary positions determined in this manner drift from their predicted values as if planets were in accelerated motion. The second method determines the relative positions of planets using radar ranging: this method does not reveal any such acceleration.

C. J. Masreliez [8] has proposed that this acceleration could be due to a gradual scaling of the planetary system so that the sizes $L$ of the planetary orbits are reduced by an over-all scale factor $L \rightarrow L/\lambda$, which implies the acceleration $\omega \rightarrow \lambda^{3/2}\omega$ in accordance with the Kepler’s law $\omega \propto 1/L^{3/2}$. This scaling would exactly compensate the cosmological scaling $L \rightarrow (R(t)/R_0) \times L$ of the solar system size $L$, where $R(t)$ the curvature parameter of Robertson-Walker cosmology having the line element $ds^2 = dt^2 - R^2(t) \left( \frac{dr^2}{1+r^2} + r^2d\Omega^2 \right)$.

According to Masreliez, the model explains also some other anomalies in the solar system, such as angular momentum discrepancy between the lunar motion and the spin-down of the Earth [8]. The model also changes the rate for the estimated drift of the Moon away from the Earth so that the Moon could have very well formed together with Earth some five billion years ago.

The Bohr quantization for planetary orbits predicts that the orbital radii measured in terms of $M^4$ radial coordinate $r_M$ are constant. This means that planetary system does not participate cosmic expansion so that the orbital radii expressed in terms of the coordinate $r = r_M/a$ shrinking. Therefore the stars accelerate with respect to the Robertson-Walker coordinates $(t, r, \Omega)$ defined by the distant stars since in this case the radii correspond naturally
to the coordinate $r = r_M/a$ giving $dr/dt = -Hr_M$ so that cosmic expansion is exactly compensated. This model for the anomaly involves no additional assumptions besides Bohr quantization and is favored by Occam’s razor.

4 Fly-by anomaly

The so called flyby anomaly [4] might relate to the Pioneer anomaly. Flyby mechanism used to accelerate space-crafts is a genuine three body effect involving Sun, planet, and the space-craft. Planets are rotating around sun in an anticlockwise manner and when the space-craft arrives from the right hand side, it is attracted by a planet and is deflected in an anticlockwise manner and planet gains energy as measured with respect to solar center of mass system. The energy originates from the rotational motion of the planet. If the space-craft arrives from the left, it loses energy. What happens is analyzed in [4] using an approximately conserved quantity known as Jacobi’s integral $J = \mathcal{E} - \omega \mathbf{e}_z \cdot \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v}$. Here $\mathcal{E}$ is total energy per mass for the space-craft, $\omega$ is the angular velocity of the planet, $\mathbf{e}_z$ is a unit vector normal to the planet’s rotational plane, and various quantities are with respect to solar cm system.

This as such is not anomalous and flyby effect is used to accelerate space-crafts. For instance, Pioneer 11 was accelerated in the gravitational field of Jupiter to a more energetic elliptic orbit directed to Saturn and the encounter with Saturn led to a hyperbolic orbit leading out from solar system.

Consider now the anomaly. The energy of the space-craft in planet-space-craft cm system is predicted to be conserved in the encounter. Intuitively this seems obvious since the time and length scales of the collision are so short as compared to those associated with the interaction with Sun that the gravitational field of Sun does not vary appreciably in the collision region. Surprisingly, it turned out that this conservation law does not hold true in Earth flybys. Furthermore, irrespective of whether the total energy with respect to solar cm system increases or decreases, the energy in cm system increases during flyby in the cases considered.

Five Earth flybys have been studied: Galileo-I, NEAR, Rosetta, Cassina, and Messenger and the article of Anderson and collaborators [4] gives a nice quantitative summary of the findings and of the basic theoretical notions. Among other things the tables of the article give the deviation $\delta \mathcal{E}_{g,S}$ of the energy gain per mass in the solar cm system from the predicted gain. The anomalous energy gain in rest Earth cm system is $\Delta \mathcal{E}_E \simeq \mathbf{v} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{v}$ and allows to deduce the change in velocity. The general order of magnitude is
\[ \Delta v/v \simeq 10^{-6} \text{ for Galileo-I, NEAR and Rosetta but consistent with zero for Cassini and Messenger. For instance, for Galileo I one has } v_{\infty,S} = 8.949 \text{ km/s and } \Delta v_{\infty,S} = 3.92 \pm 0.08 \text{ mm/s in solar cm system.} \]

Many explanations for the effect can be imagined but dark matter is the most obvious candidate in TGD framework. The model for the Bohr quantization of planetary orbits assumes that planets are concentrations of the visible matter around dark matter structures. These structures could be tubular structures around the orbit or a nearly spherical shell containing the orbit. The contribution of the dark matter to the gravitational potential increases the effective solar mass \( M_{\text{eff},S} \). This of course cannot explain the acceleration anomaly which has constant value.

For instance, if the space-craft traverses shell structure, its kinetic energy per mass in Earth cm system changes by a constant amount not depending on the mass of the space-craft:

\[ \frac{\Delta E}{m} \simeq v_{\infty,E} \Delta v = \Delta V_{\text{gr}} = \frac{G \Delta M_{\text{eff},S} R}{R} . \]  

Here \( R \) is the outer radius of the shell and \( v_{\infty,E} \) is the magnitude of asymptotic velocity in Earth cm system. This very simple prediction should be testable. If the space-craft arrives from the direction of Sun the energy increases. If the space-craft returns back to the sunny side, the net anomalous energy gain vanishes. This has been observed in the case of Pioneer 11 encounter with Jupiter [4].

The mechanism would make it possible to deduce the total dark mass of, say, spherical shell of dark matter. One has

\[ \frac{\Delta M}{M_S} \simeq \frac{\Delta v}{v_{\infty,E}} \frac{2K}{V} , \]

\[ K = \frac{v_{\infty,E}^2}{2} , \quad V = \frac{GM_S}{R} . \]  

For the case considered \( \Delta M/M_S \geq 2 \times 10^{-6} \) is obtained. One might consider the possibility that the primordial dark matter has concentrated in spherical shells in the case of inner planets as indeed suggested by the model for quantization of radii of planetary orbits. Note that the amount of dark mass within sphere of 1 AU implied by the explanation of Pioneer anomaly would be about \( 6.2 \times 10^{-6} M_S \) from Pioneer anomaly whereas the mass of Earth is \( M_E \simeq 5 \times 10^{-6} M_S \). Since the orders of magnitude are same, one might consider the possibility that the primordial dark matter has concentrated
in spherical shells in the case of inner planets as indeed suggested by the
model for quantization of radii of planetary orbits. Of course, the total
mass associated with $1/r$ density quite too small to explain entire mass of
the solar system.

In the solar cm system the energy gain is not constant. Denote by $\vec{v}_{i,E}$
and $\vec{v}_{f,E}$ the initial and final velocities of the space-craft in Earth cm. Let
$\Delta \vec{v}$ be the anomalous change of velocity in the encounter and denote by $\theta$
the angle between the asymptotic final velocity $\vec{v}_{f,S}$ of planet in solar cm.
One obtains for the corrected $E_{g,S}$ the expression

$$E_{g,S} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\vec{v}_{f,E} + \vec{v}_P + \Delta \vec{v})^2 - (\vec{v}_{i,E} + \vec{v}_P)^2 \right].$$

(6)

This gives for the change $\delta E_{g,S}$

$$\delta E_{g,S} \simeq (\vec{v}_{f,E} + \vec{v}_P) \cdot \Delta \vec{v} \simeq v_{f,S} \Delta v \times \cos(\theta_S)$$

$$= v_{\infty,S} \Delta v \times \cos(\theta_S).$$

(7)

Here $v_{\infty,S}$ is the asymptotic velocity in solar cm system and in excellent
approximation predicted by the theory.

Using spherical shell as a model for dark matter one can write this as

$$\delta E_{g,S} = \frac{v_{\infty,S} G \Delta M}{v_{\infty,E} R} \cos(\theta_S).$$

(8)

The proportionality of $\delta E_{g,S}$ to $\cos(\theta_S)$ should explain the variation of the
anomalous energy gain.

For a spherical shell $\Delta \vec{v}$ is in the first approximation orthogonal to $v_P$
since it is produced by a radial acceleration so that one has in good approx-
imation

$$\delta E_{g,S} \simeq \vec{v}_{f,S} \cdot \Delta \vec{v} \simeq \vec{v}_{f,E} \cdot \Delta \vec{v} \simeq v_{f,S} \Delta v \times \cos(\theta_S)$$

$$= v_{\infty,E} \Delta v \times \cos(\theta_E).$$

(9)

For Cassini and Messenger $\cos(\theta_S)$ should be rather near to zero so that
$v_{\infty,E}$ and $v_{\infty,S}$ should be nearly orthogonal to the radial vector from Sun in
these cases. This provides a clear cut qualitative test for the spherical shell
model.
References


